

<http://www.pjbs.org>

PJBS

ISSN 1028-8880

**Pakistan
Journal of Biological Sciences**

ANSI*net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

Distribution of Fungi in the Sandy Soil of Egyptian Beaches

Fatma F. Migahed

Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Mansouria University, Mansoura, Egypt

Abstract: The mycobiota of the sandy soil of Egyptian beaches was investigated in thirty six sand samples collected from nine different localities in Egypt. The filamentous fungi were identified and assigned to thirty one genera and fifty one species. Greater populations as well as a wider spectrum range of fungal genera and species were obtained in sandy soil of Alexandria beach while Balteem beach was the poorest one. The total count of the genus or species did not always follow the number of cases of isolation. Most of the genera detected belonged to the Deuteromycotina with fewer proportions belonging to the Ascomycotina and Zygomycotina. The genera of highest incidence and their respective numbers of species were: *Penicillium* (35.72%, 6 spp.) and *Aspergillus* (30.28%, 16 spp.). The species which showed the highest incidence in all cases was *P. chrysogenum*, followed by *P. citrinum*, *A. flavus*, *Chaetomium murorum* and *Trichoderma viride*. Several other genera and species were detected at quite low occurrence.

Key words: Fungal population, Sandy soil, Egyptian beaches

Introduction

Fungi are distributed throughout nature and dispersion persists the species to be in equilibrium with one another and with other organisms in their habitats. Many fungi can be isolated from the air, with their incidence varying according to geographic, environmental or bioclimatic factors such as collection site, time of the years relative air humidity, rainfall, wind speed and proximity to the source where they were produced (Gambale *et al.*, 1983, Meyer *et al.*, 1983, Oliveira *et al.*, 1993, Tan *et al.*, 1992). Consequently, these factors determine the quality and quantity of the mycobiota existing in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. Kohlmeyer and Kohlmeyer (1971) and Tan (1985), among others, recorded exclusively marine fungi, but the soil is the typical reservoir of anemophilous fungi (Hawksworth, 1991).

Studies carried out at the interface of marine and terrestrial ecosystems by Bergen and Wagner-Merner (1977), Dabrowa *et al.* (1964), Kishimoto and Baker (1969) and Ristanovic and Miller (1969) refer almost exclusively to fungi representing the soil community, perhaps because this is the most numerous and is favored by greater facility of formation, survival and dispersal of the light propagula of its representative. Beach sand, where fungal conidia are viable under certain specific conditions, can play an important role as a vehicle in the transmission of the infectious processes (Larrondo and Calvo, 1989).

An evaluation of the mycological quality of the sand beaches of the Lisbaa and Vale do Tejo coastal area in Portugal was under taken in May-October, 1964. The Keratinolytic fungi, yeasts, potential pathogenic and allergic and/or environmental saprophytic fungi were

analyzed. The data showed good/satisfactory quality of the sand beaches for the genus *Candida*. The results indicated that the allergic and/or environmental saprophytic fungi were the most common in sand beaches. It is suggested that *Scopulariopsis* and *Candida* could be used as specific indicator organisms of sand beaches quality. A new quality objective is introduced that will contribute to improving sand beaches quality (Mendes *et al.*, 1998). Mendes *et al.*, (1993) reported that beach sand showed a higher level of microbiological contamination than sea water. The level of contamination may be related to direct or indirect microbial contamination from beach users. The level preliminary criteria for beach sand microbiological quality were developed on the basis of public health considerations.

The predominance of subdivisions: Deuteromycotina, Ascomycotina and Zygomycotina has been observed in coastal soils of California by Dabrowa *et al.* (1964), in coastal soils of Florida by Bergen and Wagner-Merner (1977) and in coastal soils of Hawaii by Kishimoto and Baker (1969). In studies carried out on the water and sand of the Boa Viagem beach, Recife, Brazil, Pinto *et al.* (1992) detected 115 species while Sarquis and Oliveira (1996) isolated 170 species from sandy soil of Ipanema beach. These results showed a much higher species diversity than reported by Moustafa and Al-Musallam (1975) in Kuwait and Bergen and Wagner-Merner (1977) in the U.S.A. These variations may probably be attributed to bioclimatic factors acting on and/or interfering with, fungal survival and dispersal. It is also possible that the frequency of bathers who carry propagula and leave

substance, might create conditions favourable to fungal development, as suggested by Bergen and Wagner-Merner (1977).

The aim of this study is to determine the presence and density of viable fungal conidia in samples of sands obtained from different localities of Egyptian beaches.

Materials and Methods

Following the method described by Johnson *et al.* (1959), thirty-six soil samples were collected from the sand beaches of different localities in Egypt. These localities include: Alexandria, Balteem, Gamsah, Ras El-Bar, Port-Said, Ismailia, Suez, Hargadah and South Sinai.

The soil samples were analyzed chemically for the estimation of total soluble salts, organic matter, chloride, electric conductivity and pH value. The dilution plate method was used for the estimation of soil fungi, as described by Johnson *et al.* (1959) but with some modifications. One of these is the use of Menzies (1957) dipper to replace pipettes. Modified Gzapek's medium in which glucose (10 gm L⁻¹) replaces sucrose and rose Bengal was added as bacteriostatic agent to the medium at concentration of 1/15000 (Smith and Dawson, 1944) for determination of fungi.

Six plates were used for each sample. They were incubated at 25°C for isolation the fungi. The colonies of slow growing fungi which were about to be overgrown as well as mycelial fragments of some colonies were transferred to Czapek's agar + 0.05% yeast extract, or potato dextrose agar. The developing fungi were counted and identified.

Results

Fifty one species belonging to thirty one genera were identified, in addition to the Mycelia sterilia (Table 1). The highest total count of fungi (C = 149.93 colonies/mg soil) was recorded in the samples collected from sandy soil of Alexandria beach, while the lowest total count (C = 44.85 colonies/mg soil) was recorded in the samples of Balteem beach.

Four genera, namely: *Penicillium*, *Aspergillus*, *Chaetomium* and *Trichoderma*, were represented in the sand beaches of the all nine localities but their counts and presence values in the collected samples of each locality varied.

Aspergillus showed the broadest spectrum range of the species and it represented by sixteen species, followed by *Penicillium* which represented by six species only. Their percentage counts were the highest (30.28% and 35.72% of the fungal total count respectively). In addition, *Chaetomium* (*C. murorum*) was represented by 10.93% while *Trichoderma* (*T. viride*) was represented by 8.05%.

Table 1: Mean total count of each genus and species isolated from all localities investigated (calculated per mg soil) as well as frequency of occurrence

Fungal species	Mean total count	Frequency (%)	Remark
<i>Alternaria alternata</i> (Fr.) Keissler	0.12	25.00	M
<i>Aspergillus</i>	23.82	100.00	H
<i>A. abutaceus</i> Berkeley and Curtis	0.75	38.89	M
<i>A. awamori</i> Nakazawa	0.01	2.78	R
<i>A. candidus</i> Link.	0.09	11.11	L
<i>A. flavus</i> Link ex. Fries	7.70	97.22	H
<i>A. foetidus</i> (Naka.) Thom and Raper	0.02	2.78	R
<i>A. fumigatus</i> Fresenius.	0.31	11.11	L
<i>A. glaucus</i> Link.	0.58	50.00	M
<i>A. janus</i> Raper and Thom	0.88	36.00	M
<i>A. niger</i> Van Tieghem	4.82	94.00	H
<i>A. sulphureus</i> (Fresenius) Thom and Church	0.04	2.78	R
<i>A. sydowii</i> (Bain. and Sart.) Thom and Church.	7.35	86.11	H
<i>A. terreus</i> Thom	1.03	75.00	H
<i>A. thomii</i> Smith	0.21	19.44	L
<i>A. ustus</i> (Bain.) Thom and Church	0.01	2.78	R
<i>A. versicolor</i> (Vuill.) Tiraboschi	0.09	22.22	L
<i>A. wentii</i> Wehmer	0.11	13.89	L
<i>Botryotrichum piluliferum</i> Sacc and March.	0.26	44.44	M
<i>Cephalosporium curtipes</i> Saccardo	3.59	61.11	H
<i>Chaetomium murorum</i> Corda	8.60	83.33	H
<i>Circinella simplex</i> van Tieghem	0.03	8.33	R
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i> (Pers.) Link.	1.58	25.00	M
<i>Cunninghamella echinulata</i> Thaxter	0.03	8.33	R
<i>Drechslera australiensis</i> Subram and Jain ex. H.B. Ellis.	0.01	5.56	R
<i>Emericella nidulans</i> (Eidam) Wuill.	0.03	5.56	R
<i>Epicoccum purpurascens</i> Ehrenb. ex. Schlecht.	0.02	2.78	R
<i>Fennellia flavipes</i> Wiley and Simmons	0.06	5.56	R
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i> Sheldon	0.18	5.56	R
<i>Gliocladium roseum</i> (Link) Thom	0.06	2.78	R
<i>Helminthosporium</i> sp. Link ex. Fries	0.01	2.78	R
<i>Humicola grisea</i> Traaen	0.05	2.78	R
<i>Mucor racemosus</i> Fresenius	0.01	5.56	R
<i>Nigrospora sphaerica</i> (Saccharo) Mason	0.02	2.78	R
<i>Penicillium</i>	28.10	100.00	H
<i>P. chrysogenum</i> Thom	11.60	97.22	H
<i>P. citrinum</i> Thom	8.40	83.33	H
<i>P. coryophilum</i> Dierckx	1.13	25.00	M
<i>P. jensenii</i> Zaleski	0.82	22.22	L
<i>P. purpurogenum</i> Stoll	1.23	19.44	L
<i>P. brevicompactum</i> Dierckx	4.92	33.33	M
<i>Phoma humicolo</i> Gilman and Abbott	0.02	5.56	R
<i>Scopulariopsis brevicaulis</i> Bainier	0.07	11.11	L
<i>Scytidium lignicola</i> Pesante	0.02	2.78	R
<i>Sepedonium chrysospermum</i> (Bulliard) Fries	0.02	5.56	R
<i>Spicaria silvatica</i> Oudemans	0.01	2.78	R
<i>Stachybotrys atra</i> Corda	1.47	63.89	H
<i>Staphylotrichum coccosporum</i> Meyer and Nicot.	0.02	2.78	R
<i>Stemphylium solani</i> Weber	0.01	2.78	R
<i>Syncephalastrum racemosum</i> (Cohn) Schroeter	0.08	19.44	L
<i>Thermomyces lanuginosus</i> Tsiklinsky	0.07	2.78	R
<i>Trichoderma viride</i> Persoon.	6.44	91.67	H
<i>Verticillium</i> sp. Nees	0.65	33.33	M
<i>Mycelia sterilia</i>	3.45	77.78	H

Occurrence Remark: H = high > 18 cases out of 36 (>50%), M = Moderate, 9-18 cases out of 36 (25-50%); L = low, 4-8 cases out of 36 (12-25%); R = rare < 4 cases out of 36 (<12%)

On the other hand, each of the rest of genera was represented by one species only and were occupied in 15.02% of the total count of fungi.

The fungi represented in (Table 1) showed that, the fungal species of high frequency of occurrence included: *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, *A. sydowii*, *A. terreus*, *Cephalosporium curtipes*, *Chaetomium murorum*, *Penicillium chrysogenum*, *P. citrinum*, *Stachybotrys atra* and *Trichoderma viride* were recorded in more than 18 cases out of thirty six. The results showed also that, *Alternaria alternata*, *Aspergillus glaucus*, *A. janus*, *A. alutaceus*, *Botryotrichum piluliferum*, *Cladosporium herbarum*, *Penicillium coriophyllum*, *P. brevicompactum* and *Verticillium sp.* were of moderate occurrence. They were recorded in 9-18 cases out of 36.

The infrequent species of low occurrence, which were isolated from 4-9 cases out of 36; these species were: *Aspergillus candidus*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. thomii*, *A. versicolor*, *A. wentii*, *Penicillium jensenii*, *P. purpurogenum*, *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis* and *Syncephalastrum racemosum*. In addition, *Aspergillus awamori*, *A. foetidus*, *A. sulphureus*, *A. ustus*, *Circinella simplex*, *Cunninghamella echinulata*, *Drechlera australiensis*, *Emericella nidulans*, *Epicoccum purpurascens*, *Fennellia flavipes*, *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Gliocladium roseum*, *Helminthosporium sp.*, *Humicola grisea*, *Mucor racemosus*, *Nigrospora sphaerica*, *Phoma humicola*, *Scytalidium lignicola*, *Sepedonium chrysospermum*, *Spicaria silvatica*, *Staphylotrichum coccosporum*, *Stemphylium solani* and *Thermomyces lanuginosus* were of rare frequency of occurrence. Most of genera or species did not show regular correlation between the count and number of cases of isolation.

The results in (Table 2) showed that, the highest total counts were recorded in the soil samples collected from sand beaches of Alexandria followed by South Sina and Port Said (C = 149.9, 106.6 and 97.1 colonies per mg dry soil respectively). These samples were characterized by relative high organic matter content ranged between 0.2-0.4%, total soluble salts from 0.95% - 2.75%. On the other

hand, sand beach of Balteem was appeared to be the poorest one in the total count of fungi. It was characterized by its poverty in organic matter content 0.18%, total water soluble salts (0.65%). pH values of the soil samples reveal no appreciable differences, all are alkaline and the pH ranges from 7.96 - 8.99.

The results represented in (Tables 2 and 3) showed also that, the highest number of genera and species were recorded in soil samples of sand beaches of Alexandria (19 G and 36 spp.), where *Aspergillus* (mainly *A. flavus* and *A. niger*) was the dominant genus, Ras El-Bar (19 G and 31 spp.), *Penicillium* showed the highest total count which contributed to *P. chrysogenum* and South Sina (16 G and 33 spp.), of which *Aspergillus* especially *A. flavus* was the most common. Samples collected from Hargadah and Ismailia show approximately the same number of genus and species (14G and 23 spp. and 13G and 22 spp. respectively) and also it was similar in case of Gamsah (8G and 18 spp.) and Suez (8G and 19 spp.).

Discussion

The fungi isolated belong to fifty one species and thirty one genera (Table 1). Greater populations as well as a wider spectrum range of fungal genera or species were recorded in sandy soil of beach Alexandria and this was affected by organic matter content and the total water soluble salts. These results were in accordance with Sarquis and Oliveira (1996) and Bergen and Wagner-Merner (1977). The authors reported that these variations may probably be attributed to bioclimatic factors acting on and/or interfering with, fungal survival and dispersal. It is also possible that the frequency of bathers who carry propagula and leave substrates, might create conditions favourable to fungal development. The level of contamination may be related to direct or indirect microbial contamination from beach users (Mendes *et al.*, 1996).

Genera *Penicillium*, *Aspergillus*, *Trichoderma*, *Chaetomium*, *Stachybotrys* and *Cephalosporium* were of high occurrence (frequency more than 50%), while *Botryotrichum*, *Cladosporium* and *Verticillium* showed moderate occurrence (frequency, 25-50%) and

Table 2: Soil analysis of the different localities, pH value, electric conductivity (E.C.), organic matter content (O.M.C), total soluble salts (T.S.S.), chloride, water content (W.C.), total count of fungi (T.C.), number of genera (No.G.), number of species (No. spp.)

Soil No.	Locality	pH	E.C.	O.M.C.	T.S.S.	Chloride	W.C.	T.C.	No. G.	No. spp.
1	Alexandria	0.06-8.32	700-2480	0.06-0.39	0.05-0.95	0.19-1.255	2.04-27.70	149.93	19	36
2	Balteem	7.85-7.96	1300-1650	0.12-0.18	0.30-0.65	0.64-0.81	12.79-16.69	44.85	9	16
3	Gamsah	7.95-8.15	950-1400	0.06-0.24	0.20-0.45	0.45-0.70	16.6-24.52	61.71	8	18
4	Hargadah	8.24-8.28	1400-1900	0.24-0.30	0.35-0.75	0.66-0.93	0.45-9.85	77.86	14	23
5	Ismailia	7.96-8.07	450-2000	0.06-0.21	0.10-0.75	0.19-1.02	14.67-21.36	49.7	13	22
6	Port-Said	7.98-8.47	1280-2500	0.12-0.21	0.65-1.25	0.56-1.40	13.49-32.89	97.13	6	15
7	Ras El-Bar	7.84-8.42	1480-6000	0.06-0.21	0.35-4.00	0.71-4.63	16.14-32.50	52.55	19	31
8	Suez	8.31-8.43	800-1180	0.15-0.27	0.15-0.30	0.33-0.51	6.21-15.34	68.11	8	19
9	South Sinai	7.90-8.99	100-3900	0.06-0.36	0.10-2.75	0.04-1.68	7.46-25.64	106.66	16	33

Table 3: Mean total count per mg soil (T.C.) and number cases of isolation (NCI) of fungi recorded in sand beaches of different localities. 1; Alexandria, 2; Balteem, 3; Gamsah, 4; Hargadah, 5; Ismailia, 6; Port-Said, 7; Ras-El Bar, 8; Suez and 9; South Sinai

Locality	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI
Total count	149.93		44.85		61.71		77.8		49.7		97.13		52.51		68.11		106.66	
<i>Alternaria alternate</i>	0.39	3	-	-	-	-	0.2	1	-	-	-	-	0.21	2	-	-	0.23	3
<i>Aspergillus</i>	53.9	9	16.45	2	15.08	3	17.61	2	13.65	3	39.15	4	10.98	4	14.76	2	32.68	7
<i>A. glutaceus</i>	0.04	1	0.17	1	0.92	3	-	-	4.83	3	0.04	1	0.5	2	-	-	0.23	3
<i>A. awamori</i>	0.09	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>A. candidus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.42	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.36	3
<i>A. flavus</i>	19.5	9	2.71	2	0.81	3	3.79	2	0.83	2	21.87	4	1.40	4	3.33	2	15.19	7
<i>A. foetidus</i>	0.19	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>A. fumigatus</i>	0.41	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.10	1	2.29	1	-	-
<i>A. glaucus</i>	0.90	4	0.73	2	0.44	2	0.50	1	1.04	2	0.48	2	0.08	1	0.71	2	0.32	2
<i>A. janus</i>	0.36	3	-	-	-	-	4.21	2	-	-	0.69	3	-	-	1.52	2	1.13	3
<i>A. niger</i>	16.41	9	0.84	1	0.58	2	6.37	2	1.28	3	5.19	4	1.19	4	1.85	2	9.71	7
<i>A. sulphureus</i>	0.37	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>A. sydowii</i>	10.77	9	11.79	1	12.14	3	1.96	2	4.61	2	7.40	4	7.50	4	2.67	1	7.27	5
<i>A. terreus</i>	3.14	8	-	-	0.19	1	0.33	2	0.47	1	2.73	4	0.73	4	0.98	2	0.73	5
<i>A. thomii</i>	0.95	3	-	-	-	-	0.29	1	-	-	0.04	1	-	-	0.62	1	0.02	1
<i>A. ustus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.12	1
<i>A. versicolor</i>	0.36	3	0.21	1	-	-	-	-	0.19	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.07	2
<i>A. wentii</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.08	1	-	-	-	-	0.04	1	0.79	2	0.04	1
<i>Botryotrichum piluliferum</i>	0.74	5	-	-	-	-	0.17	1	0.42	1	-	-	0.04	1	0.2	1	0.81	6
<i>Cephalosporium curtipes</i>	10.73	6	7.08	2	1.69	1	0.08	1	7.8	3	-	-	1.19	3	0.42	1	3.35	5
<i>Chaetomium murorum</i>	19.8	9	0.42	1	0.56	1	17.88	2	0.29	3	10.98	4	0.04	1	4.13	2	23.31	7
<i>Circinella simplex</i>	0.08	1	-	-	0.11	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.08	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	0.69	3	0.42	1	-	-	3.75	1	-	-	-	-	1.56	3	-	-	7.74	1
<i>Cunninghamella echinulata</i>	0.02	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.21	1	-	-	0.07	1
<i>Drechslera australiensis</i>	0.05	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.08	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Emericella nidulans</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.08	1	-	-	-	-	0.21	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Epicoccum purpurascens</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.21	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Fennellia flavipes</i>	0.41	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.13	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.17	1	1.45	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Gliocladium roseum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Helminthosporium sp.</i>	0.09	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Humicola grisea</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.46	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Mucor racemosus</i>	0.02	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.10	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Nigrospora sphaerica</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.21	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Penicillium</i>	51.69	9	13.96	2	35.69	3	29	2	9.58	3	34.17	4	23.48	4	28.5	2	26.87	7
<i>P. chrysogenum</i>	10.26	8	5.83	2	10.06	3	6.21	2	4.34	3	21.06	4	16.59	4	12.42	2	17.63	7
<i>P. citrinum</i>	10.28	9	4.42	2	10.97	3	19.38	2	1.33	2	12.83	2	5.73	3	3	1	7.62	6
<i>P. coryophilum</i>	1.69	2	-	-	5.11	1	-	-	2.64	2	0.27	1	0.44	2	-	-	0.02	1
<i>P. jensenii</i>	0.81	3	0.21	1	3.5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.46	1	1.40	2	
<i>P. purpurogenum</i>	1.25	2	3.50	2	5.86	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.31	1	-	-	0.17	1
<i>P. brevicompactum</i>	27.40	4	-	-	0.19	1	3.42	2	1.28	1	-	-	0.31	2	11.63	1	0.02	1
<i>Phoma humicola</i>	0.05	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.10	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Scopulariopsis brevicaulis</i>	0.12	1	-	-	0.14	1	-	-	0.14	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.24	1
<i>Scytidium lignicola</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.21	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Sepedonium chrysosperum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.14	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.07	1
<i>Spicaria silvatica</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.11	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Stachybotrys atra</i>	1.43	6	-	-	0.33	1	0.67	2	1.31	2	1.375	4	1.08	2	6.33	2	0.71	4
<i>Staphylotrichum coccosporum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.21	1	-	-

Table 3: Continued.

Locality	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		
	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	TC	NCI	
<i>Fungal species</i>																			
<i>Stemphylium solani</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.10	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Syncephalastrum racemosum</i>	0.20	4	-	-	-	-	0.29	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.20	2
<i>Thermomyces lanuginosus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.06	1
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	5.09	7	5	2	7.64	3	2.92	2	6.0	2	8.58	4	8.37	4	7.39	2	6.96	7	
<i>Verticillium sp.</i>	1.18	4	1.46	1	-	-	-	-	0.14	1	-	-	1.25	1	-	-	1.82	5	
<i>Mycelia sterilia</i>	3.66	6	0.33	1	0.47	3	4.75	2	8.67	3	2.79	3	2.69	4	6.17	2	1.54	4	

the other fungal genera showed low and rare occurrence (frequency, 12-25% and < 12% respectively). *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus* accounted for about 65.9% of the mean total count of fungi. Parallel to this result Mendes *et al.* (1998) showed that the environmental saprophytic fungi were the most common in the sand beaches of Portugal. Sarquis and Oliveira (1996) reported that the genera of highest incidence recorded in the sandy soil of Iponema beach were; *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Fusarium* and *Trichoderma*. Also, Jose *et al.* (1994) found that these genera were dominated in 2 sand beaches in Portugal. Moreover, Moubasher and Moustafa (1970), El Dohlob and Abu- Ellil (1978), El-Fallal (1982) and El-Dohlob *et al.* (1985) found that *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Fusarium* were frequent in Egyptian soil.

The genus *Penicillium* was isolated from all samples, independently of the area and it was the most abundant genus observed. The data are coincident with those reported by several authors who mention the constant presence of *Penicillium* in the mycoflora from different areas in the world (Calvo *et al.*, 1980a and b and De Almeida, 1997). The species of *Penicillium* genus detected were: *P. chrysogenum*, *P. citrinum*, *P. coriophyllum*, *P. jenseni*, *P. purpurogenum* and *P. brevicompactum*. *P. chrysogenum* was the most frequent species (97.22%) while *P. purpurogenum* was the least frequent one. Many of the isolated species belonging to the genus *Penicillium* are part of the atmospheric mycoflora, as it reported in different studies (Larronda and Calvo, 1989; Calvo *et al.*, 1979; Gregory, 1973 and Guarro *et al.*, 1981).

Aspergillus genus is the second in incidence, though its isolation varied in the different beach samples according to the area. *Aspergillus* genus has been cited as one of the fungi which are present in the atmosphere (Calvo *et al.*, 1980a, Meyer *et al.*, 1983, Oliveira *et al.*, 1993 and Pinto *et al.*, 1992) and soils of various areas (Calvo *et al.*, 1984, Larronda and Calvo, 1989 and Sarquis and Oliveira, 1996). *Aspergillus* was the broadest spectrum range, it represented by 16 species namely: *A. awamori*, *A. candidus*, *A. flavus*, *A. foetidus*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. glaucus*, *A. jamus*, *A. niger*, *A. alutaceus*, *A. sulphureous*,

A. sydowii, *A. thomii*, *A. terreus*, *A. ustus*, *A. versicolor* and *A. wentii*. The species which showed the highest frequency in all cases was *A. flavus* followed by *A. niger* and *A. sydowii* (frequency of occurrence, 97.22%, 94% and 86.11% respectively).

The third place in count and the fourth in percentage frequency was displayed by genus *Chaetomium* which was represented by one species namely *C. murorum* (83.33%). These results are in agreement with those obtained by several authors who mentioned the presence of *Chaetomium murorum* in beach samples (Guarro *et al.*, 1981, Caretta *et al.*, 1976 and Larronda and Calvo, 1989). *Trichoderma* spp. (represented by *T. viride*), was less than *C. murorum* in count while higher than it in percentage frequency (91.67%).

It was worth mentioning that the total count of the genera or species in the thirty six soil samples did not always follow the number of cases of isolation. The same conclusions were obtained by Moubasher and Moustafa (1970), El-Dohlob *et al.* (1982), Meyer *et al.* (1983), Oliveira *et al.* (1993) and Pinto *et al.* (1992).

Moreover, there were no always correlation between the count and spectrum range of *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Dematiaceous* and *Hyaline hyphomycetes* species. This was due to deep fluctuations in response to alternation in condition of the atmosphere, chemical and physical analysis of the soil.

Thus, we concluded that a small number of genera with wide species diversification predominated among the total population of microflora in the sandy soil of Egyptian beaches and that they are the same universal dominants isolated from the air by several investigators. Also, peculiar and regional genera and species occurred at variable, but considerably low levels. In addition to these facts, it has also to be pointed out, that the distribution of the species belonging to the studied genera varied depending on the climatic conditions, their presence in the atmosphere and chemical analysis of the soil. Thus, it would appear that coastal sands represent a large fungal reservoir whose role is little understood but is possibly important for animals, plants and the marine and terrestrial ecosystems.

References

- Bergen, L. and D.T. Wagner-Merner, 1977. Comparative survey of fungi and potential pathogenic fungi from selected beaches in the Tampa bay area. *Mycologia*, 69: 299-308.
- Calvo, M.A., J. Guarro, G. Suarez and C. Ramirez, 1979. Airborne fungi in the air of Barcelona (Spain). II. The genus *Alternaria*. *Mycopathol.*, 69: 137-142.
- Calvo, M.A., J. Guarro, G. Suarez and C. Ramirez, 1980a. Airborne fungi in the air of Barcelona (Spain). III. The genus *Aspergillus*. *Mycopathol.*, 71: 41-43.
- Calvo, M.A., J. Guarro, G. Suarez and C. Ramirez, 1980b. Airborne fungi in the air of Barcelona (Spain). I. Two years study (1976-1978). *Mycopathol.*, 71: 89-93.
- Calvo, M.A., J. Guarro and M. Vidal, 1984. Keratinophilic fungal from urban soil of Barcelona, Spain. *Mycopathol.*, 85: 145-147.
- Caretta, G., G. Frate, del, E. Piontelli and F. Todaro, 1976. Microflora cheratinofila del pelo e dello sterco di nucca, del foraggio e del suola di fattoria: considerazioni sulla loro distribuzione. *Rivista di Parasitologia*, 37: 333-361.
- Dabrowa, N., J.W. Landau, V.D. Newcomer and O.A. Plunkett, 1964. A survey of the tide-washed coastal areas of the southern California for fungi potentially pathogenic to man. *Mycopathol. Mycol. Appl.*, 24: 137-150.
- De Almeida, M.G., 1997. Isolation of keratinolytic fungi from beach sand II. *Portugaliae Acta Biol. Serie B*, 17: 185-187.
- El-Dohlob, S.M. and H. Amani Abu-Ellil, 1978. Types of saline soils and fungal population of Egypt. *Bull. Fac. Sci. Mansoura Univ. No.*, 6: 104-117.
- El-Dohlob, S.M., M.A. Al-Helfi and T.M. Muhsin, 1982. Osmophilous and osmotolerant fungi in soil of littoral salt marshes of Iraq. *Proc. Egypt. Bot. Soc.*, 3: 43-58.
- El-Dohlob, S.M., J. Friend and A.A. Sherief, 1985. Xylan decomposing fungi in Egyptian soil. *Proc. Egypt. Bot. Soc.* 4 (Ismailia Conf.).
- El-Fallal, A.A., 1982. Studies on some keratinolytic microorganisms in Damiatta district. M.Sc. Thesis, Mansoura University.
- Gambale, W., A. Purcho and C.R. Paula, 1983. Influencia de fatores abioticos na dispersao area de fungos na cidade de Sao Paulo Brasil. *Rev. Microbiol.*, 14: 204-214.
- Gregory, P.H., 1973. *Microbiology of the atmosphere*. Leonard Hill (Ed.) 2nd edition. Great Britain.
- Guarro, J., L. Punsola and M.A. Calvo, 1981. Keratinophilic fungi from soil of Tarragona. Catalunya. *Mycopathologia*, 76: 69-71.
- Hawksworth, D.L., 1991. The fungal dimension of biodiversity: magnitude, significance and conservation. *Mycol. Res.*, 95: 641-655.
- Johnson, L., E. Curl, J. Bond and H. Fribourg, 1959. *Methods for studying soil microflora - plant disease relationships*. Minneapolis: Burgess Publishing Company.
- Jose, C. de-S., M.I.O. Costa and M.G. Almeida, 1994. Isolation of keratinophilic fungi from beach sand. *Revista-de-Biologia-Lisboa*, 15: 161-171.
- Kishimoto, R.A. and G.E. Baker, 1969. Pathogenic and potentially pathogenic fungi isolated from beach sands and selected soils of Oahu, Hawaii. *Mycologia*, 61: 537-548.
- Kohlmeyer, J. and E. Kohlmeyer, 1971. Marine fungi from tropica America and Africa. *Mycologia*, 63: 831-861.
- Larrondo, J.V. and M.A. Calvo, 1989. Fungal density in the sands of the Mediterranean coast beaches. *Mycopathologia*, 108: 185-193.
- Mendes, B., M. Nascimento, J. Oliveira, J.S. Morris, R.W. Grabow-Wok and A.P. Dufour, 1993. Preliminary characterization and proposal of microbiological quality standard of sand beaches. *Water - Science and Technology*, 27: 3-4.
- Mendes, B., J. Nascimento, P. Urbano, C. Alves, N. Lapa and J.S. Oliveira, 1996. Microbiological quality of the sand beaches in Portugal. *Coastal environment. Environmental Problems in Coastal Regions*, pp: 181-190.
- Mendes, B., P. Urbano, C. Alve, J. Morais, N. Lapa and J.S. Oliveira, 1998. Fungi as environmental microbiological indicators. *Health-related water microbiology*. Morris, B. (ed.). P.O. Box 800 Elsevier Science Ltd. Pergamon, pp: 155-162.
- Menzies, J.D., 1957. A dipper technique for serial dilutions of soil for microbial analysis. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.*, 21: 660.
- Meyer, G.H., H.E. Prince and W.J. Raymer, 1983. Airborne fungi. A resurvey. *Ann. Allerg.*, 51: 26-29.
- Moubasher, A.H. and A.F. Moustafa, 1970. A survey of Egyptian soil fungi with special reference to *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Penicillium* related genera. *Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc.*, 54: 35-44.
- Moustafa, A.F. and A.A. Al-Musallam, 1975. Contribution to the fungal flora of Kuwait. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.*, 65: 547-553.
- Oliveira, M.T.B., R.F.S. Braz and M.A.G. Ribeiro, 1993. Airborne fungi isolated from Natal, state of Rio Grande do Norte-Brazil. *Rev. Microbiol.*, 24: 198-202.
- Pinto, I.M.A., M.A.Q. Cavalcante and J.Z.O. Passavante, 1992. Hongos filamentosos aislados desde el suelo y agua en la Playa de Boa Viagem (Recife-Brasil). *Bol. Micrologico*, 7: 39-45.

- Ristanovic, B. and C.E. Miller, 1969. Salinity tolerances and ecological aspects of some fungi collected from freshwater, estuarine and marine habitats. *Mycopathol. et Mycol. Appl.*, 3: 273-280.
- Sarquis, M.I.M. and P.C. Oliveira, 1996. Diversity of microfungi in the sandy soil of Ipanema Beach, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *J. Basic Microbiol.*, 36: 51-58.
- Smith, N.R. and V.T. Dawson, 1944. The bacteriostatic action of rose Bengal in media used for the plate count of soil fungi. *Soil Sci.*, 58: 476-471.
- Tan, T.K., 1985. Observations on marine fungi in Singapore and Penang (Malaysia). *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.*, 85: 726-727.
- Tan, T.K., T.S. Teo, H. Tan, B.W. Lee and A. Chong, 1992. Variations in tropical airspora in Singapore. *Mycol. ORes.*, 96: 221-224.