

<http://www.pjbs.org>

**PJBS**

ISSN 1028-8880

**Pakistan  
Journal of Biological Sciences**

**ANSI***net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information  
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

## Length-weight Relationships and Condition Factor Studies in Three Major Carps Reared under Integrated Polyculture System

Muhammad Hassan and Muhammad Javed\*

Department of Zoology, Government College, Faisalabad

\*Fisheries Research Farms, Deptt. of Zoology and Fisheries,  
University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

### Abstract

Length-weight relationships and condition factor of three fish species, viz. *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala* have been computed to see the responses of different fertilization regimes (nitrogen levels from broiler droppings) on the degree of fish well-being. The fork length-weight relationships of three fish species under five nitrogen levels followed almost isometric pattern of growth except *Labeo rohita* under 0.10, 0.13 and 0.16 g nitrogen levels. However, among the three fish species *Catla catla* exhibited significantly higher weight gains against fork length increments to follow the cube law of isometric growth. The condition factor of all the three fish species in relation to size fluctuated significantly among treatments. This fluctuation was dependent upon the planktonic productivity indices of ponds that was regulated according to the feeding habits, age and growth rates of fish.

### Introduction

Fish, whether from sea or from freshwater has been historically regarded as a staple and dependable quality food source because of its being principal source of animal protein for over half of the global population (Ling, 1977). Fish culture is considered today as one of the most promising sources of animal protein. During the recent past, the potential and prolific nature of fish culture has been directed towards its large scale adoption and promotion in Pakistan. A reason for the steady increase in aquacultural fish production and its expansion is the expansion in areas under culture, improvements in fish culture technology and maximum use of experimentally established ecological potentials.

Water and fertilization is one of the key factors in increasing the maximum carrying capacity of fish ponds (Javed, 1988). Javed (1988) has described a positive relation in nutrient dynamics and fertilizer application in pond aquaculture management. In Pakistan, increasing attention has been directed towards recycling of various agricultural and animal wastes through aquaculture production process for enhancing fish yield (Javed *et al.*, 1990; Javed and Sial, 1991 and Javed *et al.*, 1992).

Length-weight relationships in fish play an important role in fisheries investigation, because these relationships could be used as characters for differentiation of small taxonomic units like any other morphometric relationship. In addition to the taxonomic character, it can help determine the various events in the fish life history like metamorphosis and maturity (LeCren, 1951). The coefficient of condition can also be used to compare the relative heaviness and suitability of the environment for fish culture (Javed *et al.*, 1993). Factors like environment, food and parasitization can affect the condition factor directly and also indirectly through changes in average size and fish growth rate. In a short period of one year, life activities of fish like gonad maturation, feeding and growth in winter can affect the values of condition factor (Javed, 1988).

### Materials and Methods

The method adopted was the same as given by Hassan and

Javed (1999). The values of "K" were determined by using the formula:

$$K = \frac{W \times 10^5}{L^3}$$

Where, W = Wet weight (g); L = Wet fork length (mm); Number 10<sup>5</sup> is the factor bringing the ponderal index or Condition factor (K) near the unity (Carlander, 1970). Data were analyzed for analysis of variance and Duncan's Multiple Range tests. Correlation and regression analyses were performed to find-out relationships / trends among various parameters under study.

### Results

Table 1 describes the regression equations computed for fork length-weight relationships of three fish species, separately, under six treatments. The high values of "r" for regression equations at each treatment level indicated the high precision of these regression models. Since "n" is the isometric growth factor (n=3), the significance of difference among all the values of "n" for three fish species under five treatments were tested against the value of 3. The calculated values of "c" indicated that the values of "n" were non-significantly different from the value of 3 for all the three fish species under six treatments except for the species *Catla catla* and *Cirrhina mrigala* in the control (T6) and *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala* in T1. Hence, it may be concluded that the performances of three fish species under all the treatments (except *Catla catla* and *Cirrhina mrigala* in control and *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala* in T1) were isometric.

The data on the values of condition factor were subjected to statistical analysis by using analysis of variance and Duncan's Multiple Range test to compare the relative heaviness and suitability of the environment under different treatments for fish rearing. Table 2 reveals significant (P < 0.01) differences among treatments and species for the values of condition factor. However, the interaction

Table 1: Length-weight relationships of three fish species in fertilized and control treatments. ( $\log^{-10}$  Transformed data)

Treatment (Nitrogen level)	Mean		Regression Equation	r	R <sup>2</sup>	Probability
	Fish Weight (g) (Y)	Fish fork length (mm) (x)				
<b><i>Catla Catla</i></b>						
10g N level	2.36	2.28	Y = -4.31 + 2.928 (x) SE 0.231	0.9680	0.9370	P < 0.01
13g N level	2.37	2.28	Y = -4.44 + 2.988 (x) SE 0.237	0.9351	0.9351	P < 0.01
16g N level	2.35	2.29	Y = -4.56 + 3.024 (x) SE 0.184	0.9800	0.9604	P < 0.01
19g N level	2.36	2.28	Y = -4.16 + 2.863 (x) SE 0.169	0.9810	0.9624	P < 0.01
22g N level	2.38	2.29	Y = -4.11 + 2.837 (x) SE 0.197	0.9740	0.9487	P < 0.01
Control (without additives)	1.84	2.14	Y = -3.27 + 2.384 (x) SE 0.103	0.9900	0.9801	P < 0.01
<b><i>Labeo rohita</i></b>						
	2.24	2.29	Y = -5.97 + 3.582 (x) SE 0.243	0.9760	0.9526	P < 0.01
	2.29	2.29	Y = -5.27 + 3.295 (x) SE 0.190	0.09820	0.9643	P < 0.01
	2.28	2.30	Y = -5.33 + 3.303 (x) SE 0.173	0.9850	0.7902	P < 0.01
	2.31	2.32	Y = -4.96 + 3.130 (x) SE 0.113	0.9930	0.9860	P < 0.01
	2.29	2.32	Y = -5.22 + 3.241 (x) SE 0.138	0.9900	0.9801	P < 0.01
Control (without additives)	1.84	2.16	Y = -4.69 + 3.016 (x) SE 0.100	0.9940	0.9880	P < 0.01
<b><i>Cirrhina mrigala</i></b>						
	2.32	2.29	Y = -3.88 + 2.704 SE 0.422	0.8880	0.7885	P < 0.01
	2.32	2.55	Y = -3.62 + 2.894 SE 0.161	0.9470	0.8968	P < 0.01
	2.34	2.32	Y = -4.29 + 2.863 SE 0.172	0.9810	0.9624	P < 0.01
	2.32	2.33	Y = -4.89 + 3.095 SE 0.138	0.9890	0.9781	P < 0.01
	2.27	2.31	Y = -4.85 + 3.077 SE 0.110	0.9930	0.9860	P < 0.01
Control (without additives)	1.85	2.19	Y = -3.62 + 2.492 SE 0.086	0.9930	0.9860	P < 0.01

r = Correlation coefficient; R<sup>2</sup> = Coefficient of determination; S.E. = Standard error; N = Nitrogen; Y = Dependent variable; x = Independent variable.

Table 2: Condition factor of fish in fertilized and control treatments.

Treatments	Means	Species	Means
10g N level	2.817 a	<i>Catla catla</i>	3.322 a
13g N level	2.830 a	<i>Labeo rohita</i>	2.351 b
16g N level	2.677 a	<i>Cirrhina mrigala</i>	2.235 b
19g N level	2.647 a	SE (Treatment)	0.2544
22g N level	2.597 a	SE (Species)	0.1799
Control	2.248 b		

SE = Standard error; N = Nitrogen.

between *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala* for the "K" values and the differences among the five fertilization treatments were statistically non-significant. However, significantly lower value of "K" (2.248) under control treatment than all the fertilization treatments made this comparison statistically significant.

## Discussion

The logarithmic form of length-weight relationships in all the three fish species under six treatments, except in T6, (Table 1) are in agreement with the general model of LeCren (1951). A high degree of positive correlation between fork length and weight of all the three fish species is indicated by high values of correlation coefficients (r). These high values of "r" (nearly one) for each equation depicts high precision of these regression models. Shrivastava *et al.* (1981) and Javed *et al.* (1993) observed highly significant correlation between body weight and total length of *Labeo rohita*, *Catla catla* and *Cirrhina mrigala* reared under different fertilization treatments. Under all the five fertilization treatments the fork length - weight relationships in fish followed almost isometric pattern (with "b" nearly 3) except for *Labeo rohita* under T1, T2 and T3 (a value of 3

considered isometric under conducive environment for fish rearing). Nautiyal (1985) observed the "b" value as 1.94 for *Tor putitora* from Garhwal Himalayas. Martin (1949) reported that the value of "b" usually ranged between 2.50 and 4.00. Allen (1938) suggested that value of "b" remained constant at 3.00 for an ideal fish growth. However, Beverton and Holt (1957) reported that the departure of "b" value from 3.00 is rare.

Table 2 shows non-significant differences among the three fish species for the calculated K values under all the five fertilization treatments showing no difference among the three fish species for their length-weight relationships. However, the differences were significant when the condition factor value (k) of fish reared under control treatment compared with all the five fertilization treatments. The condition factor values also varied significantly during different months of the study period (Javed *et al.*, 1993). The present data indicated that among the three fish species *Catla catla* exhibited significantly higher weights against the fork length increments to follow the cube law of isometric growth. However, in both *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala* weight increments were less than the fork length increments to follow the cube law of isometric growth. The above findings show that the fluctuations in the condition factor values of all the three fish species in relation to size appeared to be influenced by the status of ponds for their planktonic productivity indices which, in turn, controlled the feeding rhythm of the fish with age. The limnological environment under all the five fertilization treatments appeared to be conducive for fish rearing. Some evidence, is also available, from different studies showing seasonal fluctuations in condition factor of fish brought about by feeding rhythm of the fish (Kartha and Rao, 1990; Javed *et al.*, 1993).

## References

Allen, K.R., 1938. Some observations on the biology of trout (*Salmo trutta*) in Windermere. *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 7: 333-349.

Beverton, R.J. and S.J. Holt, 1957. On the dynamics of exploited fish populations. *Fishery Invest.*, Lond. (III), 19: 1-533.

Barlander, D.K., 1970. *Handbook of Freshwater Fishery Biology*, Vol. 1. The Iowa State University Press, Iowa, U.S.A.

Hassan, M. and M. Javed, 1999. Planktonic biomass conversion efficiency of major carps integrated farming systems. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 2: 1564-1568.

Javed, M. 1988. Growth performance and meat quality of major carps as influenced by pond fertilization and feed supplementation. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Agri., Faisalabad.

Javed, M., M.B. Sial and S.A. Zafar, 1990. Fish Pond Fertilization. 2. Influence of broiler manure fertilization on the growth performance of major carps. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 27: 212-215.

Javed, M. and M. B. Sial, 1991. "Fish Pond Fertilization". III. Effect of layer manure fertilization on the growth performance of fish, viz. *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala*. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 28: 115-120.

Javed, M., M. Hassan and M.B. Sial, 1992. "Fish Pond Fertilization": IV. Effect of cow-dung on the growth performance of major carps. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 29: 111-115.

Javed, M., M. Hassan and K. Javed, 1993. Length-weight relationship and condition factor of *Catla catla*, *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala* reared under polyculture condition of pond fertilization and feed supplementation. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 30: 167-172.

Kartha, K.N. and K.S. Rao, 1990. Length-weight and length-maximum girth relationship of *Catla catla* (Ham) in commercial landings of Gandhi Sagar Reservoir. *Fishery Technology*, 27: 155-156.

LeCren, E.D., 1951. The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 20: 201-219.

Ling, S.W., 1977. *Aquaculture in South-East Asia*. Seattle University of Washington Press.

Martin, W.R., 1949. The mechanics of environmental control of body form in fishes. Univ. of Toronto Studies. Biological Series 58 (Publication of the Ontario Fisheries Research Laboratory 70).

Nautiyal, P., 1985. Length-weight relationship and relative condition factor of the Garhwal Himalayan Mahseer with reference to its fishery. *Indian. J. Anim. Sci.*, 55: 65-70.

Shrivastava, G.J., Sharad, A. Swarup and A.K. Pandey, 1981. Growth rate of different body parameters in *Labeo rohita*. *Indian. J. Zool.*, 9: 27-30.