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#### Abstract

Tetrahymena pyriformis and Epistylis sp. found on the body surface, mouth and oesophagus of freshwater leech, Nephelopsis obscura were studied. Tetrahymena pyriformis was defined on the body surface, in the mouth and oesophagus of Nephelopsis obscura. But Epistylis sp. was only determined on the body surface of leech. The mean length of Tetrahymena pyriformis was $42 \pm 3.5 \mu(\mathrm{X} \pm$ SD, range $39-44 \mu, \mathrm{n}=30)$ with a mean width of $26 \pm 4.9 \mu(\mathrm{X} \pm$ SD, range $22-29 \mu, \mathrm{n}=30)$. Epistylis sp. length was $48 \pm 3.8 \mu(\mathrm{X} \pm \mathrm{SD}$, range $45-52 \mu, \mathrm{n}=30)$ a width of $38 \pm 2.9 \mu(\mathrm{X} \pm \mathrm{SD}$, range $36-42 \mu, \mathrm{n}=30)$.
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## Introduction

Protozoans are the most important group of animal parasites. However, some protozoologists consider them as commensals, but, they became pathogenic under certain conditions (Kreier and Baker, 1987; Lom, 1995; Mimioglu et al., 1968; Rogers and Gaines, 1975).

Tetrahymena pyriformis and Epistylis sp. are common ectocommensals found on the fish and other living organisms in water (Rogers and Gaines, 1975). Free-living species of $T$. pyriformis that are usually parasitic on the surface of internal organs of the fish (Bykhovskaya-Pavlovskaya et al., 1962; Kreier and Baker, 1987; Lom, 1995). A holotrichous parasiteT. pyniformis that has been reported from the gills, the surface of the body, and occasionally the internal organs of fish. This parasite may enter through the yolk sacs of fish larvae and other organs Bykhovskaya-Pavlovskaya et al., 1962; Ekingen, 1983; Hoffman, 1967; Lom, 1995; Rogers and Gaines, 1975). T. pyrifomis causes necrosis of muscular tissue and epithelial cells of some aquarium fish, oedema and haemorrhagie of dermis and subcutaneus, and degeneration of skin (Ponpornpisit et al., 2000).
Members of the genus Epistylis may be extremely pathogenic under some circumstances. Epistylis has been reported to attach on the body of fish, causing erosion of scales and hard-fin and sometimes bone, hyperplasia and haemorrhagie of epithelial tissue, and inflammation on the body (Ekingen, 1983; Hoffman, 1967; Rogers and Gaines, 1975; Post, 1987).
Freshwater leech, Nephelopsis obscura has been recorded as parasite on the fish by Hoffman, (1967), and Saglam and Sarieyyüpoglu , (1998). Many species of flagellates and ciliophorans have been reported on the body of the leeches (Davies, 1991; Ekingen, 1983; Rogers and Gaines, 1975; Sawyer, 1986).

The aim of this study was to determine protozoans of freshwater leech, $N$. obscura.

## Materials and Methods

The study was carried out between March 1997 and March 1998. A total of 130 freshwater leech, $N$. obscura, were collected in the discharge channels of Fisheries Research Station of the Fisheries Faculty, Firat University, located 15 km north-west of Elazig in Eastern Turkey. The samples were brought alive to the Laboratory in the jar that filled with water. The leeches were examined for protozoa using the methods in Kreier and Baker (1987), and Pritchard and Kruse (1982). The samples were examined alive and then fixed. For fixation $1 / 4000$ formalin and AFA was used. The specimens were identified with the aid of Bykhovskaya-Pavlovskaya et al. (1962) and Hoffman (1967). A total length and width of T. pyriformis and Episty/is sp. was measured by microscope with micrometer. Photographs of the protozoan were taken and their figures were drawn.

## Results

T. pyriformis and Epistylis sp. from class Ciliophora of Protozoa were found on the freshwater leech, N. obscura. T. pyriformis was defined on the body, in the mouth, oesophagus and digestive
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Fig. 1: The view of Tetrahymena pyriformis (A, B) (Scale bar $=15$ $\mu$ ). cp. cytopharynx; m, micronucleus; $n$, nucleus; $d v$, digestive vacuole

Saglam and Sarieyyupoglu: Study of Tetrahymena pyriformis and Epistylis sp. on the leech
tract of $N$. obscura. But, Epistylis sp. was only seen on the body surface of the leeches. T. pyriformis and Epistylis sp. were observed both alone and together on $N$. obscura. Two Ciliophorans, T. pyriformis and Epistylis sp. were firstly defined in the freshwater leech, N. obscura.

Morphology of Tetrahymena pyriformis: T. pyriformis (Fig. 1 A, B) was found by scraping the body surface and digestive system of $N$. obscura. T. pyriformis is oval shape. The mean total length of specimens preserved in formalin is $42.0 \pm 3.5 \mu$ (range 39.0-44.0 $\mu_{r} \pm \mathrm{SD}$ ) and the mean width $26.0 \pm 4.9 \mu$ (range 22.0-29.0 $\mu_{r}$ $\pm$ SD). This protozoan was defined in alive $N$. obscura, but it
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Fig. 2: The view of Episiyms sp. (A, b) (scale bar= $24 \mu$ ). c, cytopharynx; s, stalk; $n$, nucleus; dv, digestive vacuole.
was not determined in dead leech. The protozoan was parasitic and killed the larvae of leech. Lesions and pale colour was defined on the skin of $N$. obscura .

Morphology of Epistylis sp.: Epistylis sp. (Fig. 2 A, B), was only determined on the body of $N$. obscura as ectocommensal. This protozoan is small and has a handle. In the colony, there were 2-5 bodies of Epistylis sp. in the handle. The total length of Epistylis sp. was $48.0 \pm 3.8 \mu$ (range $45.0-52.0 \mu, \pm \mathrm{SD}$ ), with a mean width of $38.0 \pm 2.9 \mu$ (range 36.0-42.0 $\mu_{\mathrm{r}} \pm$ SD). The skin of leech
with Epistylis sp. was like velvety structure.

## Discussion

Morphological features of T. pyriformis showed a similarity with the findings of Bykhovskaya-Pavlovskaya et al. (1962). Although size of Epistylis sp. has been reported as 160-300 $\mu$ (Ekingen, 1983; Hoffman, 1967), in this study, it is smaller ( $48 \pm 3.8 \mu$ ) than that of previous studies. It was observed that Epistylis sp. attached colonies form on the leehes. These results are similar to the study of many researchers (Ekingen, 1983; Harlioglu, 1999; Hoffman, 1967; Rogers and Gaines, 1975). T. pyriformis has been reported to cause important pathological disorders (Bykhovskaya-Pavlovskaya et al., 1962; Ekingen, 1983; Hoffman, 1967; Rogers and Gaines, 1975). But, in this study, T. pyriformis was observed on the body and digestive tract of freshwater leech, N. obscura which is a parasite in trout. Furthermore, Epistylis is also attached to fish (Hoffman, 1967; Margolis and Arthur, 1979; Rogers and Gaines, 1975) and crayfish (Harlioglu, 1999). In this study, it was determined that Epistylis was also attached to the leeches.
No pervious study was found about ciliophorans of $N$. obscura, as T. pyriformis and Epistylis sp. were firstly defined in the freshwater leech, $N$. obscura by this investigation.
So, N. obscura may be dangerous for trout. Because, it is parasite for trout and also it may transfer protozoans ( $T$. pyriformis, Epistylis sp.) to fish.
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