

<http://www.pjbs.org>

PJBS

ISSN 1028-8880

**Pakistan
Journal of Biological Sciences**

ANSI*net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

Determination of Optimum Level of Potash and its Effects on Yield and Quality of Ratoon Sugarcane

Aman Ullah Chudhry and Shehzad Gul Sumra

Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan

Abstract: The results showed that the potash levels did not influence significantly the yield and yield components like the number of millable cane at harvest m^{-2} , cane length (m), cane diameter, number of internodes per cane, internodal length and weight per stripped cane. Similarly quality parameters like sucrose contents and commercial cane sugar showed non significant response to potassium. The highest stripped cane yield of $101.83 t ha^{-1}$ was obtained with $150 kg K_2O ha^{-1}$.

Key words: Level, potash, quality, sugarcane, yield

Introduction

Sugarcane is important cash crop of Pakistan and have high yielding potential. The average stripped cane yield of $50.3 t ha^{-1}$ is far below than the major sugarcane growing countries of the world (Anonymous, 1999). Further the cost of cultivation of sugarcane in Pakistan is high. The law of diminishing returns may be used in deciding the most profitable level of any input like fertilizer. The most profitable level of input depends upon marginal product and the prices of input or change in the technology affecting the values of marginal product (Sharma and Sharma, 1981). This study was designed to determine the optimum level of potash and its effect on yield and quality of ratoon sugarcane.

In the literature there is lot of controversy over the application of potash. Many authors respond positive effect of K on sugarcane yield and quality. However, there are number of authors (Zambello and Orlando, 1980 and Sundara, 1985) who reported non significant effect on sugarcane yield and quality. At present Punjab Agricultural Department is recommending K at the rate of $150 kg ha^{-1}$. In order to solve the controversy the following study was conducted.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at the Agronomic Research Area, University of Agriculture Faisalabad. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with four replications. The net plot size was $3.6 \times 8 m$. Genotype SPSG-394 was used for this study. Potash treatments included in this study was 0, 50, 100, 150 and $200 kg ha^{-1}$. The N and P_2O_5 was applied at constant rates i.e., 200 and $150 kg ha^{-1}$, respectively. All P_2O_5 and $1/3 N$ were applied at sowing and remaining doses of N were applied at 1st and 2nd irrigation. All other agronomic practices were kept normal and uniform for all treatments. Data collected were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance technique at 5% level of probability

(Steel and Torrie, 1981). The law of diminishing return was used to determine the optimum level of potash by equating the inverse price ratio with marginal product (Sharma and Sharma, 1981). At the time of harvest, climatic data of crop growing seasons were taken, which were compared with last time crop growing season.

Results and Discussion

Non significant results were obtained in case of stripped cane yield. The maximum stripped cane yield of $101.83 t ha^{-1}$ was obtained from the plots given potassium at $150 kg ha^{-1}$ but did not significantly differ from control treatment (Table 1). These results are supported by Ranjha (1988) and Sundara (1985) and contradiction with findings of Korndorfer (1990) and Bajwa (1995). This may be due to initial high K level in soil and different types of soil in which these experiment was conducted.

Optimum level of potash was determined by equating the inverse price ratio with marginal product (Table 2) which indicated that it was profitable to apply $150 kg K_2O ha^{-1}$, because inverse price ratio and marginal product did not match with each other. It indicated that further experiments should be conducted in which potash should be applied below $200 kg ha^{-1}$ to know the optimum range of potash. As the results showed that application of K had non significant effect on the sugarcane yield but the application of potassium to sugarcane is still profitable. Depending upon the resources of farmer, he may have to consider the opportunity cost of capital and consider the different alternatives before investing in K application in sugarcane.

The no. of millable canes was not affected by different levels of potash (Table 1). These results are in agreement with Ahmad *et al.* (1993). Cane length did not respond to potash. These results are in agreement with Sundara (1985). Cane diameter was not influenced by different levels of potash (Table 1). Similarly no. of internodes,

Table 1: Effects of levels of potash on sugarcane yield and yield components

| | Treatments $K_2O t ha^{-1}$ | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | 0 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 |
| Stripped cane yield $t ha^{-1}$ | 87.5 ^{NS} | 93.5 ^{NS} | 93.6 ^{NS} | 101.8 ^{NS} | 90.9 ^{NS} |
| No. of millable canes at harvest (m^{-2}) | 16.3 ^{NS} | 16.4 ^{NS} | 16.6 ^{NS} | 16.7 ^{NS} | 16.4 ^{NS} |
| Cane length (m) | 2.0 ^{NS} | 2.1 ^{NS} | 2.2 ^{NS} | 2.2 ^{NS} | 2.2 ^{NS} |
| No. of internodes/cane | 17.8 ^{NS} | 19.1 ^{NS} | 20.5 ^{NS} | 20.2 ^{NS} | 20.4 ^{NS} |
| Internodal length (cm) | 10.9 ^{NS} | 11.1 ^{NS} | 11.0 ^{NS} | 11.1 ^{NS} | 10.8 ^{NS} |
| Cane diameter (cm) | 5.2 ^{NS} | 5.2 ^{NS} | 5.3 ^{NS} | 5.2 ^{NS} | 5.34 ^{NS} |
| Weight per stripped cane (kg) | 0.54 ^{NS} | 0.57 ^{NS} | 0.56 ^{NS} | 0.61 ^{NS} | 0.58 ^{NS} |

^{NS} = Non Significant

Chudhry and Sumra: Optimization of potash on sugarcane

Table 2: Average Marginal product and inverse price ratio at different levels of potassium Application

| K ₂ O applied (kg ha ⁻¹) | Yield obtained | Total product due to K ₂ O | Average product | Marginal product | Inverse price ratio |
|---|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 0 | 87500.0 | - | - | - | 25.14 |
| 50 | 93489.6 | 5989.60 | 119.80 | 119.80 | 25.14 |
| 100 | 93576.4 | 6076.40 | 60.76 | 1.74 | 25.14 |
| 150 | 101822.9 | 14322.90 | 95.49 | 164.90 | 25.14 |
| 200 | 90885.4 | 3385.42 | 16.93 | 218.75 | 25.14 |

Price of sugarcane kg⁻¹ = 0.875 Rs Price of fertilizer kg⁻¹ = 22 Rs

Table 3: Effect of Levels of Potash on Sugarcane quality

| | Treatments K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 0 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 |
| Sucrose contents (%) | 16.90 | 17.11 | 17.28 | 17.73 | 17.78 |
| Commercial cane Sugar (%) | 11.40 | 11.66 | 12.20 | 11.85 | 12.04 |

internodal length and cane weight was not significantly influenced by the different levels of potash (Table 1). These results are also agreement with Yadav and Prasad (1986).

Sucrose percentage and commercial cane sugar are quality parameters for sugarcane. Maximum Sucrose contents and C.C.S. were obtained as 17.78 percent and 12.20 percent from the treatments 200 kg ha⁻¹ 100 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ respectively. The results were not significantly different (Table 3). These results are in agreement with Sundara (1985), but are not agreement with Bajwa (1995).

The present recommendation of Punjab Agriculture Department at the rate of 150 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O needs further investigation. Depending upon the opportunity cost of capital if the farmers choose not to apply potassium to sugarcane, he may save up to Rs. 3300 ha⁻¹ and as a result the cost of cultivation may be reduced. Further there is a need for research for efficient application of K such as application in the form of spray etc.

References

Ahmad, M.S., G. Muhammad, M. Aslam and K.B. Malik, 1993. Effect of different levels and application times of potash fertilizer on the growth and yield of sugarcane. *Pak. Sugar J.*, 7: 3-6.
 Anonymous, 1999. Agriculture statistics of Pakistan 1997-98. Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock, Food, Agriculture and Livestock Division, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad.

Bajwa, M.I., 1995. Effect of K on Sugarcane Yield. In: Potassium Research and Development in Pakistan, Beaten, J.D. (Ed.). Pakistan Agricultural Research Council, Islamabad, Pakistan, pp: 75.
 Korndorfer, G.H., 1990. Potassium and sugarcane quality. *Informacoes Agronomicas*, 49: 1-3.
 Ranjha, A.M., 1988. Morphological, mineralogical and chemical properties of some soils of Pakistan. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.
 Sharma, A.N. and V.K. Sharma, 1981. Element of Farm Management. Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi, India, pp: 30-39.
 Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1981. Principles and Procedures of Statistics-A Biometrical Approach. 2nd Edn., McGraw Hill, Singapore, pp: 173-177.
 Sundara, B., 1985. Effect of trash mulching, urea spray and late application of potassium on sugarcane grown under limited irrigation. *Indian Sugar*, 35: 211-219.
 Yadav, R.L. and S.R. Prasad, 1986. Effect of potassium and trash mulch on yield and quality of sugarcane under limited water supply. *J. Potassium Res.*, 2: 136-139.
 Zambello, Jr. E. and J.F. Orlando, 1980. Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS) applied to various sugarcane tissues. Proceedings of the 17th Congress of the International Society of Sugar Cane Technologists, Volume 1, February 1-11, 1980, Manila, Philippines, pp: 446-457.