http://www.pjbs.org



ISSN 1028-8880

Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences

ANSIMet

Asian Network for Scientific Information 308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

Morphology of Litchi Fruit as Effected by Exposure to Sunlight and Fruit Orientation

Naheed Akhtar Sayal, Obaid Ullah Sayal and Shakeel Ahmad Jatoi Faculty of Agriculture, Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan, 29050, Pakistan

Abstract

To study the quality of Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* sonn.) fruit as affected by exposure to sunlight and fruit orientation on tree, five cultivars viz; Purbi, Bedana, Bombai, Serai and Gola were collected. Fruits of each cultivar were picked freedown, unexposed, upper half and lower half portions of the trees. The unexposed fruits were found heavier in well stone, peel and pulp. The fruits at Lower half were found heavy in weight, pericarp and flesh. However, stone weight found to be more in fruits collected from upper half portion of the tree. As unexposed fruits were massive therefore, advisable to pick these fruits first if chemical parameters are not included in selection. Hence it is concluded that pick should be started from those fruits which are positioned at the lower half portion of the tree in order to collect fruit superior quality first.

Introduction

The production of better quality fruit is of paramount significance to attract the consumer preference. The position of fruit and aspect of the fruit on a particular tree has considerable influence on the quantity and quality of fruit. Amongst these exposure to sunlight and orientation of the fruit on the tree play a marvelous role in influencing the quality of the fruit. The aspect, exposure and height by influencing the light intensity, heat or solar interception, reception, aeration etc may help in improving the photosynthetic activities of plant and thereby the yield potential and ultimately the quality of the fruit. The significance of the effect of exposure as well as fruit orientation on the quality of Citrus for various parameters, under specific agro-climatic conditions and its possible use in horticultural crops has been advocated by many workers like Ghosh and Mitra (1990), Underhill and Wong (1990), Mitchell et al. (1992), Badiyala (1993), Rehman et al. (1982), Rehman et al. (1984) Chaitrakulsub et al. (1988) and Ray and Munshi (1990). As the information regarding the orientation of fruit on the tree and exposure to sunlight on the quality of fruit are lacking relating to this fruit. Therefore, the present investigation was initiated to study the physical characteristics of fruit of different cultivars of litchi grown under the agro-climatic conditions of D.I.Khan and also to know the effect of exposure to sunlight and position of the fruit on the tree on the quality of litchi fruits.

Materials and Methods

The investigation to figure out the effect of exposer to sunlight and orientation on the quality of litchi fruit was conducted during the year 1995. The fruits of five cultivars of litchi viz. Purbi, Bedana, Bombai, Serai and Gola were collected from the orchard of Fruit and Vegetable Development Board, Dera Ismail Khan. Trees of same size and age (about ten year old) were selected for the study. The fruits of each cultivar were picked from the exposed,

unexposed as well as upper half and lower half position the same tree. Data on various parameters were record and analysed statistically using computer program (MSTATC) for the design management and analyprescribed research (Bricker, 1991).

Results and Discussion

Exposure to sunlight

Average fruit weight (g): The fruits of the variety Seraiv heaviest among the varieties studied which was follow by Gola. Whereas the lowest fruit weight was observe Bombai. The effect of exposure to sunlight was fo highly significant. The weight of unexposed fruits comparatively higher than exposed fruits. The interad among varieties and aspect was also significant. The of Serai possessed greater weight when its fruit unexposed to sunlight (Table 1). Average fruit weigh unexposed fruits of all varieties tested was greater. exposure to sunlight had negative effect on fruit weight greater weight of fruits which were unexposed. Higher weight under unexposed condition in citrus fruit was observed by Khalil *et al.* (1978), Rehman *et al.* (1984) Randhawa (1945) reported lower fruit weight a unexposed condition. These differences might be di environment and or varieties.

Peel/Pericarp weight (g): Variety Serai ranked first to pericarp weight as its average peel weight was 3.15 g. variety ranked second with the average pericarp w. 2.19 g. Minimum peel weight was noted in variety Bor The results indicated that pericarp weight of unexp fruit was comparatively greater. Similarly interarevealed that Serai has greater peel weight when its was unexposed (Table 1). The peel or pericarp weight also greater when the fruit of litchi was not expossunlight. Interaction studies revealed that variety Ser greater pericarp weight under unexposed condition.

Sayal et al.: Litchi, exposure, growth, orientation, Pakistan

Table 1: Average fruit weight as affected by exposure to sunlight and orientation.

Vanities	Exposure			Orientation		
	Exposed	Unexposed	Mean	 U-half	L-half	Mana
Average fruit weight	·			O Harr	L-Hall	Mean
Purbi	12.38	12.58	12.48c	12.61	11.78	10.00
Bedana	11.61	11.74	11.68d	11.42	16.10	12.20c
Bomabi	11.42	11.44	11.43e	16.78		13.76b
Serai	17.36	17.45	17.41a	11.74	12.80	14.58b
Gola	16.71	16.82	16.76b	17.36	11.41	11.57c
Mean	13.89b	14.01a		13.98a	16.67 13.66a	17.02a
Peel/pericarp weight						•
Purbi	1.35	1.41	1.35d	1.37	1.42	1 10 1
Bedana	1.43	1.43	1.43c	1.33	3.16	1.40d
Bomabi	1.31	1.32	1.31e	2.20	1.37	2.24b
Serai	3.14	3.17	3.15a	1.44	1.32	1.78c
Gola ··	2.17	2.21	2.19b	3.16	2.17	1.38d
Mean	, 1.88a	1.91a		1.89a	1,88a	2.66a
Stone weight						
Purbi	3.74	3.76	3.75b	3.74	3.36	
Bedana	3.44	3.36	3.40d	2.88	4.05	3.55c
Bomabi	2.87	2.89	2.88e	3.57	3.75	3.46d
Serai	4.05	4.01	4.03a	3.37	2.89	3.66b
Gola	3.54	3.68	3.57c	4.01		3.13e
Mean	3.53a	3.52a	0.070	3.51a	3.56 3.52a	3.79a
Pulp/flesh weight						
Purbi	7.50	7.45	7.48b	7.61	0.00	
Bedana	6.95	7.98	7.47b	7.61 7.23	6.99	7.30d
Bomabi	7.21	7.22	7.47b 7.22b		10.22	8.72c
Serai	10.16	10.27	10.22a	11.02	7.19	9.10d
Gola	10.69	10.01	10.22a 10.88a	6.95	7.20	7.07e
Mean	8.50a	8.79a	10.004	10.21	11.00	10.61a
Mean followed by similar			-1 D -10 05	8.59a	8.51a	

Hean followed by similar letter do not differ significantly at P<0.05.

stone weight (g): Perusal of Table 1 revealed that maximum stone weight was observed in Serai, followed by Purbi. Wean values recorded for exposure factor did not differ ignificantly. The exposure showed that stone weight of exposed fruit was greater as compared to the fruits sostioned at northern side (unexposed) (Table 1). Study showed that exposure factor had no significant effect on tone weight. However stone weight was greater under posed condition. The interaction studies showed that energy Serai had heavy stone in its fruit when exposed to unlight.

In/flesh weight (g): Means observed for the pulp weight the significantly different for varieties (Table 1) and non-initicant for exposure and interaction. Greater amount of the was recorded in variety Gola as Serai which was at partificant Most of the varieties have the flesh weight with the more than 7.00 g. However, these varieties were not inficant. Results, indicated that exposure factor has non-

significant effect on the pulp/flesh weight. However, maximum pulp was noted in fruits which were exposed to sunlight. The pulp/flesh weight of the fruits when not exposed to sunlight was observed greater. But differences in their mean values were non-significant.

Orientation

Average weight (g): As for as the average weight of different cultivars is concerned variety Gola remained at the top followed by Bombai. The variety Bedana and Bombai did not differ significantly. Interaction studies showed that fruits positioned at the lower half had greater fruit weight. The fruit of variety Gola positioned at lower half had average fruit weight of 17.36 g. Whereas, the fruit of Bombai variety had weight when located at lower half portion of the tree. Results indicated that fruits positioned at lower half portion of the tree had greater average fruit weight against the fruit at upper half. These results are in conformity with the findings of Rehman et al. (1982) who

reported higher fruit weight in citrus fruits located at the lower half portion. However, Randhawa (1945) and Mustafa (1961) contradicted and concluded that orientation has no effect on the fruit weight. The difference in results might be due to changes in climatic conditions.

Peel/pericarp weight (g): Means for the peel/pericarp weight are presented in Table 1, which differ significantly. For variety factor, cultivar Gola contained the maximum pericarp weight and variety Bedana with pericarp ranked second for the same trait. The lowest peel weight was noted in variety Serai. It was observed from the means given in the Table 1 that fruit located at the lower half component of the tree had greater pericarp weight i.e. 13.98 g. It is clear from interaction study that The maximum pericarp weight was observed in variety Gola when its fruits were at lower half part of the tree. Peel or pericarp weight of litchi fruit was significantly affected by orientation. The average fruit peel was recorded greater when the fruits were located at the lower half portion of the tree.

Stone weight (g): Variety Gola showed higher stone weight with respect to other four varieties (Table 1). Next to Gola, higher stone weight was observed in Bombai variety. The interaction between the variety and orientation was significant. The results showed that fruits positioned at the upper half portion of the tree had the greater stone weight. Seed or stone weight of the fruits positioned at the upper half part of the tree was noted greater. These results do not coincide with the findings of Mustafa (1961) who observed no affect of orientation on the quality of mango fruits.

Pulp weight (g): It is evident from Table 1 that maximum pulp/flesh weight was recorded in variety Gola followed by Bombai. Significant effect of orientation was observed in fruit positioned at lower half with more pulp than the fruits at the upper half part of the tree. Best combinations (interaction) regarding pulp weight and orientation was observed in variety Bombai when its fruit oriented at lower half. The findings of this research revealed that the fruit located at the lower half region of the tree had comparatively greater pulp or flesh in their fruits as compared to fruits positioned at the upper half portion of the tree. These findings are similar to the findings of Van Horn (1936) whereas Mustafa (1961) found no effect of orientation on the fruit quality. Soil variation, fertility, varietal differences may be responsible for this contrast. It can be concluded that exposure to sunlight and its orientation on the tree have tremendous effect on the quality of the litchi fruit (Litchi chinensis Sonn.). Exposure reduced the fruit weight, peel weight, and also affected the pulp quantity in fruit but increased the stone weight. The fruit positioned at the upper half portion of the tree had heavy stone, and fruits at the lower half were heavy in weight, peel and pulp. Although unexposed fruits have

more pulp as well as fruit weight, but exposed fruits awar found to be reached other parameters. If other parameter i.e chemical aspects are given importance then expose fruits are advisable to pick first. It is also imperative to star picking from fruits positioned at the lower half a orientation influences most quality parameters. Further studies are suggested to see the effect of various picking dates on the quality of fruit to figure out optimular harvesting date for different cultivars of litchi.

References

Anonymous, 1993. Litchi ki Kasht. Zarat Nama, pp.15-1 Badiyala, S.D., 1993. Maturity standard for Muzaffarp litchi fruits. South. Ind. Hort., 41: 223-224.

Bricker, B., 1991. MSTATC; A microcomputer program for the design, arrangement and analysis of agronor research experiment. Michigan State University, USA

Chaitrakulsub, T., P. Chaidate and H. Gemma, 1988. Stu on fruit development of (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) v Hong-Huay. Japanese J. Trop. Agri., 32: 201-207.

Gosh, B. and S.K. Mitra, 1990. Effect of varying levels nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium on yield a quality of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cv. Bomb Haryana J. Hort. Sci., 19: 1-2.

Khalii, J.K., I. Ahmad, M. Saeed and N. Habib, 197 Variation in chemical composition of citrus fruit, different stages of maturity. J. Sci. & Tech., 2: 1-6.

Mitchell, G.E., R.L. McLauchlan, A.R. Isaacs, D.J. Williamd S.M. Nottingham, 1992. Effect of low do irradiation on composition of tropical fruits a vegetables. J. Food Comp. and Analysis, 5: 291-31

Mustafa, G., 1961. Some studies on the maturity a quality standards in mango fruit. M.Sc. Agri. The University of Punjab, Lahore.

Ray, D.P. and P.S. Munsi, 1990. A note on qualitate parameters and its association with leaf and survivents litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). Orissa J. Hot 18: 1-2.

Randhawa, G.S., 1945. Study of some morphologic growth characters in relation to bearing in Valancia Li variety of sweet orange., M.Sc. Agri. Thesis, University of Punjab, Lahore.

Rehman, S., I. Ahmad, A. Ghaffoor and A.K. Baloch, 19 Quality of sweet oranges (*Citrus sinensis*) as influent by the fruit orientation on the tree. Pak. J. Sci., 34: 70.

Rehman, S., A.K. Baloch and A. Ghaffoor, 1984. quality of sweet oranges (*Citrus sinensis*) as influen by exposure to sunlight. J. Pure and Applied Sci., 3:1

Underhill, S.J.R. and L.S. Wong, 1990. A maturity stand for lychee (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). Acta Horticultur 269: 181-187.