http://www.pjbs.org



ISSN 1028-8880

Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences



Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 14 (2): 138-141, 2011 ISSN 1028-8880 / DOI: 10.3923/pjbs.2011.138.141 © 2011 Asian Network for Scientific Information

Central and Peripheral Corneal Thicknesses in Cases with and without Keratoconus

A. Lotfi Sedigh and A. Shenasi
Department of Ophthalmology, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences,
Nikookari Eye Hospital, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract: Keratoconus is pathological condition of eye characterized by paraxial stromal thinning leads to corneal surface distortion. It is well documented that the corneal thickness is abnormally altered in keratoconus; but it seems that racial differences are exist in the amount of changes. This study compared the central and peripheral corneal thicknesses (CCT and PCT, respectively) in eyes with and without keratoconus in a large Iranian population. CCT and PCT were measured by ultrasonographic pachometry in ten thousands eyes undergoing refractive surgery during a six-year period (2003-2010) in Tabriz Nikookari Teaching Eye Hospital. These two variables were compared between the eyes with and without keratoconus. Two hundred and two eyes out of ten thousand eyes were diagnosed with keratoconus. The mean CCT and PCT were significantly lower in the eyes with keratoconus comparing with the others (CCT: 479.90 \pm 28.07 μ m vs. 534.12 \pm 39.35 μ m; p<0.001; PCT: 515.04 \pm 148.44 μ m vs. 560.78 \pm 32.93 μ m; p<0.001). This study confirmed that both CCT and PCT are lower in the eyes with keratoconus. Likewise, due to large sample size, the results can be used for epidemiological purposes.

Key words: Keratoconus, central corneal thickness, peripheral corneal thickness, ultrasonographic pachometry, refractive surgery

INTRODUCTION

Keratoconus is a progressive, noninflammatory, bilateral (but usually asymmetrical) disease of the cornea, characterized by paraxial stromal thinning that leads to corneal surface distortion. Visual loss occurs primarily from irregular astigmatism and myopia and secondarily from corneal scarring (Holopainen and Krootila, 2010). All layers of the cornea are believed to be affected by keratoconus, although the most notable features are the thinning of the corneal stroma, the ruptures in the Bowman layer and the deposition of iron in the basal epithelial cells, forming the Fleischer ring. Breaks in and folds close to the descemet membrane result in acute hydrops and striae, respectively (Sherwin and Brookes, 2004). The comeal thickness can be measured by different means, including the Ultrasonographic Pachometry (UP). Different studies have shown a high accuracy of UP in measuring the corneal thickness in the central and peripheral zones (Gherghel et al., 2004; Haque et al., 2006). Holladay (2009) concluded that standard corneal topography maps and simple clinical evaluation criteria are fundamental to detect keratoconus suspects and keratoconus (Holladay, 2009). Although there numerous

studies about the topographic findings and the corneal thickness in the eyes with keratoconus, many of them are with limited results due to small sample sizes (Ucakhan et al., 2006; Kawana et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2002; Rufer et al., 2005; Nemeth et al., 2006). This study is performed on a large sample size of patients with and without keratoconus and to our knowledge, this is the first study in the Middle East region. This is important, because racial differences may have influence on the issue (Yo and Ariyasu, 2005). This study aimed at evaluating the central and peripheral corneal thicknesses in a huge number of eyes with and without keratoconus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five thousand consecutive patients (10000 eyes) presenting to Tabriz Nikookari Teaching Hospital (a referral center in the northwest of Iran) for surgical correction of refractive errors were recruited during six years (2003-2009). The study was reviewed and passed by the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences and informed signed consent was obtained from all participants. The exclusion criteria were presence of keratoconus with extensive scar, presence of any other

ophthalmic pathology and previous use of contact lens. Keratoconic eyes were diagnosed by combination of three procedures including slitlamp examination, retinoscopy and/or keratoscopy, and computerized topography (Humphrey® Atlas TM Corneal Topography Systems from Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Germany) considering AK<47.5, AGC<1.5, SI<1.5 and Kpi = 0. Corneal curvature was measured by topography-based simulated keratometry (Sim K). Accordingly, the eyes divided into two groups; with and without keratoconus. Ultrasonographic pachometry (Sonomed® Micropach 200P, Japan) was employed for measuring the central and peripheral corneal thickness (shown as the CCP and PCT, respectively). Average of corneal thicknesses measured at the nasal, temporal, superior and inferior points three mm away from central point considered as the PCT. All the measurements were performed by a skilled ophthalmologist. The mean CCT and PCT were compared between the two groups, as well as between the males and females. Correlations between the CCP, PCT, Sim K and age were assesses, too. Data were analyzed with the SPSS statistical software package (version 15.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago). One-ay ANOVA followed by tukey post hoc tests, independent samples t-test, cross-tabulation (Pearson Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests) and the correlation assessment (Pearson's r) were used. Stepwise logistic regression analysis was used to identify the independent factors among the parameters. Probability values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Two hundred and two eyes (2.2) were diagnosed to be affected by keratoconus. Characteristics of the patients, as well as the results of the computerized topography and UP are summarized in Table 1. Accordingly, significantly more patients in the keratoconus group were male and affected with

astigmatism as the main refractive error. In addition, the mean Sim K was significantly higher in the keratoconus group and the mean PCT and CCT were significantly higher in the group without keratoconus. The two groups were comparable for age of patients. After adjusting for gender and main refractive abnormality, the differences between the two groups in regard to the PCT and CCT remained significant (p = 0.01, ExpB = 1.1; p<0.001, ExpB = 1.2, respectively). Mean CCT and PCT were not significantly different between the males and females with keratoconus (CCT: 531.23±38.34 in males vs. 533.13 ± 341.04 in females, p = 0.06; and PCT: 558.74 ± 30.45 in males vs. 560.43±34.23 in females 0.07). Correlations between different parameters are shown in Table 2. Based on these results, there were weak reverse correlations between the Sim K and the PCT or CCT. There was a significant potent direct correlation between the PCT and CCT. No significant correlation was seen between the mentioned parameters and age of the patients.

In current study the CCT and PCT of then thousands eyes with different refractive abnormalities were measured by the UP method. The UP has been previously described as a noninvasive, simple and accurate method for measuring the corneal thickness with some limitations (Modis et al., 2001; Rainer et al., 2004; Fakhry et al., 2002). Inter and intra-observer variations are potential concerns in this regard (Higgins et al., 1993). In this study measurements of the UP were done by one trained and skilled observer in all eyes, so this possible error was minimized. Two hundred and twenty eyes (2.2) were affected with keratoconus. The mean central cornea was significantly thinner in the keratoconic eyes comparing with the controls (479.90±28.07 vs. 534.12±39.35 μm, respectively; p<0.001). By now, different studies have reported a mean value of CCT in eyes with and without keratoconus ranging from 462.5 to 686 and 509.13 to 581 μm, respectively (Herndon et al., 1997; Velten et al., 2000; Hahn et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2004; Sun, 1991).

|--|

	All	With Keratoconus	Without Keratoconus-	
Variables	(5000 patients, 10000 eyes)	(110 patents, 220 eyes)	(4890 patients, 9780 eyes)	p value
Gender				
male	2550 (51)	80 (72.7)	2470 (50.5)	0.04*
female	2450 (49)	30 (27.3)	2420 (49.5)	
Main refractive disease				
туоріа	8414 (84.1)	14 (6.4)	8400 (85.9)	< 0.001 *
hyperopia	926 (9.3)	6 (2.7)	920 (9.4)	
astigmatism	660 (6.6)	200 (90.9)	460 (4.7)	
Age (year)	26.69±6.80	24.00±5.06	26.75±6.83	0.061
Sim K (diopter)	43.96±2.03	45.59±3.60	43.92±1.97	< 0.001 *
PCT (µm)	559.77±33.55	515.04±30.89	560.78±32.93	< 0.001 *
CCT (µm)	532.92±39.93	479.90±28.07	534.12±39.35	< 0.001 *

CCT: central corneal thickness, PCT: peripheral corneal thickness, Sim K: simulated keratometry. Data are shown as mean±standard deviation and frequency (percent). *Statistically significant

Table 2: Correlation between the numerical data in the studied population

Variables	Pearson's (r)	p value
Sim K vs. CCT	-0.132	<0.001*
Sim K vs. PCT	-0.097	0.02*
PCT vs. CCT	0.940	< 0.001*
Sim K vs. age	0.044	0.17
CCT vs. age	-0.004	0.91
PCT vs. age	-0.002	0.94

CCT: Central Comeal Thickness, PCT: Peripheral Comeal Thickness, Sim K: Simulated keratometry. *Statistically significant

Gromacki and Barr (1994) reported a similar result in their on 56 eyes with and without keratoconus (Gromacki and Barr, 1994). Reports of Avitabile et al. (1997) and Rabinowitz et al. (1998) are also indicate that the mean CCT is lower in the eyes with keratoconus . In another study by Fontes et al. (2009) in patients with unilateral keratoconus, central corneal thickness was 508 μm in the keratoconus group, 531 μm in the fellow eye group and 528.6 µm in the control group Amounts reported in this study are also similar to the results of current survey. Shah and Laiguzzaman (2009) also showed that the mean CCT was significantly lower in patients with keratoconus. It should be emphasized that the large sample size in the present study is unique. Furthermore, no previous reports are available about the mean CCT or PCT in patients with keratoconus or even a large number of normal eyes in this geographical region; considering that these two parameters might be greatly influenced by the racial differences (Pearson et al., 2000). Similar findings were obtained for the mean PCT; i.e., it was also significantly lower in the keratoconic eyes comparing with nonaffected counterparts (515.04±30.89 $560.78\pm32.93 \mu m$; p<0.001). On the other hand, there was also a significant strong correlation between the CCT and PCT (r = 0.940, p<0.001). Accordingly, it may be concluded that the thinning or thickening of the cornea (with or without keratokonus) is a general entity and should not be confined to a particular region (for example the central portion). The peripheral measurements were not significantly different between the keratoconic and normal eyes in Avitabile's series (Avitabile et al., 1997). Small sample size and employment of a nonstandard method of measurement may justify this finding. To the best of our knowledge, there is not any other report available in this regard. In current study, there was not any significant association between the CCT and age (p = 0.94) or gender (p = 0.06). Ertan and Muftuoglu (2008) demonstrated a clear inverse correlation between age and severity of keratoconus. It seems that this effect is not present for the topographic changes of comea in patients with keratoconus. Prospero Ponce et al. (2009) concluded that the influence of age on PCT requires further study. The present study confirms that there is not a significant correlation between these two variables. Although there

may be difference between the two genders regarding the clinical process and symptomatology of this condition Fink *et al.*, 2005, 2010), no similar studies have ever been performed in this regard.

CONCLUSION

This study confirmed that both CCT and PCT are lower in the eyes with keratoconus. Likewise, due to large sample size, the results can be used for epidemiological purposes.

REFERENCES

- Avitabile, T., F. Marano, M.G. Uva and A. Reibaldi, 1997. Evaluation of central and peripheral corneal thickness with ultrasound biomicroscopy in normal and keratoconic eyes. Cornea, 16: 639-644.
- Ertan, A. and O. Muftuoglu, 2008. Keratoconus clinical findings according to different age and gender groups. Cornea, 27: 1109-1113.
- Fakhry, M.A., A. Artola, J.I. Belda, M.J. Ayala and J.L. Alio, 2002. Comparison of corneal pachymetry using ultrasound and Orbscan II. J. Cataract Refract. Surg., 28: 248-252.
- Fink, B.A., H. Wagner, K. Steger-May, C. Rosenstiel and T. Roediger *et al.*, 2005. Differences in keratoconus as a function of gender. Am. J. Ophthalmol., 140: 459-468.
- Fink, B.A., L.T. Sinnott, H. Wagner, C. Friedman and K. Zadnik, 2010. The influence of gender and hormone status on the severity and progression of keratoconus. Cornea, 29: 65-72.
- Fontes, B.M., R. Jr. Ambrosio, M. Salomao, G.C. Velarde and W. Nose, 2009. Biomechanical and tomographic analysis of unilateral keratoconus. J. Refract. Surg., 24: 1-5.
- Gherghel, D., S.L. Hosking, S. Mantry, S. Banerjee, S.A. Naroo and S. Shah, 2004. Corneal pachymetry in normal and keratoconic eyes: Orbscan II versus ultrasound. J. Cataract. Refract. Surg., 30: 1272-1277.
- Gromacki, S.J. and J.T. Barr, 1994. Central and peripheral corneal thickness in keratoconus and normal patient groups. Optom. Vis. Sci., 71: 437-441.
- Hahn, S., S. Azen, M. Ying-Lai and R. Varma, 2003. Central corneal thickness in Latinos. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci., 44: 1508-1512.
- Haque, S., T. Simpson and L. Jones, 2006. Corneal and epithelial thickness in keratoconus: A comparison of ultrasonic pachymetry, Orbscan II and optical coherence tomography. J. Refract. Surg., 22: 486-493.

- Herndon, L.W., S.A. Choudhri, T. Cox, K.F. Damji, M.B. Shields and R.R. Allingham, 1997. Central corneal thickness in normal, glaucomatous and ocular hypertensive eyes. Arch. Ophthalmol., 115: 1137-1141.
- Higgins, S.E., J.A. Fishbaugh, D.J. Strike and C.J. Rapuano, 1993. Reproducibility and variation of corneal thickness in different locations in the cornea as measured by an ultrasonic pachymeter. Insight, 18: 14-18.
- Holladay, J.T., 2009. Keratoconus detection using corneal topography. J. Refract. Surg., 25: S958-S962.
- Holopainen, J. and K. Krootila, 2010. Keratoconus. Duodecim, 126: 152-158.
- Kawana, K., K. Miyata, T. Tokunaga, T. Kiuchi, T. Hiraoka and T. Oshika, 2005. Central corneal thickness measurements using Orbscan II scanning slit topography, noncontact specular microscopy and ultrasonic pachymetry in eyes with keratoconus. Cornea, 24: 967-971.
- Liu, Z., M. Zhang, J. Chen, L. Luo and L. Chen *et al.*, 2002. Corneal topography and thickness in keratoconus. Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi, 38: 740-743.
- Modis, Jr. L., A. Langenbucher and B. Seitz, 2001. Comeal thickness measurements with contact and noncontact specular microscopic and ultrasonic pachymetry. Am. J. Ophthalmol., 132: 517-521.
- Nemeth, G., A. Tsorbatzoglou, K. Kertesz, A. Vajas, A. Berta and L. Jr. Modis, 2006. Comparison of central corneal thickness measurements with a new optical device and a standard ultrasonic pachymeter. J. Cataract. Refract. Surg., 32: 460-463.
- Pearson, A.R., B. Soneji, N. Sarvananthan and J.H. Sandford-Smith, 2000. Does ethnic origin influence the incidence or severity of keratoconus. Eye, 14: 625-628.
- Prospero Ponce, C.M., K.M. Rocha, S.D. Smith and R.R. Krueger, 2009. Central and peripheral corneal thickness measured with optical coherence tomography, Scheimpflug imaging and ultrasound pachymetry in normal, keratoconus-suspect and post-laser *in situ* keratomileusis eyes. J. Cataract Refract. Surg., 35: 1055-1062.

- Rabinowitz, Y.S., K. Rasheed, H. Yang and J. Elashoff, 1998. Accuracy of ultrasonic pachymetry and videokeratography in detecting keratoconus. J. Cataract Refract. Surg., 24: 196-201.
- Rainer, G., O. F indl, V. Petternel, B. Kiss and W. Drexler et al., 2004. Central corneal thickness measurements with partial coherence interferometry, ultrasound and the Orbscan system. Ophthalmology, 111: 875-879.
- Rufer, F., A. Schroder, M.K. Arvani and C. Erb, 2005. Central and peripheral corneal pachymetry-standard evaluation with the Pentacam system. Klin. Monbl. Augenheilkd., 222: 117-122.
- Shah, S. and M. Laiquzzaman, 2009. Comparison of corneal biomechanics in pre and post-refractive surgery and keratoconic eyes by ocular response analyser. Contact Lens Anterior Eye, 32: 129-132.
- Sherwin, T. and N.H. Brookes, 2004. Morphological changes in keratoconus: Pathology or pathogenesis. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol., 32: 211-217.
- Sun, F.Y., 1991. Ultrasonic pachymetry of the cornea. Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi, 27: 51-52.
- Ucakhan, O.O., M. Ozkan and A. Kanpolat, 2006. Corneal thickness measurements in normal and keratoconic eyes: Pentacam comprehensive eye scanner versus noncontact specular microscopy and ultrasound pachymetry. J. Cataract. Refract. Surg., 32: 970-977.
- Velten, I.M., A. Bergua, F.K. Horn, A. Junemann and M. Korth, 2000. Central corneal thickness in normal eyes, patients with ocular hypertension, normalpressure and open-angle glaucomas: A clinical study. Klin. Monbl. Augenheilkd, 217: 219-224.
- Wu, Q., X. Duan, Y. Jiang, G. Qing, B. Jiang and J. Shi, 2004. Normal value of the central corneal thickness measured by non-contact specular microscope. Yan Ke Xue Bao, 20: 229-232.
- Yo, C. and R.G. Ariyasu, 2005. Racial differences in central corneal thickness and refraction among refractive surgery candidates. J. Refract. Surg., 21: 194-197.