http://www.pjbs.org



ISSN 1028-8880

# Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences



# **Subclinical Hypothyroidism Effects on Cardiac Function**

Abstract: To evaluate heart function in subclinical hypothyroid women in comparison with healthy subjects, a prospective study was performed on newly detected subclinical hypothyroid women presenting to endocrinology clinic of Tabriz Sina Hospital from October 2007 to February 2008. Thirty five women with Subclinical Hypothyroidism (SH) in case group were matched with 35 healthy euthyroid women in control group. All patients in both groups were studied by two dimensional echocardiography and Tissue Doppler Imaging (TDI) in Tabriz Shahid Madani Hospital. The FT4 and TSH levels were measured. Comparison of TDI results in Right Ventricle (RV) showed the significantly lower mean  $T_v$  excursion in case group with no significant difference in other parameters. In Left Ventricle (LV), the mean  $A_m$ ,  $A_v$  and  $E_v/E_m$  were significantly higher and E/A was lower in the case group, but there was no significant difference in other parameters. No RV diastolic dysfunction was documented in both groups. There was no case with LV systolic dysfunction in both groups. There were 21 (60%) patients with LV diastolic dysfunction in the case group comparing with 11 (31.4%) cases in the control group (p = 0.016, OR = 0.306). Frequency of LV diastolic dysfunction was significantly higher in the case group in patients aged ≥40 years (94.1% vs. 53.3%; p = 0.013). There was no case of pericardial effusion in the studied population. According to our results, SH may cause LV diastolic dysfunction. Likewise, minor RV systolic dysfunction might be seen in these patients.

Key words: Subclinical hypothyroidism, tissue doppler imaging, diastolic dysfunction

### INTRODUCTION

There is biological plausibility to the premise that subclinical thyroid dysfunction may cause adverse cardiac consequences (Cappola, 2007). Multiple studies have examined the relationships between endogenous SH (Dorr et al., 2005; Owen et al., 2006; Franzoni et al., 2006; Aghini-Lombardi et al., 2006; Kosar et al., 2005; Turhan et al., 2006) and echocardiographic measures of systolic and diastolic function (Cappola, 2007). SH can have repercussions on the cardiovascular system, as well as on other organs and systems (Biondi and Cooper, 2008).

Subclinical Hypothyroidism (SH) is an apparently asymptomatic condition defined by slightly increased serum Thyroid-Stimulating Hormone (TSH) concentrations and normal free thyroid hormone levels. As reported, in iodine sufficient areas, SH occurs in 4-9.5% of the general population, being more frequent in women and in the elderly (Aghini-Lombardi *et al.*, 2006;

Duarte et al., 2009; Ochs et al., 2008; Papi et al., 2007; Surks et al., 2004). In view of the minimal hormonal impairment and the apparent failure of symptoms, the need of a life-long treatment with levothyroxine (L-T<sub>4</sub>) is a matter of controversy. Nevertheless, this condition may be clinically relevant at level of target organs over a period of several years. Cardiovascular system is very sensitive to minimal defects of circulating thyroid hormones and cardiovascular disorders are usually associated with overt hypothyroidism (Kahaly and Dillmann, 2005). Furthermore, the abnormalities in myocardial contractility and the changes of the lipoprotein profile that are frequently documented in hypothyroid patients have been reported by Kahaly and Dillmann (2005) and Sahin et al. (2005). Therefore, SH may be considered a true risk factor for the development of coronary heart disease (Kahaly and Dillmann, 2005; Fazio et al., 2004). Indeed, a progression of coronary angiographic lesions in untreated SH patients in comparison with L-T<sub>4</sub>-treated SH patients has been reported (Kahaly and Dillmann, 2005; Sahin et al., 2005; Fazio et al., 2004). Recently, it has been reported by ultrasonic tissue characterization (videodensitometry) that SH is associated with early abnormalities in both myocardial function structure, which are reversible with replacement therapy (Aghini-Lombardi et al., 2006). However, there has been heterogeneity in studies of SH. Two studies have shown no difference in left ventricular mass or function between individuals with and without SH (Dorr et al., 2005; Owen et al., 2006) and of the remaining studies that have detected systolic and/or diastolic abnormalities using echocardiography, no pair of these studies report the same pattern of abnormal parameters (Franzoni et al., 2006; Aghini-Lombardi et al., 2006; Kosar et al., 2005; Turhan et al., 2006; Vitale et al., 2002).

The aim of the present study was to evaluate heart function in subclinical hypothyroid women, in comparison with healthy subjects by conventional echocardiography and Tissue Doppler Imaging (TDI).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was prospective performed on newly detected subclinical hypothyroid women presenting to endocrinology clinic of Tabriz Sina Hospital from October 2007 to February 2008. According to the earlier studies and using  $N = Z^2pq/d^2$  formulation ( $Z^2 = 3.84$ , p = 0.1, q = 0.9), the required sample size was calculated as 35 (Ariola et al., 2006; Wayne and Terrell, 1986). Thirty five women with Subclinical Hypothyroidism (SH) in case group were matched with 35 healthy euthyroid women in control group. Exclusion criteria were use of thyroid or other hormonal drugs, antihypertensive agents, congenital or acquired heart disease and pregnancy. Patients and normal control subjects were enrolled consecutively to make number, BMI and age become the same in both groups. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient. All patients in case and control groups were studied by two dimensional echocardiography and tissue Doppler imaging in Tabriz Shahid Madani Hospital.

The patients parameters were recorded including age, BMI, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, free thyroxine (FT4) and thyrotropin and pleural effusion (if any). The right ventricle parameters measured by TDI and 2D echocardiography were as following: Ev/Em, Ev, E/A, Em, Av, EDECT, Am, Sm, TvE, TPC, RVDD, RVSP, TRg, TRv and RV systolic and diastolic function. The left ventricle parameters measured by TDI and two dimensional echocardiography were as following: Ev/Em,

Ev, E/A, Em, Av, EDECT, Am, Sm, EF, LVMI, LVDD, LVSD, LAD, TPC and LV systolic and diastolic function. The echocardiographic parameters in tow groups of <40 years and ≥40 years were compared with each other. Immediately after rapid centrifugation of a blood sample collected at 7 AM from an antecubital vein, FT4 and TSH levels were measured (Singh *et al.*, 2008; Rodondi *et al.*, 2008; Zoncu *et al.*, 2005).

Echocardiography was performed for all cases and by subjects one subspecialist. echochardiologist was unaware about the thyroid function status of studied person. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE), was performed by Vivid7®USA echocardiography machine, using 3.5MHz prob. The achieved graphs were recorded in standard parasternal long axis and apical views, by following methods: M-mode, 2D echo, color Doppler and Tissue Doppler Imaging (TDI). Ejection Fraction (EF) was calculated by both M-mode and eyeball methods. The LV mass index was calculated by both M-mode using following formulation:

LV mass =  $0.8[(IVSD+LVDD+PWT)^3-(LVDD)^3]+0.6(g)$ 

(Singh et al., 2008; Rodondi et al., 2008; Zoncu et al., 2005).

The LV diastolic function was assessed by tissue Doppler method in base lateral wall of LV. The RV assessments were performed in four chamber view as measurement of RV size and evaluation of TV excursion for determination of RV longuitional contraction during systole. The TDI method was used for evaluation of motion speed of myocardial muscle of RV free wall during systole and diastole. The collected data were analyzed by SPSS-15 statistical software using the following methods: student t-test (independent samples) for comparison of quantitative variables, Chi-square test or Fishers Exact test for comparison of qualitative (categorical) variables. The p = 0.05 were considered significant (Singh *et al.*, 2008; Rodondi *et al.*, 2008; Zoncu *et al.*, 2005).

### RESULTS

Thirty five subclinical hypothyroid women (case group) with average age of  $38.89\pm11.32$  year were compared with 35 normal healthy women (control group) with average age of  $40.43\pm10.75$  year (p = 0.838). The women with age <40 year was 18 (51.4%) in case and 20 (57.1%) in control group. The women with age  $\geq 40$  year was 17 (48.6%) in case and 15 (42.9%) in control group.

Average BMI was  $26.32\pm4.78$  kg m<sup>-2</sup> in case and  $26.84\pm4.73$  kg m<sup>-2</sup> in control group (p = 0.648). Average Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) was  $115.40\pm11.99$  mmHg in

Table 1: Echocardiographic parameters of right and left ventricles in case and control groups

Right ventricle				Left ventricle			
Variables	Group	Average	p-value	Variables	Group	Average	p-value
$E_{\nu}/E_{m}$	Case	4.36±1.89	0.184	E_/E <sub>m</sub>	Case	6.60±2.43	0.049
	Control	$3.87\pm1.02$			Control	5.63±1.53	
$E_v (m \text{ sec}^{-1})$	Case	$0.58\pm0.10$	0.706	$E_v (m sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.85\pm0.14$	0.884
	Control	$0.57\pm0.10$			Control	$0.85\pm0.13$	
E/A	Case	$1.21\pm0.24$	0.162	E/A	Case	$1.23\pm0.34$	0.168
	Control	$1.31\pm0.32$			Control	$1.35\pm0.38$	
$E_m \; (cm \; sec^{-1})$	Case	14.77±4.77	0.561	$E_{m}$ (cm sec <sup>-1</sup> )	Case	$14.37\pm5.24$	0.286
	Control	15.37±3.77			Control	$15.57\pm4.02$	
$A_v (m sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.49\pm0.10$	0.198	$A_v (m sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.72\pm0.16$	0.136
,	Control	$0.46\pm0.11$			Control	$0.67\pm0.14$	
E <sub>DECT</sub> (m sec)	Case	240.39±83.12	0.287	$E_{DECT}$ (m sec)	Case	213.86±44.59	0.751
	Control	260.69±75.08			Control	217.24±44.08	
$A_m \; (cm \; sec^{-1})$	Case	$14.23\pm4.50$	0.122	$A_m$ (cm sec <sup>-1</sup> )	Case	$11.00\pm3.63$	0.114
	Control	16.06±5.24			Control	9.77±2.72	
$S_m (cm sec^{-1})$	Case	15.20±3.29	0.314	$S_m (cm sec^{-1})$	Case	$11.31\pm2.97$	0.928
	Control	14.51±2.28			Control	11.26±2.27	
T <sub>v</sub> E (cm)	Case	$2.10\pm0.23$	0.047	MAE (cm)	Case	$1.49\pm0.27$	0.273
	Control	$2.24\pm0.33$			Control	$1.56\pm0.23$	
TPC (m sec)	Case	148.89±28.38	0.569	TPC (m sec)	Case	115.22±30.00	0.792
	Control	152.91±30.17			Control	113.16±35.05	
RVDD (cm)	Case	$2.84\pm0.36$	0.480	EF (%)	Case	58.43±2.36	0.803
	Control	$2.90\pm0.29$			Control	58.29±2.41	
RVSP (mmHg)	Case	26.83±5.00	0.704	LVMI $(g m^{-2})$	Case	82.25±19.63	0.144
	Control	27.26±4.19			Control	$75.95\pm15.81$	
$TR_g (mmHg)$	Case	17.14±4.65	0.781	LVDD (cm)	Case	$4.33\pm0.34$	0.336
	Control	$17.44\pm4.23$			Control	4.25±0.39	
TR <sub>v</sub> (m sec <sup>-1</sup> )	Case	$2.09\pm0.26$	0.991	LVSD (cm)	Case	2.93±0.32	0.084
	Control	$2.08\pm0.26$			Control	$2.79\pm0.34$	
				LAD (cm)	Case	$3.27\pm0.47$	0.552
					Control	$3.21\pm0.42$	

case and  $113/37\pm9.43$  mmHg in control group (p = 0.434). Average Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) was 75.34 $\pm10.47$  mmHg in case and 70.34 $\pm8.38$  (mmHg) in control group. Average DBP was significantly higher in case group (p = 0.031).

Average Heart Rate (HR) was  $81.89\pm10.04$  bpm in case and  $76.54\pm11.47$  bpm in control group. Average HR was significantly higher in case group (p = 0.042). In case group, the average serum TSH was  $11.68\pm4.37$  mg L<sup>-1</sup> and the average serum FT4 was  $1.09\pm0.21$  µg dL<sup>-1</sup>. Table 1 shows the average echocardiographic parameters of right and left ventricles in case and control groups. As showed in Table 1,  $T_{\nu}$  excursion in right ventricle was significantly less in case group. Also,  $E_{\nu}/E_{m}$  in left ventricle was significantly more in case group. Other echocardiographic parameters of right and left ventricles were not significantly different in case and control groups. There was not pericardial effusion in case or control groups.

There was not any case of RV diastolic dysfunction and LV systolic dysfunction in case or control groups. However, there were 21 women with LV diastolic dysfunction in case group and 11 women with LV diastolic dysfunction grade I in control group. The LV diastolic dysfunction was significantly more in case group (p = 0.016, 95% CI :0.11-0.82, OR = 0.306).

Table 2 shows the average echocardiographic parameters of right and left ventricles in women aged <40 years in case and control groups. As showed in Table 2, echocardiographic parameters of right and left ventricles in this age range were not significantly different between two groups. There were 5 women with LV diastolic dysfunction in case group and 3 women with LV diastolic dysfunction in control group. LV diastolic dysfunction in women aged <40 years was not significantly different (p = 0.438, 95% CI: 0.09-2.28, OR = 0.459).

Table 3 shows the average echocardiographic parameters of right and left ventricles in women aged  $\geq 40$  years in case and control groups. As showed in Table 3, echocardiographic parameters of right ventricle in this age range were not significantly different between two groups. In left ventricle of women aged  $\geq 40$ , the average  $A_m$ ,  $A_v$  and  $E_v/E_m$  were significantly higher and the average E/A was significantly less in case group. There were 16 women with LV diastolic dysfunction grade I in case group and 8 women with same LV diastolic dysfunction in control group. The LV diastolic dysfunction in women aged  $\geq 40$  years was significantly more in case group (p = 0.013, 95% CI: 0.01-0.69, OR = 0.071).

Table 2: Echocardiographic parameters of right and left ventricles in women aged <40 years in case and control groups

Right ventricle				Left ventricle			
Variables	Group	Average	p-value	Variables	Group	Average	p-value
$E_{v}/E_{m}$	Case	3.74±1.23	0.604	$E_{v}/E_{m}$	Case	5.05±0.84	0.955
	Control	$3.94\pm1.05$			Control	5.07±1.33	
$E_v  (m \; sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.58\pm0.10$	0.887	$E_v (m sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.88\pm0.13$	0.771
	Control	$0.59\pm0.11$			Control	$0.89\pm0.09$	
E/A	Case	$1.28\pm0.25$	0.359	E/A	Case	$1.46\pm0.27$	0.732
	Control	$1.36\pm0.30$			Control	$1.42\pm0.35$	
$E_m$ (cm sec <sup>-1</sup> )	Case	$16.33\pm3.43$	0.616	$E_{m}$ (cm sec <sup>-1</sup> )	Case	$17.83\pm4.08$	0.787
	Control	$15.70\pm4.19$			Control	$17.50\pm3.47$	
$A_v (m sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.47\pm0.09$	0.422	$A_v (m sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.61\pm0.09$	0.266
/	Control	$0.44\pm0.10$			Control	$0.65\pm0.13$	
E <sub>DECT</sub> (m sec)	Case	219.70±91.19	0.117	$E_{DECT}$ (m sec)	Case	204.54±36.15	0.462
	Control	264.17±79.54			Control	214.20±43.17	
A <sub>m</sub> (cm sec <sup>-1</sup> )	Case	12.17±3.55	0.114	$A_m$ (cm sec <sup>-1</sup> )	Case	8.72±2.35	0.359
/	Control	14.50±5.09			Control	9.55±3.05	
$S_m \; (cm\; sec^{-1})$	Case	15.22±2.69	0.539	$S_m (cm sec^{-1})$	Case	$12.39\pm2.97$	0.640
	Control	14.70±2.49			Control	$12.00\pm2.08$	
$T_{v}E\left( cm\right)$	Case	$2.10\pm0.26$	0.137	MAE (cm)	Case	$1.46\pm0.23$	0.058
	Control	$2.25\pm0.34$			Control	$1.61\pm0.24$	
TPC (m sec)	Case	157.50±26.72	0.754	TPC (m sec)	Case	109.24±24.48	0.847
	Control	160.28±27.43			Control	107.41±32.69	
RVDD (cm)	Case	$2.90\pm0.33$	0.609	EF (%)	Case	59.17±1.92	0.892
	Control	$2.95\pm0.24$		,	Control	59.25±1.83	
RVSP (mmHg)	Case	25.31±4.46	0.448	LVMI $(g m^{-2})$	Case	77.25±12.59	0.564
	Control	26.44±4.39			Control	74.42±16.79	
$TR_{\rm g}\;(mmHg)$	Case	$15.34\pm4.64$	0.324	LVDD (cm)	Case	$4.37\pm0.29$	0.619
	Control	16.81±4.44		` '	Control	$4.32\pm0.37$	
$TR_v (m sec^{-1})$	Case	$2.04\pm0.22$	0.945	LVSD (cm)	Case	$2.97\pm0.26$	0.121
	Control	$2.05\pm0.28$		` ′	Control	$2.83\pm0.30$	
				LAD (cm)	Case	$3.16\pm0.50$	0.930
				` '	Control	$3.14\pm0.48$	

Table 3: Echocardiographic parameters of right and left ventricles in women aged ≥40 years in case and control groups

Right ventricle			Left ventricle				
Variables	Group	Average	p-value	Variables	Group	Average	p-value
E <sub>v</sub> /E <sub>m</sub>	Case	5.01±2.26	0.063	$E_{\nu}/E_{m}$	Case	8.25±2.50	0.017
	Control	3.78±1.02			Control	6.38±1.50	
$E_v  (m \; sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.57\pm0.11$	0.402	$E_v (m sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.82\pm0.16$	0.857
	Control	$0.54\pm0.08$			Control	$0.81\pm0.17$	
E/A	Case	$1.14\pm0.20$	0.379	E/A	Case	$0.99\pm0.21$	0.025
	Control	$1.23\pm0.33$			Control	$1.25\pm0.40$	
$E_{m} \; (cm \; sec^{-1})$	Case	13.12±5.49	0.271	$E_m$ (cm sec <sup>-1</sup> )	Case	10.71±3.58	0.069
	Control	14.93±3.22			Control	13.00±3.25	
$A_v (m sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.51\pm0.11$	0.368	$A_v (m sec^{-1})$	Case	$0.84\pm0.14$	0.006
	Control	$0.47\pm0.11$			Control	$0.69\pm0.15$	
$E_{\text{DECT}}\left(m\;\text{sec}\right)$	Case	262.31±69.67	0.804	$E_{DECT}$ (m sec)	Case	223.73±51.33	0.889
	Control	256.05±71.15			Control	221.29±46.46	
$A_m \; (cm \; sec^{-1})$	Case	16.41±4.44	0.303	$A_m (cm sec^{-1})$	Case	13.41±3.18	0.002
	Control	18.13±4.84			Control	10.07±2.28	
$S_m (cm sec^{-1})$	Case	15.18±3.91	0.424	$S_m (cm sec^{-1})$	Case	10.18±2.58	0.916
\	Control	14.27±2.02			Control	10.27±2.19	
T <sub>v</sub> E (cm)	Case	$2.11\pm0.21$	0.214	MAE (cm)	Case	$1.53\pm0.32$	0.703
/	Control	$2.23\pm0.32$			Control	$1.49\pm0.20$	
TPC (m sec)	Case	139.78±27.96	0.757	TPC (m sec)	Case	121.55±34.53	0.955
	Control	143.07±31.74			Control	120.83±37.72	
RVDD (cm)	Case	$2.78\pm0.40$	0.708	EF (%)	Case	57.65±2.57	0.480
	Control	$2.83\pm0.34$			Control	57.00±2.54	
RVSP (mmHg)	Case	28.44±5.16	0.903	$LVMI (g m^{-2})$	Case	87.54±24.34	0.196
	Control	28.24±3.85			Control	77.98±14.71	
$TR_{\rm g}\;(mmHg)$	Case	19.05±3.93	0.580	LVDD (cm)	Case	4.29±0.39	0.342
	Control	18.27±3.93			Control	$4.15\pm0.41$	
$TR_v \ (m \ sec^{-1})$	Case	$2.13\pm0.30$	0.955	LVSD (cm)	Case	2.89±0.39	0.309
	Control	$2.14\pm0.23$		` '	Control	2.75±0.39	
				LAD (cm)	Case	$3.39\pm0.41$	0.457
					Control	$3.29\pm0.31$	

### DISCUSSION

Subclinical Hypothyroidism (SH), defined by elevated serum levels of Thyroid Stimulating Hormone (TSH) with normal levels of free thyroid hormones, belongs to the most common disorders encountered in an endocrine office practice. It is assumed that elevated TSH levels in patients with SH do not reflect pituitary compensation to maintain euthyroidism but probably represents SH (Krysiak et al., 2008; Rodondi et al., 2008). The cardiovascular signs and symptoms of thyroid disease are some of the most profound and clinically relevant findings that accompany hypothyroidism. On the basis of the understanding of the cellular mechanisms of thyroid hormone action on the heart and cardiovascular system, it is possible to explain the changes in cardiac output, cardiac contractility, blood pressure, vascular resistance and rhythm disturbances that result from thyroid dysfunction (Klein and Danzi, 2007).

Igbal et al. (2007) in a case-control study on 66 hypothyroid patients suggest that there are no adverse effects of persistent SH on cardiac function (Cappola, 2007). The mean TSH level of study participants with SH was 5.4 mU L<sup>-1</sup>) and their mean age was 61 year. In other studies that included participants with higher mean serum TSH levels and younger age, there were measurable differences in echocardiographic parameters between subclinically hypothyroid and euthyroid subjects (Franzoni et al., 2006; Aghini-Lombardi et al., 2006; Kosar et al., 2005; Turhan et al., 2006). This discrepancy highlights the importance of refining the at risk population and the need to link abnormalities in these surrogate echocardiographic markers with adverse clinical cardiac events, such as congestive heart failure (Cappola, 2007; Rodondi et al., 2005). It is estimated that as many as 7-10% of older women have SH. Although, SH is frequently asymptomatic, many patients have symptoms of thyroid hormone deficiency. Lipid and lipoprotein metabolism is abnormal in SH (Klein and Danzi, 2007; Rodondi et al., 2005; Duntas, 2002; Razvi et al., 2007). C-reactive protein, a risk factor for heart disease, is increased in SH (Christ-Crain et al., 2003). In addition, atherosclerosis (Ochs et al., 2008; Kanaya et al., 2002), coronary heart disease and myocardial infarction risk are increased in women with SH (Klein and Danzi, 2007; Rodondi et al., 2006). The SH should be screened more carefully in the community. There are evidences that treatment of SH is beneficial (Papi et al., 2007; Krysiak et al., 2008; Rodondi et al., 2008). Treatment of SH in pregnant women is mandatory to decrease risks for pregnancy complications and impaired cognitive development in offspring. Children with SH should be treated to prevent growth retardation. Whether non-pregnant adult patients with SH should be treated (Papi *et al.*, 2007).

In the present study, LV diastolic dysfunction was significantly higher in subclinical hypothyroid women than controls. Yazici et al. (2004) study confirms the above-cited findings. Aghini-Lombardi et al. (2006) studied 24 subclinical hypothyroid patients in comparison with 24 sex- and age-matched healthy volunteers and found a significant impairment of left ventricular diastolic function in subclinically hypothyroid patients. A study by Vitale et al. (2002) on 20 subclinically hypothyroid and 20 healthy women showed cardiac functional abnormalities due to stable SH, mainly by changes in myocardial time intervals in several LV segments. They also found more LV diastolic dysfunction in subclinical hypothyroid patients. Septal myocardium is the most affected region of left ventricle in SH. The relaxation time is the best criteria of cardiac involvement and monitoring the effect of TRT (Arinc et al., 2006). Zoncu et al. (2005) showed a delay in diastolic relaxation and a decrease in the compliance to the ventricular filling in subclinically hypothyroid patients. In series of SH patients, the results confirmed the impairment of both systolic and diastolic myocardial function (Di Bello et al., 2000; Monzani et al., 2001), a decreased myocardial intrinsic contractility and a high ultrasonic myocardial reflectivity suggesting an altered myocardial texture. These subtle myocardial alterations were reversible after replacement L-T4 therapy (Aghini-Lombardi et al., 2006; Di Bello et al., 2000). Vitale et al. (2002) reported both an impairment of global longitudinal ventricular diastolic function and an alteration of myocardial time intervals, in patients with SH. Zoncu et al. (2005) demonstrated systolic and diastolic changes in patients with borderline hypothyroidism. Several studies suggested that SH was associated with a small increased risk for CHD and cardiovascular mortality (Ochs et al., 2008; Rodondi et al., 2008; Iacoviello et al., 2008; Biondi, 2007; Bakiner et al., 2008; Iervasi et al., 2007; Singh et al., 2008). In the present study, LV diastolic dysfunction in women aged ≥40 years was significantly higher in case group than controls, indicating the importance of early screening of cardiac complications in older ages. An advantage of this study is the use of TDI in evaluation of right ventricle. To date, we did not find such study in this field. The average T<sub>v</sub> Excursion in case group was lower significantly, indicating impaired longitudinal systolic contractility of right ventricle due to SH and presence of mild systolic dysfunction in these patients. Considering the advantage of TDI and its relative independence of load condition and for strain also a relative independence from heart rotational and translational motions, this technique allows a very early diagnosis of systolic and diastolic dysfunction, when conventional echo-Doppler parameters of left ventricular function are still within normal range (Aghini-Lombardi *et al.*, 2006; Zoncu *et al.*, 2005). It is also an accurate modality to investigate the cardiac effects of SH (Vitale *et al.*, 2002; Arinc *et al.*, 2006).

### CONCLUSION

In summary, our data suggest that subclinical thyroid dysfunction might represent a potentially modifiable risk factor for cardiac dysfunction. Assuming that treatment is effective, given the high prevalence of thyroid disease even a small increase in cardiac morbidity or mortality rates among persons with subclinical dysfunction would have public health implications. From a health policy perspective, it would be premature to recommend screening for thyroid dysfunction in the general population. Given the pattern of modestly increased risk for cardiac morbidity and mortality associated with subclinical thyroid dysfunction, lower risk estimates in higher-quality studies and the remaining uncertainty, treatment of subclinical thyroid dysfunction with cardiac disease as an end point should be studied in randomized, placebo-controlled trials to assess the efficacy of T<sub>4</sub> replacement or anti-thyroid medications before current recommendations are updated. This supports the need for prospective studies aimed at clarifying the most appropriate therapeutic approach to sub-clinical hypothyroidism in such patients.

## REFERENCES

- Aghini-Lombardi, F., V. Di Bello, E. Talini, A. Di Cori and F. Monzani *et al.*, 2006. Early textural and functional alterations of left ventricular myocardium in mild hypothyroidism. Eur. J. Endocrinol., 155: 3-9.
- Arinc, H., H. Gunduz, A. Tamer, E. Seyfeli and M. Kanat et al., 2006. Tissue doppler echocardiography in evaluation of cardiac effects of subclinical hypothyroidism. Int. J. Cardiovascular Imag., 22: 177-186.
- Ariola *et al.*, 2006. Principles and Methods of Research. Rex Bookstore, Inc., USA., pp: 78.
- Bakiner, O., M.E. Ertorer, F.E. Haydardedeoglu, E. Bozkirli and N.B. Tutuncu *et al.*, 2008. Subclinical hypothyroidism is characterized by increased QT interval dispersion among women. Med. Princ. Pract., 17: 390-394.
- Biondi, B., 2007. Cardiovascular effects of mild hypothyroidism. Thyroid, 17: 625-630.

- Biondi, B. and D.S. Cooper, 2008. The clinical significance of subclinical thyroid dysfunction. Endocr. Rev., 29: 76-131.
- Cappola, A.R., 2007. Subclinical thyroid dysfunction and the heart. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 92: 3404-3405.
- Christ-Crain, M., C. Meier, M. Guglielmetti, P.R. Huber and W. Riesen et al., 2003. Elevated C-reactive protein and homocysteine values: Cardiovascular risk factors in hypothyroidism? A cross-sectional and a doubleblind placebo-controlled trial. Atherosclerosis, 166: 379-386.
- Di Bello, V., F. Monzami, D. Giorgi, A. Bertini and N. Caraccio et al., 2000. Ultrasonic myocardial textural analysis in subclinical hypothyroidism. J. Am. Soc. Echocard., 13: 832-840.
- Dorr, M., B. Wolff, D.M. Robinson, U. John and J. Ludemann et al., 2005. The association of thyroid function with cardiac mass and left ventricular hypertrophy. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 90: 673-677.
- Duarte, G.C., E.K. Tomimori, R.Y. Camargo, I.G. Rubio and M. Wajngarten *et al.*, 2009. The prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in elderly cardiology patients with mild excessive iodine intake in the urban area of São Paulo. Clinics, 64: 135-142.
- Duntas, L.H., 2002. Thyroid disease and lipids. Thyroid, 12: 287-293.
- Fazio, S., E.A. Palmieri, G. Lombardi and B. Biondi, 2004. Effects of thyroid hormone on the cardiovascular system. Recent Progress Hormone Res., 59: 31-50.
- Franzoni, F., F. Galetta, P. Fallahi, L. Tocchini and G. Merico *et al.*, 2006. Effect of L-thyroxine treatment on left ventricular function in subclinical hypothyroidism. Biomed. Pharmacother, 60: 431-436.
- Iacoviello, M., P. Guida, E. Guastamacchia, V. Triggiani and C. Forleo *et al.*, 2008. Prognostic role of sub-clinical hypothyroidism in chronic heart failure outpatients. Curr. Pharm. Des., 14: 2686-2692.
- Iervasi, G., S. Molinaro, P. Landi, M.C. Taddei and E. Galli *et al.*, 2007. Association between increased mortality and mild thyroid dysfunction in cardiac patients. Arch. Inter. Med., 167: 1526-1532.
- Iqbal, A., H. Schirmer, P. Lunde, Y. Figenschau, K. Rasmussen and R. Jorde, 2007. Thyroid stimulating hormone and left ventricular function. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 92: 3504-3510.
- Kahaly, G.J. and W.H. Dillmann, 2005. Thyroid hormone action in the heart. Endocrine Rev., 26: 704-728.
- Kanaya, A.M., F. Harris, S. Volpato, E.J. Pérez-Stable, T. Harris and D.C. Bauer, 2002. Association between thyroid dysfunction and total cholesterol level in an older biracial population: The health, aging and body composition study. Arch Inter. Med., 162: 773-779.

- Klein, I. and S. Danzi, 2007. Thyroid disease and the heart. Circulation, 116: 1725-1735.
- Kosar, F., I. Sahin, N. Turan, E. Topal and Y. Aksoy et al., 2005. Evaluation of right and left ventricular function using pulsed-wave tissue doppler echocardiography in patients with subclinical hypothyroidism. J. Endocrinol. Invest., 28: 704-710.
- Krysiak, R., B. Marek and B. Okopien, 2008. Subclinical hypothyroidism. Convul Ther., 61: 139-145.
- Monzani, F., V. Di Bello, N. Caraccio, A. Bertini and D. Giorgi et al., 2001. Effect of levothyroxine on cardiac function and structure in subclinical hypothyroidism: A double blind, placebo-controlled study. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metabolism, 86: 1110-1115.
- Ochs, N., R. Auer, D.C. Bauer, D. Nanchen and J. Gussekloo et al., 2008. Meta-analysis: Subclinical thyroid dysfunction and the risk for coronary heart disease and mortality. Ann. Int. Med., 148: 832-845.
- Owen, P.J., C. Rajiv, D. Vinereanu, T. Mathew and A.G. Fraser *et al.*, 2006. Subclinical hypothyroidism, arterial stiffness and myocardial reserve. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 91: 2126-2132.
- Papi, G., E.D. Uberti, C. Betterle, C. Carani and E.N. Pearce *et al.*, 2007. Subclinical hypothyroidism. Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes., 14: 197-208.
- Razvi, S., L. Ingoe, G. Keeka, C. Oates and C. McMillan et al., 2007. The beneficial effect of L-thyroxine on cardiovascular risk factors, endothelial function and quality of life in subclinical hypothyroidism: Randomised, crossover trial. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 92: 1715-1723.
- Rodondi, N., A.B. Newman, E. Vittinghoff, N. de Rekeneire and S. Satterfield *et al.*, 2005. Subclinical hypothyroidism and the risk of heart failure, other cardiovascular events and death. Arch. Inter. Med., 165: 2460-2466.
- Rodondi, N., D. Aujesky, E. Vittinghoff, J. Cornuz and D.C. Bauer, 2006. Subclinical hypothyroidism and the risk of coronary heart disease: A meta-analysis. Am. J. Med., 119: 541-551.

- Rodondi, N., D.C. Bauer, A.R. Cappola, J. Cornuz and J. Robbins *et al.*, 2008. Subclinical thyroid dysfunction, cardiac function and the risk of heart failure. The Cardiovascular Health study. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol., 52: 1152-1159.
- Sahin, I., N. Turan, F. Kosar, C. Tascapan and H. Gunen, 2005. Evaluation of autonomic activity in patients with subclinical hypothyroidism. J. Endocrinol. Invest., 28: 209-213.
- Singh, S., J. Duggal, J. Molnar, F. Maldonado and C.P. Barsano *et al.*, 2008. Impact of subclinical thyroid disorders on coronary heart disease, cardiovascular and all-cause mortality: A meta-analysis. Int. J. Cardiol., 125: 41-48.
- Surks, M.I., E. Ortiz, G.H. Daniels, C.T. Sawin and N.F. Col et al., 2004. Subclinical thyroid disease, scientific review and guidelines for diagnosis and management. J. Am. Med. Assoc., 291: 228-238.
- Turhan, S., C. Tulunay, C.M. Ozduman, A. Gursoy and M. Kilickap *et al.*, 2006. Effects of thyroxine therapy on right ventricular systolic and diastolic function in patients with subclinical hypothyroidism: A study by pulsed wave tissue doppler imaging. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 91: 3490-3493.
- Vitale, G., M. Galderisi, G.A. Lupoli, A. Celentano and I. Pietropaolo et al., 2002. Left ventricular myocardial impairment in subclinical hypothyroidism assessed by a new ultrasound tool: Pulsed tissue doppler. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 87: 4350-4355.
- Wayne, W.D. and J.C. Terrell, 1986. Business Statistics: Basic Concepts and Ethodology. 4th Edn., Houghton Mifflin Publishers, USA., pp. 153-223.
- Yazici, M., S. Gorgulu, Y. Sertbas, E. Erbilen and S. Albayrak et al., 2004. Effects of thyroxin therapy on cardiac function in patients with subclinical hypothyroidism: Index of myocardial performance in the evaluation of left ventricular function. Int. J. Cardiol., 95: 135-143.
- Zoncu, S., F. Pigliaru, C. Putzu, L. Pisano and S. Vargiu et al., 2005. Cardiac function in borderline hypothyroidism: A study by pulsed wave tissue doppler imaging. Eur. J. Endocrinol., 152: 527-533.