http://www.pjbs.org



ISSN 1028-8880

# Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences



© 2004 Asian Network for Scientific Information

# Studies on the Antibacterial and Cytotoxic Activities of Three Cobalt μ-Peroxo Complexes and Two Zirconium μ-peroxo Complexes

<sup>1</sup>M. Ashraful Alam, <sup>2</sup>Kudrat-e-zahan, <sup>3</sup>M. Shamim Hossain and <sup>4</sup>Fahmida Zerin Seema <sup>1</sup>Rajshahi University of Engineering and Technology, Rajshahi-6204, Bangladesh <sup>21</sup>Department of Chemistry, <sup>3</sup>Microbiology and Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Pharmacy, <sup>4</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

**Abstract:** Three new cobalt  $\mu$ -peroxo complexes  $[Co(2\text{-apy})(O_2)(OX), C_1]$ ,  $[Co(2\text{-apy})(O_2)(2\text{-ap}), C_2]$  and  $[Co(2\text{-apy})(O_2)(2\text{-ab}), C_3]$  and two new zirconium  $\mu$ -peroxo complexes  $[Zr(2\text{-na})(O_2)(OX), C_5]$  and  $[Zr(2\text{-ap})(O_2)(OX), C_5]$  were tested for their antibacterial activity by disc diffusion and serial dilution methods. All the coordination complexes were active against various test pathogenic organisms. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the complexes was estimated between 32-64  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> against *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escharicha coli*. The cytotoxicity of the five newly synthesized complexes was screened using brine shrimp lethality bioassay method and the  $LC_{50}$  values were calculated using probit analysis.  $LC_{50}$  values of the complexes  $C_1$ – $C_5$  were found 14.15, 9.12, 14.15, 29.51 and 5.75  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Key words: μ-peroxo complexes, antibacterial activity, cytotoxic activity, pathogens

### INTRODUCTION

The frequency of life threaten infectious such as tuberculosis, cancer, AIDS etc. caused by pathogenic microorganisms is increasing worldwide and becoming an important cause of morbidity and mortality in immunocompromised patients. Synthetic compounds constitutes an important source of various bioactive compounds such as antibacterial[1,2] and anti cancer[3] compounds. The synthesized compounds which are used for treatment of infections diseases are known as chemotherapeutic. Every year thousands of compounds are synthesized with an aim to find potential chemotherapeutic agents to combat pathogenic microorganisms. But very few compounds are withstood as therapeutic agent for various methodological tests. Antibacterial is one of those tests required to perform for primary selection of compounds as the therapeutic agents.

Brine shrimp lethality bioassay is a recent development in the assay procedure for the bioactive compounds, which indicates cytotoxicity as well as a wide range of pharmacological activity e.g. anticancer, antifungal, pesticidal, etc. Bioactive compounds are almost always toxic in high dose. Pharmacology is simply toxicology at lower dose or toxicology is simply pharmacology at a higher dose. *In vivo* lethality bioassay is simple conducted by a zoological organism

(Artemia salina) for the convenient monitoring of the screening of bioactive synthetic compounds.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Source of compounds:** The compounds used in the present study were synthesized according to the following general procedure:

Preparation of cobalt coordination complexes [Co(2-apy) ( $O_2$ )(LG\*)]: A methanolic solution of Cobalt(II) chloride hexahydrate (CoCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) (3.09 g) and methanolic solution of 2-aminopyridine (1.22 g) were mixed in the 1:1 ratio with constant strring. Then a methanolic solution of second ligand (variable ligand) was added to the mixture. The resulting mixture were stirred for an hour at room temperature and allowed to stand for several minutes. Then 0.39g of  $H_2O_2$  was poured down to the solution. The mixture was stirred for 22 h at room temperature and allowed to stand for several minutes. The precipitates formed were filtered, washed several times with mithanol and then dried in a vacuum desiccators charged with anhydrous CaCl<sub>2</sub>.

When =  $(LG^*)$  = Deprotonated oxalic acid the compound is  $[Co(2-apy)(O_2)(OX)]$ 

When =  $(LG^*)$  = 2-aminophenol the compound is

 $[Co(2-apy)(O_2)(2-ap)]$ 

When = (LG\*) = 2-aminobenzoic acid the compound

is  $[Co(2-apy)(O_2)(2-ab)]$ 

Where 2-apy = 2-aminopyridine

All the compounds were characterised by IR, UV, elementary analysis, magnetic moment, coductivity measurements, metal estimation, melting point measurements and literature review.

**Preparation of [Zr(LG')(O<sub>2</sub>)(OX)]:** About 2.03 g of zirconium nitrate was dissolved in 5 mL methanol in a 50 mL beaker. A solution of 2.76 g of oxalic acid was also made in 5 mL methanol in a 50 mL beaker. Then a methanolic solution of second ligand (variable ligand) was added to the mixture. The three solutions were mixed, stirred for half an hour at room temperature and allowed to stand for several minutes. Then about 0.18 g of 30%  $H_2O_2$  was poured down to the beaker. The mixture was stirred for 22 h at room temperature.

The precipitates formed were filtered, washed several times with methanol and then dried in a vacuum desiccators charged with anhydrous CaCl<sub>2</sub>.

When =  $(LG^*)$  = 2-nitroaniline the compound is  $[Zr(2-na)(O_2)(OX)]$ 

When =  $(LG^*)$  = 2-aminophenol the compound is  $[Zr(2-ap)(O_2)(OX)]$ 

Where OX = deprotonated oxalic acid.

All the compounds were characterised by IR, UV, elementary analysis, magnetic moment, coductivity measurements, metal estimation, melting point measurements and literature review.

**Antibacterial screening:** "Disc diffusion method" is a widely accepted procedure for the *in vitro* investigation of the susceptibility of microorganisms to the compounds, so this method is adopted in this investigation. The method is essentially a qualitative or semi quantitative test indicating sensitivity or resistance of microorganisms to the test materials as well as bacteriostatic or bactericidal activity of a compound<sup>[7]</sup>.

The standard test microorganisms were collected from the Department of Microbiology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh. The diameters of zones of inhibition produced by the compounds were compared with standard antibiotics (Kanamycin 30 µg disc<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was performed in duplicate to minimize errors.

**Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC):** MIC of a compound is defined as the lowest concentration of that compound in a medium without visible growth of the test

organisms. The basic principle is the dilution tests which comprises the serial dilution of the antibacterial agent inoculated with the organism. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the compounds  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  was determined against *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli*. For the test, standard serial dilution technique<sup>[7]</sup> was employed. The media used in this respect was nutrient agar.

Cytotoxicity bioassay: Brine shrimp lethality bioassay<sup>[8-11]</sup> is a recent development in the assay procedure of bioactive compounds which indicates cytotoxicity as well as a wide range of pharmacological activities (e.g. anticancer, antiviral, insecticidal, pesticidal, AIDS, etc.) of the compounds. Here, in vivo lethality test were carried out using brine shrimp nauplii eggs (Artemia salina L.). Eggs were placed in one side of a small tank divided by a net containing 3.8% NaCl solution for hatching. In other side of the tank, a light source was placed in order to attrack the nauplii. After two days of hatching period the nauplii were ready for the experiment. 3 mg of the complexes were accurately measured and dissolved in 0.6 ml (600 μl) of DMSO to get a concentration of 5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>. From the stock solutions 5, 10, 20, 40 and 80 µl were placed in 6 different vials making the volume up to 5 ml by NaCl solution. The final concentration of the samples, in the vials became 5, 10, 20, 40 and 80 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Ten brine shrimp nauplii were then placed in each vial. For the control test of each vial, one vial containing the same volume of DMSO plus water up to 5 ml was used. After 24 h of incubation, the vials were observed using a magnifying glass and the number of survivors in each vial were counted and noted. The resulting data were transformed to the probit analysis<sup>[12]</sup> for the determination of LC<sub>50</sub> values for the complexes.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antibacterial activity: The complexes  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  showed moderate antibacterial activity at the concentration of  $100~\mu g$  disc<sup>-1</sup> in comparison with the standard kanamycin against the tested bacteria (Table 1). Metal coordination complexes have been reported for their antibacterial activity<sup>[2,13,14]</sup> and present findings supported those previous results of antibacterial activity for metal coordination complexes. These findings of our present investigation are interesting as these are new complexes. Though it is our primary investigation, further investigation are required to explore the mechanism of action of these new coordination complexes.

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC): The MIC values of the compound  $C_1$  against *Bacillus subtilis* and

Table 1: In vitro antibacterial activity of the compound C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>5</sub> and standard Kanamycin

	Diameter of zone of inhibition (in mm)										
	$C_1$ $C_2$		$C_3$		$\mathrm{C}_4$			C <sub>5</sub>		Kanamy cin	
Test organisms	100	200	100	200	100	200	100	200	100	200	30
Gram positive bacteria											
Streptococcus â-haemolyticus	12	15	13	16	11	14	12	16	12	16	22
Bacillus subtilis	13	17	12	14	12	16	11	14	10	16	23
Staphylococcus aureus	12	16	13	15	11	15	12	15	11	15	21
Sarcina lutea	14	17	12	14	13	16	11	15	11	17	22
Gram negative bacteria											
Escherichia coli	10	14	12	15	11	14	10	15	11	14	22
Salmone lla typhi	11	15	11	15	10	13	11	14	12	15	21
Shigella sonnei	12	16	12	14	11	14	11	16	10	16	23
Shigella flexneri	10	14	12	16	12	15	12	15	11	14	22
Listeria monocytogenes	11	15	13	16	12	16	11	15	13	17	23

Table 2: MIC values of the compounds C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>5</sub> against two Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria

tive Grain positive and Grain negative caetaria								
	Minimum inhibitory concentration (μg ml <sup>-1</sup> )							
Test organisms	$C_1$	$C_2$	C <sub>3</sub>	$C_4$	C <sub>5</sub>			
Bacillus subtilis	64	32	64	64	32			
Escherichia coli	32	64	32	32	64			

Table 3: Results of the compound  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  on brine shrimp lethality bioassay

iculanty bioassay				
Complexes	LC <sub>50</sub> values (after probit transformation) in ppm (μg ml <sup>-1</sup> )			
$C_1$	14.15			
$C_2$	9.12			
$C_2$ $C_3$ $C_4$ $C_5$	14.15			
$C_4$	29.51			
$C_5$	5.75			
Standard gallic	acid 4.53			

Escharichia coli were 64 and 32  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, for compound C<sub>2</sub>, 32 and 64  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, for compound C<sub>3</sub>, 64 and 32  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, for compound C<sub>4</sub>, 64 and 32  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, for compound C<sub>5</sub>, 32 and 64  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 2).

Cytotoxicity assay: From the probit analysis, the LC<sub>50</sub> values of the samples were estimated and were found 14.15, 9.12, 14.15, 29.51 and 5.75 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 3). The complex C<sub>5</sub> showed significant cytotoxicity in comparison with the standard gallic acid. Previously, many authors explored the cytotoxic activity of metal coordination complexes<sup>[15-17]</sup>. At the present investigation we also found significant cytotoxic activity for the tested coordination complexes. These findings of cytotoxicity properties of the tested complexes may suggest the probable anticancer properties and further studies are required to establish the anticancer activity of these new coordination complexes.

## REFERENCES

 Zakaria, C.M., A. Farroque, M.R. Islam and M.H. Biswas, 2000. Antimicrobial screening of ferrocene derivative compounds. Oriental J. Chem., 16: 85-90.

- Biswas, M.H., A.H.M. Zakaria, A. Farroque, C.M. Zakaria and M.S.A. Bhuiyan, 2002. *In vitro* antibacterial and cytotoxic activity of a benzene sulfonic acid derivative complex compounds. Bangladesh Pharm. J., 12: 43-46.
- 3. Pratt, W. B. and W. Ruddon, 1979. The Anticancer Drugs, pp: 251-254.
- Bauer, A.W., W.M.M. Kirby, J.C. Sherris and M. Turck, 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disc method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol., 45: 493-496.
- Barry, A.L., 1980. Procedures for Testing Antimicrobial Agents in Agar Media. In: Antibiotic in Laboratory medicine. (V. Lorian Ed.) Williams Wilkin's Co. Baltimore, USA, pp. 1-23.
- Rios, J.J., M.C. Reico and A. Villar, 1988. Antimicrobial screening of natural products, J. Entho. Pharmacol., 23: 127-149.
- Reiner, R., 1982. Detection of antibiotic activity. In. Antibiotics an introduction. Roche Scientific Service, Switzerland, pp: 21-25.
- Persoone, G., 1980. Proceeding the international symposium on brine shrimp *Artemia saline*, volumes 1-3, Universe press. Witteren, Belgium, pp:1-3.
- Mayer, B.N., N.R. Ferrigni, J.E. Putnam, L.B. Jacobsen, D.E. Nichols and J.L. Mclaughlin, 1982. Brine shrimp: a convenient bioassay for active plant constituents. Plant Medica., 45: 31-34.
- Mclaughlin, J.L. and J.E. Anderson, 1988. Brine shrimp and crown gall tumors: simple bioassay for the discovery of plant antitumour agents. Proceeding NIH workshop. Bioassay for discovery of antitumour and antiviral agents from natural sources. Bethesda. pp: 22.
- 12. Finney, D.J., 1971. Probit Analysis, 3rd ed. University press, Cambridge, UK., pp. 18, 37, 77.
- Islam, M.S., M.A. Farooque, M.A.K. Bodruddoza, M.A. Mosaddik and M.S. Alam, 2002. Antimirobial and toxicological studies of mixed ligand transition metal complexes of schiff bases. Online J. Bio. Sci., 2: 797-799.

- Mclaughlin, J.L., 1990. Bench tops bioassay for the discovery of bioactive compounds in higher plants. Brenena. 29.
- Sultana, C., M.A.A. Rahman, M.A.A. Al-Bari, M.L.A. Banu, M.S. Islam, N.A. Khatune and G. Sadik, 2003. *In vitro* Antimicrobial screening of three cadmium complexes and two addition compounds of antimony and arsenic. Pak. J. Bio. Sci., 6: 525-527.
- Rho, Y.S., S.A. Kim, J.C. Jung, C.C. Shin and S.G. Chang, 2002. Anticancer cytotoxicity and nephrotoxicity of the new platinum (II) complexes containing diaminocyclohexane and glycolic acid. Int. J. Oncol., 20: 929-35.
- Treshchalina, E.M., A.L. Konovalova, M.A Presnov, L.F. Chapurina and N.I. Belichuk, 1979. Antitumor properties of mixed coordination compounds of copper (II) and alpha-amino acids. Dokl. Akad. Nauk., 248:1273-6.
- 17. Kelland, L.R., C.F. Barnard, K.J. Mellish, M. Jones, 1994. Goddard PM, Valenti M, Bryant A, Murrer BA and Harrap KR. A novel trans-platinum coordination complex possessing *in vitro* and *in vivo* antitumor activity. Cancer Res., 54: 518-522.