http://www.pjbs.org



ISSN 1028-8880

Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences



© 2004 Asian Network for Scientific Information

Effects of Different Forms and Doses of Nitrogen Fertilizers on Safflower (*Chartamus tinctorius* L.)

Murat Tunçtürk and Bünyamin Yildirim
Department of Field Crops, Agricultural Faculty, Yüzüncü Yıl University, 65080 VAN-Turkey

Abstract: The aim of this study carried out in Van Turkey in 1997 and 1998 was to determine the effects of different forms and doses of nitrogenous fertilizers on the safflower (*Chartamus tinctorius* cv. Dinçer 5-118) yield and yield components. The nitrogenous fertilizers (Ammonium sulphate (21% N), urea (45% N) and ammonium nitrate (33% N) and their four different doses (0, 40, 80, 120 kg ha⁻¹) were examined in a Randomized Block Design with three replication. The plant height, the plant head number, the 1000 seed weight, the seed yield, the crude oil content and the crude oil yield were investigated. The nitrogenous fertilizer forms had a significant effects (p<0.01) on the seed yield in both years, but not on the crude oil content and the crude oil yield. The different nitrogenous fertilizer doses had a significant effects (p<0.05) on both the seed and the crude oil yields in both years, but not on the crude oil content. While the highest seed yield and crude oil yield (1994 kg seed ha⁻¹ and 497 kg oil ha⁻¹) were obtained from the application of the 120 kg N ha⁻¹ ammonium nitrate, the application of the 80 kg N ha⁻¹ urea gave the highest seed yield (1750 kg seed ha⁻¹) in 1998. While the highest average seed and the crude oil yields (1597 and 422 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) were obtained from the ammonium nitrate application, the 120 kg N ha⁻¹ application dose gave the highest average seed and the crude oil yields (1685 and 437 kg ha⁻¹, respectively).

Key words: Safflower, nitrogen forms, nitrogen doses, seed yield, oil yield

INTRODUCTION

It is known that one of the essential nutrient in human consumption oil or fat, is applied from the plant animal sources. However there is a limited increase in animal fat production. Therefore, in order to meet the increasing need in oil production is bound to the improvement and growth in oil plants. The importance of oil plants in Turkish agriculture and economy is also getting increased^[1].

Oil is important due to the fact that not only it is an energy source in human consumption but also it is essential in the use of A, D, E, K vitamins and it contains oleic, linoleic fatty acids^[2].

Safflower is an important plant whose oil are useful for oil cake and flowers. Safflower which has high quality oil is successfully produced in arid and unirrigated Anatolia and passageway regions where precipitation is limited because of its tolerance to drought. Besides used in meals in kitchens, oil is also widely used in the paint, varnish, polish and soap industries. It is also getting increasingly used in margarine production due to its unsaturated oil content. Its oil cake is used animal feeding and its stalks and stems can be used as fuel^[3]. Moreover

the paint chartamine obtained from safflower flowers is used as crude matter in paints; its oil may be used in candles and its flowers may be used as coloring agent in some meals^[3,4].

Safflower is not a very selective for soil and climate, it can be even produced in arid lands. Günel *et al.*^[5] reported that they had positive results in safflower production in arid lands of Van, Turkey and got up to 1700 kg ha⁻¹ safflower seed yield. Nitrogen is an essential plant nutrient, but is also an important input increase the cost of the its production. Therefore, the determination of the most suitable form and dose of nitrogen fertilizer will both increase the yield decrease the cost.

The reactions of different safflower cultivars grown in different regions to the different forms and doses of the nitrogen fertilizers are varied much.

Ahmed *et al.*^[6] reported that various doses of nitrogen had significant positive effects on the plant height, the number of branches, the flowering percentage, the seed yield, the 1000 seed number and the protein content in seeds.

Mahey *et al.*^[7] obtained the highest seed yields in safflower from 40 and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ in a study in Punjab from 1983 to 1985. However They didn't observed any

significant difference in the oil content of safflower supplied with various doses of nitrogen.

Cabl^[8] detected significant differences in the number of branches, the number of head and the protein contents in seed of two safflower cultivars grown under different nitrogen fertilizer forms and doses in Samsun, Turkey. They recommended the calcium ammonium nitrate in the 150 kg N ha⁻¹ doses in order to get optimum seed yield in that region.

Das and Ghosh^[9] examined the effects of four different doses of nitrogen on safflower in India, found that fertilizer doses significantly affected yield and yield components and recommended the 60 kg N ha⁻¹ dose as an optimum dose. Nimje^[10] reported that the water use efficiency was increased by nitrogen application. Many others studies^[11-20] also showed significant effects of nitrogen fertilizers and doses on safflower grown various part of world and Turkey. However these effects varied much depending on the fertilizer forms and doses besides various environmental conditions. Therefore, in this study it was aimed to detect the effects of different fertilizer forms and doses on safflower grown in Van, Turkey.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at the experimental farm of the university of Yüzüncüyıl, Van, Turkey in 1997 and 1998. Dinçer 5-118 safflower cultivar which has orange flowers, white and spineless seeds was used as a plant material. The climatic data of the region are represented in Table 1. Temperate climatic condition is ruled in the region. The soil has sandy-clay loam texture and low organic matter and nitrogen rich potassium and lime content medium phosphorus and is low alkaline (pH 7-7.6) (Table 2).

The study was planned in factorial block design with three replications, three forms of nitrogen fertilizer (Ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate and urea) and four doses of them (0, 40, 80, 120 kg ha⁻¹ 9 were applicated on safflower and their effects on yield and yield components were investigated. Result were tested in variance analysis and means were grouped in Duncan Multiple Comparison Test^[21].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height: Fertilizer forms significantly affected (p<0.05) the plant height. In both years (Table 3) obtained the highest plant height in the plots applied with ammonium nitrate in both years, respectively as 69.4 and 68.4 cm. Plant fertilized with either ammonium sulfate or urea had similar plant heights. It is assumed that plants have taken fertilizer with nitrate much more easily and fast. Therefore the nitrate fertilizer is more effectively than others [22].

Different nitrogenous doses significantly affected (P<0.01) the plant height in both year. As the nitrogen doses increased, plant height also increased. While the application 120 kg N ha⁻¹ gave the highest plant height

mata a set of	1 . 077		4000 1	
Table 1: Climatic	data of Van	in 1997 and	1998 and	long term*

	Precipitation (mm)			Tempera	ture (°C)		Relative humidity (%)		
Months	1997	1998	Long term	1997	1998	Long term	1997	1998	Long term
January	23.6	29.8	38.3	-1.7	-3.6	-4.0	56.6	74.4	70.0
February	22.6	39.0	33.4	-2.8	-5.0	-3.6	56.7	69.7	70.0
March	74.5	27.0	45.1	-2.0	1.9	0.7	60.0	72.0	69.0
April	32.5	41.6	54.4	6.2	8.7	7.2	53.0	71.0	63.0
May	23.3	36.0	46.3	13.9	14.0	12.9	49.6	67.8	57.0
June	25.0	10.7	18.4	18.7	20.9	17.8	41.3	57.1	50.0
July	31.6	1.0	5.1	21.7	23.6	22.0	44.2	54.0	44.0
August	-	1.2	3.9	22.5	23.1	21.5	27.4	54.4	42.0
September	10.7	-	10.5	17.1	18.0	17.0	51.3	61.3	43.0
October	56.4	0.3	45.4	11.8	11.8	10.3	70.4	62.4	59.0
November	10.8	14.8	47.5	5.1	8.8	4.3	76.1	67.0	67.0
December	-	57.5	32.1	-0.2	3.0	-1.1	73.0	72.0	69.0
Total/average	311.0	258.4	380.4	9.2	10.4	8.8	56.6	65.2	59.0

^{*}Taken from the recording of Meteorogical Department in Van

Table 2: Results of some chemical and physical analysis of experimental soil*

	Texture analysis										
Depth (cm)	Texture class	lime (%)	Salty (%) E.C.	Organic matter (%)	pН	Available P (ppm)					
0-20	Sandy-clay	11.97	1.6	0.85	7.5	5.04					
20-40	Sandy-clay	19.07	1.4	0.83	7.6	2.40					

^{*}Soil analysis were done at the laboratories of Rural Affairs 9th Region Department

Table 3: Mean and compared values of the various forms and doses of nitrogenous fertilizers on the plant height (cm) in safflower

		Nitrogenous fertilizer doses (kg ha ⁻¹)									
	Nitrogenous					Average of both	Average value				
Years	fertilizer forms	0	40	80	12 0	Form average	year's forms	of years			
1997	A. sulfat	63.8	68.9	68.1	68.9	66.0b	65.7b				
	Urea	63.8	65.3	66.9	68.2	66.1b	65.8b	67.3			
	A. nitrate	63.8	72.2	70.8	72.9	69.4a	69.1a				
	Average of doses	63.8b	66.9ab	68.6a	70.0a						
1998	A. sulfat	63.5	62.4	70.3	65.2	65.3b					
	Urea	63.5	63.5	65.4	69.8	65.8b		66.4			
	A. nitrate	63.5	68.2	71.1	70.4	68.4a					
	Average of doses	63.5b	64.7b	68.9a	68.5a						
Average	e of both year's doses	63.7b	65.8b	68.8a	69.3a						

Difference indicated with same letter(s) are non-significant

Table 4: Mean and compared values of the various forms and doses of nitrogenous fertilizers on the plant head (number) in safflower

	Nitrogen	ous fertilizer o	loses (kg ha ⁻¹))			
Nitrogenous				Average of both	Average values		
fertilizer forms	0	40	80	120	Average of forms	year's forms	of years
A. sulphate	10.2	11.6	11.3	9.8	10.7	11.4	
Urea	10.2	11.0	10.3	14.1	11.4	12.6	11.4b
A. nitrate	10.2	11.2	11.2	15.4	11.9	12.2	
Average of doses	10.2b	11.3ab	10.9ab	13.1 a			
A. sulphate	11.3	11.1	12.2	13.4	12.0b		
Urea	11.3	13.1	16.1	14.7	13.8a		12.8a
A. nitrate	11.3	11.7	13.3	13.6	12.5ab		
Average of doses	11.3	12.0ab	13.9a	13.9 a			
of both year's doses	10.8c	11.6bc	12.4ab	13.5a			
	A. sulphate Urea A. nitrate Average of doses A. sulphate Urea A. nitrate Average of doses A. sulphate Urea A. nitrate Average of doses	Nitrogenous fertilizer forms	Nitrogenous fertilizer forms	Nitrogenous fertilizer forms 0 40 80 A. sulphate 10.2 11.6 11.3 Urea 10.2 11.0 10.3 A. nitrate 10.2 11.2 11.2 A. verage of doses 10.2b 11.3ab 10.9ab A. sulphate 11.3 11.1 12.2 Urea 11.3 13.1 16.1 A. nitrate 11.3 11.7 13.3 Average of doses 11.3 12.0ab 13.9a	fertilizer forms 0 40 80 120 A. sulphate 10.2 11.6 11.3 9.8 Urea 10.2 11.0 10.3 14.1 A. nitrate 10.2 11.2 11.2 15.4 Average of doses 10.2b 11.3ab 10.9ab 13.1 a A. sulphate 11.3 11.1 12.2 13.4 Urea 11.3 13.1 16.1 14.7 A. nitrate 11.3 11.7 13.3 13.6 Average of doses 11.3 12.0ab 13.9a 13.9 a	Nitrogenous fertilizer forms 0 40 80 120 Average of forms A. sulphate 10.2 11.6 11.3 9.8 10.7 Urea 10.2 11.0 10.3 14.1 11.4 A. nitrate 10.2 11.2 11.2 15.4 11.9 Average of doses 10.2b 11.3ab 10.9ab 13.1 a A. sulphate 11.3 11.1 12.2 13.4 12.0b Urea 11.3 13.1 16.1 14.7 13.8a A. nitrate 11.3 11.7 13.3 13.6 12.5ab Average of doses 11.3 12.0ab 13.9a 13.9a	Nitrogenous fertilizer forms 0 40 80 120 Average of forms Average of both year's forms A. sulphate 10.2 11.6 11.3 9.8 10.7 11.4 Urea 10.2 11.0 10.3 14.1 11.4 12.6 A. nitrate 10.2 11.2 11.2 15.4 11.9 12.2 Average of doses 10.2b 11.3ab 10.9ab 13.1 a A. sulphate 11.3 11.1 12.2 13.4 12.0b Urea 11.3 13.1 16.1 14.7 13.8a A. nitrate 11.3 11.7 13.3 13.6 12.5ab Average of doses 11.3 12.0ab 13.9a 13.9a

Difference indicated with same letter(s) are non-significant

Table 5: Mean and compared values of the various forms and doses of nitrogenous fertilizers on the seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) in safflower

	Nitrogen	ous fertilizer d	oses (kg ha ⁻¹)					
Years	Nitrogenous fertilizer forms	0	40	80	120	Average of forms	Average of both year's forms	Average values of years
1997	A. sulphate	1298	1339	1339	1615	1397b	1454b	
	Urea	1298	1428	1690	1369	1446ab	1492b	1496
	A. nitrate	1298	1679	1605	1994	1644a	1597a	
	Average of doses	1298b	1482ab	1545ab	1659a			
1998	A. sulphate	1393	1482	1505	1658	1509b		
	Urea	1393	1455	1557	1750	1538ab		1532
	A. nitrate	1393	1362	1723	1720	1550a		
	Average of doses	1393c	1433c	1595b	1709a			
Average	of both year's doses	1345c	1457bc	1570b	1685a			

Difference indicated with same letter(s) are non-significant $% \left(\mathbf{r}\right) =\mathbf{r}\left(\mathbf{r}\right)$

Table 6: Mean and compared values of the various forms and doses of nitrogenous fertilizers on crude oil ratio (%) in safflower

		Nitroge	nous fertilizer	doses (kg ha ⁻¹		Average values		
	Nitrogenous				Average of both			
Years	fertilizer forms	0	40	80	120	Average of forms	year's forms	of years
1997	A. sulphate	25.2	28.1	27.8	26.1	26.8	27.6	
	Urea	25.2	25.5	25.7	24.3	25.2	26.4	25.7b
	A. nitrate	25.2	23.1	27.0	25.2	25.1	26.3	
	Average of doses	25.2	25.6	26.8	25.2			
1998	A. sulphate	27.7	29.6	29.1	26.8	28.3		
	Urea	27.7	28.4	27.5	26.7	27.6		27.8a
	A. nitrate	27.7	27.4	27.7	26.5	27.3		
	Average of doses	27.7	28.5	28.1	26.7			
Average	of both year's doses	26.5	27.1	27.5	25.9			

Difference indicated with same letter(s) are non significant

(70 cm) in 1997, the application of 80 kg N ha⁻¹ obtained the highest height (68.9 cm) in 1998. There was no significant difference in plant heights of 80 and 120 kg N ha⁻¹ applications. The control plants had the smallest plant in both years (Table 3.) According the mean values of both years, the ammonium nitrate fertilizers and

the application of 120 kg ha⁻¹ had the highest plant heights as 72.9 and 70.4 cm, respectively. The interaction between the dose and form of nitrogenous fertilizer was found significant (p<0.05) in 1998. The application of nitrogenous fertilizer increases the vegetative growth in plants. Esendal^[2], Ahmet $et\ al.^{[6]}$ Bayraktar and Ülker^[23],

Table 7: Mean and compared values of the various forms and doses of nitrogenous fertilizers on crude oil yield (%) in safflower

	Nitrogenous fertilizer forms	Nitrogen	Nitrogenous fertilizer doses (kg ha ⁻¹)							
Years		0	40	80	120	Average of forms	Average of both year's forms	Average values of years		
1997	A. sulphate	327	379	372	421	375	397	_		
	Urea	327	364	434	327	363	394	388b		
	A. nitrate	327	389	442	497	413	422			
	Average of doses	327b	377ab	416a	415a					
1998	A. sulphate	386	438	439	446	427				
	Urea	386	414	429	470	425		425a		
	A. nitrate	386	373	477	457	423				
	Average of doses	386c	408bc	448ab	458a					
Average	of both year's doses	357b	393ab	432a	437a					

Difference indicated with same letter(s) are non-significant

Das and Gosh^[9] reported positive results in plant height with the increasing doses of nitrogenous.

The number of plant head: The effects of various nitrogenous fertilizer forms on the number of head was non significant in 1997 and 1998. However overall average values of forms in combined years was significant (p<0.05). There was significant difference (p<0.05) on the number of head between two years. The application of ammonium nitrate fertilizer had the highest number of head in 1997 as 11.9 per plant, respectively. The application of urea had the highest number of head in 1998 as 13.8 per plant, respectively. As the doses of nitrogen increased, the number of head increased. The application of 120 kg N ha⁻¹ obtained the highest number of head in both years (Table 4). Günel et al.[5] obtained 7.8-9.9 head per plant in the cultivar Dinçer in Van conditions and Bayraktar and Ülker[23] got 10.75-11.40 head per plant. Moreover, Esendal^[2], Ahmed et al. [6], Cabi [8], Nimje [10], Singh et al. [24] reported significant increases at the number of plant head by increasing doses of nitrogen. Present results are in line with these studies.

Seed yield: Both the forms and doses of nitrogenous fertilizers had significant effects on the seed yield of safflower in both years. While the highest seed yield was obtained from ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulphate gave the lowest seed yield in both years (Table 5). Ammonium nitrate become more effective than others because plant uptake it much more easily and quickly than others[22]. Cabi^[8] reported the significant effects of nitrogenous fertilizer forms on the safflower seed yield and found that the highest seed yield was obtained from ammonium nitrate. The application of 120 kg N ha-1 obtained the highest seed yield in both years (Table 5). These are similar results which indicated that increase in the seed yield by increasing doses of nitrogenous fertilizer in the studies of Katole and Meane^[25], Mahey et al.^[7], Nimje^[10], Singh et al.^[24], Raiput^[12], Koç and Altınel^[14].

Crude oil ratio: There was significant difference in the crude oil ratios obtained in 1997 and 1998 due to the different environmental factors. The forms and doses of nitrogenous fertilizers had no significant effect on the crude oil ratio (Table 6). Cabi^[8] also reported the significant effect the forms of nitrogenous fertilizer on the crude oil ratio in safflower. However the crude oil ratio decreased (though insignificant) at the application of 120 kg N ha⁻¹. Esendal^[2], Mahey *et al.*^[7] and Cabi^[8] reported that the nitrogenous fertilizer doses didn't significantly effect on the crude oil ratio in safflower. However, Sepetoğlu^[26], Günel and Arslan^[27] reported decreases (though insignificant) at the crude oil ratio in safflower beyond a threshold levels of nitrogenous fertilizer.

Crude oil yield: The doses, but not forms, of nitrogenous fertilizers had significant effects on the crude oil yield in safflower (Table 7).

There was also significant difference between the crude oil yields obtained in 1997 and 1998. The crude oil yield was increased as the crude oil yield by nitrogenous fertilizer doses of which increased. Cabl^[8] found significant effects of nitrogenous fertilizer forms on the crude oil yield. Esendal^[2], Cazzato *et al.*^[14] reported significant effects of nitrogenous fertilizer doses on it.

This study was carried out in Van Turkey ecological condition in 1997 and 1998 in order to determine the effects of various doses and forms of nitrogenous fertilizer on the yield and some components of safflower, is an alternative oil crop for the region's crop design. The application of increasing doses of nitrogenous fertilizers had significantly positive effects on seed and crude oil yields. The safflower seed yield was also significantly effected by the forms of nitrogenous fertilizer. Overall average yields of ammonium sulphate, urea and ammonium nitrate were 1454, 1492 and 1597 kg seed ha⁻¹ and 397, 394, 422 kg crude oil ha⁻¹. Based on this the two year's experiment, the application of ammonium nitrate at the 120 kg N ha⁻¹ dose is recommendable for safflower growing in this region.

REFERENCES

- Esendal, E., 1973. A study on the determination of the phenological, morphological traits, yields and seed characteristics of some domestic and foreign safflower cultivars grown in the Erzurum ecological conditions. (in Turkish) Atatürk University Publishing. No: 310.
- Esendal, E., 1981. Effects of different row spacing and nitrogenous and phosphorus applications on the yield and yield components of safflower. (Unpublished PhD. Thesis) (in Turkish) Atatürk Üniv. Agr. Fac., Erzurum.
- 3. İlisulu, K., 1973. Oil Crops and Their Breeding. Çağlayan Press. Beyoğlu, İstanbul, p:149.
- El-Hamidi, A., S.S. Ahmed, A.A. El-Gawad and A.A.E. El-Din, 1993. The Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer and Plant Density on the Production of Carthamin. 41st Annual Congress on Medicinal Plant Research, Dusseldorf, Germany, 31 August - 4 September 1993. Planta Medica, 59: A702-A703.
- Günel, E., N. Yılmaz and B. Arslan, 1994. Determination
 of Suitable Safflower Cultivars and Their Row Spacing for
 the Van Ecological Conditions (in Turkish). Ege University
 Agricultural Faculty, Crop Science Congress 25-29 April
 1994, İzmir.
- Ahmed, Z., S. Meddekkar and S. Mohammad, 1985. Response of safflower to nitrogen and phosphorus. Indian Agron., 30: 128-130.
- Mahey, R.K., B. Singh and G.S. Randhowa, 1989. Response of safflower to irrigation and nitrogen. Indian Agron., 34: 21-23.
- Cabı, R., 1990. Effects of form and levels of nitrogeneous fertilizer on the safflower yield and other important traits Ondokuz Mayıs Üniv. Agric. Fac., Samsun.
- Das, N.R. and N. Ghosh, 1993. Effect of Number of Tillage and N-levels on Yields of Rainfed Safflower after Transplanted Wet Rice. Proceedings 3rd International Safflower Conference. 14-18 June. Beijing, China, pp: 403-409.
- Nimje, P.M., 1991. Influence of irrigation and nitrogen on water use, yield and oil content of safflower. Indian Agron., 36: 165-168.
- Zaman, A. and P.K. Das, 1990. Response of safflower to different moisture regimes and nitrogen levels in semi-arid tropics. Oilseed Res., 7: 26-32.
- Rajput, R.I., O.P. Verma and D.S. Guatam, 1992. Relative performance of safflower varieties with different levels of nitrogen under rainfed condition. Indian Agron., 37: 290-292.
- Arslan, B., A.İ. İlbaş and Ö. Dede, 1997. A Study on the Determination of Optimum, Natural and Economical form and level of Nitrogen in Safflower. 2nd Crop Science Congress of Turkey, 22-25 September, (in Turkish) Samsun, pp. 246-250.

- Cazzato, E., P.Ventricelli and A. Corleto, 1997. Effects of Date of Seeding and Supplemental Irrigation on Hybrid and Open-Pollinated Safflower Production in Southern Italy. 4th International Safflower Conference, 2-7 June Bari, Italy, pp. 119-124.
- Gambacorta, G., A.M. Leone and E. Cazzato, 1997. Seed Composition of some Safflower Cultivates Tested in Southern Italy. 4th International Safflower Conference, 2-7 June Bari, Italy, pp: 353-356.
- Koç, H. and A. Altınel, 1997. Effects of Different Rrow Spacing and Nitrogenous Doses on the Yield Components of Safflower (in Turkish). Turkey 2nd Crop Plants Congress, 22-25 September, Samsun, pp: 251-255.
- Arslan, B., E. Esendal and Z. Ekin, 2001. The Effects of N Application Times on Morphology, Yield and Quality Characteristics of Safflower. 5th International Safflower Conference., July 23-27., Wilston, N.D., USA., pp. 279.
- Kubsad, V.S., C.P. Mallapur and C.P. Mansur, 2001. Contribution of Production Factors on Yield of Saflower under Rainfed Conditions. 5th International Safflower Conference., July 23-27., Wilston, N.D., USA., pp: 223-225.
- Basil, E.S. and S.R. Kaffka, 2002. Response of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*) to saline soils and irrigation. Agric. Water Manage., 54: 67-80.
- Strasil, Z. and Z. Vorlicek, 2002. The effect of nitrogen fertilization, sowing rates and site on yields and yield components of selected varieties of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*). Rostlinna Vyroba, 48: 307-311.
- 21. Yurtsever, N., 1984, Experimental Statistics Methods. (in Turkish) Tunkey Rural Affair Publishing, No. 121 Ankara, pp. 56.
- Zabunoğlu, S. and İ. Karaçal, 1983, Fertilizers and Their Application. (in Turkish) Ankara Univ. Agr. Fac. Argumentation No: 105, Ankara.
- 23. Bayraktar, N and M. Ülker, 1990. Traits affect the yield and yield components of four safflower cultivar candidates. Ankara Üniv., Agr. Fac. Annual, 41: 1-2.
- Singh, S.D., Y.S. Chauhan and G.S. Verma, 1992. Effect of row spacing and nitrogen level on yield safflower in salt effected soils. Indian Agron., 37: 90-92.
- Katole, N.S. and G.P. Meane, 1988. Effect of row spacing, nitrogen and irrigation on seed yield, oil content and water requirement of safflower. Indian Agron., 33:3, 339-341.
- Sepetoğlu, H., 1982. Effects of plant density and nitrogeneous fertilizers on the yield and quality of safflower. Ege Univ. Agr. Fac. Rev., 19: 9-22.
- 27. Günel, E. and B. Arslan, 1997. Effects of Nitrogenous Fertilizer Forms and Doses on the Yield and Yield Characteristics of Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*). 4th International Safflower Conference. 2-7 June, Bari, Italy, pp: 91-93.