

<http://www.pjbs.org>

PJBS

ISSN 1028-8880

**Pakistan
Journal of Biological Sciences**

ANSI*net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

Changes in the Biological Effects of Gamma Irradiation with Gibberellic Acid in M₂ Generation of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

Muhammad R. Khan, Afsari S. Qureshi, ¹Syed A. Hussain and ¹Muhammad Ibrahim
Department of Biological Sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan
¹Federal Seed Certification and Registration Department Islamabad, Pakistan

Abstract: Seeds of 250 plants from M₁ generation of three chickpea genotypes viz, Noor 91 (white), Punjab 91 (brown) and C 141 (black) at 40, 50 and 60 Kr separately and with gibberellic acid (GA₃) along with control were grown to raise the M₂ generation. The effects on 100-seed weight, grain yield, biological yield, harvest index, days to flowering and maturity in M₂ generation were highly significant (p<0.01) within genotypes, treatments and also for their interaction. Statistically significant increase in 100-seed weight was observed with the combine treatment at 40 Kr while it was decreased at 60 Kr as compared with gamma irradiation. Grain yield was significantly increased with gamma irradiation however, stimulation was recorded with the application of GA₃. Biological yield was decreased while, harvest index was increased with both types of treatments. However, more harvest index was recorded with combine treatment. Days to flowering were increased at 40 and 60 Kr with gamma irradiation while, decreased at 40 and 50 Kr with the combine treatment. Days to maturity were decreased with both the treatments.

Key words: Gamma irradiation, gibberellic acid, modulation, yield, chickpea

Introduction

In recent years mutation breeding has gaining ground for inducing genetic changes and creation of new genetic resources (Awan, 1999). The improvement of a crop depends to a large extent on the genetic variability as it provides us the raw material for selection of better genotypes. Since, greater the genetic diversity in the base population, wider would be the scope of selection. The primary objectives of mutation breeding are to enhance mutation frequency, widen the mutation spectrum and realize directed mutagenesis. It is well established that mutagenic agents are effective for inducing genetical changes in treated population (Kasim *et al.*, 1977; Shakoor *et al.*, 1978a, 1978b; Kalia and Gupta, 1988a, 1988b).

Little natural variability is found in chickpea for conspicuous morphological and physiological characters. Several workers have attempted for induction of mutation using either physical or chemical mutagens for evolving new genotypes (Kharkwal *et al.*, 1988; Haq *et al.*, 1989; Hassan and Khan, 1991; Shamsuzzaman and Shaik, 1991). Radiation, therefore, appears to be a useful tool in plant breeding and genetics.

The extent of genetic variability is more important than the total variability. Gamma irradiation in combination with other chemical mutagens is applied for, widening the frequency and mutation spectrum for extra genetic variability. Gibberellic acid serves manifold growth related functions in plants by enhancing replication, transcription and different enzymatic systems. (Callebaut *et al.*, 1980; Uppal and Maherchandani, 1988; Zhebrak, 1989; Ali and Ansari, 1989; Arora *et al.*, 1989). Effect of gamma radiation is changed with the radio protective effect of gibberellic acid. It has been established that the impaired growth due to gamma irradiation can be restored by exogenous application of gibberellic acid. It may be possible that gibberellic acid may modulate the effects of gamma irradiation. It brightens the scope for increasing both the frequency and spectrum of mutation. There is a dearth of knowledge on the modulation of radiosensitivity with gibberellic acid for the segregating populations as only

study in M₁ generation was reported (Khan *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, it was planned to determine the effectiveness of gamma irradiation and efficiency of gibberellic acid to modulate the radio sensitivity for various yield characters.

Materials and Methods

Dry seeds were exposed to gamma irradiation at doses of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 90 and 110 Kr to 1000 seeds for each treatment in three genotypes at Nuclear Institute for Food and Agriculture (NIFA), Peshawar. On the basis of seedling performance doses of 40, 50 and 60 Kr were selected for inducing genetic variability on large scale. A part of the irradiated seeds after one hour of soaking under continuous aeration were subjected to 0.5 mM aqueous solution of gibberellic acid for 16 hours with constant shaking. Non irradiated seeds soaked in water were kept as control in the case. After treatment seeds were washed in running tap water and then were dried on blotting paper. Treated along with control seeds were sown in split plot design with three replications at Barani Agriculture Research Institute (BARI) Chakwal in 1995 to raise the M₁ generation. Seeds of 250 plants were separately collected and were sown in the next year in a split plot design to raise the M₂ generation while, from control population seeds were bulked. Data on 40 randomly selected plants was appropriately recorded for various characters.

Results and Discussion

In this generation various variations were obtained due to the genetical changes preserved in the plants of M₁ generation and lasting throughout the developmental period. These genetic variations are manifested as induced variants, which provides the raw material for selection of desirable genotypes for crop improvement and also to widen the germplasm pool. Data on various plant characters were recorded and expressed as follows.

100-Seed weight (g): The analysis of variance for the effect of different doses of gamma irradiation with and without the application of gibberellic acid on 100-seed

weight per plant in M₂ population of chickpea (Table 1) indicates highly significant ($p < 0.01$) variation within genotypes and treatments. Variety-treatment interaction was also highly significant ($p < 0.01$). It reflects that a marked variability is induced for this character across the different treatments. In the previous research, similar findings have also been reported by Sarma *et al.* (1991) in green gram. Charumathi *et al.* (1992) in black gram and Gupta *et al.* (1996) in horse gram. Maximum 100-seed weight 26.19 g per plant was observed in C141 (Table 2), followed by 25.72 and 25.37 g per plant in Punjab 91 and Noor 91, respectively.

100-seed weight was differentially responded to both mutagen treatments. Gamma irradiation decreased the 100-seed weight significantly ($p < 0.01$) at 60 Kr as compared to control. Application of gibberellic acid modulated the effects of gamma irradiation and 100-seed weight was increased at all irradiation dosages. There was significant ($p < 0.01$) increase in 100-seed weight at 40 Kr, while at 50 and 60 Kr doses the increase in 100-seed weight was non-significant as compared to control.

The varieties responded differentially for 100-seed weight to the two mutagenic treatments. In Noor 91 the 100-seed weight decreased non-significantly at all gamma irradiation dosages as compared to control. However, with the application of GA₃ 100-seed weight increased significantly ($p < 0.01$) at 40 Kr treatment. 100-seed weight in Punjab 91 increased non-significantly at 40 and 50 Kr while, it was decreased significantly ($p < 0.01$) at 60 Kr with gamma irradiation as compared to control. Application of gibberellic acid decreased the 100-seed weight at 40 and 60 Kr doses, while at 50 Kr treatment it was increased as compared to control. However, the decrease or increase in 100-seed weight was non-significant as compared to control. Gamma irradiation in C141 decreased the 100-seed weight non-significantly at 40 and 50 Kr but significantly ($p < 0.01$) at 60 Kr treatment as compared to control. 100-seed weight increased significantly ($p < 0.01$) with GA₃ at 40 and 60 Kr treatment, while the increase at 50 Kr was non-significant as compared to control.

Grain yield per plant (g): Highly significant difference was recorded within treatments and genotypes for the effect of different doses of gamma irradiation separately and with the application of gibberellic acid on grain yield per plant in M₂ population of chickpea. The interaction between genotype and treatment was also highly significant ($p < 0.01$). It reflects the highly inconsistent performance of genotypes for this character. Previously, with gamma irradiation similar observation have been reported by Sarma *et al.* (1991) and Charumathi *et al.* (1992). Maximum grain yield of 37.00 g per plant was observed in Punjab 91 (Table 2), followed by 25.42 and 25.21 g per plant in Noor 91 and C 141, respectively.

It is seen from the results that the grain yield increased significantly ($p < 0.01$) across the different mutagenic treatments of gamma irradiation and with gibberellic acid as compared to control. Gibberellic acid increased the grain yield by modulating the effects of gamma irradiation and maximum increase in grain yield was observed at 50 Kr treatment.

A differential response of varieties across the various mutagenic treatments was observed. In Noor 91 grain yield per plant increased significantly ($p < 0.01$) with both

mutagenic treatments. However, with the application of gibberellic acid significantly more grain yield was recorded at 40 and 50 Kr. Grain yield in Punjab 91 decreased significantly ($p < 0.01$) with gamma irradiation at 60 Kr treatment as compared to control. Application of gibberellic acid changed the effect of gamma irradiation and significant ($p < 0.01$) decrease and increase in grain yield was observed at 40 Kr and 50 Kr treatment, respectively as compared to control. In C141 gamma irradiation had non-significant effects on grain yield while, with gibberellic acid at 50 and 60 Kr significant ($p < 0.01$) increase was observed as compared to control.

Biological yield (g): The analysis of variance for the effect of different doses of gamma irradiation with and without the application of gibberellic acid on biological yield per plant in M₂ population of chickpea (Table 1) shows highly significant ($p < 0.01$) differences among genotypes and treatments as well as for their interaction. It indicates highly inconsistent performance of genotypes across various treatments. Genotype Punjab 91 exhibited the maximum biological yield 99.00 g per plant as compared with 97.94 and 89.21 g per plant in O141 and Noor 91, respectively (Table 2).

It is apparent from the results that the different mutagenic treatments decreased the biological yield significantly ($p < 0.01$) as compared to control. Application of gibberellic acid decreased the biological yield gradually with an increase in gamma irradiation dosages however, with gamma irradiation the response was inconsistent.

A differential response of genotypes for biological yield (Table 2) was observed across the different mutagenic treatments. In Noor 91 the biological yield decreased non-significantly with gamma irradiation while, significant ($p < 0.01$) decrease was observed with gibberellic acid at 50 and 60 Kr treatments as compared to control. Punjab 91 exhibited significant decrease in biological yield with gamma radiation at 40 and 60 Kr treatments. Whereas, a regular and non-significant decrease in biological yield was observed across the various treatments with gibberellic acid as compared to control. GA₃ treatment changed the effects of gamma irradiation and biological yield increased at 40 Kr. In C141 biological yield decreased significantly ($p < 0.01$) and consistently across the two mutagenic treatments as compared to control.

Harvest index (%): The analysis of variance for the effect of different doses of gamma radiation separately and with the treatment of gibberellic acid on harvest index per plant in M₂ population of chickpea (Table 1) indicates highly significant ($p < 0.01$) variation among the treatments and genotypes. Genotype-treatment interaction was also highly significant ($p < 0.01$). This indicates that genotypes responded differently for this character across the various treatments. Kalia and Gupta (1988b) reported sufficient variation in harvest index induced due to gamma irradiation in M₂ population of lentil.

Punjab 91 exhibited a maximum harvest index of 37.43% per plant, followed by 25.94% and 25.57 % per plant in C141 and Noor 91, respectively (Table 2).

It is evident from the results that the mutagenic treatment increased the harvest index significantly ($p < 0.01$) as compared to control, but the effects were inconsistent in

Khan *et al.*: Modulation of variability in chickpea

Table 1: Mean of squares for different characters of M₂ generation in chickpea genotypes

| Variables | D.F | 100-S Weight | Grain Yield | Biological Yield | Harvest Index | Days to Flowering | Days to Maturity |
|----------------|-----|--------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Replicates | 2 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 1.80 | 0.13 | 1.44 | 0.78 |
| Varieties (V) | 2 | 3.55** | 956.47** | 606.52** | 760.92** | 221.39** | 75.27** |
| Error a | 4 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.96 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 1.07 |
| Treatments (T) | 6 | 0.83** | 25.74** | 200.63** | 59.70** | 11.40** | 17.81** |
| T × V | 12 | 0.29** | 4.87** | 46.86** | 14.26** | 7.41** | 18.41** |
| Error b | 36 | 0.04 | 0.43 | 1.23 | 0.68 | 0.48 | 0.64 |
| Total | 62 | | | | | | |

**Highly significant at 0.01 probability level

Table 2: Effect of gamma irradiation separately and with gibberellic acid on various characters in M₂ generation of three chickpea genotypes

| Variables | Varieties | Control | Gamma irradiation | | | Gamma irradiation + GA ₃ (0.5 mM) | | | Mean |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|-----------|----------|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| | | | 40 Kr | 50 Kr | 60 Kr | 40 + GA ₃ | 50 + GA ₃ | 60 + GA ₃ | |
| 100-S Weight (1) | Noor 91 | 25.22 b | 25.10 b | 25.20 b | 25.07 b | 26.30 a | 25.36 b | 25.32 b | 25.37 c |
| | Punjab 91 | 25.82 ab | 25.95ad | 25.86 ab | 25.17 c | 25.70 ab | 26.00 a | 25.52 bc | 25.72 b |
| | C 141 | 26.03 b | 25.97 b | 26.07 b | 25.42 c | 26.67a | 26.40 ab | 26.75 a | 26.19 a |
| | Mean | 25.70 b | 25.67 b | 25.71 b | 25.22 c | 26.22 a | 25.92 b | 25.86 b | |
| Grain Yield (2) | Noor 91 | 19.80 d | 25.96 bc | 24.93 c | 26.45 b | 29.27 a | 26.76 b | 24.88 c | 25.42 b |
| | Punjab91 | 37.56 bc | 36.27 c | 37.50 bc | 34.60 d | 33.92 d | 41.26 a | 37.91 b | 37.00 a |
| | C 141 | 23.35 c | 24.42 bc | 24.63 bc | 24.04 bc | 24.75 bc | 29.50 a | 25.77 b | 25.21 b |
| | Mean | 26.90 d | 28.85 bc | 29.02 be | 28.36 c | 29.31 b | 32.50 a | 29.52 b | |
| Biological Yield (3) | Noor 91 | 94.71 a | 89.22 ab | 88.37 ab | 88.87 ab | 93.02 ab | 84.90 b | 85.37 b | 89.21 b |
| | Punjab91 | 105.48 a | 91.30 c | 101.60 a | 93.75 bc | 102.88 a | 100.57ab | 97.45abc | 99.00 a |
| | C 141 | 112.57 a | 98.77 b | 96.15 b | 92.75 bc | 99.20 b | 98.57 b | 87.58 c | 97.94 a |
| | Mean | 104.25 a | 93.10 cd | 95.37 bc | 91.80 c | 98.37 h | 94.68 bcd | 90.13 d | |
| Harvest Index (4) | Noor 91 | 20.90 c | 29.00 b | 28.22 b | 29.80 ab | 31.46 a | 31.50 a | 29.14 b | 25.57 b |
| | Punjab91 | 35.61 c | 39.72 ab | 36.90 c | 36.91c | 32.97d | 41.02 a | 38.90 b | 37.43 a |
| | C 141 | 21.06 c | 24.72 b | 25.60 b | 25.91 b | 24.95 b | 29.93 a | 29.43 a | 25.94 b |
| | Mean | 25.86 e | 31.15 c | 30.24 cd | 30.87 cd | 29.80 d | 34.15 a | 32.5 b | |
| Days to Flowering (5) | Noor 91 | 120.00 a | 120.50 a | 118.50 b | 120.00 a | 118.00 a | 118.50 b | 120.00 a | 119.35 b |
| | Punjab 91 | 114.50 a | 114.00 a | 115.00 a | 114.50 a | 114.00 a | 114.50a | 111.50b | 114.00c |
| | C 141 | 119.50b | 122.50a | 119.50b | 123.50a | 117.50c | 116.50c | 120.00b | 119.85a |
| | Mean | 118.00 b | 119.00 a | 117.6.6 b | 119.00a | 116.00 c | 116.50 c | 117.16 b | |
| Days to Maturity (6) | Noor 91 | 166.50 a | 165.00 a | 167.50 a | 164.00 a | 161.50 b | 164.00 a | 167.50 a | 165.14 c |
| | Punjab 91 | 169.50 a | 165.50bc | 168.50ab | 169.50 a | 164.50bc | 164.00c | 168.00 ab | 167.07b |
| | C 141 | 170.50 a | 172.50 a | 166.50bc | 169.50ab | 167.00bc | 171.50 a | 165.00 c | 168.92 a |
| | Mean | 168.83 a | 167.66ab | 167.50ab | 167.66ab | 164.33 c | 166.50 b | 166.83ab | |
| Sx varieties (V) | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| Sx treatments (T) | | 0.0436 | 0.0617 | 0.2138 | 0.1414 | 0.0218 | 0.2257 | | |
| Sx VXT | | 0.0666 | 0.2187 | 0.3696 | 0.2748 | 0.2309 | 0.2666 | | |
| | | 0.1154 | 0.3785 | 0.6.034 | 0.4760 | 0.4000 | 0.4618 | | |

the two treatments. Maximum harvest index of 34.15 per plant was observed with gibberellic acid at 50 Kr treatment against 25.86 per plant in control.

Varieties varied in their response to various treatments. In Noor 91 harvest index was increased significantly ($p < 0.01$) across all the mutagenic treatments as compared to control. However, significantly more harvest index was observed at 40 and 50 Kr with the application of gibberellic acid. In Punjab 91 harvest index increased non-significantly with gamma radiation except at 40 Kr. Application of gibberellic acid decreased the harvest index significantly ($p < 0.01$) at 40 Kr while, increased significantly ($p < 0.01$) at 50 and 60 Kr treatment as compared to control. C 141 exhibited a significant ($p < 0.01$) increase with both mutagenic treatments. However, significantly more harvest index was obtained at 50 and 60 Kr with the treatment of gibberellic acid.

Days to flowering: The analysis of variance for the effect of different doses of gamma irradiation with and without the application of gibberellic acid on days to 50% flowering in M₂ population of chickpea (Table 1) indicates highly significant ($p < 0.01$) differences within the genotypes and treatments. The interaction between genotype-treatment

was also highly significant ($p < 0.01$). It reflects highly inconsistent performance of genotypes for this character. Maximum time taken to 50% flowering was 119.85 days in C141 followed by 119.35 and 114.00 days in Noor 91 and Punjab 91, respectively (Table 2). It is apparent from the results that the mutagenic effect on time to 50% flowering was different across the two mutagenic treatments. A significant ($p < 0.01$) increase in number of days to 50% flowering was observed with gamma irradiation at 40 and 60 Kr treatment as compared to control. However, the time taken to 50% flowering with gibberellic acid treatments was significantly ($p < 0.01$) less as compared to control at 40 and 50 Kr treatments.

The response of varieties towards the two mutagenic treatments varied. In Noor 91 the effect of gamma irradiation on time to 50% flowering was erratic and less time to 50% flowering was observed at 50 Kr treatment as compared to control. The application of gibberellic acid significantly decreased the time to 50% flowering as compared to control at 40 and 50 Kr treatments. In Punjab 91 similar response to the two mutagenic treatments was observed. Days taken to 50% flowering were affected non-significantly across the various mutagenic treatments except at 60 Kr with GA₃ treatment a significant ($p < 0.01$)

decrease as compared to control was observed. In C141 the days to 50% flowering were significantly ($p < 0.01$) increased at 40 and 60 Kr treatments with gamma irradiation as compared to control. However, the application of gibberellic acid decreased the time to 50% flowering at 40 and 50 Kr treatments as compared to control.

Days to maturity: The results indicate highly significant differences within treatments and genotypes. The genotype-treatment interaction was also highly significant ($p < 0.01$). It reflects that a marked variation in genetic spectrum obtained at different doses. Maximum time taken to maturity was 168.92 days in C141 followed by 167.07 and 165.14 days in Punjab 91 and Noor 91, respectively (Table 2). It is apparent from the results that the maturity was responded differentially to the two mutagenic treatments. The effects of gamma radiation at all levels on maturity was non-significant, while the number of days to maturity with gibberellic acid at 40 and 50 Kr treatment were significantly ($p < 0.01$) less as compared to control. A differential response of maturity among various treatments was observed for the varieties. In Noor 91 the time taken to crop maturity was non-significant at various mutagenic treatments except at 40 Kr treatment with gibberellic acid where a significant ($p < 0.01$) decrease was observed as compared to control. In Punjab 91 significant ($p < 0.01$) decrease in time taken to maturity was observed at 40 Kr treatment with gamma irradiation, while with gibberellic acid at 40 and 50 Kr treatment as compared to control. C141 exhibited significant ($p < 0.01$) decrease in time to maturity, at 50 Kr treatment with gamma irradiation, while with gibberellic acid significant ($p < 0.01$) decrease in days to maturity was found at 40 and 60 Kr treatment as compared to control.

References

- Ali, H.A. and S. Ansari, 1989. Effect of indole-3-acetic acid and Kinetin on germination, seedling growth and some biochemical constituents in gamma irradiated seeds of chickpea. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 21: 283-289.
- Arora, R., N. Maherchandani and S. Uppal, 1989. Modulation of radiation effects in wheat by growth regulators. *Ann. Biol. Ludhiana*, 5: 109-113.
- Awan, M.A., 1999. Mutation breeding for crop improvement: A review. *Proc. Pak. Acad. Sci.*, 36: 65-73.
- Callebaut, A., P. van Oostveldt and R. van Parijs, 1980. Stimulation of endomitotic DNA synthesis and cell elongation by gibberellic acid in epicotyls grown from gamma-irradiated pea seeds. *Plant Physiol.*, 65: 13-16.
- Charumathi, M., M.V.B. Rao, R.V. Babu and K.B. Murthy, 1992. Efficiency of early generation selection for induced micro mutations in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). *J. Nuclear Agric. Biol.*, 21: 299-302.
- Gupta, V.P., S.K. Sharma and P.K. Rathore, 1996. Induced mutagenic response of gamma irradiation on horsegram (*Macrotyloma uniflorum*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 66: 160-164.
- Haq, M.A., M. Sadiq and Mahmud ul Hassan, 1989. A very early flowering and photoperiod insensitive induced mutant in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Mutat. Breed. Newslett.*, 54: 1-19.
- Hassan, S. and I. Khan, 1991. A high yielding chickpea mutant variety NIFA-88 developed through induced mutations. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 7: 745-750.
- Kalia, N.R. and V.P. Gupta, 1988a. Differential radiosensitivity in microsperma and macrosperma lentils. *Lens Newslett.*, 15: 5-8.
- Kalia, N.R. and V.P. Gupta, 1988b. Induced polygenic variation in lentils. *Lens Newslett.*, 16: 8-16.
- Kasim, M.H., S.R.A. Shamsi and S.A. Sofajy, 1977. Increased genetic variability in the M2 generation of three gamma-irradiation broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) varieties. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 9: 12-16.
- Khan, M.R., A.S. Qureshi and S.A. Hussain, 2000. Biological effects of gamma irradiation and its modulation with gibberellic acid in M1 generation of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 3: 993-995.
- Kharkwal, M.C., H.K. Jain and B. Sharma, 1988. Induced mutations for improvement of chickpea. *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Improvement of Grain Legume Production Using Induced Mutations, July 1-5, 1986, Pullman, WA., USA.*, pp: 89-109.
- Sarma, D., A. Sarma and P. Talukdar, 1991. Comparison of gamma-ray and EMS induced variation in green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *J. Nuclear Agric. Biol.*, 20: 87-93.
- Shakoor, A., M.A. Haq and M. Sadiq, 1978a. Induced genetic variability in M2 and evaluation of promising mutant lines in M4 generation of mung bean. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.*, 5: 1-6.
- Shakoor, A., M. Ahsan-Ul-Haq and M. Sadiq, 1978b. Induced variation in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 18: 169-175.
- Shamsuzzaman, K.M. and M.A.Q. Shaik, 1991. Early maturing and higher seed yielding chickpea mutants. *Mutation Breeding Newslett.*, 37: 4-5.
- Uppal, S. and N. Maherchandani, 1988. Radio protective effect of gibberellic acid in wheat variety C306. *Curr. Sci.*, 57: 93-94.
- Zhebrak, E.A., 1989. An evaluation of antiradiation activity of some growth regulators after chronic gamma irradiation of plants. *Nauchno Tekhnicheskii Byulleten Vsesoyuznogo Ordena Lenina-I-Ordena Druzhby-N-Arodiv Nauchno Issledo* 187: 13-17.