

<http://www.pjbs.org>

**PJBS**

ISSN 1028-8880

**Pakistan  
Journal of Biological Sciences**

**ANSI***net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information  
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

## Effects of Different Row Spacing and Nitrogen Doses on Certain Agronomic Characteristics of Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.)

Neşe Okut and Bünyamin Yıldırım

Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Yüzüncü Yıl University, 65080 Van, Turkey

**Abstract:** This study was conducted to compare the relative advantages of different row spacing (20, 30 and 40 cm) and nitrogen applications (0, 30, 60 and 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in coriander cultivation in terms of yield and quality characteristics in province of Van, Turkey. Row spacings had similar impact on the average fruit per umbel and umbel per plant but significantly differed for average plant height ( $p < 0.05$ ). The number of umbels per plant were significantly higher for N<sub>1</sub> (30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) those of other doses. There were no significant differences among nitrogen doses for average plant height and umbel per plant. As an average over nitrogen doses the 30 cm. row spacing was superior to the 20 and 40 cm in terms of seed and biological yields. The 1000 fruit weights were not affected from the row spacings. However, the nitrogen doses differed in their effects on seed yield and showed similar gain in biological yield 1000 fruits weight. No significant effect of N applications was found on the hay yield and yield index in coriander. However, significant effect of row spacings was found for the hay yield ( $p < 0.05$ ). The highest was found for the 30 cm. row spacing with an average of 954.10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Key words:** Row spacings, nitrogen doses, agronomic characteristics

### INTRODUCTION

Coriander is probably one of the first spices used by mankind, having been known as early as 5000 BC. Sanskrit writings dating from about 1500 BC also spoke of it. The Romans spread it throughout Europe and it was one of the first spices to arrive in America<sup>[1]</sup>

Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) fruit is an annual herb originating from the Mediterranean countries and is nowadays mostly grown in Italy, India, Morocco and Eastern Europe and is commonly known as Coriander, Cilantro, or Chinese Parsley<sup>[2]</sup>. The latter two are usually used to refer to the plants foliage, while the name Coriander usually refers just to the seeds. During industrialization, the specific chemical compounds of coriander were recognized and identified and these became important as raw materials for industrial use and further processing. The essential and fatty oils of the fruits are both used in industry, either separately or combined<sup>[3]</sup>.

There are two basic varieties; the small seeded type is used largely for essential oil production and the large seeded type is produced for ground and whole seed. Coriander is a winter growing crop that has good potential as an export commodity. Coriander is strongly photosensitive, with flowering triggered by increasing day-length. In addition Coriander does not compete well with weeds, so the less weeds present at sowing, the better. These characteristics of coriander make fertilizers and row spaces critical issues for this plant.

Row spaces and plant density per unit are important in terms of the number of fruits sown per square meter, the weight of 1000 fruits and branching ability of coriander. The Caucasian types have an especially high plasticity and use the available space. These types can either be cultivated with wide distances, allowing mechanical or hand hoeing between the plants<sup>[3]</sup>. Phosphorus and potassium are nutrients which affect the grain yield of coriander. Applications of nitrogen did not affect the yield in the field trials of<sup>[4]</sup>, where the average yield was 2000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Heeger<sup>[5]</sup> advised not to apply more than 20-40 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and Ebert<sup>[6]</sup> stresses that more than 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> will favour fungal diseases<sup>[3]</sup>. Studies have indicated that yield in Argentina responds to the application of up to 90 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup><sup>[1,7]</sup>. Nitrogen can retard ripening and cause lodging and coriander is therefore regarded as a low-input crop<sup>[1,3,5,8,9]</sup>.

The distance between rows varies between 0.18 and 0.35 m<sup>[1,10,11]</sup>.

The objectives of the present study was to evaluate the relative advantages of different row spacing and nitrogen application in coriander cultivation in terms of yield and quality characteristics in province of Van, Turkey.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Experimental location:** Field experiments were conducted during the growing season of 1998/1999 in the

open field at the Agricultural Research Station belonging to the University of Yüzüncü Yıl, Van-Turkey. Experimental site was 1650m above sea level, mean annual rainfall of about 380 mm, temperature range during the cultivation period of 10-30°C. Temperate climatic condition is ruled in the region. The soil has sandy-clay loam texture and low organic matter and nitrogen rich potassium and lime content medium phosphorus and is medium alkaline (pH 8.4)<sup>[12]</sup>.

**Experimental design:** The experiment was carried out using a split-plot design with three replicates. Main plots were assigned to the row spacing ( $R_1=20$  cm,  $R_2=30$  cm,  $R_3=40$  cm) and subplots were assigned to the N fertilizer levels ( $N_0=0$  kg  $\text{NH}_4\text{SO}_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $N_1=30$  kg  $\text{NH}_4\text{SO}_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $N_2=60$  kg  $\text{NH}_4\text{SO}_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $N_3=90$  kg  $\text{NH}_4\text{SO}_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup>). Treatments in main and subplots were assigned randomly. Individual plots were  $2 \times 2 = 4$  m<sup>2</sup> and keeping the total plot area constant for all treatments, approximately 144 m<sup>2</sup>. Big seeded coriander was used in this study.

**Cultural practices:** The plots were irrigated to supplement rainfall during the growing season, with an average of 4 irrigations. Weeds were controlled manually. Pre-emergent broadleaf control was done and post-emergent of weed control of broadleaf weeds has been approached with care. Because coriander competes poorly with weeds during early growth, a weed-free seed bed prepared before sowing. The experiment sowing date was on 16 April 1998 with a seeding depth of 2.5 to 4.0 cm. Seeding has been done by hand. Coriander variety big seeded (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) were used as a plant material and harvested all plots on 26 July 1998.

**Application of fertilizers:** Phosphorus source was triple super phosphates (46%) and nitrogen source was ammonium sulphates (21%) in this experiment. Phosphorus fertilizer using (triple super phosphate 46% and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were applied together with nitrogenous fertilizer at the sowing date. Four levels of N fertilizers ( $N_0$ ,  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  and  $N_3$ ) were applied. Each level was applied with showing of coriander. The control treatment was ( $N_0=0$  kg  $\text{NH}_4\text{SO}_4$  ha<sup>-1</sup>), without fertilizer.

**Sampling and statistical analysis:** At maturity, 10 plants were randomly selected from each plot and the number of umbels per plant counted. The weight of 1000 fruits was determined and the diameter measured of 400 fruits and so on. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using the General Linear Model (GLM) in SAS<sup>[13]</sup> program. Significant differences between means were determined by Duncan's Multiple Range Tests. Before data analysis, data for each trait was checked for outlier

detection and for variance analysis assumptions. Relationships between quality tests were examined by Pearson correlation coefficients.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of the results showed that different row spacings had similar impact on the average fruit per umbel and umbel per plant (Table 1). Differences among row spacings for average plant height, however, were significant ( $p<0.05$ ) and the lowest and highest plant height were for the 20 cm (35.38) and 30 cm (37.89), respectively. The number of umbels per plant were significantly higher for  $N_2$  (60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) those of other doses (Table 1). There were no significant differences among nitrogen doses for average plant height and umbel per plant ( $p>0.05$ ). These results not in agreement those of Luayza *et al.*<sup>[1]</sup> and for the most part coincide with those reported by Rubió *et al.*<sup>[14]</sup>, Luayza *et al.*<sup>[1]</sup> reported higher yield with wider, Rubió *et al.*<sup>[14,15]</sup> reported with narrower spacing.

No significant effect of N applications was found on the hay yield and yield index in coriander (Table 3). However, significant effect of row spacings was found for the hay yield ( $p<0.05$ ). The highest was found for the 30 cm row spacing with an average of 954.10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In consideration of row spacing and N combination, the highest hay yield was obtained from 30 cm row spacing and  $N_3$  and the highest yield index was produced by 40 cm row spacing and  $N_3$ . Similar results for the both characteristics were reported many researchers<sup>[1,3,16,17]</sup>.

Results obtained in this study are agreement those of study conducted in Erzurum<sup>[10]</sup>.

As an average over nitrogen doses the 30 cm row spacing was superior to the 20 and 40 cm. in terms of seed and biological yields (Table 2). On the other hand the 1000 fruit weights were not affected from the row spacings for coriander plant. These results are in agreement with those of Rubió *et al.*<sup>[14,15,18]</sup>, Luayza *et al.*<sup>[1]</sup> and Kerang<sup>[19]</sup>. The nitrogen doses differed in their effects on seed yield and showed similar gain in biological yield 1000 fruits weight, although the  $N_0$  application surprisingly produced the highest biological yield in coriander plant. The weight of 1000 fruits is a character of great agronomic importance. The results from this study revealed that 1000 fruits weight ranged from 7.36-9.56 g/1000 fruits (Table 2). Diederichsen<sup>[3]</sup> indicated that the weight of 1000 fruits vary 2.5 to 20 g/1000 fruits. The results obtained in this study are in range those of given by Diederichsen<sup>[3]</sup>. He pointed out that a Georgian accession had the smallest fruits, with a weight of 1000 fruits of 4.3 g. In 1994, a year with unfavourable weather conditions, the same accessions had a minimum weight of 2.5 g/1000 fruits. The largest fruits

Table 1: Means for plant heights, umbel number per plant and fruits per umbel on different row spacing

Nitrogen doses	Row spacing (cm)											
	Plant heights (cm)*				Umbel number per plant*				Fruits per umbel (number/umbel)*			
	20	30	40	Means	20	30	40	Means	20	30	40	Means
N <sub>0</sub>	39.00	37.80	39.43	38.74 <sup>a</sup>	4.83	6.05	6.20	5.69 <sup>a</sup>	17.19	18.40	16.29	17.29 <sup>b</sup>
N <sub>1</sub>	35.13	39.86	33.20	36.06 <sup>a</sup>	4.03	5.33	5.66	5.01 <sup>a</sup>	21.41	20.68	22.85	21.64 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>2</sub>	35.24	34.83	40.90	36.99 <sup>a</sup>	5.35	5.76	7.33	6.15 <sup>a</sup>	19.23	17.89	18.63	18.58 <sup>b</sup>
N <sub>3</sub>	32.16	39.06	34.90	35.37 <sup>a</sup>	3.56	6.76	6.36	5.56 <sup>a</sup>	17.90	18.87	18.14	18.30 <sup>b</sup>
Means	35.38 <sup>b</sup>	37.89 <sup>a</sup>	37.10 <sup>a</sup>		4.44 <sup>a</sup>	5.98 <sup>a</sup>	6.39 <sup>a</sup>		18.93 <sup>a</sup>	18.96 <sup>a</sup>	18.97 <sup>a</sup>	

\*Values within a column followed by the same letter(s) do not differ according to Duncan's Multiple, Range test (5% level)

Table 2: Means for 1000 fruit weights, seed yield and biological yield on different row spacing

Nitrogen doses	Row spacing (cm)											
	1000 Fruit weight (g)*				Seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )*				Biological yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )*			
	20	30	40	Means	20	30	40	Means	20	30	40	Means
N <sub>0</sub>	7.73	8.33	7.96	8.01 <sup>a</sup>	942.50	1133.0	899.40	991.70 <sup>ba</sup>	1678.4	2138.0	1766.8	1859.4 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>1</sub>	7.36	8.43	9.56	8.45 <sup>a</sup>	644.00	803.00	781.20	742.80 <sup>b</sup>	1341.0	1712.8	1447.2	1500.4 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>2</sub>	8.40	8.70	8.63	8.57 <sup>a</sup>	878.60	942.90	675.80	832.50 <sup>ba</sup>	1748.1	1746.6	1287.2	1594.0 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>3</sub>	8.53	8.90	8.90	8.77 <sup>a</sup>	696.30	1289.5	1098.5	1028.2 <sup>a</sup>	1401.8	2392.3	1365.7	1720.0 <sup>a</sup>
Means	8.00 <sup>a</sup>	8.59 <sup>a</sup>	8.76 <sup>a</sup>		790.40 <sup>b</sup>	1042.1 <sup>a</sup>	863.7 <sup>b</sup>		1542.4 <sup>b</sup>	1996.2 <sup>a</sup>	1466.8 <sup>b</sup>	

\*Values within a column followed by the same letter(s) do not differ according to Duncan's Multiple, Range Test (5% level)

Table 3: Means for hay yield and harvest index

Nitrogen doses	Row spacing (cm)							
	Hay yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )*				Harvest index*			
	20	30	40	Means	20	30	40	Means
N <sub>0</sub>	735.90	1000.00	867.40	867.80 <sup>a</sup>	55.89	52.85	51.02	53.26 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>1</sub>	696.90	907.50	666.00	757.60 <sup>a</sup>	48.00	46.72	53.32	49.36 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>2</sub>	869.50	803.70	611.40	761.60 <sup>a</sup>	50.86	53.93	52.56	52.45 <sup>a</sup>
N <sub>3</sub>	705.50	1102.70	267.10	691.80 <sup>a</sup>	49.38	53.96	117.54	73.63 <sup>a</sup>
Means	752.00 <sup>ba</sup>	954.10 <sup>a</sup>	603.00 <sup>b</sup>		51.04 <sup>a</sup>	51.87 <sup>a</sup>	68.62 <sup>a</sup>	

\*Values within a column followed by the same letter do not differ according to Duncan's, Multiple Range Test (5% level)

had a weight of 20 g/1000 fruits and large fruits are more exceptional and 75% of the accessions had a weight of 1000 fruits lower than 12.5 g<sup>[3,16,18-23]</sup>.

Maximizing biological gain, in particular, seed gain is an important consideration in choosing breeding method. Since the four nitrogen doses gave similar biological yield gain and three row spacing and nitrogen doses gave different seed yield gain further analysis gave advantage to make and general conclusion Thus N<sub>3</sub> application followed 30 cm. row spacing produced highest seed and biological yield (the results of multiple comparisons for row spacing-nitrogen dose combination not presented). The results introduces for effects of nitrogen are in agreement with many researchers<sup>[11,22]</sup>.

## REFERENCES

- Luayza, G., R. Brevedan and R. Palomo, 1996. Coriander under irrigation in Argentina. In: Janick, J. (Ed.) Progress in New Crops. ASHS Press, Arlington, VA., pp: 590-594.
- Ramadan, F.M. and J.T. Mörsel, 2002. Oil composition of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) fruit-seeds. Eur. Food Res. Technol., 215: 204-209.
- Diederichsen, A., 1996. Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops. 3. Inst. Plant Genet. Crop Plant Res., Gatersleben/Intl. Plant Genet. Resour. Inst., Rome.
- Dambroth, M. and A. Bramm, 1991. A Study on Production of Semi-cultured Oil-seed Plants from Umbelliferae, Ministry of Food, Agricultural and Frosty Press, Serial A, Special Edn. (Bundesamt für Ernährung und Forstwirtschaft Eds.) Landwirtschaftsverlag GmbH, Münster-Hiltrup, pp: 375-383.
- Heeger, E.F., 1989. A Mankual for Production of Medicinal and Spices Plants. Revised 1956 I. Edn., Harri Deutsch, Thun, Frankfurt am Main (Dutch Press).
- Ebert, K., 1982. A Hand Book for Scientific Breeding and Collection of Medicinal and Spices Plants. Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Stuttgart (Dutch press).
- Barreyro, R.A., G.E.S. Vallduvi and R. Bezus, 1993. The effects of nitrogen and phosphor fertilization on coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.), Anales SAIPA, 11: 195-200.

8. Baboo, R. and N.S. Rana, 1995. Effect of cutting management, nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*). Ind. J. Agron., 40: 253-255.
9. Singh, R. and S.D. Singh, 1997. Effect of mining coated urea on nitrogen uptake and utilization efficiency by coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Crop Res. Hisar, S.K.N. Coll. Agric., Jobner 303 329, India, 13: 653-656.
10. Karadoğan, T. and E. Oral, 1994. Effect of different row spacing on yield, yield components and quality of coriander varieties. Univ. Atatürk Agric. Fac. J., 25: 311-318.
11. Ceylan, A., 1997. Medicinal Plants. II: University of Ege, Izmir, pp: 12-50.
12. Alpaslan, M., A. Güneş and A. İnal, 1998. A Text Book for Techniques in Experimental Designs for Field Crops. Ankara Üniv. Fac. Agric. Press, pp: 45-50.
13. SAS/STAT, 2005. SAS Inst. Inc. Carry, NC, USA.
14. Rubió, M.S., M.A. Elechosa and H.W. Lafourcade, 1986. Assessment of quality of spices among coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) lines. SAIPA, 7: 94-98.
15. Rubió, M.S., M.A. Elechosa and H.W. Lafourcade, 1987. Assessment of quality of spices among coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) lines. SAIPA, 8: 53-58.
16. Diederichsen, A. and K. Hammer, 1994. Diversity of coriander in the gatersleben genebank.
17. Doğan, A. and A. Akgün, 1987. Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) production, composition and usages. Doğa TU Tar., Or. D., 11: 2.
18. Rubió, M.S., M.A. Elechosa and H.W. Lafourcade, 1992. Assessment of quality of spices among coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) lines: Results of two years study. SAIPA, 9-10: 22-26.
19. Kerang, W.E., 1999. Agriculture notes coriander seed production.
20. Kaya, N., G. Yılmaz and I. Telci, 2000. Agronomic and technological properties of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.), populations planted on different dates. Turk. J. Agric. For., pp: 355-364.
21. Carrubba, R. la Torre and I. Calabrese, 2001. Cultivation trials of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) in a semi-arid Mediterranean environment. ISHS Acta Horticulturae Intl. Conf. Med. Aromat. Plants. Possibilities and Limitations of Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Production in the 21st Century, pp: 576.
22. Ikram, U.H. and S. Maheed, 1987. Effect of nitrogen fertilizers on uptake of copper by *Coriandrum sativum* L. Biologia, 33: 209-222.
23. Sharma, R.N. and S. Israel, 1991. Effect of date sowing and level of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and seed yield of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*). Ind. J. Agron., 36: 180-184.