

<http://www.pjbs.org>

PJBS

ISSN 1028-8880

**Pakistan
Journal of Biological Sciences**

ANSI*net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

The Effect of Type of Marginal Land Use on the Plant Diversity

¹A. Ariapour, ²M. Chaichi, ³A. Torknezhad, ²H. Arzani and ³M. Aghaii

¹Ph.D Student, Range Science, Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch, Tehran, Iran

²Academic Members of Tehran University, Iran

³Academic Members of Jihad-e-Agriculture, Iran

Abstract: The objective of this study closely focuses on the settlement of the effect of marginal land use on plant diversity. The location of this study was Sar Firouze Abad region with rainfall of over 350 mm and slope of over 12%. Five treatments in terms of land use (exclusion area, grazed range, fallow, annual medic cultivation, wheat cultivation) done with the repetition of five times. The factors, such as the number of species and the number of plant bases in each species in each sampling were measured. The method used here is based upon complete randomized design applying Duncan test and Raunchier method for classification of plant species and also Shannon-Wiener index of diversity and homogeny utilized. The results show that there are 76 species belonging to 60 genus and 19 families spreading in the region under study. The most dominant families are Fabaceae with 15 species covering 20% and Poaceae with 13 species covering 17%, respectively. According to Raunchier method the life form of the region species can be classified as Therophytes 66%, Geophytes 3%, Hemicyptophytes 26% and Chamaephytes 5%. The results also illustrate that the treatment of exclusion area has the most plant diversity in comparison with treatments of fallow, annual medic cultivation, wheat cultivation and range grazed.

Key words: Land use, marginal lands, plant diversity

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, researchers regard rangelands primarily as a main genetic bank. Sustainable bio-diversity proves to be the main objective in this regard and it insists on the fact that living things diversity should be preserved in possible ways. The change of rangelands into rain feed lands demonstrates the destructive interference of man in natural ecosystems led to the erosion of soil and cover and plant diversity. These lands called marginal lands which are in fact buffer regions. They protect main regions or rangelands like battlements. The establishment of permanent residence by man and his cattle in these regions destroyed the natural cover and increased the number of species bred by him. The relationship between variety and sustainability has arisen a lot of discussions among researchers (Eviner and Chapin, 2001; Waldhardt and Otte, 2003, 2004; Cingolani *et al.*, 2005; Wellstein *et al.*, 2006). Many ecologists maintain that the high richness of species is viewed as one of the most favorable features in every society or ecosystem. This feature is of high significance in evaluating the methods

concerning the issue of protection of nature (Stoblgren, 2006). In order to measure diversity many factors has been used invented, but perhaps the total number of species under the name of richness of species is much more well-known (Magurran, 1988). Naimi (2001) used satellite information in order to evaluate plant diversity in Golestan National Park. He shoed that plant diversity factor is the most effective one in analyzing floristic diversity. Chamani (1995) compared and contrasted diversity using similar and dissimilar factors. He demonstrated that mountain unites have the maximum level of diversity. Sharifie (1996) performed a research on determining diversity and the richness of species and classification of meadow. He made use of Whittaker method and cluster analysis. He showed that diversity of plant cover observed more in grasslands. Glichnia (2006) did a comparative study of variety and production of plant cover bath in and of out of Robat Garabil of Golestan National Park. The study carried out in key, critical and reference regions whose Topographical, soil and climate conditions were nearly the same. He maintained that the excessive grazing in critical region made diversity and product poor while in key

region due to moderate grazing is Reich and in reference region due to lack of grazing plant diversity is poor but product is rich regarding the rest of regions. The planning and Budgeting Organization (2006) carried out a study on the cause of destruction on Chahar tang-e-Koshk-e-zar wetland. The study revealed that the cause of destruction of this ecosystem was due to the change of rich rangelands into farming lands and also excavation for supply of water for irrigation purpose. This change in land use made both rich flour of the region and plant diversity destroy. The destruction of plant diversity caused the bio-diversity of the region where was once a hunting-ground to vanish. So a serious damage was done to sustainable development of the region. Delitti *et al.* (2005) studied the fire effect on plant composition and productivity of shrub land of Valencia Region (Spain). He demonstrated that all of these effects on plant composition and productivity were negative and damaging. Otte (2005) made models of plant diversity and richness in farming lands, grasslands and wetland using GIS. Her model reveals the significance of diversity and richness in ecosystems in terms of economic management of these lands. Kosins (2005) carried out a research in Sweden and showed that the growth and variety of plants of rangelands and grasslands managed in traditional ways are 23% more than lands under mechanized management. Eycott *et al.* (2006) illustrated that one of the factors of sustainability in forests is plant diversity in these lands which means a positive sequence. So plant diversity is essential to sustainability of an ecosystem. It should be noted that habitations of village margins and margins of farming lands (marginal lands) can play a vital role in enriching and development of various species of plants. But there is a little information on how such habitations can effect on plant species. The issue of sustainable development in agriculture together with the preservation of diversity and genetic bank in lands changed in land use and also the study of the effects of such uses is the object of this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study performed in Sarfirouze Abad rangelands in Kermanshah province with geographical latitude 47 degree and 6', longitude 34 degree and 15', rainfall over 350 mm, altitude 1542 m and sleep 12%. The texture of soil is loamy-clay. Five treatments in terms of the type of use including: enclosure land (evidence), rangeland under grazing, fallow, annual medic cultivation and wheat

cultivation with repetition of five times were used in farming year of 2005-2006. The measures of sampling are an area of 1×2 m.

In each plot, factors such as: the number of plant bases and the number of species were measured. The results of this study are analyzed by SPSS computer program and Duncan test. The formulas for plant diversity used here are:

$$J = \frac{H'}{H'_{max}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s PiLnPi}{LnS} \text{ and } H' = -\sum_{i=1}^s PiLnPi$$

here, Pi is the ratio of one species to all, LnPi log of species ratio and S is the total number of species. In this index the bigger number, the more diversity and the bigger values of J demonstrate that the distribution of species is more consistent in the frame. So we can conclude that it a satisfactory evidence for the suitable propagation of plant species.

RESULTS

The results demonstrated that there were total 76 species belonging to 60 genera and 19 families in the geographical region under study (Table 1). The richest families are Fabaceae with 15 species (20%) and Poaceae with 13 species (17%), repetitively. Out of total number of existing species in the region under study, 10% belong to Fabaceae family and sixteen percent belongs to Poaceae family. With regard to abundance of genera and species, the other plant families are Compositae, Rannunculaceae, Caryophyllaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Lamiaceae, Boraginaceae and Apiaceae, respectively. Plant species of the region can be classified with accordance to live form and Raunchier method as 66% Therophytes, 3% Geophytes, 26% Hemicryptophytes and 5% Chamaephytes. Duncan test showed that there was a significant difference between treatments at the level of 1%. This occurred due to the number of plant (Table 2). The enclosure treatment had the maximum number of plant. There was also a significant difference between treatments at the level of 1% regarding the plant diversity. With regard to Duncan test and the diversity index of Shanon-Wiener, the treatment of enclosure pasture was more diverse and equal than the rest of the treatments (Table 3). Shanon-Wiener index was used in treatment number six (the enclosure pasture having the maximum diversity) to determine the effect of plot positioning in sampling unit. The result also illustrated that the plot number five had the maximum diversity and equally constancy.

Table 1: The floristic list of plant species observed in the geographical region under study

| Families | Scientific name | Families | Scientific name | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Poaceae | <i>Henrardia persica</i> | Euphorbiaceae | <i>Cousolida oliveriana</i> | | |
| | <i>Hordeum bulbosm</i> | | <i>Aquilegia olympica</i> | | |
| | <i>Lolium</i> sp. | | <i>Adonis flammea</i> | | |
| | <i>Parapholis incurva</i> | | <i>Ranunculus lingua</i> | | |
| | <i>Poa bulbosa</i> | | <i>Nigella</i> sp. | | |
| | <i>Taeniatherum crinitum</i> | | <i>Euphorbia helioscopia</i> | | |
| | <i>Heterantherium</i> sp. | | <i>Euphorbia</i> sp. | | |
| | <i>Bromus</i> sp. | | <i>Euphorbia virgata</i> | | |
| | <i>Bromus</i> sp. | | <i>Euphorbia falcata</i> | | |
| | <i>Bromus tectprum</i> | | Boraginaceae | <i>Onosma macrophyllum</i> | |
| | <i>Bromus dantonica</i> | | | <i>Onosma bulbotrichum</i> | |
| | <i>Agropyrum</i> sp. | | | <i>Nonnea caspica</i> | |
| | Compositae | | <i>Stipa barbata</i> | Lamiaceae | <i>Phlomis oliviri</i> |
| | | | <i>Chardinia orientalis</i> | | <i>Stachys inflata</i> |
| | | | <i>Senesio vernalis</i> | | <i>Ziziphora</i> sp. |
| <i>Taraxacum</i> sp. | | Caryophyllaceae | <i>Salvia staminea</i> | | |
| <i>Echinops persicus</i> | | | <i>Cerastium</i> sp. | | |
| <i>Echinops orientalis</i> | | | <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | | |
| <i>Carthamus oxyacantha</i> | | | <i>Vacaria pyramidata</i> | | |
| <i>Lasiopogon muscoide</i> | | | <i>Minuartia meyeri</i> | | |
| Fabaceae | | <i>Xeranthemum squarrosum</i> | Geraniaceae | <i>Silen conoidae</i> | |
| | | <i>Tragopogon</i> sp. | | <i>Geranium tuberosum</i> | |
| | | <i>Artemis</i> sp. | | <i>Erodium oxyrrhynchum</i> | |
| | | <i>Crupina crupinastrum</i> | Malvaceae | <i>Alcea tehranica</i> | |
| | | <i>Scariola orientalis</i> | | <i>Malva parviflora</i> | |
| | | <i>Vicia michauxii</i> | | <i>Canalis platycarpus</i> | |
| | | Fabaceae | <i>Vicia villosa</i> | Apiaceae | <i>Eryngium caeruleum</i> |
| | <i>Vicia ervilia</i> | | <i>Torilis arvensis</i> | | |
| | <i>Medicago polymorpha</i> | | <i>Conringia orientalis</i> | | |
| | <i>Medicago scutellata</i> | | Cruciferea | <i>Papaver gaubae</i> | |
| | <i>Medicago radiata</i> | | | <i>Androsace</i> sp. | |
| | <i>Trifolium</i> sp. | | Papaveraceae | <i>Lepidium perfoliatum</i> | |
| | <i>Trifolium</i> sp. | | | <i>Scabiosa olivieri</i> | |
| | <i>Lathyrus</i> sp. | | Brassicaceae | <i>Gladiolus atroviolaceus</i> | |
| | <i>Pisum sativum</i> | | | <i>Gundelia tournefortii</i> | |
| <i>Trigonella monatha</i> | Dipsacaceae | | <i>Gadium</i> sp. | | |
| <i>Astragalus gossypinus</i> | | | Iridaceae | | |
| <i>Astragalus cordatus</i> | Asteraceae | | | | |
| <i>Astragalus cruciatus</i> | | | | Rubiaceae | |
| <i>Astragalus kohrudicus</i> | | | | | |

Table 2: Analysis of variance for number of plants and number of species

| Source of variation | df | SS | | Average of square | | F | |
|---------------------|----|---------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | No. of plants | No. of species | No. of plants | No. of species | No. of plants | No. of species |
| Treatment | 4 | 906130.640 | 2268.960 | 226532.660 | 567.240 | 47.312** | 42.080** |
| Error | 20 | 95760.400 | 269.600 | 4788.020 | 13.480 | | |
| Total | 24 | 1001891.040 | 2538.560 | | | | |

*Significant, **Significant, NS = Non Significant

Table 3: Analysis of variance number of plants and number of species based on Duncan test and plant diversity based on Shanon-Wiener index in different treatment

| Treatments | Grazed range | Wheat | Fallow | Annual medic | Exclosure |
|----------------|--------------|---------|--------|--------------|-----------|
| No. of plants | 353.00c | 167.00b | 4.00a | 55.0ab | 514.00d |
| No. of species | 17.00b | 4.00a | 4.00a | 3.0a | 26.00c |
| Diversity | 1.56 | 0.15 | 2.03 | 0.3 | 1.96 |

Values with different letter(s) are significantly different at p<0.05

DISCUSSION

The rainfall of the region made the anticipation that the majority of plant species would be Therophytes and annuals regarding Rankayer's classification. This fact also reported by other researchers because the region under study had the driest days in a year (regarding Amberege's

classification). In this climate plant species (their seeds) have to be buried into the ground in order to survive in harsh season conditions and then grow again in convenient temperature and rainfall. The dry climate and insufficiency of rainfall also caused the dominance of Poaceae family due to their resistance to dry climate. The diversity in annual medics and Trifoliolate family in the

region under study made Fabaceae family have the most diversity due to pass harsh dry season, burying their hard-cover seeds into the ground. The Duncen test illustrated that there was the maximum number of plants and plant diversity in the treatment of exclusion pasture approved by Shanon-winer index. The treatment of exclosure pasture had the maximum plant composition, consistency and the production of plants which agree to Delitti's (2005) study. This result differed slightly from Glichnia's (2006) because the comparison made by him was based upon the treatment of exclusion under balanced grazing. It showed that the balanced grazing caused natural harvest which controlled the competition among plants. The studies done by Programming and Budgeting Organization (2005) and Kosins (2005) demonstrated that diversity could be observable in the lands where there were no human interferences and change in land use. Nowadays researchers and scientists regard pastures as a genetic bank rather than a source of cattle nourishment and so on. As the present study revealed, the exclosure of pasture is the best way to protect plant cover in order to preserve water, soil and plant diversity which keep safe genetic banks. It also moderated climate conditions and safeguarded medical herbs, wild life, an parks. The reason why the treatment of medic had more diversity than the wheat treatment and fallow would be explained in terms of the fact that this treatment was closer to the treatment of exclosure pasture. The measurement of diversity and consistency in the treatment of exclosure pasture demonstrated that the frame number five was more diverse and constant than the rest of the frames. The reason was that this repetition located in the low part of the slope. This region had deeper soil so it bore more penetrating effect which provided better condition for the growth of plants. Regarding Table 1, it can be claimed that Shanon-wiener index is not suitable for measuring diversity and consistency in the regions where the number of plants is small and this small number exists in all of the frames. Result showed that there is minimum plant diversity and minimum number of plant in the fallow treatment in comparison with other treatments. Thus for a suitable development must avoid fallow land. It can be concluded that the modern use of pastures as a genetic bank, protection of water and soil, wild life, medical herbs, parks, moderation of climate and other public benefits, except the supply of forage for cattle, support the idea that they should be used as exclosure pastures. This can be generally recommended for all pastures. The change of marginal lands into exclosure pastures will be of high importance here.

REFERENCES

- Chamani, A., 1995. Investigation of diversity and richness on plant species in Golestan National Park. MS. Thesis, Gorgan University.
- Cingolani, A.M. *et al.*, 2005. Grazing effects on rangeland diversity: A synthesis of contemporary models. *J. Ecol. Appl.*, 15 (2): 757-773.
- Delitti, W. *et al.*, 2005. Effects of fire recurrence in *Quercus coccifera* L. shrub lands of the valencia region (Spain): I. Plant composition and productivity. *Plant Ecol.*, 177: 57-70.
- Eviner, V.T. and F.S. Chapin, 2001. Plant species provide vital ecosystem functions for sustainable agriculture. *Rangeland management and restoration. J. California Agric.*, 55 (6).
- Eycott, A.E. *et al.*, 2006. Ecological patterns of plant diversity in a plantation forest managed by clearfelling. *J. Applied Ecol.*, 43: 1160.
- Glichnia, H., 2006. Comparison of plant cover in index, reference and critical area in golestan national park and range's neighbor. *J. Paguheshe Sazandegi*, 30: 72-74.
- Kosins, S.A.O., 2005. Plant species richness in midfield islets and road verges-the effect of landscape fragmentation. Department of Botany, Stockholm University, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden.
- Magurran, A., 1988. *Ecological Diversity and its Measurement*. Croom Helm, London.
- Naimi, B., 2001. Evaluation and creation of map of diversity and richness in plants of golestan national park by using TM data land sat shuttle. M.Sc. Thesis, Tarbiat Moddaress University.
- Otte, A., 2005. Modules for the modeling of land-use dependent plant species diversity in cultural landscapes. Dipl.-Eng. Birgit Reger, Dipl.-Biol. Dietmar Simmering, Dipl.-Biol. Camilla Wellstein.
- Sharifie, N.G., 1996. Investigation of Plant Diversity of Prairie Life form in Ardabil. *J. Paguheshe Sazandegi*, 33: 26-31.
- Stoblgren, T.J., 2006. *Measuring Plant Diversity Lessons from the Field*. Oxford University Press.
- Waldhardt, R. and A. Otte, 2003. Indicators of plant species and community diversity in grasslands. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 98: 339-351.
- Waldhardt, R. and A. Otte, 2004. Estimation and prediction of plant species richness in a mosaic landscape. *Landscape Ecol.*, 19: 211-226.
- Wellstein, C. *et al.*, 2006. Impact of site and management on the diversity of Central European mesic grassland. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 122: 203-210.