http://www.pjbs.org



ISSN 1028-8880

Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences

ANSIMet

Asian Network for Scientific Information 308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

Comparative Response of C₃ and C₄ Cereal Plants and Soil *Azospirillum* Spp. Distribution to Bacterization and N-fertilization

F.M. Hammouda* and Aida H. Afify**

*Bacteriological Laboratory, Sakha Agricultural Research Station, A.R.C.

* * Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Mansoura Egypt.

Abstract

Four greenhouse experiments to assess the response of two physiologically different cereal crops, i.e. sorghum and rice crops representing C_4 and C_3 plants as well as Azospirillum spp. populations in their rhizosphere and root surface soil to inoculation with A. lipoferum in combination with five levels of N fertilizer were conducted. The results indicated that sorghum was more responsive to inoculation than rice plant. Maximum benefits were obtained when the N fertilizer level was reduced to 30 kg/fed. in combination with A. lipoferum inoculant, giving the superiority in yields and yields components of both plants. There was a moderate difference of the rhizosphere and root surface soil effect on Azospirillum spp. population density between the two different groups of plants. However, MPN of Azospirillum spp. surrounded the root system of C_4 plant recorded greater value than that of C_3 one.

Introduction

The association of efficient nitrogen fixing bacteria with plant roots in appreciable number in the rhizosphere region of cultivated cereal plants is often attributed to the presence of a variety of soluble promoting substances exuded by the plant roots (Martinez et al.,1988). Plant physiologists admit that plants using the C_4 type of CO_2 assimilation obviously possess a rapid rate of photosynthesis, more dry matter production, and highest growth rate in the world (Black, 1973). The C_4 plants species are thought to translocate more of their carbohydrates to the roots and to exude more in their rhizosphere (Dart, 1976).

In as much as the vary physiology of C_4 plant species is distinctly different from that of C_3 plants in respect of CO_2 fixation, it is reasonable to expect subtile qualitative and quantitative differences in the root surface microflora and nitrogen fixing bacteria in particular. A few investigators (Paul et al., 1971; Raju et al., 1972; Dobereiner and Day, 1974; Kipe-Nolt et al., 1985; Wani et al., 1985 and Wani, 1986), have already come out with valuable information about the expected varied effects of nitrogen fixed in rhizosphere and root surface soil of C_3 and C_4 plant species on growth and yield of these quite distinct plants.

That the nitrogenous fertilization level could be reduced, if supplemented with *Azospirillum* inoculation has been reported by Sanathanakrishna and Oblisami (1980); ICRISAT annual report (1984). Hence, the studying of the responses of each sorghum and rice plant representing the C₄ and C₃ group plants to inoculation with *A. lipoferum* in combination with graded levels of N fertilizer is reasonable. The establishment and competitive survival of such nitrogen-fixing bacteria in the rhizosphere and root surface soils of each sorghum and rice plant needs to be understood by this study.

Materials and Methods

Four pot experiments were carried out in a wireproof greenhouse during the two successive summer seasons, 1997 and 1998 at the Agricultural Research Station, Sakha, two with sorghum var. Giza 15 and two with rice var. Giza 176. Each experiment contained 10 treatments which were, with and without inoculation and five N fertilizer levels, i.e., 0, 10, 20, 30 and 40 kg/fed as urea (46% N) for each of both the crops. The phosphatic fertilizer was applied at the recommended levels, i.e., 250 and 100 kg/fed, as calcium super phosphate (15% P₂O₅) for sorghum and rice plant, respectively.

The soil used in the study having 44.7 per cent clay, pH 8.2, E.C. 2.7 mmhos/cm; Na+, K+, Ca++ and Mg++ 20.7, 0.1, 5.0 and 3.7 meg/l, respectively. Available nutrients: N and P were 22.11 and 6.81 ppm (Chapman and Parker, 1981). It was mixed and distributed uniformly into all the pots of two experiments in each season. Sorghum and rice grains were treated separately with peat based inoculant of A. lipoferum (Ca. 108 cells/gram) and the grains were air dried and then planted. Grains soaked in water served as control. The 60 pots of each experiment were distributed in randomized complete blocks. For sorghum, the height of the plant, total plant dry matter yield (g/plant), plant crude protein yield (%) and the comulative N uptakes in the above-ground plant parts at three cutting periods were also detected. Regarding rice, the plant height at 60 and 120 day after sowing (DAS), number of total and productive tillers per hill, panicle length, grain and straw-yields, grain crude protein percent and N uptake by plant and grains were recorded (Chapman and Parker, 1981).

The most probable number (MPN) of *Azospirillum* spp. in the rhizosphere and roots surface soil samples was estimated at harvest time following the standard dilution technique according to Vincent (1970) using the N-free

semi-solid malate medium of Dobereiner (1980). The nedium used was 5 g malic acid, 0.5 g $\rm K_2HPO_4$, 0.2 g $\rm MgSO_4.7H_2O$, 0.1 g NaCl, 20 mg $\rm CaCl_2$, 2 ml trace elements solution, 2 ml alcoholic solution of Bromothymol Blue (5%), 4 ml Fe EDTA; 1 ml vitamin solution, 4 g KOH, .75 g agar, 1000 ml $\rm H_2O$, NaOH to adjust pH to 6.8. The race element solution was 200 mg $\rm Na_2MoO_4.2H_2O$, 235 ng $\rm MnSO_4.H_2O$, 280 mg $\rm H_3BO_3$, 8 mg $\rm CuSO_45H_2O$, 24 mg inSO₄.7H₂O, 200 ml $\rm H_2O$. The vitamin solution was: 10 ng biotin, 20 mg pyridoxine, 100 ml $\rm H_2O$. Data were subjected to statistical analysis according to Snedecor and sochran (1967), using L.S.D. test for comparison between neans.

lesults and Discussion

he results of the effect of Azospirillum lipoferum inoculant long with varied levels of N fertilization and their iteraction on both physiologically different cereal crops, orghum and rice are presented in Tables 1-8.

lant growth and yield components: Regarding sorghum, lants from Azospirillum lipoferum treated pots were ignificantly taller than the uninoculated plants along the nee cutting stages except the first cut in the first season, 997 (Tables 1 and 3). Azospirillum lipoferum inoculated orghum pots gave significantly increased mean of plant dry natter yield over that of uninoculated treatment with all the we levels of N fertilizer along with both seasons, the acreases amounted 15.0, 10.0, 14.2, 18.1 and 14.2 ercent with 0, 10, 20, 30 and 40 kg N/fed., respectively Tables 1 and 3).

egarding the influence of nitrogen fertilization and its ifferent levels on plant dry matter yield, data presented in ables 1, 3, 6 and 8 revealed that nitrogen fertilization ignificantly increased the dry matter produced. The verage increase due to nitrogen fertilization was 39.9 per ent with sorghum and 47.3 per cent with rice compared rith the control treatment (O kg N/fed.). The percentage crease means of both seasons for N level treatments (10, 0, 30 and 40 kg/fed.) were 16.2, 30.6, 49.7 and 63 per ent, respectively with sorghum plant compared to 10.7, 0.7, 76.9 and 82.9 per cent, respectively with rice plant. ∜th both the plants, the highest level of N added (40 gffed, resulted in a significant increment in the amount of he dry matter produced. Thus, it is clear that fertilization with 40 kg N/fed, alone is significantly the proper level ither with sorghum or rice plant under the conditions of his study.

considering the results of both seasons, it may be pointed that the treatments receiving the *Azospirillum lipoferum* long with the reduced level (30 kg N/fed.) recorded imificantly largest means in plant height (148.9 and 102.9 m) of sorghum and rice plants, accumulated dry matter ind (3.34 g/plant and 58.9 g/hill) in sorghum and rice as ell as number of total and productive tillers (40 and 1.5/hill) in rice plant over those of the treatments receiving

40 kg N/fed. either applied alone or in combination with bacterial inoculation. The panicle length in rice plant showed the same influence of the aforenamed treatment (Tables 5 and 7).

In the inoculated rice, the treatment received 30 kg N/fed. gave a significant increase mean of grain yields of 10.13 and 12.86 percent over those obtained from either inoculated or uninoculated treatments combined each with 40 kg N/fed., respectively. However, the beneficial effect of Azospirillum inoculant along with the 40 kg N/fed. application was relatively poor than that with 30 kg/fed., which might be probably, because of the deleterious effect of readily available N in repressing not inhibiting the activities of Azospirillum (Hardy et al., 1973; Rangaswami, 1975 and Hammouda and Zidan, 1991).

Whatever, the magnitude mean of this increase due to the same treatment was 129.1 percent over that obtained from the uninoculated control treatment did not receive N fertilizer (Tables 6 and 8). The corresponding increase mean values for straw yield amounted 1.4, 14.9 and 110.3 percent, respectively on the same order. These results are in confirmity with the earlier reports (Subba Rao, 1981; Hammouda and Zidan, 1991).

Amounts of N uptake and crude protein content: Data in Tables 2, 4, 6 and 8 show the effect of A. lipoferum inoculant and levels of N fertilizer application on the amounts of N uptake by each sorghum and rice plant (mg/plant) and by rice grain (mg/hill) as well as the crude protein content of both aforenamed plants organs.

Concerning the effect of bacterization in this respect, it is clear that there is a significant increase in the amounts of N uptake by sorghum and rice plants and/or rice grain. The present results are similar to the earlier reports by various workers with other cereal crops that, the C4 plants like Zea mays and sorghum are more responsive than those which possess the C₃-Calvin cycle of photosynthesis, like Oryza and Triticum to the free N2-fixing bacteria treatments (Dobereiner and Day, 1974; Purushothaman et al., 1976). With that respects, in the present study, sorghum exhibited better response to Azospirillum lipoferum than the rice crop, Knowles (1974) has also reported that sorghum could be better responsive to Azospirillum and Azotobacter treatments and the present results confirm the above view. Considering the results of both seasons the mean N uptake by the uninoculated sorghum was 1.51 times as much as that by the uninoculated rice plant. The Azospirillum lipoferum inoculant changed this ratio to become 1.78 times (Tables 2, 4, 6 and 8). The data also show that N uptake by the inoculated control (N, O) treatment in case of sorghum plants was 1.42 times as much as that by the uninoculated control treatment.

Higher N application changed this ratio to become 1.35, 1.41, 1.75 and 1.16 for 10, 20, 30 and 40 kg N/fed., respectively. The corresponding values by rice plant are 1.17 times changed to 1.10, 1.72, 1.27 and 1.01 times with higher N application on the same order (Tables 6 & 8).

Hammouda and Afify: Sorghum, rice crops, C4, C3, Azospirillum, N-fertilization

Table 1: Effect of *Azospirillum* inoculant in combination with N fertilizer on plant height and dry matter in Giza 15 variety of sorghum, 1997 season.

Treatment	ŀ			(cm/pla		Plant dry matter yield (g/plant)				
		1 st cut	2 nd cut	3 rd cut	Mean	1 st cut	2 nd cut	3 rd cut	Mean	
No Azospirillum treatment										
O kg N fed (zero % N)		153	105	77.5	111.8	5.12	1.78	1.40	2.77	
5 + 5 kg N/fed. (25% N)	- 1	158	112	79.3	116.4	5.20	2.34	1.44	2.99	
10 + 10 kg N/fed. (50 % N)		159	124.5	89.8	124.4	5.52	2.64	1.63	3.26	
20 + 10 kg N/fed. (75 % N)		168	125.5	92.5	128.7	6.02	3.21	1.67	3.63	
30 + 10 kg N/fed. (100 % N)	1	169	128	103.0	133.3	6.66	3.23	1.69	3.86	
Mean		161.4	119.0	88.4		5.70	2.64	1.57		
Azospirillum treatment				·						
O kg N fed (zero % N)		154	112.5	83.0	116.5	5.54	2.16	1.51	3.07	
5 + 5 kg N/fed. (25 % N)		160	132	85.5	125.8	5.75	2.43	1.53	3.24	
10 + 10 kg N/fed. (50 % N)		165	132.5	93.0	130.2	6.43	3.06	1.64	3.71	
20 + 10 kg N/fed. (75 % N)		170	143.5	109.0	140.8	7.55	3.44	2.01	4.33	
30 + 10 kg N/fed. (100 % N)		168	137.8	97.3	134.4	6.95	3.29	1.99	4.08	
Mean		163.4	131.7	93.6		6.44	2.88	1.74		
			·							
Nitrogen (N) L.S.D.	(0.0)5)	2.35	3.49	1.43		0.52	0.1		
	(0.0)1)	3.19	4.74	1.94		0.70	0.2		
Inoculation (I) L.S.D.	(0.0)5)	N.S	1.86	2.03		0.28	0.14		
	(0.0)1)	-	3.42	3.73		0.52	-	0.143	
(N) x (I) L.S.D.	(0.0)5)	3.33	4.94	N.S		N.S	N.S		
	(0.0)1)	-	6.70	-		-	-	0.193	

Table 2: Effect of *Azospirillum* inoculant in combination with N fertilizer on crude protein and N uptake in Giza 15 variety of sorghum, 1997 season.

Treatment	Plant (crude pro	otein yiel	d (%)	1	N uptake by plant, mg/plant				
	1 st cut	2 nd cut	3 rd cut	Mean	1 st cut	2 nd cut	3 rd cut		Mean	
No Azospirillum treatment						_				
0 kg N fed (zero % N)	6.09	6.06	6.04	6.06	50	17	14		27.0	
5 + 5 kg N/fed. (25 % N)	7.17	6.65	6.37	6.73	60	25	15		33.3	
10 + 10 kg N/fed. (50 % N)	7.16	7.05	6.45	6.89	63	30	17		36.7	
20 + 10 kg N/fed. (75 % N)	752	7.23	6.76	7.17	72	37	18	ŀ	42.3	Ì
30 + 10 kg N/fed. (100 % N)	8.07	7.77	7.62	7.82	86	40	21	<u> </u>	49.0	
Mean	7.20	6.95	6.65		66.2	29.8	17		17.0	
Azospirillum treatment										
O kg N fed (zero % N)	6.97	6.92	6.45	6.78	62	24	16		34	
5 + 5 kg N/fed. (25 % N)	8.35	8.33	6.90	7.86	77	32	17		42	.
10 + 10 kg N/fed. (50 % N)	8.56	8.34	6.90	7.93	88	41	18	1	49	1
20 + 10 kg N/fed. (75 % N)	8.24	7.87	8.60	8.24	100	43	28		57	Ì
30 + 10 kg N/fed. (100 % N)	8.05	7.82	7.63	7.83	90	41	24	<u> </u>	51.7	
Mean	8.03	7.86	7.30	<u></u>	83.4	36.2	20.6			
Nitrogen (N) L.S.D. (0	0.05)	0.34	Ο.	.253	0.27		6.78	2.55	1.63	
	0.01)	0.46	0.	.343	0.37		9.20	3.46	2.21	
Inoculation (I) L.S.D. (C	0.05)	0.56	0.	.398	0.443		4.78	2.65	2.29	
	0.01)	-		.732	-		8.77	4.88	-	
(N) x (I) L.S.D. (C	0.05)	0.48	0.	.360	0.38		9.59	3.61	2.30	
	0.01)	0.66	Ο.	.480	0.523	3 ′	13.01	4.89	3.12	

Hammouda and Afify: Sorghum, rice crops, C₄, C₃, Azospirillum, N-fertilization

able 3: Effect of Azospirillum inoculant in combination with N fertilizer plant height and dry matter in Giza 15 variety of sorghum, 1998 season.

Pla	ant heigh	t (cm/pl	ant)	Plant dry matter yield (g/plant)				
1 st cut	2 nd cut	3 rd cut	Mean	1 st cut				Mean
							<u> </u>	
139.5	106.8	72.0	106.1	1.52	0.41	0.12	T	0.68
158.3	131.5	75.0	121.6	2.22				1.02
168.3	150.5	78.3	132.4	2.66	0.96	_		1.25
172.3	165.8	79.0	139.0	3.13	1.34	I		1.54
176.5	178.3	81.0	145.3	3.56	1.62	l .	1	1.78
162.98	146.58	77.06		2.62	1.01		 	
			<u> </u>	·				
145.8	126.3	73.8	115.3	1.93	0.58	0.10		0.90
156.3	142.0	81.3	1 11	-		Į.	}	1.17
171.3	156.8	81.8						1.44
196.3	190.8	83.8	156.9	4.87			1	2.35
184.3	172.8	82.8	7	3.67	1		1	1.79
			146.6	- 1		0.20		1,73
170.8	157.74	80.7		3.18	1.20	0.20	 	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.20	L	
0.05)	4.21	6.6	88	2.94	0.	269	0.135	0.012
0.01)	5.71	9.0	7	3.99				0.012
).05)	4.89	5.8	4	1.67				0.16
0.01)	7.25	10.	73	3.07	J.,			0.008
).05)	5.95	N.5	S	N.S.	0.3	380		N.S.
0.01)	8.08							14.5.
)	1st cut 139.5 158.3 168.3 172.3 176.5 162.98 145.8 156.3 171.3 196.3 184.3 170.8 0.05) 0.01) 0.05)	139.5 106.8 158.3 131.5 168.3 150.5 172.3 165.8 176.5 178.3 162.98 146.58 145.8 156.3 142.0 171.3 156.8 196.3 190.8 184.3 172.8 170.8 157.74 170.8 157.74 170.8 157.74 170.5 4.21 1.001 5.71 1.005 4.89 1.001 7.25 1.005 5.95 100.5 100.5 1.005	1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut 139.5 106.8 72.0 158.3 131.5 75.0 168.3 150.5 78.3 172.3 165.8 79.0 176.5 178.3 81.0 162.98 146.58 77.06 145.8 126.3 73.8 156.3 142.0 81.3 171.3 156.8 81.8 196.3 190.8 83.8 184.3 172.8 82.8 170.8 157.74 80.7 170.8 157.74 80.7 170.9 157.74 9.0 170.9 15.71 9.0 170.9 15.71 9.0 170.9 15.71 9.0 170.9 15.71 9.0 170.9 15.71 9.0 170.9 15.71 9.0 170.9 15.71 9.0 170.9 15.71 9.0 170.9 15.71 9.0 170.9 15.71 9.0 170.9 17.25 10.1 170.9 15.95 10.5 170.9 15.95 10.5 170.9 15.95 10.5 170.9 15.95 10.5 170.9 15.95 10.5 170.9 15.95 10.5 170.9 15.95 10.5 170.9 15.95 10.5 170.9 15.95 10.5 170.9 170.9	139.5	1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut Mean 1st cut 139.5 106.8 72.0 106.1 1.52 158.3 131.5 75.0 121.6 2.22 168.3 150.5 78.3 132.4 2.66 172.3 165.8 79.0 139.0 3.13 176.5 178.3 81.0 145.3 3.56 162.98 146.58 77.06 2.62 145.8 126.3 73.8 115.3 1.93 156.3 142.0 81.3 126.5 2.45 171.3 156.8 81.8 136.6 3.00 196.3 190.8 83.8 156.9 4.87 184.3 172.8 82.8 7 3.67 146.6 170.8 157.74 80.7 3.18 0.05 4.21 6.68 2.94 0.01) 5.71 9.07 3.99 0.05) 4.89 5.84 1.67 <t< td=""><td>1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut Mean 1st cut 2nd cut 139.5 106.8 72.0 106.1 1.52 0.41 158.3 131.5 75.0 121.6 2.22 0.71 168.3 150.5 78.3 132.4 2.66 0.96 172.3 165.8 79.0 139.0 3.13 1.34 176.5 178.3 81.0 145.3 3.56 1.62 162.98 146.58 77.06 2.62 1.01 145.8 126.3 73.8 115.3 1.93 0.58 156.3 142.0 81.3 126.5 2.45 0.87 171.3 156.8 81.8 136.6 3.00 1.14 196.3 190.8 83.8 156.9 4.87 1.95 184.3 172.8 82.8 7 3.67 1.46 170.8 157.74 80.7 3.18 1.20 10.05 4.21 6.68</td><td>1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut Mean 1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut 139.5 106.8 72.0 106.1 1.52 0.41 0.12 158.3 131.5 75.0 121.6 2.22 0.71 0.13 168.3 150.5 78.3 132.4 2.66 0.96 0.13 172.3 165.8 79.0 139.0 3.13 1.34 0.14 176.5 178.3 81.0 145.3 3.56 1.62 0.17 162.98 146.58 77.06 2.62 1.01 0.14 156.3 142.0 81.3 126.5 2.45 0.87 0.19 171.3 156.8 81.8 136.6 3.00 1.14 0.19 196.3 190.8 83.8 156.9 4.87 1.95 0.22 184.3 172.8 82.8 7 3.67 1.46 0.23 10.05 4.21 6.68 2.94 0.269<!--</td--><td> 1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut Mean 1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut</td></td></t<>	1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut Mean 1st cut 2nd cut 139.5 106.8 72.0 106.1 1.52 0.41 158.3 131.5 75.0 121.6 2.22 0.71 168.3 150.5 78.3 132.4 2.66 0.96 172.3 165.8 79.0 139.0 3.13 1.34 176.5 178.3 81.0 145.3 3.56 1.62 162.98 146.58 77.06 2.62 1.01 145.8 126.3 73.8 115.3 1.93 0.58 156.3 142.0 81.3 126.5 2.45 0.87 171.3 156.8 81.8 136.6 3.00 1.14 196.3 190.8 83.8 156.9 4.87 1.95 184.3 172.8 82.8 7 3.67 1.46 170.8 157.74 80.7 3.18 1.20 10.05 4.21 6.68	1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut Mean 1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut 139.5 106.8 72.0 106.1 1.52 0.41 0.12 158.3 131.5 75.0 121.6 2.22 0.71 0.13 168.3 150.5 78.3 132.4 2.66 0.96 0.13 172.3 165.8 79.0 139.0 3.13 1.34 0.14 176.5 178.3 81.0 145.3 3.56 1.62 0.17 162.98 146.58 77.06 2.62 1.01 0.14 156.3 142.0 81.3 126.5 2.45 0.87 0.19 171.3 156.8 81.8 136.6 3.00 1.14 0.19 196.3 190.8 83.8 156.9 4.87 1.95 0.22 184.3 172.8 82.8 7 3.67 1.46 0.23 10.05 4.21 6.68 2.94 0.269 </td <td> 1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut Mean 1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut</td>	1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut Mean 1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut

Effect of Azospirillum inoculant in combination with N fertilizer on crude protein and N uptake in Giza 15 ble 4: variety of sorghum, 1998 season.

Treatment Plant crude protein yield (%) N uptake by plant, mg/plant 1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut Mean 1st cut 2nd cut 3rd cut Mean o Azospirillum treatment kg N fed (zero % N) 7.02 6.39 6.65 6.69 18 5 8.0 + 5 kg N/fed. (25 % N) 8.39 7.43 6.79 7.54 32 9 1 14.0) + 10 kg N/fed. (50 % N) 8.49 8.35 7.67 8.17 39 14 2 18.3) + 10 kg N/fed. (75 % N, 9.01 8.72 8.47 8.73 48 20 2 23.3) + 10 kg N/fed. (100 % N) 10.09 9.77 9.03 9.63 61 27 3 30.3 Mean 8.60 8.13 7.72 39.6 15.0 1.8 zospirillum treatment kg N fed (zero % N) 10.69 9.09 8.56 9.45 35 3 15.7 + 5 kg N/fed. (25 % N) 11.36 9.77 9.29 10.14 48 15 3 22.0 + 10 kg N/fed. (50 % N) 12.40 9.99 10.10 10.83 64 19 3 28.7 + 10 kg N/fed. (75 % N) 15.02 13.11 11.77 13.30 125 44 5 58.0 + 10 kg N/fed. (100 % N) 13.82 11.91 10.96 12.23 87 30 4 40.3 Mean 12.66 10.77 10.14 71.8 23.4 3.6 Nitrogen (N) L.S.D. (0.05)0.183 0.261 0.244 6.21 2.72 0.239 (0.01)0.248 0.355 0.331 8.43 3.69 0.325 Inoculation (I) L.S.D.

0.299

0.549

0.369

0.502

0.267

0.491

0.345

0.468

0.053

0.096

0.259

0.351

(0.05)

(0.01)

(0.05)

(0.01)

(N) x (I) L.S.D.

2.33

4.28

3.85

5.22

0.183

0.335

0.339

0.460

6.93

12.72

8.79

11.92

Hammouda and Afify: Sorghum, rice crops, C₄, C₃, Azospirillum, N-fertilization

Table 5: Effect of *Azospirillum* inoculant in combination with N fertilizer on plant height, tillers number and panicle length in Giza 176 variety of rice, 1997 season.

6 varie	ty of rice	, 1997					
Plar	nt height	(cm)	!	No. of tillers/	hill hill	Pan	icle
60 th	120 th	Mean	Total	Productive	Mean	leng	yth
day	day					(01	<u>m)</u>
79	101.0	90.0	22	16	19.0		
79	109.5	94.25	22	21	21.5		3.5
80	109.5	94.75	25	23	24.0		3.4
85	111.5	98.25	30	25	27.5		0.0
87	112.0	99.50	31	25	28.0		1.5
82	108.7		26	22		17	7.9
84	108.5	96.25	27	21	24.0		7.2
86	109.0	97.50	29	25	27.0		3.0
89	112.5	100.75	33	28	30.5	l	0.2
92	116.5	104.25	46	33			1.5
88	115.0	101.50	42	30	36.0).9
87.8	112.3		35.4	27.4		19	9.6
05)	2.	74	1.9	1			0.77
01)	3.	71	2.5	9			1.05
05)	1.	.90	1.8	4	3.54		0.72
01)	3.	49	3.3	9	4.12		1.32
05)	N	.S.	2.6	9	3.26	1.94	N.S.
01)		-	3.6	6	4.42	-	-
	Plar 60 th day 79 79 80 85 87 82 84 86 89 92 88	Plant height 60th 120th day day 79 101.0 79 109.5 80 109.5 85 111.5 87 112.0 82 108.7 84 108.5 86 109.0 89 112.5 92 116.5 88 115.0 87.8 112.3 05) 2.01) 3.05) 1.01) 3.05) N	Plant height (cm)	60th day 120th day Mean day Total day 79 101.0 90.0 22 79 109.5 94.25 22 80 109.5 94.75 25 85 111.5 98.25 30 87 112.0 99.50 31 82 108.7 26 84 108.5 96.25 27 86 109.0 97.50 29 89 112.5 100.75 33 92 116.5 104.25 46 88 115.0 101.50 42 87.8 112.3 35.4 05) 2.74 1.9 01) 3.71 2.5 01) 3.49 3.3 05) N.S. 2.6	Plant height (cm)	Plant height (cm)	Plant height (cm)

Table 6: Effect of *Azospirillum* inoculant in combination with N fertilizer on yield and yield components in Giza 176 variety of rice, 1997 season.

Treatment	Grain yield (g/hill)	Straw yield (g/hill)	Grain crude protein yield (%)	N uptake by grain (mg/hill)	N uptake by straw (mg/hill)	Total N- uptake (mg/plant)
No Azospirillum treatment						
0 kg N fed (zero % N)	29.54	26.16	3.12	155	105	14.46
5 + 5 kg N/fed. (25 % N)	33.26	31.71	3.41	191	130	15.00
10 + 10 kg N/fed. (50 % N)	38.91	34.12	3.41	223	151	15.73
20 + 10 kg N/fed. (75 % N)	50.16	47.49	4.66	424	288	24.11
30 + 10 kg N/fed. (100 % N)	55.89	47.96	5.03	438	298	26.56
Mean	41.55	37.49	3.93	286.2	194.4	19.17
Azospirillum treatment						•
0 kg N fed (zero % N)	41.81	36.58	3.40	239	160	17.31
5 + 5 kg N/fed. (25 % N)	44.06	38.13	3.67	272	182	17.16
10 + 10 kg N/fed. (50 % N)	49.60	39.40	5.20	434	291	24.32
20 + 10 kg N/fed. (75 % N)	65.02	57.66	5.75	628	421	28.18
30 + 10 kg N/fed. (100 % N)	58.83	56.27	4.95	489	328	27.13
Mean	51.86	45.61	4.59	412.4	276.4	22.82
	-					
Nitrogen (N) L.S.D.	(0.05)	2.79	2.33	0.031	21.45	2.41
	(0.01)	3.79	3.16	0.042	29.10	3.27
Inoculation (I) L.S.D.	(0.05)	0.68	2.13	0.014	15.16	0.449
	(0.01)	1.25	3.91	0.026	27.85	0.826
$(N) \times (I) L.S.D.$	(0.05)	3.95	N.S.	0.044	30.34	3.41
	(0.01)	5.36	-	0.059	41.16	4.62

Hammouda and Afify: Sorghum, rice crops, C₄, C₃, Azospirillum, N-fertilization

Fable 7: Effect of Azospirillum inoculant in combination with N fertilizer on plant height, tillers number and panicle length in Giza 176 variety of rice, 1998 season.

Treatment		nt height	t (cm)		No. of tiller	Panicle	
	60 th day	120 th day	Mean	Total	Productive		length (cm)
lo Azospirillum treatment					<u> </u>		(CIII)
kg N fed (zero % N) + 5 kg N/fed. (25 % N) 0 + 10 kg N/fed. (50 % N)	79.0 83.8 86.5	90.3 97.8 101.0	84.65 90.80 93.75	20 22 27	20 22 27	20 22 27	18.67 18.83 18.90
0 + 10 kg N/fed. (75 % N) 0 + 10 kg N/fed. (100 % N)	88.3 90.3	104.0 105.3	96.15 97.80	29 30	29 30	29 30	18.96 20.20
Mean zospirillum treatment	85.58	99.68		25.6	25.6		19.11
kg N fed (zero % N)	100.0					,	
+ 5 kg N/fed. (25 % N)	90.8	96.0	93.4	23	23	23	19.09
	92.5	97.5	95.0	26	26	26	20.54
) + 10 kg N/fed. (50 % N)	93.3	103.3	98.3	28	.28	28	21.33
) + 10 kg N/fed. (75 % N)	97.3	106.0	101.7	34	34	34	21.74
) + 10 kg N/fed. (100 % N)	94.8	104.0	99.4	31	31	31	21.64
Mean	93.74	101.4		28.4	28.4		20.87
	05)	1.06	3.21		1.46	1.49	0.92
(0.0	,	1.43	4.36		1.97	2.02	1.25
Inoculation (I) L.S.D. (0.6	05)	1.17	N.S.		1.84	2.13	1.24
(0.0))1)	2.15			_	_	
(N) x (I) L.S.D., (0.0 (0.0	-	1.49 2.03	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.

able 8: Effect of *Azospirillum* inoculant in combination with N fertilizer on yield and yield components in Giza 176 variety of rice, 1998 season.

	1	T .	N uptake	N uptake	1	Total N-
1 -	4	crude	by grain	by straw	İ	uptake
(g/hill)	(g/hill)	protein	(mg/hill)	(mg/hill)	1	(mg/plant)
		yield (%)	<u> </u>			3.1,
27.3	28.8	3.40	156	106	T	12.10
32.4	29.1	1	1	I		13.10
41.5	32,2		1	4		14.30
47.3	49.7		1		ſ	15.45
59.5	52.6	1	1	1		19.31
41.6	38.48		 		 -	26.10
				100.2		17.65
30.4	38.7	4.01	205	107		
			1	1	1	14.87
		1		i		15.16
1 1			1		l	29.25
1 1				1	1	27.03
+ -						26.26
				·	<u> </u>	22.51
•					2.58	
-				23.69	3.49	
•				11.34	1.16	
-			0.021	20.82	2.13	
05)			0.025	24.70	3.64	
	4.26	1.28	0.034	33.51	4.94	
	32.4 41.5 47.3 59.5	yield (g/hill) 27.3 28.8 32.4 29.1 41.5 32.2 47.3 49.7 59.5 52.6 41.6 38.48 30.4 38.7 34.8 47.3 49.2 49.8 65.2 60.0 59.4 57.9 47.8 50.74 05) 2.22 01) 3.01 05) 2.11 01) 3.88 05) 3.14	yield (g/hill) yield (g/hill) crude protein yield (%) 27.3 28.8 3.40 32.4 29.1 3.44 41.5 32.2 3.55 47.3 49.7 4.18 59.5 52.6 4.66 41.6 38.48 3.85 30.4 38.7 4.01 34.8 47.3 4.02 49.2 49.8 5.94 65.2 60.0 5.02 59.4 57.9 4.89 47.8 50.74 4.78 05) 2.22 0.67 01) 3.01 0.91 05) 2.11 0.85 01) 3.88 1.56 05) 3.14 0.95	yield (g/hill) yield (g/hill) crude protein yield (%) by grain (mg/hill) 27.3 28.8 3.40 156 32.4 29.1 3.44 187 41.5 32.2 3.55 248 47.3 49.7 4.18 333 59.5 52.6 4.66 466 41.6 38.48 3.85 278 30.4 38.7 4.01 205 34.8 47.3 4.02 235 49.2 49.8 5.94 491 65.2 60.0 5.02 550 59.4 57.9 4.89 488 47.8 50.74 4.78 393.8 05) 2.22 0.67 0.018 05) 2.11 0.85 0.011 01) 3.88 1.56 0.021 05) 3.14 0.95 0.025	yield (g/hill) yield (g/hill) crude protein yield (%) by grain (mg/hill) by straw (mg/hill) 27.3 28.8 3.40 156 106 32.4 29.1 3.44 187 127 41.5 32.2 3.55 248 169 47.3 49.7 4.18 333 227 59.5 52.6 4.66 466 317 41.6 38.48 3.85 278 189.2 30.4 38.7 4.01 205 137 34.8 47.3 4.02 235 159 49.2 49.8 5.94 491 328 65.2 60.0 5.02 550 369 59.4 57.9 4.89 488 326 47.8 50.74 4.78 393.8 263.8 05) 2.22 0.67 0.018 17.47 01) 3.01 0.91 0.024 23.69 05) 2.11	yield (g/hill) yield (g/hill) crude protein yield (%) by grain (mg/hill) by straw (mg/hill) 27.3 28.8 3.40 156 106 32.4 29.1 3.44 187 127 41.5 32.2 3.55 248 169 47.3 49.7 4.18 333 227 59.5 52.6 4.66 466 317 41.6 38.48 3.85 278 189.2 30.4 38.7 4.01 205 137 34.8 47.3 4.02 235 159 49.2 49.8 5.94 491 328 65.2 60.0 5.02 550 369 59.4 57.9 4.89 488 326 47.8 50.74 4.78 393.8 263.8 05) 2.22 0.67 0.018 17.47 2.58 01) 3.01 0.91 0.024 23.69 3.49

Table 9: Effect of Azospirillum inoculation and N-fertilization on Azospirillum spp. population in rhizosphere (S) and root surface (R) soils of sorghum (C_4) and rice (C_3) plants (averaged over seasons).

. <u></u>	root surfa	ice (R) soils of so	orghum (C	and rice (C	3) plants (averag	jed over scas	R/S
Treatment (kg N/fed.)	DIA			0²/g dry soil) Roc	t surface soil (R	ratio	
	Inoculated	Rhizosphere soil (S)		Inoculated	Uninoculated	Mean	
Sorghum (C)				10.0	21.4	1.98
0 10 20 30 40 Mean	18 32 32 376 2360 563.6	3.5 18 25 32 376 90.9	10.8 25 28.5 204 1368 327.3	32 376 2360 11000 18000 6353.6	10.8 25 376 2360 9360 2426.4	21.4 200.5 1368 6680 13680 4389.9	8.02 48.0 32.8 10.0
0 10 20 30 40	369 720 720 720 720 2360	3.5 3.5 17.8 376 376	186 362 369 548 1368	2360 2860 7860 9360 11500	4.3 25 376 376 2360	1182 1443 4118 4868 6930	6.35 3.99 11.16 8.88 5.07
Mean	977.8	155.4	566.6	6788.0	628.3	3708.2	

However, these results are supposedly attributable to the synergetic effects due to the association of plant with Azospirillum lipoferum which play an important role in the superiority of N uptake by Azospirillum inoculated plants. At first hand, the findings of Martin et al. (1989) that, the application of Azospirillum brasilense onto the roots of young wheat plants grown in soil increased the number of lateral roots, the total root length and the number of root hairs, a similar results were obtained after application of IAA. This suggest that IAA is an important factor responsible for the effects observed after inoculation with A. brasilense and that the increase in root surface may improve acquisition of nutrients and enhance growth of plants.

At second hand, the observations of Heulin et al. (1987) that, the A. lipoferum and A. brasilense colonized in the rhizosphere of rice caused an increase in exudations, + 36 and +17 per cent, respectively compared with sterile control. Similarly, Okon and Kapulnik (1986), stated that inoculation of several cultivars of wheat, maize, sorghum and Setaria italica with Azospirillum caused morphological changes in root starting immediately after germination. Root length, and surface area were differentially affected according to bacterial age and inoculum level. They also reported that the number of root hairs, root hair branches and lateral roots were increased by inoculation. They also found that the rate of NO 3, K and H2PO 4 uptake was greater in inoculated seedlings and in the field, dry matter, N, P and K accumulated at faster rates, and water content was higher in Azospirillum-inoculated maize, sorghum, wheat and S. italica.

However, concerning that remarkable effect of N fertilization on such ratio, these results indicated that raising N application level up to 30 kg/fed. increased the N uptake of the *Azospirillum*-inoculated plants under the

conditions of this study. With respect to the influence of N levels on N uptake, it was found that N application resulted in a significant increase which continued till 40 kg/fed. in the case of uninoculated treatments and till 30 kg/fed. in the case of *Azospirillum lipoferum*- inoculated ones either by sorghum or rice, plant.

Regarding sorghum plant (Tables 2 and 4), the increase in the amounts of N uptake due to mineral N addition reach, 1.35, 1.57, 1.87 and 2.27 times that in the control treatments for 10, 20, 30 and 40 kg N/fed., respectively. The corresponding values of these were 1.06, 1.13, 1.58 and 1.91 times, respectively by rice plant (Tables 6 and 8). Concerning plant and/or grain crude protein yield in both plants, results behaved a similar trend like that of N uptake. Thus, our findings indicated the possibility of saving nitrogen fertilizer to the extent 10 kg N/fed. without effecting the accumulative dry matter and/or grain yield of forage sorghum and rice crop by the application of Azospirillum lipoferum inoculant. The economics of the results showed that bacterial inoculation of both different cereal crops with A. liporferum in combination with reduced N fertilizer level was profitable. The response of sorghum with reduced level of N fertilizer (30 kg N/fed.) in combination with Azospirillum inoculation was however, better than rice plant.

Population of *Azospirillum* spp. in rhizosphere and root surface soils: The results of the study made on the influence of bacterial inoculation in combination with different levels of N fertilization on *Azospirillum* spp. populations at harvest stage in both rhizosphere and root surface soils of the two physiologically different plants are presented in Table 9. The changes in populations of these N₂-fixing bacteria were clearly noted. The results revealed that the population was responded well to the inoculation

The MPN of Azospirillum spp. in rhizosphere soil of each plant species averaged over sampling seasons and plant species as well as N fertilizer levels was highest in the inoculated treatment to the extent 6.3 times more than that of uninoculated one (Table 9). Regarding the root surface soil, the MPN amounted 10.8 and 2.6 times of those for sorghum and rice uninoculated plants. Hence, the results of the present study suggest that root exudations, generally play an important role in the colonization of the rhizosphere and root surface region by *Azospirillum* spp. The observations of Balandreau (1975) Baldani et al. (1986) and Martin et al. (1989) that, the extra nitrogenase activity and more establishment of inoculated Azospirillum spp. in the rhizosphere soil and roots of several grasses which are roughly proportional to the concentration of the exuded photosynthates and definitely to the microbial population density and nitrogen fixing microorganisms in particular, supports such a possibility.

Concerning the N fertilizer effect, the changes in population of Azospirillum spp., irrespective of the soil sampling site, were in agreement with changes in levels of N fertilizer giving the maximum mean values with the highest level of N for both plant species. Between the C_4 (sorghum) and C_3 (rice) plants, the rhizosphere and/or the root surface soil effect on MPN of Azospirillum spp. is moderately pronounced. In general, the sorghum plant exhibited greater number than rice. Irrespective of N fertilizer level and soils sampling site, the sorghum plant recorded on an average 47.2×10^4 cells/g dry soil, while the MPN of Azospirillum spp. bacteria amounted only 42.7×10^4 cells/g of dry soil of rice plant (Table 9).

This considerable increase could be attributed to that the sorghum posses the C_4 dicarboxylic acid pathway of photosynthesis, less carbohydrate is consumed in photorespiration, and thus more may be available for root exudation to enhance the growth and changes in rhizosphere and root surface microflora including nitrogen fixing bacteria in particular. That the production of photosynthate by plant is responsible for supporting growth and activity by free-living N_2 fixers in many rhizosphere and phyllosphere systems has been reported earlier by Balandreau *et al.* (1975). Changes in plant genome are known to bring about changes in rhizosphere microflora (Dobereiner and Campelo, 1971; Hatch *et al.*,1971 and Zelitch, 1971).

References

- Balandreau, J., 1975. Mesure de I, active nitrogenasique de microorganismes fixateurs libres d'azote de la rhizosphere equiques graminees. Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol., 12: 273-290.
- Blandreau, J., G. Rinaudo, I. Faris Hamad and Y. Dommergues, 1975. In "Nitrogen Fixation by Free-Living Microorganisms" W.D.P. stewart, Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

- Baldani, V.L.D., M.A. de B. Alvarez, J.I. Baldani and J. Dobereiner, 1986. Establishment of inoculated *Azospirillum* spp. in the rhizosphere and in roots of field grown wheat and sorghum. Plant and Soil, 90: 35-46.
- Black, C.C. Jr., 1973. Photosynthesis carbon fixation in relation to net $\rm O_2$ uptake. ann. Rev. Plant Physiol., 24: 283-286.
- Chapman, H.D. and F.P. Parker, 1981. Methods of Analysis of Soil, Plant and Water. Univ. of California, August 1981, 2nd Printing.
- Dart, P.J., 1976. Non symbiotic nitrogen fixation in soil. In: Soil Microbiology, Ed., N. Waker, Butterworths, London, pp. 225-252.
- Dobereiner, J., 1980. Forage grasses and grain crops. In: Methods for Evaluation of Biological Nitrogen Fixation. Bergersen, F.J. (ed.) John Wiley and Sons, pp. 535-556.
- Dobereiner, J. and A.B. Campelo, 1971. In: "Biological Nitrogen Fixation in Natural and Agricultural Habitats," T.A. Lie and E.G. Mulder, Eds Martinus Nijhoff, the Hague, 1971, pp: 457.
- Dobereiner, J. and J.M. Day, 1974. Associative symbiosis in tropical grasses: characterization of microorganisms and dinitrogen fixation sites. International Symp. on Nitrogen fixation, Pullman, Washington, U.S.A.
- Hammouda, F.M. and M.I. Zidan, 1991. Yield and yield components of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as affected by bacterization and N fertilizer. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 69: 1991.
- Hardy, R.W.F., R.C. Burns and R.D. Holsten, 1973. Application of the acetylene ethylene reduction assay for measurement of nitrogen fixation. soil Biol. Biochem., 5: 47-81.
- Hatch, M.D., C.B. Osmond and R.O. Slatyer, 1971. Photosynthesis and photorespiration," Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1971.
- Heulin, T., A. Guckert and J.B. Balandreau, 1987. Stimulation of root exudation of rice seedlings by Azospirillum strains: Carbon budget under gnotobiotic conditions. biology and Fertility of Soils, 4: 9-14.
- ICRISAT (International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics) 1984. Response to inoculation with nitrogen fixing bacteria. Patancheru, A.P. 502324, India ICRISAT. 38 pp.
- Kipe-Nolt, J.A., U.K. Avalakki and P.J. Dort, 1985. Root exudation of sorghum and utilization of exudates by nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Soil Biol. and Biochem., 17: 859-863.
- Knowles, R., 1974. Factors Affecting Dinitrogen Fixation by Bacteria in Natural and Agricultural Systems. In: W.E. Newton and C.J. Nyman, eds. Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on Nitrogen-Fixation. Vol. 2, Pullman, Washington, U.S. 1974. Washington State University Press. 539-555.

- Martin, P., A. Glatzle, W. Kolb, H. Omay and W. Schmidt, 1989. N₂ fixing bacteria in the rhizosphere: quantification and hormonal effects on root development. Zeitschrift fur Pflanzenernahrung und Bodenkunde, 152: 237-245.
- Martinez-Toledo, M.V.; T. de la Rubia and J.L. Gonzalez, 1988. Root exudates of *Zea mays* and the acetylene-reduction activity of *Azotobacter chroococcum*. Soil Biology and Biochem., 20: 961-962.
- Okon, Y. and Y. Kapulnik, 1986. Development and function of Azospirillum-inoculated roots. Plant and Soil, 90: 3-16.
- Paul, E.A., R.J.K. Myers and W.A. Rice, 1971. Nitrogen fixation in grassland and associated cultivated ecosystems. Pl. Soil (special volume), 495-507.
- Purushothaman, D., C. Kasirajan and G. Rangaswami, 1976. Nitrogen fixation by *Azotobacter* occurring in the rhizosphere of C₄ plant species. Curr. Sci., 45:807-809.
- Raju, P.N., H.J. Evans and R.J. Seildler, 1972. An asymbiotic nitrogen fixing bacterium from the root environment of Corn. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, 69: 3474-3478.
- Rangaswami, G., 1975. Bacterial fertilizer prospects and limitations. Proc. FAI-FAO Seminar on Optimizing Agric. Production under Limited Availability of Fertilizers. New Delhi, pp. 453-458.

- Sanathanakrishna, P. and G. Oblisami, 1980. The Response of Maize and Sorghum to Azotobacter Inoculants page 95-97. In: Aspects of Biological Nitrogen Fixation No. 28. Univ. Agricultural Sciences, Hebbal, Bangalore, India.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, 1967. Statistical methods, 6th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press. Ames., Iowa.
- Subba Rao, N.S., 1981. Response of crops of Azospirillum inoculation in India. Associative N₂-fixation. Volume 1 (edited by Vose, P.B., Ruschel, A.P.). 1981, 137-144.
- Vincent, J.M., 1970. A Manual for the Practical Study of Root Nodule Bacteria, IBP Handbook No. 15, Blackwell Sci. Publications, Oxford and Edinburgh. 58-72.
- Wani, S.P., S. Chandvapalaiah and P.J. Dart, 1985. Response of pearl millet cultivars to inoculation with nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Experimental Agriculture 21: 175-182.
- Wani, S.P., 1986. Nitrogen fixation associated with cereals and forage grasses. Page227-240 in Soil biology: Proceedings of the National Symposium on Current Trends in Soil Biology, 25-27 Feb. 1985, Hisar, Haryana, India. Hisar, Haryana, India: Haryana Agricultural University (C.P. 229).
- Zelitch, I., 1971. "Photosynthesis, Photorespiration, and Plant Productivity," Academic Press, New York, 1971.

APPEAL

PJBS appeal to the investigators/contributors to follow the format after carefully going through the "Instructions to Authors" appearing in the journal.

This would facilitate processing of the articles.

The spread of PJBS in recent time has been highlighted.

Our experience contributes to your success.