

<http://www.pjbs.org>

PJBS

ISSN 1028-8880

Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences

ANSI*net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

Identification of the Hyper Accumulator Plants in Copper and Iron Mine in Iran

¹Behrouz E. Malayeri, ¹Abdolkarim Chehregani, ¹Nafiseh Yousefi and ²Bahareh Lorestani

¹Department of Biology, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

²Department of Environment, Islamic Azad University, Hamedan, Iran

Abstract: The aim of present study was to identify accumulator plants that are effective for phytoremediation. We chose a mine of Iron and Copper named Hame Kasi that located western north of Hamedan city as a polluted area. In this region concentration of heavy metals is several times more than non-polluted area. Seventeen plant species and 6 soil samples were collected from this region for determination of heavy metals content. Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) was used for analysis of heavy metals in soil and plant samples, then tested plant species were grouped on the basis of their accumulation capability of heavy metals. The results of this research showed that there are some hyper accumulator plants in this area that can concentrate heavy metals in their different parts thus they can be used for remediation of polluted area.

Key words: Hyper accumulator plants, phytoremediation, heavy metal, pollution

INTRODUCTION

Heavy metal pollution causes potential ecological risk. Although many metals are essential, all metals are toxic at higher concentration, because they cause oxidative stress by formation of free radicals. Another reason why metals may be toxic is that they can replace essential metals in pigments or enzymes disrupting their function. Thus, metals render the land unsuitable for plant growth and destroy the biodiversity (Ghosh and Singh, 2005). The clean-up of polluted soils is one of the most difficult tasks for environmental engineering. The main technique used is extraction with physico-chemical methods, which represent sophisticated techniques and which are accordingly expensive. They are suitable for relatively small soil volumes at sites where immediate action is required. To clean-up larger areas intended to be used for agricultural and gardening purposes, currently available methods are not satisfactory. Gentle methods are needed to clean-up soils which are moderately polluted and where soil fertility is seriously affected. Since most of the plant roots are located in the soil, it seems possible to decontaminate polluted soils by using metal accumulating plants, so called hyper accumulators. In contrast to other remediation methods, this method would not destroy the soil structure (Felix and Kasak, 2000). In fact these plants can be compared too solar driven pumps (Abdul *et al.*, 2001). So, hyper accumulator plants can be used to uptake and/or mineralize toxic compounds. Plant ability to take up chemical elements from growth media is evaluated by a ratio of element concentration in plants to element concentration in soils and is called: BAC; Biological

Absorption Coefficient (Kabata Pendias, 2000). This way of remediation is named phytoremediation. The base of phytoremediation, (phyto meaning plant and the Latin suffix *remedium* meaning to clean or restores) actually refers to soil cleaning of plant-based technologies that use either naturally occurring or genetically engineered plants for cleaning contaminated environments (Majeti *et al.*, 2000). Another definition of phytoremediation, a promising method for cleaning of soil and water, is pollutant uptake or bounding by plants (Gabriella and Attila, 2005). These metal hyper accumulator plant species can concentrate metal in their aerial parts, to levels far exceeding than soil (Ghosh and Singh, 2005). The aim of this research was to identify of hyper accumulator plants that were growing in the iron and copper main site as a polluted area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant preparation and analysis: Plant samples of different growing species were collected from surrounding area of Hame Kasi iron and copper mine (Hamedan, Iran). Plant samples were thoroughly washed with deionised water to remove any soil particles attached to the plant surfaces. The aboveground and underground tissue were then separated and oven dried (70°C) to constant weight. The dried tissue were weighed and ground into powder for metal concentration analysis. Metal analysis of the plant samples was carried out by acid digestion [Conc. HNO₃+HClO₄ (4:1, V/V)], then measured by using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (GBC Avanta, Australia) and compared with concentration in natural condition in plants.

Soil preparation and analysis: Soils were sampled from the same sites and location points as the plants. The top 15 cm soil from between the plant roots was collected, air-dried for two weeks and then sieved through a 2 mm mesh. Samples were then analyzed for total metals (Cu, Zn, Fe and Mn) with 4 N + HNO₃, then measured by using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (GBC Avanta, Australia) (Wong and Brad Shaw, 2002). In this study BAC were calculated for heavy metal content (Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu) of each 17 plant species and shoots and roots of 4 plant species.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soils material analysis showed that concentration of subjected metals in the Main site were high than control (Table 1). As shown in Table 1 amount of Fe is 17 times, Mn is 12 times, Zn 12.5 times and Cu 11.2 times more than control soils.

Plant samples of different species were collected and amounts of some heavy metals were analyzed by using atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Results of analysis showed that concentration of some heavy metals are more than natural conditions (Table 2).

BAC, Biological Absorption Coefficient, analysis indicated that our subjected plants can be considered in four different groups (Table 3). The tested plant species were grouped by their capability of heavy metal uptake and sensitivity to high metal pollution:

- Species that had BAC between 1-10 known high accumulator plants.
- Species that had BAC between 0.1-1 known moderately accumulator plants.
- Species that had BAC between 0.1-0.01 known low accumulator plants.

- Species that had BAC <0.01 known non accumulator plants (Bini *et al.*, 1995).

Identification of high accumulator, moderately accumulator, low accumulator and non accumulator plants were done with consideration of above mentioned criterions as following forms:

- Species of *Scariola orientalis*, *Cirsium comgestum*, *Chenopodium botrys*, *Cousina* sp. and *Verbascum speciosum* should be considered as high accumulator plants for Cu.
- Based on present results following plants should be considered as moderately accumulator plants: *Stipa barbata* for Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu, *Cousina* sp. and *Chenopodium botrys* for Fe, Mn and Zn, *Scariola orientalis* for Mn and Zn, *Acanthophyllum microcephalum* and *Chondrila juncea* for Mn and Cu, *Centaurea virgata* for Fe and Cu, *Cirsium comgestum* for Mn, *Astragalus verus*, *Ziziphora clinopodioides*, *Echinops ritrodes*, *Melica jacquem*, *Cousinia bijarensis* and *Heliochrysum armenium* for Cu, *Euphorbia macroclada* for Zn and *Verbascum speciosum* for Fe.
- Results indicate that following plants belonging to low accumulator plant group: *Cirsium congestum*, *Ziziphora clinopodioides*, *Echinops ritrode*, *Melica jacquem*, *Cousinia bijarensis* and *Heliochrysum armenium* for Fe, Mn and Zn, *Euphorbia macroclada* for Fe, Mn and Cu, *Cirsium lappaceum*

Table 1: Mean of metals concentration in polluted and control sites

Metal	Polluted soil (mg kg ⁻¹)	Control soil (mg kg ⁻¹)
Fe	33890.0	2078.0
Mn	385.3	32.8
Cu	119.0	10.7
Zn	1405.0	112.0

Each data represent means of 6 samples

Table 2: Concentration of metals in plant species

Plant name	Concentration of Fe	Concentration of Mn	Concentration of Zn	Concentration of Cu
	(mg kg ⁻¹ DW)			
<i>Centaurea virgata</i>	3470.0	33.0	56.0	21.0
<i>Astragalus verus</i>	2117.5	39.0	50.0	24.0
<i>Chenopodium botrys</i>	4145.0	175.0	276.0	56.0
<i>Stipa barbata</i>	5910.0	110.0	330.0	37.0
<i>Ziziphora clinopodioides</i>	1965.0	82.2	127.0	19.0
<i>Cousinia bijarensis</i>	907.5	6.0	45.0	25.0
<i>Acanthophyllum microcephalum</i>	520.0	174.0	90.0	17.0
<i>Cirsium congestum</i>	2900.0	164.0	70.0	57.0
<i>Scariola orientalis</i>	1317.5	76.0	113.0	87.0
<i>Cousina</i> sp.	6382.5	123.0	142.0	34.0
<i>Chondrila juncea</i>	240.0	54.0	110.0	17.5
<i>Melica jacquem</i>	1277.5	30.0	131.0	25.0
<i>Echinops ritrodes</i>	1455.0	23.4	126.0	14.0
<i>Cirsium lappaceum</i>	275.0	10.0	32.0	3.0
<i>Heliochrysum armenium</i>	892.5	6.0	120.0	16.0
<i>Euphorbia macroclada</i>	1445.0	3.0	111.0	13.0
<i>Verbascum speciosum</i>	15390.0	39.0	129.5	40.0
Amount in natural conditions	*	*	50.0	4.0

*: Data variable in different species

Table 3: BAC- s, Biological Absorption Coefficient, of plant samples in field study

Plant name	BAC for Fe	BAC for Mn	BAC for Zn	BAC for Cu
<i>Centaurea virgata</i>	0.1100	0.0660	0.0250	0.052
<i>Astragalus verus</i>	0.0670	0.0780	0.0220	0.600
<i>Chenopodium botrys</i>	0.1310	0.3530	0.1260	1.400
<i>Stipa barbata</i>	0.1800	0.2200	0.1500	0.920
<i>Ziziphora clinopodioides</i>	0.0620	0.0560	0.0580	0.470
<i>Cousinia bijareusis</i>	0.0288	0.0120	0.0200	0.698
<i>Acanthophyllum microcephalum</i>	0.0160	0.3510	0.0410	0.420
<i>Cirsium congestum</i>	0.0970	0.5000	0.0980	2.110
<i>Scariola orientalis</i>	0.0441	0.2300	0.1590	2.230
<i>Cousina</i> sp.	0.2100	0.3700	0.2000	1.260
<i>Chondrila juncea</i>	0.0076	0.1090	0.0500	0.430
<i>Melica jacquem</i>	0.0406	0.0606	0.0599	0.620
<i>Echinops ritrodes</i>	0.0462	0.0470	0.0570	0.350
<i>Cirsium lappaceum</i>	0.0087	0.0200	0.0140	0.070
<i>Heliochrysum armenium</i>	0.0283	0.0121	0.0540	0.400
<i>Euphorbia macroclada</i>	0.0292	0.0168	0.2460	0.068
<i>Verbascum speciosum</i>	0.4890	0.0780	0.0592	1.005

BAC = Ratio of element concentration in plant to element concentration in soil

for Mn, Zn and Cu *Astragalus verus* and *Acanthophyllum microcephalum* for Fe and Zn, *Verbascum speciosum* and *centaurea virgata* for Mn and Zn *Scariola orientalis* for Fe and *Chondrila juncea* for Zn.

- Species of *Cirsium lappaceum* and *Chondrila juncea* were known as non accumulator plants for Fe (Table 3).

The present field investigation shows that some plants can accumulated heavy metal from polluted areas and are found to absorb a wide range of soil metals (Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn) (Zayed *et al.*, 2002; Chehregami *et al.*, 2007). These species that do not appear to be affected by excessive metal contents may possesses metal resistance capabilities, or higher tolerance than more sensitive species, therefore, their utility for remediation is possible (Keller *et al.*, 2003). To this base and with considering of their high biomass, we suggest the plants of group 1 can be used effectively for phytoremediation processing. This is a novel report about their ability regarding Cu concentration. In fact this plants can be extract and concentrate Cu element from their environment thus they can use for cleaning Cu contaminated soil. The benefit of these technologies is the potential for low-cost remediation. This is accordance with finding of Kabata Pandias (2000) that stated Chenopodiaceous is one of the families that are good hyper accumulator of heavy metals and also Malayeri *et al.* (2005) showed that specie of *Scariola orientalis* is hyper accumulator plant for Zn, Cu and Pb, Cd, Ni and Fe metals.

REFERENCES

- Abdul, R., D. Memon and V. Anastassia, 2001. Heavy metal accumulation and detoxification mechanisms in plants. *Turk. J. Bot.*, 25: 111-121.
- Bini, C., L. Gentili, L. Maleci-Bini and O. Vaselli, 1995. Trace elements in plants and soils of urban parks. Annexed to contaminated soil prost, INRA, Paris.
- Chehregami, A. and B.E. Malayeri, 2007. Removal of heavy metals by native accumulator plants. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, pp: 1560-8530, 462-465.
- Felix, H.R. and L.J. Kasak, 2000. Phytoremediation of heavy metal contaminated waste water, case studies. *Int. J. Phytoremed.*, 28: 1-5.
- Gabriella, M. and A. Attila, 2005. Phytoremediation study: Factors influencing heavy metal uptake of plants. *Acta Biol. Szeged*, 49: 69-70.
- Ghosh, M. and S.P. Singh, 2005. A review on phytoremediation of heavy metals and utilization of its byproducts. *Applied Ecol. Environ. Res.*, 73: 1-18.
- Kabata-Pendias, A., 2000. Trace Elements in Soils and Plants. 3ed Edn., CRC Press.
- Keller, B.E.M., K. Lajtha and S. Cristofor, 2003. Trace metal concentrations in sediment and plants. *Int. J. Phytoremed.*, 18: 42-50.
- Majeti, N.V., H.M. Prasad and O. Freitas, 2000. Metal hyperaccumulation in plants-biodiversity prospecting for phytoremediation technology. *Electronic J. Biotechnol.*, 6: 1-27.
- Malayeri, B.E., A. Chehregami, F. Mohsenzade and R. Golmohammadi, 2005. Effect of heavy metals on the developmental stages of ovule and embryonic sac in *Ephorbia cheiradenia*. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 8: 622-625.
- Wong, M.H. and A.D. Brad Shaw, 2002. China: Progress in the Reclamation of Degraded Land. In: *Handbook of Ecological Restoration*. Perrow, M.R. and A.J. Davey (Eds.), Cambridge, University Press, Cambridge (In Press), 2: 89-98.
- Zayed, A., S. Growthaman and N. Terry, 2002. Phytoremediation of trace elements by plants. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 27: 715-721.