# A New Approach for Controlling Low Boron Concentration in Nutrient Solutions

A. Asad\*, R. W. Bell and B. Dell

School of Biological and Environmental Science, Murdoch University, Murdoch 6150, Western Australia \*Current Address: School of Land and Food. The University of Queensland. St. Lucia, Queensland, Australia 4072

Abstract: It has always been a problem for research workers to control low external boron concentrations in the nutrient solutions to study the boron deficiency effects on plant growth. Limitation of conventional solution cult techniques led to a range alternatives for the controlled study of plant nutrition including flowing culture, program, addition and chelated-buffered nutrient solution. From a literature review it was found that a range of substates with boron including poly hydric alcohols like mannitol, sugars and phenolic compounds. However the next from hydrofluoric acid formed chelates with formation constant comparable to iron chelates like DTP or ED. Moreover, most chelating substances had deleterious side effects which reduced their suitability for use in rater culture many of the compounds are substrates for bacterial growth, some were toxic or harmful to handle, and ot read to plants. Current investigations center around the use of the Boron-specific resin, IRA 743 which many many of the compounds are substrates for bacterial growth, some were toxic or harmful to handle, and ot read to plants. Current investigations center around the use of the Boron-specific resin, IRA 743 which many many of the compounds are substrated resin maintains an equilibrium boron concertration, in solution of 0.5 mg B/L when added at the rate of 2 mL of resin to 1L of boron free triple deionised water. Current investigations are to study loading and unloading techniques of resin with boron, lowering the boron concentration in solution when the exchange resin is used as a boron source and comparing the plant growth when the boron exchange resin and 0.1 mg boron solution.

Key words: Boron, deficiency, canola, hydroponics,

Water culture has been used for experimental purposes for many

decades, several criticism have been levelled at conventional water

culture (Asher and Edwards, 1983). The primary drawback with

conventional water culture is the unrealistically high nutrient

concentrations to which plant roots are exposed. Generally the

#### Introduction

concentration in conventional solution culture exceed those normally found in soil solutions by one to the orders ( magnitude, raising serious concerns over the relevance of the plan. responses measured. For example, phosphorus, bor manganese concentrations found in the commonly used H( as formulation are toxic for some plants (Asher and Edwods, 19, The reason for using high initial concentrations in convention. solution culture is to ensure adequate supply of nutric through an experiment. This in turn is because nut ant solu ons lack nutrient buffering capacity and have a limited me. The need to ensure that nutrient concentration. comparable to soil solution concentrat; has been reftor used for some time and various strategies deven 1 to co, with the problem of nutrient sur Each pinedula i. ts lisad anter ... Large volume recircular. system ca main low, solution concentrations but very ext nsive to install and have been used in or i w labou ries (As .d Edwards, 1983). Frequent replacement solution. used but is rather wasteful of time and comical salt. Frequent in mental nutrient additions to pots know. s "Prog mmed nutrie , addition" depends on an owl Jge of plant growth rate (Asher & Blamey, 1987) and besi. is unsuitable when the response of plants to n is the object of primary concern. An solution concentic alternative approach i a twin problems of nutrient concentration and supply in solution courses is to increase the nutrient buffering capacity of the solution so that it simulates a soil system. When combined with models of ionic specification in solutions, buffered nutrient solutions open up several new possibilities for water culture studies. Not only should it be possible to maintain realistic solution concentrations, and to estimate the capacity of nutrients in solution, but the system in principle should be relatively low cost and capable of use in most laboratories. For micronutrient, the buffered systems should also minimise the ever present concern of contamination

(Bell et al., 19. Buffere trient solution systems have been develop or pho orus a d micronutrient including Fe, Mn, Zn, and 'u (Chaney et 1989). However there are no published reproportion chelic a systems.

Boron is stable cyclic anionic borate diesters with diol and unds (Loomis and Durst, 1992), suggesting that alyol coi. nounds this configuration could be used as chelators for chalefur buffered solution. The most stable borate diesters ire fo. with cis-diols on a furanoid ring as in erythritan and nethy mannofuranoside. Other compounds with the cis-diol bration that form borate diesters include mannitol, dulcitol and so, sitol (Loomis and Durst, 1992). The only biological compounds with this configuration are apiose, which is common in cell walls of most plants, and ribose which is one of the sugars in nucleic acids. These compounds, and related ones such as polyethylglycol, are potential chelators for use in water culture to buffer boron activity in solution. Another possible buffer is the Boron-specific resin, IRA 743.

The objective of the present study is to develop a boron chelatorbuffered nutrient solution system for plant nutrition studies on boron. It has two main aims: firstly a range of possible boron chelators or substances which could release boron slowly into solution will be tested to evaluate their effectiveness in maintaining a range solution boron concentrations. Secondly, the boron buffered system will be used to study the growth of boron sensitive plants with conventional nutrient solution.

### Materials and Methods

Plant culture: The full-strength basal nutrient solution used in this experiment contains macronutrients ( $\mu$ M): NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, 2000; KNO<sub>3</sub>, 2800; Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>4H<sub>2</sub>O, 1600; MgSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O, 1000; KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 100; and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 100; and micronutrient (except for boron) ( $\mu$ M): ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O, 2; MnSO<sub>4</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O, 2; CuSO<sub>4</sub>5H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.5; Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.08; NaCl, 8; and FeEDTA, 4O. Only analytical grade chemicals were used to make up the nutrient solution. Triple deionised water used through out the study and for making up the solutions, which was further purified and make free from boron by passing through the boron-specific resin column drop wise (Sigma Chemical Co., 1980) The macronutrient stock solutions were also purified with

boron-specific resin (IRA-743, Sigma Chemical Co.).

Canola plants were grown by water culture in a glasshouse. Canola seeds (cv Hyola 42) were germinated in paper towels moistened with 1.0 mM Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in the dark at 25 °C for 48 hours. Selected seedlings were transferred to 5-L plastic pots lined with polythene bags containing full strength of nutrient solution with exchange resin in cotton bags. The pots were randomly distributed in cooling tanks with constant temperature 18 °C. Solution pH was adjusted to  $6.0\pm0.3$  every other day with 4 per cent  $H_2SO_4$  or 2 per cent NaOH (both were analytical grade chemicals). Nutrient solutions in all the pots were continuously aerated with filtered air through out the experiment. Nutrient solution changed after 7 days of interval with boron loaded exchange resin in case of experiment 1, while for experiment 2 it changed once after 10 days. The plants were allowed to grow for 20 days and the nutrient solution samples were collected after 5, 10 ,15 and 20 days from each pot for boron analysis.

The number of plants per pot was thinned to 8 on day 2 after transplanting. Four plants were harvested after 10 days of transplanting (harvest 1) and rest of the four plants were harvested after 20 days of transplanting (harvest 2). Each plant was divided into upper shoot (consisting of new growth of leaves and stems), lower shoot (consisting of seedling leaves which were present at the time of transplanting and lower stem) and root. Plants samples were dried at 70 °C to constant weight. The dried plant samples were finely ground and digested in concentrated nitric acid at 130 °C for boron determination by Inductively Coupled Plasma - atomic emission spectrometry (Zarcinas et al., 1987).

## Preparation of exchange resin:

Boron-specific resin (IRA-743, Sigma Chemical Co.) lot 127F 0546 was used for this study. Before loading with boron, the exchange resin was cleaned with the following procedure: A column of 500 mL was made with resin. One litre of boron free triple deionised water was passed through the column drop wise. After assing all the boron free TDI water through the column one litre of 10 per cent  $\rm H_2SO_4$  solution passed through the column drop wise. When all  $\rm H_2SO_4$  was drained out the column, 4% solution of NaOH r d through the column in the same way as  $\rm H_2SO_4$  solution. Final was to the resin was given with two litre of boron free TDI which also allowed to pass through the column drop which also allowed to pass through the column drop where this procedure the exchange resin transferred to a clean d (acid shed) plastic bottle.

For boron loading, 30 mL exchange resin shook 72. in one if 100 per cent boron saturated solution on a mechanical sincker cert shaking the exchange resin transferrer in a night havi rilter paper and it washed with 100 mL boron. TDI was a for removing the surface a sed boro from the min. W divided into three parts ie. 3. It each time

When all the water drained out m the re 0 4, 0.2, 1 and 5 mL of resin trans acid we indicotte ads (as treatment T1, T2, T3, T4 espective for glass. re experiment 1. While for glasshouse / riment 2, nL boron loc resin mixed separately with 0.5, 1.0, 9 and , mL fresh resin (same batch) in acid washed cotton be ar creatment T1, T2, T3, T4 respectively). For T5, 0.1 mg (9.2  $\mu$ ) oron concentration was maintained with H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> solution in case ath the experiments. The purpose of the above division of boron lo esin and the addition of fresh resin to boron-loaded resin was to have different boron concentrations in nutrient solutions.

**Data analysis:** Both the experiments replicated four times. The results are analysed by standard analysis of variance techniques by using accessible general linear modeling package (Gagnon *et al.*, 1984). Significant main effects were separate with Fisher's Protected LSD Test at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

#### Results

Initial work with exchange resin: From a literature study it was found that a range of substances including Mannitol, Sorbitol, Glycerol, Ethane-1, 2-Diol, D Tartaric Acid, Catechol, Sulphonic acid, Gallic acid, Pyrogallol, D - Dulcitol form stable chelates with boron. Although these substances form chelates with boron, they could not be used for further research because of their detrimental/side effects.

For buffering the boron concentration in the solution culture boron exchange resin "Amberlite IRA - 743" was selected for further studies.

A series of following laboratory experime its are conducted to study how the exchange resin can be used to equaret the boron concentration in the nutrient solution:

- Absorption of boron by the exchange resin
- Absorption of boron with the passage of tir.
- Release of boron from the loaded exchange in

The absorption of boron by the exchange resin: The purpose of the experiment was to observe the abservation of bor in by the different batches of resin. For this periment two lot of exchange resin were selected: 127 F 054' & 23 F L ir boron absorption capacities are 2.162 a.d 5 /mL, respectively (Sigma Chemical Co., 198( Solutions aving on correntrations of 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, 100, 200, 400, nd 500 mg/L were prepared. After adding 2 mL () weight 2 am or dry weight 0.6 gram) of exchange resin in h solution by were shaken on a mechanical shaker for 2 hour. The samples were analysed for boron by Inductivity Coupled Plac - Atomic Emission Spectrometry (Brown 3) (Table 1),

1e\_1: Borc bsorbed by two different lots of exchange resin Bo. ndded Boron Absorbed (mg/g) (mg/L, Lot 127 F 0546 Lot 23 F 005 5 2.26 5.00 10 2.27 5.06 20 2.27 5.11 30 2 27 5.23 .4 2.27 5.23 60 2.27 5.23 80 2.29 5.30 100 2.31 5.35 200 2.31 5.35 400 2.31 5.35

Table 2: Effect of time on boron (mg/g) adsorbed by two lots of resin (Amberlite IRA-743) form the solutions of 0.925 and 9.25 mM B. Data are presented as mean ±S.E., n=2 replicates

5.35

2.31

Time (h)	Lot		Lot 2		
	0.925 mMB	9.25mMB	0.925 mMB	9.25mMB	
0.25	$0.32 \pm 0.05$	$0.33 \pm 0.08$	$0.72 \pm 0.05$	0.73±0.08	
1	$0.90 \pm 0.07$	$0.92 \pm 0.07$	$2.00 \pm 0.17$	2.06±0.15	
6	$1.90 \pm 0.11$	$2.01 \pm 0.16$	$4.20 \pm 0.34$	4.27 ± 0.44	
24	$2.21 \pm 0.33$	$2.24 \pm 0.24$	$4.84 \pm 0.46$	$4.92 \pm 0.63$	
48	$2.26 \pm 0.21$	$2.29 \pm 0.15$	$5.02 \pm 0.71$	5.12±0.52	
72	$2.27 \pm 0.18$	$2.31 \pm 0.16$	$5.02 \pm 0.55$	$5.12 \pm 0.41$	
96	$2.27 \pm 0.22$	2.31 ± 0.24	$5.02 \pm 0.48$	5.12±0.41	

The results of the experiments indicate that in 5 mg B/L solution the absorption of boron is the same as described by the manufacturer

500

Table 3: Boron eluted and retained by the exchange resin after 40ml increments of boron free water were passed through the resin Data are presented as mean ± S.E., n = 2 replicates

Vol. of B-free	Boron	Boron in resin
water eluted	concentration	(mg/6 g resin)
<u>(ml)</u>	in eluent (μM)	
40	60 ± 5.3	12.3±0.2
80	57 ± 3.2	$11.7 \pm 0.2$
120	$56 \pm 3.0$	$11.1 \pm 0.2$
160	$64 \pm 6.5$	$10.4 \pm 0.1$
200	$62 \pm 5.0$	9.7±0.1
240	61 ± 2.1	9.0±0.2
280	61 ± 2.8	$8.4 \pm 0.5$
320	$60 \pm 4.2$	$7.7 \pm 0.3$
360	58 ± 2.1	$7.2 \pm 0.3$
400	$56 \pm 3.5$	$6.5 \pm 0.7$
440	$54 \pm 4.5$	$6.0 \pm 0.5$
480	52 ± 1.5	$5.4 \pm 0.3$
520	$51 \pm 3.5$	$4.8 \pm 0.1$
560	$48 \pm 4.5$	$4.3 \pm 0.2$
600	$46 \pm 2.2$	$3.8 \pm 0.1$
640	$43 \pm 4.6$	$3.3 \pm 0.2$
680	$42 \pm 5.2$	$2.9 \pm 0.1$
720	$40 \pm 3.0$	2.4±0.3
760	$38 \pm 2.1$	$2.0 \pm 0.2$
800	$36 \pm 3.2$	$1.6 \pm 0.3$
840	35 ± 1.6	$1.5 \pm 0.1$
880	35 ± 1.5	$0.9 \pm 0.04$

of the exchange resin (Sigma Chemical Co., 1980) Absorption of boron by both the lots of resin was slightly higher from the higher boron concentration solutions. Increased boron adsorption may represent the surface absorption of boron by resin. This access amount of boron can easily be removed by simple washing of the resin with boron free triple deionized.

Rate of boron absorption by exchange resin: The purpose of the experiment was to study the time during which the exchange resin absorbs the maximum boron. For this experiment, 2 mL (wet weight 2 grams or dry weight 0.6 gram) resin of two different lots (127 F 0546 and 23 F 005 with boron absorption capacity of 2.162 and 5 mg B/mL resin) were shaken in the solutions of 10 mg and 100 mg B/L for 96 hours on a mechanical shaker. Solution samples were collected after 15 minutes, 1, 6, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours (Table 2).

These results indicate that both the batches exchange resin adsorbs most of boron during the first six of contact. Adsorbed boron reached a maximum at 24 hours. In the changes are observed in absorption between 24 to 96 hor shaking. Absorption was slightly higher with both batches (exchange) resin, where they were loaded in 100 mg B/L solution to cause of supersisting absorption (Table 2).

Table 4: Boron concentration in nutrient aution. Suse experiment 1. Data are pre-enter as means ±S.E., n=4

replit	cates.				
Treatments Solution (μΜ)		1		Soron C C	entration in
B-specific re(	5 Dε ;	1	Days	15 Days	20 Days
0.04	+0.5	3.	0.0	4.0 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.3
0.20	1. 1.0	11.0	r.5	13.0±0.6	10.0±0.6
1.0	34 ±	29.d	4.0	35.0 ± 3.0	29.0 ± 2.0
5.0	95±4.	87.0	±8.0	102.0 ± 10	92.0 ± 10
Control	9±0.1	₫.0	±0.2	8.3±0.3	7.5±0.2

Release of boru from the loaded exchange resin (column study):

The passe of this pariment was to study the release characters of abs and boror from the loaded exchange resin. For this perimenance resin of lot no. 127 F 0546 was selected (with boron capacity 2.162 mg B/mL resin). A column of 6 mL vet eight 6 grams or dry weight 1.83 grams) was made

Table 5: Dry weight of plants, after 10 days and 20 days growth in lutions treated with boron loaded resin or conventional nutrient solution (9.2 \( \text{µMB} \) as control. Glasshouse expension, Values are leading to the property of the conventional nutrient solution (9.2 \( \text{µMB} \) as control.

Treatments	Upp	per shoot		neans of four replic r shoot	Zations	Roots
	10 days	20	O de	20 days	10 days	20 days
				ight (grams)		
0.04 g resin	0.15		0 12	0.26	0.02	0.27
0.2 g resin	0.17	3.5	0 /3	0.30	0.02	0.30
1.0 g resin	0.18	3.5	0.10	0.37	0.03	0.32
5.0 g resin	0.18	3.7	0.14	0.32	0.03	0.31
Control*			0.18	0.32	0.03	0.35
LSD (0.05) * No resin	0.15	ns	0.025	ns	ns	ns

Table 6: Boron concentration in upper and lower shoots and roots of plants, glass house experiment 1, grown in solutions treated with boron loaded exchalter in conventional nutrient solution (9.2 µMB) as control. Values are means of four replications

Treatments	Uppe	r shoot	Lower s	Roots			
	10 days	20 days	10 days	20 days ght (grams)	10 days		20 days
0.04 g resin	21	23	31	26	10		**
0.2 g resin	30	35	38	32	18 18		16
1.0 g resin	38	38	49	46	21		19 22
5.0 g resin	43	40	64		24		2 <i>2</i> 25
Control*	28	34	36	36	25		25 18
LSD (0.05)	7	ns	3	4	ns		2

Table 7: Boron concentration in nutrient solution. Glass house experiment 2. Data are presented as mean ± S.E., n = 4 replicates

	Boron cond	entration in solution (µM)	
5 Days	10 Days	15 Days	20 Days
33 ±3	30 ±2	35 ±4	31 ±4
41 ±3	39 ±4	:	38 ±7
75 ±1	73 ±2		75 ±6
82 ±3	82 ±3		74 ±8
9 ± 2	8 ±4		8 ± 1
	33 ±3 41 ±3 75 ±1 82 ±3	5 Days 10 Days 33 ±3 30 ±2 41 ±3 39 ±4 75 ±1 73 ±2 82 ±3 82 ±3	33 ±3 30 ±2 35 ±4 41 ±3 39 ±4 40 ±2 75 ±1 73 ±2 77 ±4 82 ±3 82 ±3 84 ±4

Table 8: Dry Weight of plants, after 10 days and 20 days growth in solutions treated with increasing amounts of boron grewesin added with 5 g of boron loaded resin or conventional nutrient solution (9.2 μMB) as control. Glasshouse experiment 2. Volume is means

Treatments B loaded resin	eplication. 🕝	Upper shoot		Lower	shoot	·	Root	
mixed with fresh	10 days		20 days	10 days	20 days	10 days		20 d ys
				Dry weight (grams)		ns)		
0.5 g resin	0.11	•	3.0	0.08	0.26	0.04		0.3
1.0 g resin	0.12		3.0	0.08	0.25	0.0		0.32
2.0 g resin	0.12		3.0	0.08	0.27	.04		0.32
4.0 g resin	0.15		3.0	0.08	7.28	0.05		0.33
Control*	0.05		1.0	0.04	0.16	0.03		0.25
LSD (0.05)	0.02		0.52	0.01	0.034	0.01		0.025

Table 9: Boron concentration in upper and lower shoots and roots of dry plant mat er, glassho. experiment 2, grown in boron loaded exchange resin or in conventional nutrient solution (9.2 μMB) as control lues are mean of four replications.

Treatments B loaded resin	Upper shoo	•	Lower shoot			Roots
mixed with	10 days	20 days	10 days	20	10 days	20 days
		C	Pry weight (,,am ;)			
4.0 g resin	33	31	4.F	35	17	17
2.0 g resin	30	36	42	40	23	18
1.0 g resin	.34	35	55	54	19	22
0.5 g resin	40	40	66	65	20	22
Control*	~ <b>30</b>	35	6	36	22	18
LSD (0.05)	ns	6		5	2.27	ns

<sup>\*</sup> No resin

Table 10: Elemental composition of nutrien. Nutrion without boron spirific resin a 2 g/l. are presented as mean ± S.E. 3 replice.

	as mean ± S.E	3 replica		
Elements	No Re	sin	Wit	asin
	Day	7ay 10	Day	Day 10
		oncentratio	orng/L)	
Nitrogen	107.0	(08.0±1	114.0±1	124.0 ± 2.0
<b>Phosphorus</b>	5.0±	5.0±0.1	$5.0 \pm 0.1$	$5.0 \pm 0.1$
Potassium	70.0 ± 2.℃	72.0±1	$73.0 \pm 1$	$74.0 \pm 2.0$
Sulfur	34.0 ± 1.0	34.0 ± 1	$36.0 \pm 2$	$38.0 \pm 2.0$
Magnesium	$25.0 \pm 0.1$	$.0 \pm 1$	$27.0 \pm 2$	$28.0 \pm 2.0$
Calcium	$65.0 \pm 2.0$	₫5.0±2	$67.0 \pm 3$	$68.0 \pm 3.0$
Boron	-	-	$0.8 \pm 0$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$
Copper	$0.03 \pm 0$	$0.03 \pm 0$	$0.03 \pm 0$	$0.03 \pm 0$
Zinc	$0.14 \pm 0$	$0.15 \pm 0$	$0.14 \pm 0$	$0.14 \pm 0$
Iron	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	1.1 ±0.1	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	1.0 ±0.2
Manganese	$0.12 \pm 0$	$0.12 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0$	0.12 ±0
Sodium	2.5 ± 0.1	2.6 ±0	2.7 ± 0.2	2.6 ±0.2

was passed through the column drop wise at the flow rate of 0.5 mL per minute. Samples were collected at the interval of 40 mL, which analysed on ICP for boron determinations (Table 3).

Results of the experiment indicate that release of boron from the loaded exchange resin is quite low and boron-holding capacity of the exchange resin is high because even after passing 880 mL boron free triple deionised water, it contained 0.9 mg boron out of 12.97 mg, which was loaded on it.

After conducting the above basic studies with exchange resin, the system has been tested on oil seed rape crop (canola, *Brassica napus* L. cultivar Hyola 42). The oil seed rape crop was selected for this study because of its sensitivity to boron deficiency as compared to other plant species.

# B) To study the plant growth in boron buffered nutrient solution culture system

**Glasshouse experiment 1:** Mean boron concentrations in nutrient solutions increased from 3.7, 12, 31.5 and 94  $\mu$ M B with increasing amounts of B-saturated resin from 0.04, 0.2, 1 and 5 g (Table 4). However, dry weight of plants growing on these solutions did not

respond to changes in solution boron concentration induced by the resin treatments (Table 5) and yields were the same as the control solutions with 9.2  $\mu$ M B.

In lower shoots, boron concentrations increased at harvests 1 and 2 with increasing amounts of resin ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) but were unchanged in the upper shoots, and roots (Cutting, 1971). At 9.2  $\mu$ M B, the control treatment, boron concentrations in the lower shoots but not in upper shoots or roots were lower than with the highest addition of boron saturated resin. Mean boron concentrations in shoots were always above 20 mg B/kg dry weight and in roots above 15 mg B/kg dry weight.

Glasshouse experiment 2: Increasing amounts of boron free resin decreased the solution boron concentration provided by the 5 g of boron saturated resin from 80.5 to 31.5  $\mu$ M B (Table 7). Solution boron concentration was nevertheless still much higher with 4 g of boron free resin mixed with 5 g of boron-saturated resin than with the lowest addition of boron-saturated resin in glasshouse experiment 1. As in glasshouse experiment 1, the dry weight of upper shoots, lower shoots and roots at both harvests were unaffected by the change in solution boron concentrations induced by resin treatment (Table 8). Plants growing in control treatments of 9.2  $\mu$ M B had significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) less dry weight of upper shoots, lower shoots and roots at both harvest 1 and harvest 2 than those in solutions supplied with resin (Table 8).

Increasing amounts of boron free resin depressed boron concentrations in lower shoots but not in upper shoots and roots (Table 9). Even with the addition of increasing amounts of boron free resin, mean boron concentrations in shoots were never below 30 mg B/kg dry weight (Table 9). Boron concentrations in plant material of the control treatments (9.2  $\mu$ M B) were comparable to those with the lowest concentrations in plants grown in solutions with boron free resin. This clearly indicates that the plants received more or less the same amount of boron from the resin and 2  $\mu$ M B solutions and plant growth in control solutions (Table 8) were depressed for some reason other than the boron supply.

The boron specific resin appeared to be very promising as

buffer to regulate boron concentration in solution Labo. ry

### Discussion

experiments on the boron specific resin indicate that maxim m absorption of boron by the resin ranged from 2 to 5 The maximum boron adsorption values varied between resin and were very similar to those indicate. he manufi 😘 (Sigma Chemical Co., 1980). Most of the adsorof boron by the resin took place during the ≺t 6 to 2 ours of ting (Talle 2). The results of laboratory roeriment confirms. .hat the adsorption of boron by resin is sti-(Table 3 and file release of boron from the resin? r proces Since solution bore concer. ons of 9. M B are relatively high for most plants ren & Bir am, 1985, Japproaches were developed to lowe solutio boron concer ration: decreasing the amount of boron satu d sin from 1 g/L to 0.008 g/L, decreased solution boron concentic from 92.5 to 3.7  $\mu$ M B. At about 0.04 g of boron saturated res. er litre, boron concentrations were maintained at 9.2  $\mu$ M B, whic. mmonly used in solution culture for adequate boron supply (Kii and Loneragan, 1988; Bell et al., 1990). Thus relatively small amounts of boron saturated resin were sufficient to raise solution boron concentrations to levels which are adequate for most plants, and to maintain those concentrations for up to 10 days. Further testing is required to determine the maximum length of time for which buffering of boron concentrations in solution can be achieved with the relatively small amount of resin.

At the lowest amount of boron saturated resin added, the solution contained 3.7  $\mu$ M B which was still adequate for growth. Not only was plant dry weight equivalent to that of plants in solutions containing  $\geq$ 13.8  $\mu$ M B, but boron concentrations in shoots were more than adequate with > 20 mg B/kg (Huang *et al.*, unpublished data). Indeed, 3.7  $\mu$ M B was also equivalent to soil solution boron concentrations in boron adequate soils (Loneragan, 1975).

As an alternative to decreasing the amount of boron saturated resin added to the solution, which inevitably decreases its long term boron buffering capacity, the present study showed that mixing increasing amounts of boron free resin to the becaused resin decreased solution boron concentration. Mixing 4 for boron free resin with 5 g of boron saturated resin decreased the mullibrium solution boron concentration from 83 to 33  $\mu$ M F, Higher tios of boron free: boron saturated resin would un oubtedly the decrease solution boron concentrations.

In glass house experiment 1, plant growth in resin ated solvions and in solutions supplied with  $H_3BO_3$  at  $L_1$ , 3 we  $\{P=0.05\}$  at both harvest 1 and 2. The 1 oron oncentrations in plant material were also similar for the two aterus plants. Cutting, 1971) providing further evidence that the up to of born by plants was similar whether boron was similar whether was similar whe

In glass house experiment 2, bord confuntrations in plant material, were similar in plant wing in reared and control solutions at 9.2  $\mu$ M B  $\epsilon$  tion. the low ar shoots of plants at 80.5 and 75 μM B/L 'T ible 9) cont. I higher concentrations of boron can be attribut their higher a grage boron concentration in nutrient solution than those in control solutions. Again it is quite clear that corption useron from solutions treated with boron specific risin . those is untrol solutions 9.2  $\mu$ M B, were a direct 'spon e colution boron concentration. By contrast with shoot Lion conce ions, biomass in the resin treated solutions was signif antly preater than those in the control solutions with 9.2  $\mu\text{M}$ Bano ets of plants had lower dry weight than the equivalent lants in experiment 1. Since the solutions were changed once after days in experiment 2, and once every 7 days in experiment 1,

the ower plant growth in experiment 2 may be due to lower basal nutrient supply. However, lower basal nutrient supply does not mediately explain the superior growth of plants in the resin treated solutions.

In order to resolve the cause of poor growth of control plants in periment 2, a small laboratory experiment was carried out to determine which nutrients were released by the resin. Two sets of the same nutrient solution as used for glass house experiments 1 and 2 were prepared. In one set, 2 g of boron loaded resin was added while in other set no resin was added. These solutions were aerated as in the glasshouse experiments but no plants were grown in them. The solution samples were collected after 0 and 10 days in the case where no resin was added and after 5 and 10 days where resin was added. Apart from boron and nitrogen, the concentrations of all the other nutrient elements were more or less the same with or without resin in solution (Table 10). The resin released up to 20 mg nitrogen per gram resin. Acid digestion of resin confirmed that it contained 24 mg N per gram of resin but negligible or undetectable amounts of the remaining elements reported in Table 10.

Thus in glass house experiment 2, the release of 20 mg N per gram of resin, in resin treated solutions, significantly (P  $\leq$  0.05) increased the growth of oil seed rape plants, which have high internal requirements for nitrogen (Hocking, 1993). In glass house experiment 1, no response to nitrogen release from the resin was

found because the nutrient solution was renewed after 7 days, so all the plants already received adequate nitrogen. Thus in solutions containing a low supply of nitrogen, nitrogen supplied by the resin may stimulate plant growth for reasons unrelated to boron supply. To reconfirm the results, another glasshouse experiment was conducted (data not shown) in which plants were suppled with 1/3rd, full and triple strength basal nutrient solutions. Plant growth of resin treated solutions were similar to those of control (9.2  $\mu$ M B) solutions only in case of triple strength basal nutrient solutions. Triple strength basal nutrient solutions also increased leaf nitrogen concentrations in canola to values above the critical nitrogen level for deficiency (Hocking, 1993). Thus, both shoot growth and leaf nitrogen responses to increasing the nutrient concentrations in the basal solution used in the present studies suggest that the basal solution was deficient in nitrogen for canola. In such a solution, the nitrogen released by the boron specific resin and increased frequency of solution replacement were sufficient causes to explain the stimulation in plant growth in resin treated solutions in experiments 1 and 2.

The present research demonstrated that boron specific resin can be used to buffer boron in solution cultures for plant growth for periods of up to 10 days. Different concentrations of boron in the nutrient solution can be established with the resin either by changing the amount of boron loaded exchange resin added to solutions or by mixing boron free resin with boron loaded resin.

#### References

- Asher, C.J. and F.P.C. Blamey, 1987. Experimental control of plant nutrient status using programmed nutrient addition. J. Plant Nutr., 10: 1371-1380.
- Asher, C.J. and D.G. Edwards, 1983. Modern solution culture techniques., pp. 94-119. In: A. Lauchli and R. L. Bieleski (eds.), "Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology, New Series. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Bell, P.F., R.L. Chaney and J.S. Angle, 1991. Determination of the copper activity required by maize using chelator- buff ad nutrient solutions. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 55: 136-137.
- Bell, R. W., L. McLay, D. Plaskett, B. Dell, J. F. Lonerage, 1990. Internal boron requirements of green gram (Vigno diata (L.) Wilzcek)., pp 275-280. In: M. L. Van Beusich am (Eu. Plant Nutrition Physiology and Applications. Kf wer Ac. emic Publishers, Dordrecht, the Netherlands.

- Brown, P.H. and H. Hu, 1993. Boron uptake in sunflower, squash and cultured tobacco cells: Studies with stable isotope and ICP-MS. Plant and Soil, 155/156: 147-150.
- Chaney, R.L., P.F. Bell and B.A. Coulombe, 1989. Screening strategies for improved nutrient uptake and use by plants, Sci. Hort., 24: 565-572.
- Cutting, F.W., 1971. Technical aspects of oilseed crops. Rapeseed p. 61. Workshop on Crop Production and Marketing Southern Tablelands, NSW, Canberra.
- Gagnon, J., J. Roth, B. Finzer, R. Hofmann, K. Haycock, J. Simpson and D. Feldman, 1984. The Accer his General Linear Modeling Package. Publ. Abacus Concept, n. Bonita Avenue Berkeley, California, USA.
- Hocking, P.J., 1993. Effects of sowing time provided interest age on critical nitrogen concentrations in canola (*B assica i.* 15 L.)., pp: 521-524. In: N. J. Barrow (ed.), Plat nutrition from Genetic Engineering to Field Practice. Dordrecht, he Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Keren, R. and F.T. Bingham, 1985. B ron in vater, plants. pp: 229-276. Advances in Soil Scier. 1. Ne v York: Springer-Verlag Inc.
- Kirk, G. and J. F. Loneragan 88. Actional pron requirement for leaf expansion and 3.1 as a ci. Le for diagnosis of boron ficiency soy an (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) cv Buchanan. Agronom v J., 1758-133.
- Loneragan, J.F., 1975. The a illability and absorption of trace elements in Nant syste and their relation to movement and convictation of trace ments in plants, pp. 109-134. In:

  D. J. D. Nicholas at R. Egan (eds.), Trace Elements in Soil-Plan nimal System Academic Press, New York.
- Loomis, V. and R.W. Jurst, 1992. Chemistry and biology of horon. Bio factors, 3: 229-239.
- Sig. Chemica. ected, 1980. Technical Bulletin Fluid Process
  ( in cals / p: 1-3. P. O. Box 14508. St Louis MO, 63178, JSA.
- Za inas, J. A., B. Cartwright and, L. Spouncer, 1987. Nitric acid in and multielement analysis of plant material by inductively coupled plasma spectrometry. Commun. Soil Si Plant Anal., 18: 131-146.