http://www.pjbs.org



ISSN 1028-8880

# Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences



# Optimization of Nitrogen Fertilization in Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.)

Aman Ullah Chaudhry and M. Sarwar

Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-38040, Pakistan

### Abstract

The cotton variety NIAB-78 showed the highest seed cotton yield of 2.96 t ha<sup>-1</sup> when applied with 150 kg nitrogen while the higher weight per 100 seed and large boll size was observed in 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Maximum number of monopidial and sympodial branches were observed in 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Treatments differed significantly from one another in their growth behaviour. Maximum plant height and internodal length were recorded in 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Among quality components of cotton, ginning out turn was significantly affected by different levels of N and found to be maximum at 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> while staple length was found to be non-significant. It is inferred that nitrogen application in the range of 150 to 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, ha<sup>-1</sup> is an optimum dose. Nitrogen application beyond 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> will not be profitable.

#### Introduction

Nitrogen is an essential nutrient for cotton that affects plant growth, fruiting and yield (Boquet *et al.*, 1994). Nitrogen plays a dominant role in growth processes as it is an integral part of chlorophyll molecule, a constituent of enzyme molecules, protein and nucleic acid (Marachner, 1986). Nitrogen fertilizer requirements depend on many factors including yield goal, inorganic soil N concentration, N mineralization, soil type and numerous environmental factors (Power and Schepers, 1989). Accurately quantifying the optimum fertilizer rate is essential to maximize profitability and minimize potential negative environmental impact of fertilizer N use.

The law of diminishing returns may be used in deciding the most profitable level of any input like fertilizer. Since the most profitable level of input use depends on marginal product and the prices of input or output or change in the technology affecting the values of marginal product (Sharma and Sharma, 1981).

Keeping this fact in view, the present study was undertaken to determine the optimum level of N use and its effects on yield and yield components of cotton variety NIAB-78 under irrigated conditions at Faisalabad.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Field experiment was conducted at the Agronomic Research Area, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad during the year 1995-1996 on a sandy clay loam soil. Experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with three replications and net plot size was  $3.75 \times 10$  m. The treatments were control, 30, 60, 90, 120 and 180 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup>. The cotton variety NIAB-78 was sown on June 3, 1996. Urea and single super phosphate were used as a source of nitrogen and phosphorus, respectively. The whole of phosphorus and one third of nitrogen were drilled along the rows at sowing, while the remaining nitrogen was applied at first irrigation and at boll formation. All other agronomic practices were uniform and normal for all the treatments. The fibre length was determined with the help of digital fibrograph (Model, 530), according to the instructions as described in its operational manual. The data collected were statistically analysed by the analysis of variance technique and treatment means using LSD at the 5 percent level (Steel and Torrie, 1984). The law of diminishing return was used to determine the optimum level of nitrogen by equating the inverse price ratio with marginal product (Sharma and Sharma, 1981). The climatic data of crop growing season was compared with last four crop seasons.

## **Results and Discussion**

The effect of nitrogen on cotton yield, yield components growth and quality parameters was determined by the analyses of variance. Results indicated that nitrogen treatments had significant effects on all these parameters.

Yield and Yield Components: The nitrogen rate of 150 k  $ha^{-1}$  gave the significantly highest yield of seed cotton (2.96 t  $ha^{-1}$ ) and were statistically at par with each othe while the lowest yield were recorded in case of control These results are in agreement with Shahrokhnia and Ghasemi (1989).

The relationship between the seed cotton yield and its components were studied by regression analysis. The results showed that yield and yield components such that monopodial branches per plant, boll size, flowers produce per plant and boll per plant had a positive correlation coefficient of 0.986. Coefficient of determination showed that 97 percent variation in yield was due to these factor. The results in Table 1 showed that all these yield components were significantly affected by different level of nitrogen. The highly significant number of flowers at bolls per plant were produced by treatment 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The results for flower are supported by the findings of Boquet *et al.* (1994) and bolls per plant (Khan *et al.*, 1993) Treatment 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> produced maximum monopod

#### Chaudhry and Sarwar: Nitrogen, optimum, Gossypium hirsutum L.

|   | Treatments |         |          |         |         |         |         |
|---|------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|   | 0          | 30      | 60       | 90      | 120     | 150     | 180     |
| Seed cotton yield (g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 1.53e      | 1.62e   | 1.73d    | 2.27c   | 2.84b   | 2.96a   | 2.81b   |
| No. of monopodial branches/plant        | 1.73c      | 1.87bc  | 2.47a    | 2.37ab  | 2.53a   | 2.63a   | 2.50a   |
| Boll size (cm)                          | 2.39f      | 2.46e   | 2.53d    | 2.64c   | 2.67bc  | 2.72ab  | 2.73a   |
| No. of flowers per plant                | 40.27f     | 42.53f  | 47.70e   | 58.90d  | 84.63b  | 101.90a | 79.70c  |
| No. of bolls per plant                  | 19.73e     | 21.20e  | 25.20d   | 33.57c  | 41.83b  | 46.13a  | 39.17b  |
| No. of sympodial branches/plant         | 1460e      | 16.90d  | 19.27c   | 21.07bc | 22.40ab | 24.33a  | 22.27ab |
| Boll weight (g)                         | 2.09c      | 2.20b   | 2.22ab   | 2.24ab  | 2.29ab  | 2.31a   | 2.28ab  |
| No. of seeds per boll                   | 19.50d     | 20.03d  | 23.70c   | 24.83bc | 26.23ab | 27.43a  | 27.40a  |
| 100-seed weight (g)                     | 7.00cd     | 7.20bcd | 6.93d    | 7.00cd  | 7.37ab  | 7.30abc | 7.60a   |
| Plant height (cm)                       | 79.87g     | 92.20f  | 100.70e  | 107.70d | 115.30c | 121.10b | 125.30a |
| nternodal length (cm)                   | 3.17e      | 3.42d   | 3.78c    | 4.21b   | 4.24b   | 4.31b   | 4.71a   |
| Ginning out turn (%)                    | 36.04c     | 36.59bc | 36.92abc | 36.56ab | 36.85a  | 37.00a  | 36.47ab |

| Toble 1, Effect of | nitrogon on | VORIOUS VIC | ald arouth | and auali | tu noromotoro |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| Table 1: Effect of | nitrouen on | various vie |            | anu uuai  | tv barameters |

Any two means not sharing the common letter differ significantly at 5% level of probability

| Table 2: Marginal | products and | inverse | price ra | tio at | different | levels of | nitroaen | application |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|----------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|
|                   |              |         |          |        |           |           |          |             |

| Nitrogen               |                        |               |          |  |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------|--|
| applied                | Yield obtained         | Total product | Marginal | Inverse price ratio                      |
| (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | due to N      | product  |  |
| 0                      | 1533                   |               |          | N = Rs. 14.87/kg Seed cotton = Rs. 25/kg |
| 30                     | 1620                   | 87            | 2.9      | 0.59                                     |
| 60                     | 1733                   | 200           | 3.8      | 0.59                                     |
| 90                     | 2273                   | 740           | 18.0     | 0.59                                     |
| 120                    | 2843                   | 1310          | 19.0     | 0.59                                     |
| 150                    | 2960                   | 1427          | 3.9      | 0.59                                     |
| 180                    | 2810                   | 1277          | -5.0     | 0.59                                     |

branches per plant, however, it was at par with the treatments 60, 90, 120 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). The maximum boll diameter was produced by applying 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> which was statistically at par with treatment 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The other yield components like sympodial branches per plant, boll weight, seeds per boll and 100 seed weight were also significantly affected by different levels of nitrogen (Table 1). Optimum level of nitrogen was determined by equating the inverse price ratio with marginal product (Table 2), which showed that the optimum rate of N is in the range of 150-180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Plant height and internodal length:** The results in Table 1 showed that all nitrogen levels significantly affected plant height and internodal length. Maximum plant height and internodal length were recorded in 180 N ha<sup>-1</sup> and followed by 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. These results for increase in plant height are supported by Boquet *et al.* (1994) and for internodal length by Malik *et al.* (1981).

**Ginning out turn and staple length:** The effect of different nitrogen treatments on staple length was non-significant (Data not shown). The data in Table 1 showed that treatment 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> produced maximum ginning out turn which was statistically at par with treatments, 60, 90, 120 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. These results are supported by the findings of Gill *et al.* (1985).

#### References

- Boquet, D.J., E.B. Moser and G.A. Breitenbeck, 1994. Boll weight and within-plant yield distribution in field-grown cotton given different levels of nitrogen. Agron. J., 86: 20-26.
- Gill, K.H., M. Akram, M.N. Malik and M.I. Makhdum, 1985. Response of cotton to phosphorus in sandy loam soils of Punjab. Pak. Cottons, 29: 89-92.
- Khan, M.D., M. Hassan, M.A. Khan and M. Ibrahim, 1993. Optimum dose and time of application of N on cotton variety S-12-Yield and Yield components. Pak. Cotton, 37: 91-96.
- Malik, M.N.A., D.G. Edwards and J.P. Evenson, 1981. Effects of flower bud removal and nitrogen supply on growth and development of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Aust. J. Plant Physiol., 8: 285-291.
- Marachner, H., 1986. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, USA., pp: 148-173.
- Power, J.F. and J.S. Schepers, 1989. Nitrate contamination of groundwater in North America. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 26: 165-187.
- Shahrokhnia, A. and A. Ghasemi, 1989. The influence of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on soils, leaves and yield of cotton. Tech. Res., 3: 239-259.
- Sharma, A.N. and V.K. Sharma, 1981. Elements of Farm Management. 1st Edn., Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, pp: 30-39.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1984. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. 2nd Edn., McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, pp: 172-177.