

<http://www.pjbs.org>

PJBS

ISSN 1028-8880

**Pakistan
Journal of Biological Sciences**

ANSI*net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

The Effects of Weed-Crop Competition on Nutrient Uptake as Affected by Crop Rotation and Fertilizers

¹Hamid Reza Mohammaddoust-e-Chamanabad, ¹Ali Asghari and ²Aleksander Mikhailovic Tulikov

¹Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture,
University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran

²Department of Agronomy and Experimental Methods, Agricultural University of Timiriazev, Moscow, Russia

Abstract: A field study at the Agricultural University of Timiriazev, Moscow, was conducted to determine the effect of crop rotation and Long-term fertilizer application on differences in the competitive ability of spring barley and weeds to nutrient uptake in 2004 and 2005. Spring barley was cultivated in continuous and in crop rotation with winter rye, potato, clover, flax and fallow, with and without NPK application since 1912. Spring barley, especially in no fertilizer plots grown in crop rotation has greater dry mass than spring barley grown in continuous. While dry weed mass markedly decreased in crop rotation. Decrease dry weeds mass was greater when NPK had applied. The statistical analyses show that when spring barley grew in competition with weeds in the no fertilizer plots, crop rotation significantly increased nutrient content in spring barley, but when fertilizer applied the content of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O in barley did not change. Lowest weeds nutrient content observed where soil fertility was increased by crop rotation and NPK application. Crop rotation significantly increased total nutrient uptake of soils by spring barley, but decreased total nutrient uptake by weeds.

Key words: Spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), crop rotation, fertilizers, weed, nutrient uptake

INTRODUCTION

Managing crop rotation and fertilizers in cropping systems are important components of integrated weed management programs. In fact, crop rotation is an effective practice for controlling serious weeds, because it affects weed growth and reproduction negatively and as a result reduces dry weed mass (Tulikov and Sugrakov, 1984; Derksen *et al.*, 1993; Blackshaw *et al.*, 1994). Tulikov and Sugrakov (1984) found that crop rotation decrease dry weed mass 3-4 times. Riedell *et al.* (1998) found that corn grown in annual rotation with soybean has greater mineral nutrient content and higher yields than corn grown in continuous.

Information on responses of weeds to various soil fertility levels is required to develop fertilizer management strategies as components of integrated weed management programs. Many studies have shown that fertilizers benefit for weeds more than crops and following the fertilizers application increase weed competitive ability (Alkamper, 1976; Ampong-Nyarko and De Datta, 1993; Patro *et al.*, 1999; Burgos *et al.*, 2006). Burgos *et al.* (2006) shown that rice and weedy rice produced equivalent shoot dry mass when no fertilizer N was added, but weedy rice accumulated more dry mass than rice upon addition

of N fertilizer. In the other hand, studies have shown that in low fertility soils weeds were more competitive than crops (Santos *et al.*, 1998; Davis and Liebman, 2001).

In addition of dry mass, fertilizer application affects nutrient uptake by weeds and crops in agrophytocoenoses. Nitrogen uptake efficiency in crops can range from 10 to as high as 80% depending on how the N fertilizer is managed (Ampong-Nyarko and De Datta, 1993; Riedell *et al.*, 1998; Fritschi *et al.*, 2004; Hunt *et al.*, 2004). Ponce and Santin (2003) found that the weed barnyard-grass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) competes for nitrogen (N) with tomato and pepper crops. Competition was greater in both crops the earlier the weed emerged or the longer it grew with the crops. This competition affected growth attributes, fruit yield and its components and N uptake in both crops. Davis and Liebman (2001) found that in low fertility soils weeds use N more efficiently in compare with crops. Also, at high N application rates, N uptake by weeds is higher than that by crops (Ampong-Nyarko and De Datta, 1993). In continuous crop, weeds which had high dry mass production at high N application rates, were most competitive at low N and thus had least sensitivity to low applied N. Blackshaw *et al.* (2003) shown that shoot and root growth of all weeds increased with added N, but the

magnitude of the response varied greatly among weed species. Many weeds exhibited similar or greater responses in shoot and root dry mass to increasing amounts of soil N, compared with wheat or canola. Andreassen *et al.* (2006) reported that N and P uptake did not differ much between weeds and barley. They found that weeds grew poorly in competition with barley. The N and P content in barley did not change when they grew in competition with weeds. Ugen *et al.* (2002) found that when N and P were applied, bean nutrient uptake and growth decreased in compare with weed, but the relative competitiveness of bean increased with K application.

This study was performed to compare competitive ability of spring barley and weeds and nutrient uptake in agrophytoceonoses under crop rotation and fertilizer application.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted during 2004 and 2005 at the long-term experimental farm of the Department of Agronomy and Experimental Methods, Agricultural University of Timiriazhev, Moscow. Spring barley was cultivated in continuous and in crop rotation with winter rye, potato, barley, clover, flax and fallow, with and without NPK application since 1912. The fertilizers were broadcast before planting at 100 kg N ha⁻¹ as ammonium nitrate, 150 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ as triple super phosphate and 120 kg K ha⁻¹ as potassium chloride. At the wax maturity stage, weeds were cut at ground level in three permanent quadrates measuring 50×50 cm and oven dried at 105°C and weighted. The dried powdered material was analyzed for nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content. Nitrogen content was estimated by the micro-kjeldahl method, phosphorus by colorimetry using the chlorostannous reduced molybdo-phosphoric acid blue color method in a sulphuric acid system and potassium by flame photometry. The obtained data were statistically analyzed using SPSS software (SPSS Inc., 1998).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The primary objective of this study was to investigate competition between spring barley and weeds as affected by crop rotation and fertilizer application, therefore, it was important to compare the dry mass production of spring barley and weeds in agrophytoceonoses. Spring barley grown in crop rotation has greater dry mass than spring barley grown in continuous (Table 1). The obtained data show that in no fertilizer plots dry mass of spring barley was 6.7 times greater when grown in crop rotation compared with the

Table 1: Dry mass production (kg ha⁻¹) responses of spring barley and weeds to crop rotation and fertilizer application in Moscow (2004-2005)

| | Continuous | | Rotation | |
|---------------|------------|--------|----------|-------|
| | Barley | Weeds | Barley | Weeds |
| No fertilizer | 640.5 | 1205.0 | 4220.0 | 849.5 |
| NPK | 4289.0 | 1796.0 | 5696.0 | 448.5 |

Table 2: Nutrient content (%) of spring barley and weeds as affected by crop rotation and fertilizer application in Moscow (2004-2005)

| | Continuous | | | Rotation | | |
|---------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O |
| Barley | | | | | | |
| No fertilizer | 0.81 | 0.36 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.41 | 1.02 |
| NPK | 1.21 | 0.36 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 0.37 | 0.98 |
| Weeds | | | | | | |
| No fertilizer | 0.73 | 0.45 | 2.79 | 0.96 | 0.52 | 3.08 |
| NPK | 1.14 | 0.44 | 2.61 | 0.96 | 0.44 | 2.40 |

continuous. This increase in NPK application plots was lower. This show that crop rotation improves soil fertility and thus reduces necessary to fertilizer application (Riedell *et al.*, 1998; Marengo and Santos, 1999; Hennessy, 2006).

The results also indicate that crop rotation markedly decrease dry weed mass. Reduction of dry weed mass was greater when NPK had applied. The results also show that fertilizer application differentially promoting competition ability of spring barley more than weeds. Another possibility is that crop rotation decrease weeds density (Tulikov and Sugrobov, 1984; Liebman, 1989; Mohammaddoust *et al.*, 2006). In the continuous cropping system, fertilizer application increased dry weed mass, but in the crop rotation the dry weed mass in plots with NPK was lower than in no fertilizer plots. This probability may be due to greater competition of spring barley result fertilizer application. Studies shown that in low fertile soils weeds were more competitive than crops (Santos *et al.*, 1998; Davis and Liebman, 2001). Results show that spring barley for obtain maximum growth and successfully control of weeds needs to grow in rotation with another crops and to apply 100 kg N ha⁻¹ as ammonium nitrate, 150 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ as triple super phosphate and 120 kg K ha⁻¹ as potassium chloride.

Statistical analysis show that when spring barley grow in competition with weeds in no fertilizer plots, crop rotation significantly increases nutrient content of spring barley, while when fertilizer is applied, the N, P₂O₅ and K₂O content in the barley does not change (Table 2).

The weed nutrient content was greater in no fertilizer plots when barley grown in crop rotation (Table 2). Lowest weed nutrient content observed where soil fertility is increased (crop rotation plus NPK application). The analysis of nutrient content also support that competitive ability of spring barley with weeds is highest when grew in crop rotation and apply NPK fertilizer.

Table 3: Nutrient uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by spring barley and weeds as affected by crop rotation and fertilizer application in Moscow (2004-2005)

| | Barley | | | Weeds | | | Total | | | Total NPK uptake |
|-------------------|--------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | |
| Continuous | | | | | | | | | | |
| No fertilizer | 5.2 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 8.8 | 5.4 | 33.5 | 18.4 | 7.6 | 38.3 | 64.3 |
| NPK | 51.9 | 15.2 | 43.0 | 20.5 | 7.9 | 21.9 | 46.8 | 18.9 | 71.0 | 136.7 |
| Rotation | | | | | | | | | | |
| No fertilizer | 40.8 | 17.6 | 43.8 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 26.5 | 42.8 | 18.5 | 49.3 | 110.6 |
| NPK | 58.1 | 21.1 | 55.8 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 10.8 | 62.4 | 23.1 | 99.0 | 184.5 |

The data show that nutrient uptake by spring barley in crop rotation compared with continuous cropping system significantly increased (Table 3). In plots with no fertilizer, N, P₂O₅ and K₂O uptake by crop in crop rotation was 8, 8 and 7 times greater than continuous, respectively.

Fertilizer application also significantly increased nutrient uptake by spring barley. In the continuous crop, P₂O₅ and K₂O uptake in plots with NPK application was 7 times greater than no fertilizer plots that equal with increase accumulation of dry mass result to NPK application (Table 1). In the crop rotation N uptake in the plots with NPK application was 10 times higher than the plots with no fertilizer.

This result was due to not only increase dry mass, but also due to by greater N content in spring barley.

The data of Table 3 shows that nutrient uptake of soil by weeds significantly reduced in crop rotation compared with continuous. Reduction nutrient uptake of soil by weeds was greater when NPK was applied. Overall, total NPK uptake by spring barley and weeds was 64.3-184.5 kg ha⁻¹ that in continuous crop and no fertilizer plots, weeds uptake 74% of total NPK. This was 36.8% when NPK was applied. In crop rotation, total NPK uptake by weeds reduced, so in the no fertilizer plots the portion of weeds from total NPK uptake was 35% and in the NPK plots was only 9%. Study findings suggest that crop rotation and NPK application results in suppressed weed growth, not by reduced nutrient uptake by weeds but instead by greater nutrient uptake by spring barley that increases its competitiveness with weeds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are highly grateful to Prof. N. F. Hohlov, Head of the Department of Agronomy and Experimental Methods, Moscow, Russia for providing necessary laboratory facilities.

REFERENCES

Alkamper, J., 1976. Influence of weed infestation on effect of fertilizer dressings. *Pflanzen.-Nachr. Bayer.*, 29: 191-235.

Ampong-Nyarko, K. and S.K. De Datta, 1993. Effects of nitrogen application on growth, nitrogen use efficiency and rice-weed interaction. *Weed Res.*, 33: 269-276.

Andreasen, C., A.S. Litz and J.C. Strebig, 2006. Growth response of six weed species and spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) to increasing levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. *Crop Sci.*, 46: 503-512.

Blackshaw, R.E., F. Larney, C.W. Lindwall and G.C. Kozub, 1994. Crop rotation and tillage effect on weed populations on the semi-arid Canadian prairies. *Weed Technol.*, 8: 231-237.

Blackshaw, R.E., R.N. Brandt, H.H. Janzen, T. Entz, C.A. Grant and D.A. Derksen, 2003. Differential response of weed species to added nitrogen. *Weed Sci.*, 51: 532-539.

Burgos, N.R., R.J. Norman, D.R. Gealy and H. Black, 2006. Competitive N uptake between rice and weedy rice. *Field Crops Res.*, 99: 96-105.

Davis, F.S. and M. Liebman, 2001. Nitrogen source influences wild mustard growth and competitive effect on sweet corn. *Weed Sci.*, 49: 558-566.

Derksen, D.A., G.P. Lafond, A.G. Thomas, H.A. Loepky and C.J. Swanton, 1993. Impact of agronomic practices on weed communities: Tillage systems. *Weed Sci.*, 41: 409-417.

Fritschi, F.B., Bruce A. Roberts, Robert L. Travis, D. William Rains and Robert B. Huttmacher, 2004. Seasonal nitrogen concentration, uptake and partitioning pattern of irrigated Acala and Pima cotton as influenced by nitrogen fertility level. *Crop Sci.*, 44: 516-527.

Hennessy, D.A., 2006. On monoculture and the structure of crop rotations. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.*, 88: 900-915.

Hunt, P.G., P.J. Bauer, T.A. Matheny and W.J. Busscher, 2004. Crop yield and nitrogen accumulation response to tillage of a Coastal Plain soil. *Crop Sci.*, 44: 1673-1681.

Liebman, M., 1989. Effects of nitrogen fertilizer, irrigation and crop genotype on canopy relation and yields of an intercrop-weed mixture. *Field Crop Res.*, 22: 83-100.

- Marenco, R.A. and A.M. Santos, 1999. Crop rotation reduces weed competition and increases chlorophyll concentration and yield of rice. *Pesq. Agropec. Bras.*, 34: 1881-1887.
- Mohammaddoust-e-Chamanabad, H.R., A.M. Tulikov and M.A. Baghestani, 2006. Effect of Long-term fertilizer application and crop rotation on the infestation of fields by weed. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.*, 1-2: 221-234.
- Patro, H., G.K. Patro, B.K. Jena and A.B. Singh, 1999. Reaction of graded levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on weed dry mass and yield of paddy. *Crop Res.*, 17: 114-115.
- Ponce, R.G. and I. Santín, 2003. Losses in nitrogen uptake by tomato and pepper due to infestation by the weed Barnyardgrass. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 26: 2403-2411.
- Riedell, W.E., T.E. Schumacher, S.A. Clay, M.M. Ellsbury, M. Pravecek and P.D. Evenson, 1998. Corn and soil fertility responses to crop rotation with low, medium, or high inputs. *Crop Sci.*, 38: 427-433.
- Santos, B.M., J.A. Dusky, W.M. Stall, D.G. Shilling and T.A. Bewick, 1998. Phosphorous effects on competitive interactions of smooth pigweed (*Amaranthous hybridus*) and common purslane (*Portulaca oleracea*) with lettuce. *Weed Sci.*, 46: 307-312.
- SPSS, 1998. Inc., SPSS for windows, Version 9. User manual. SPSS Inc., Chicago. IL.
- Tulikov, A.M. and V.M. Sugrobov, 1984. Role of long-term application fertilizer, lime and crop rotation in change infestation field by weeds. *Izvestia TCXA.*, 2: 32-36.
- Ugen, M.A., H.C. Wien and C.S. Wortmann, 2002. Dry bean competitiveness with annual weeds as affected by soil nutrient availability. *Weed Sci.*, 50: 530-535.