http://www.pjbs.org



ISSN 1028-8880

Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences



Management of *Striga hermonthica* on Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) Using Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (*Glomus mosae*) and NPK Fertilizer Levels

¹K.M. Isah, ¹Niranjan Kumar, ²S.T. O. Lagoke and ²M.O. Atayese

¹Department of Crop Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ibrahim Badamasi Babangida University,

Lapai, Niger State, Nigeria

²Department of Plant Physiology and Crop Production, Federal University of Agriculture,

Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria

Abstract: Trials were conducted in the screen house of Niger State College of Agriculture, Mokwa (09°18'N; 05°04'E) in the Southern Guinea Savannah agro-ecological zone of Nigeria during October-December, 2008 and January-March, 2009. The objective was to evaluate the effect of management of *Striga hermonthica* on sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) using Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and NPK fertilizer levels. The trials were laid out in split-split plot arrangement in a randomized complete block design. The main-plot treatments consisted of three sorghum varieties; SAMSORG 3, ICSVIII and SAMSORG 14 while the sub-plot treatments consisted of inoculations; *Striga* mixed with *Glomus*, *Striga* only and *Glomus* only as well as no inoculation control. The sub-sub-plot treatments were made up of NPK fertilizer levels; (100 kg N, 50 kg P₂O₅, 50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹), (50 kg N, 50 kg P₂O₅, 50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) and (0 kg N, 0 kg P₂O₅, 0 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). The result obtained showed that sorghum variety SAMSORG 3 were taller, having more vigour and lower reaction to *Striga* parasitism which resulted in the crop producing higher dry matter compared to the other two varieties. The plots inoculated with *Striga* only supported shorter plants of sorghum varieties, higher vigour and lower reaction score to *Striga* compared to *Striga* mixed with *Glomus*. It is obvious in this study that the crop performance increases with increase in the rates of NPK fertilizer applied.

Key words: Striga hermonthica, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomus mosae), NPK fertilizer levels

INTRODUCTION

Striga hermonthica [Del.] Benth. is a hemi-parasitic weed and major obstacle to crop production particularly cereals in the dry savannas of sub-Saharan Africa where producers lose half of their produce (Berner et al., 1995; Kanampiu et al., 2002). This weed causes significant yield losses in West Africa that range from 10 to 100% depending on cultivar and crop (Lagoke et al., 1991; Obilana and Ramaiah, 1992; Gressel et al., 2004). Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor [L.] Moench) is cultivated at large area which is up to 34% of total cereal production in Nigeria (Akintayo and Sedgo, 2001). However, it has been reported that Striga infestation in sorghum is higher in Nigeria than in other West African countries (Gressel et al., 2004).

The subterranean developmental stage of *Striga* is the most critical and damaging stage to its host which is approximately 75% of the overall *Striga* damage (Parker and Riches, 1993). Therefore, this hemi-parasite causes damage to host before its emergence. At present, there is no single method effective enough to put the

problem of Striga at abeyance. In view of the physiology of Striga seed emergence, there is the need to concentrate on the immediate soil biotic environment for a management practice that will complement the existing control methods. Therefore integrated approach to Striga management may be crucial for successful Striga management. Recently, it has been reported that the use of certain soil microorganisms can be effective in inhibiting or suppressing the germination of Striga seed. (Parker and Riches, 1993; Berner et al., 1995; Mathimaran et al., 2005). Indeed, some studies (Giovannetti and Mosse, 1980; Mathimaran et al., 2005) have shown that certain soil-borne saprophytic bacteria have a potential to control S. hermonthica by inhibiting seed germination. However, the survival of inoculated bacteria was usually not sustained in subsequent crops and thus implying the need for repeated application every cropping season (Mathimaran et al., 2005). Low levels of applied nitrogen increased Striga emergence on soils of low fertility and decreased on fertile ones (Patterson, 1990; Gworgwor and Webber, 1991; Lagoke and Isah, 2010).

However, there are certain fungi in the soil known as Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) which maintain symbiotic association with root of plants. More than 90% of plant species are known to be involved in this association. Benefits derivable include improved water and nutrient uptakes in poor and dry soils (Osonubi et al., 1991), enhanced growth (Mathimaran et al., 2005) and tolerance to diseases (Vierheilig et al., 2000) among others. These fungi are able to confer these advantages in mycorrhizal plants due to their ability to extend the area of nutrient and water exploitation arising from hyphal extension, competing for infection sites with other microorganisms and possibly stimulation of certain antagonistic substances. Vierheilig et al. (2000) reported that plants colonized by an AM fungus suppress subsequent colonization by other pathogens through altered root exudates but did not discuss about the fungus being the secondary symbiotic. This study was therefore aimed at managing S. hermonthica using Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi (Glomus mosae) and NPK fertilizer levels on sorghum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the screen house of Niger State College of Agriculture, Mokwa green house during October to December, 2008 and January to March, 2009. The design was a split-split plot arrangement in a randomized complete block design. The main plot treatments consisted of three sorghum varieties; SAMSORG 3, ICSVIII and SAMSORG 14 while sub-plot treatments consisted of inoculants; Striga mixed with Glomus, Glomus only, Striga only and no inoculation control. The sub-sub plot treatments consisted of compound fertilizer levels; 100 kg P₂O₅-50 kg K₂O/ha, 50 kg N-25 kg P₂O₅-25 kg K₂O/ha and 0 kg N-0 kg P₂O₅-0 kg K₂O/ha. Isah et al. (2009) had earlier reported the ability of SAMSORG 3 and ICSV III to be tolerant or resistant varieties to S. hermonthica parasitism while SAMSORG 14 was susceptible.

The soil which was collected from the crop farm was sieved to remove stone and debris and filled into the pots. The pots inoculated with *Striga* were filled to two-third depth while the uninoculated pots were filled to the brim. The remaining one third of the pots inoculated with *Striga* was filled with soil-*Striga* seed mixture for the inoculated pots. The soil were inoculated with approximately 3000 *Striga* seeds per pot using the procedure described by Kim (1994) and Berner *et al.* (1997). Fifty grams of the AM fungal inoculums collected from International Institute of Tropical Agriculture which was multiplied in the University of Agriculture, containing spores of *Glomus mosae*, hyphae and root fragments of maize as

host was introduced into the planting hole of inoculated pots while attenuated inoculum of the same quantity was introduced into the planting hole of uninoculated plants. Three sorghum seeds (after surface sterilization in 1% NaOCl for 15 min and subsequent rinsing with demineralised water were sown per pot. The plants were watered to field capacity for the first weeks and thereafter with 10 mL of water in 48 h. The seedlings were later thinned down to two per pot at two weeks after planting. Mycorrhizal root colonization was determined by observing the stained root samples using grid-line intersect method of (Phillips and Torrey, 1970; Giovannetti and Mosse, 1980).

The data collected are sorghum height, vigour and reaction scores and *Striga* shoot count all at 6, 9 and 12 Weeks after Planting (WAP), days to first *Striga* emergence, sorghum dry weight and *Striga* shoot dry weight at harvest.

Data collected was subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) according to Steel and Torrie (1960) and Fishers Least Significant Difference (LSD) was used to compare treatment means using SAS (2009) statistical package.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sorghum varieties: Sorghum varieties significantly in plant height; crop vigour score, crop reaction score and Striga shoot count all at 6, 9 and 12 WAP as well as days to emergence of Striga, Striga and crop shoot dry weights at harvest and in the combined analyses. SAMSORG 14 had shorter plants than SAMSORG 3 in all cases and ICS VIII at 6 and 9 WAP only (Table 1). SAMSORG 3 also had significantly higher vigour score (Table 2) but lower reaction score (Table 3) at 6, 9 and 12 WAP than the other two sorghum varieties evaluated in this trial. Striga shoot emergence count at 6, 9 and 12 WAP followed the order SAMSORG 3<SAMSORG 14<ICSV III in the trial (Table 4). Days to Striga shoot emergence was significantly more on Samsorg 14 compared with the other two varieties (Table 5). SAMSORG 3 also had significantly higher crop shoot dry weight; however, Striga shoot dry weight was lower at 6, 9 and 12 WAP than the other two varieties evaluated. (Table 5). Isah et al. (2009) had earlier reported that SAMSORG 3 is resistant to S. hermonthica parasitism while SAMSORG 14 is susceptible.

Influence of inoculation on growth of sorghum: Inoculation had significant effect on plant height, vigour and reaction scores and *Striga* shoot emergence count all at 6, 9 and 12 WAP as well as crop and *Striga* shoot dry weight at 12 WAP and days to *Striga* emergence in the

Table 1: Effects of inoculation and fertilizer on plant height of Sorghum varieties at 3, 6, 9 and 12 WAP in 2008, 2009 and combined

	Plant height at 3 WAP			Plant height at 6 WAP			Plant hei	ght at 9 W.	AP	Plant height at 12 WAP		
Source	2008	2009	Combined	2008	2009	Combined	2008	2009	Combined	2008	2009	Combined
Host (Sorghum)												
Samsorg 3	37.56	38.12	37.84	83.23ª	83.04	83.13ª	109.76	105.63	107.70^{a}	111.54	106.88ª	109.21a
Samsorg 14	37.51	38.61	38.06	81.35^{b}	78.23	79.79⁰	105.38	101.52	103.45^{b}	105.92	102.61ab	104.26⁰
ICSV III	38.30	38.63	38.46	82.81ª	80.24	81.52 ^b	107.44	100.06	103.75a	107.43	100.98^{b}	104.20 ^b
SE±(0.05%)	0.43	0.34	0.25	0.23	1.09	0.36	0.91	1.22	0.75	1.26	1.15	0.79
Inoculation												
Striga+Glomus	38.25ª	38.48°	38.38^{b}	81.18°	81.48°	81.33°	105.98 ^b	106.12ª	106.05°	108.25 ^b	107.58a	107.92^{b}
Striga	35.57	36.17°	35.87°	75.57^{d}	75.19°	75.38^{d}	97.02°	94.59°	95.80°	96.12°	95.19 ^b	95.65°
Glomus	37.71^{ab}	38.68°	$38.20^{\rm b}$	82.94b	82.52ª	82.73 ^b	110.09°	105.43a	107.76°	111.08^{b}	106.62ª	108.85^{ab}
Control	39.64ª	40.48^{a}	40.06°	90.16^{a}	82.83ª	86.49ª	117.09 ^a	103.48 ^a	110.25^{a}	117.73°	104.57ª	111.15a
SE±(0.05%)	0.78	0.47	0.29	0.22	1.27	0.41	1.41	2.12	0.87	1.58	2.14	0.91
Fertilizer												
100 kg N-50 kg	38.32^a	39.09⁴	38.71°	84.13ª	83.21ª	83.67ª	109.21a	106.21	107.71ª	110.08^{a}	107.27ª	108.68⁴
P_2O_5 -50 kg K_2O_5												
50-25-25	37.92ª	38.25 ^b	38.09^{ab}	83.28 ^b	81.28°	82.28^{b}	109.06ª	104.15	106.61ª	109.59a	104.98^{b}	107.28a
No fertilizer	37.14^{b}	38.01^{b}	37.58^{b}	79.98°	77.02°	78.50°	104.30 ^b	96.85	100.58 ^b	105.21 ^b	98.23°	101.72^{b}
SE±(0.05%)	0.21	0.26	0.25	0.17	0.30	0.36	0.44	0.78	0.75	0.61	0.79	0.79

Means with the same alphabets within same column are not significantly different from one another at p<0.05, WAP: Week after planting

Table 2: Effects of inoculation and fertilizer on crop vigour score of sorghum at 6, 9 and 12 WAP in 2008, 2009 and combined

	Crop vig	our score at	6 WAP	Crop vigour score at 9 WAP			Crop vigour score at 12 WAP		
	2008	2009	Combined	2008	2009	Combined	2008	2009	Combined
Host (Sorghum)									
Samsorg 3	4.19ª	4.27	4.23ª	3.72	3.66	3.69⁴	3.47	3.22	3.34^{a}
Samsorg 14	3.88^{b}	4.11	4.00°	3.52	3.41	3.47^{b}	3.16	2.97	3.06 ^b
ICSV III	3.88^{b}	4.00	3.94 ^b	3.63	3.38	3.51 ^b	3.27	2.97	3.12^{b}
SE±(0.05%)	0.05	0.14	0.05	0.06	0.14	0.05	0.03	0.15	0.05
Inoculation									
Striga+Glomus	4.03^{b}	4.33^{ab}	4.18ª	3.66°	3.74^{a}	3.70^{b}	3.25°	3.29ª	3.27 ^b
Striga	3.37°	3.66°	3.51 ^b	2.74€	2.96°	2.85°	2.22^{d}	2.51 ^b	2.37^{c}
Glomus	4.18^{ab}	4.48⁴	4.33ª	4.00^{a}	3.77^{a}	3.88⁴	3.66^{b}	3.33ª	3.50 ^a
Control	4.37^{a}	4.03^{b}	4.20°	4.11ª	3.48a	3.79^{ab}	4.07ª	3.07^{a}	3.57^{a}
SE±(0.05%)	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.06
Fertilizer									
$100 \text{ kg N-} 50 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 - 50 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O}_5$	4.11	4.55ª	4.33ª	4.08°	3.97^{a}	4.02a	4.02ª	3.50^{a}	3.76ª
50-25-25	4.00	4.13^{b}	4.06 ^b	3.58 ^b	3.47 ^b	3.52^{b}	3.25 ^b	3.08^{b}	3.16 ^b
No fertilizer	3.86	3.69^{c}	3.77⁰	3.22°	3.02°	3.12°	2.63°	2.58°	2.61°
SE±(0.05%)	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05

Means with the same alphabets within the same column are not significantly different from one another at p<0.05, WAP: Week after planting, Vigour score: Using scale (1-5), 1: Not vigorous, 2: Poorly vigorous, 3: Fairly vigorous, 4: Moderately vigorous, 5: Very vigorous

Table 3: Effects of inoculation and fertilizer on crop reaction score of sorghum varieties at 6, 9 and 12 WAP in 2008, 2009 and combined

	Crop rea	ction score a	at 6 WAP	•	tion score at		Crop reaction score at 12 WAP		
Source	2008	2009	Combined	2008	2009	Combined	2008	2009	Combined
Host (Sorghum)									
Samsorg 3	1.86°	1.69	1.77 ^b	2.36^{b}	2.38	2.37 ^b	3.02^{b}	3.27	3.15^{b}
Samsorg 14	2.52ª	1.91	2.22ª	2.91ª	2.80	2.86^{a}	3.55ª	4.08	3.81ª
ICSV III	2.27ª	2.05	2.16^{a}	2.72^{ab}	3.08	2.90^{a}	3.38⁴	4.00	3.69a
SE±(0.05%)	0.09	0.16	0.08	0.10	0.13	0.07	0.07	0.20	0.09
Inoculation									
Striga+Glomus	2.11 ^b	1.70°	$1.90^{\rm b}$	2.48^{b}	2.37^{b}	2.42^{b}	3.07^{6}	3.37 ^b	3.22^{b}
Striga	3.07ª	2.37ª	2.72ª	4.03ª	3.63ª	3.83ª	5.04ª	5.22ª	5.14a
Glomus	1.92^{b}	1.63^{b}	1.77 ^b	2.11°	2.33^{b}	2.22^{b}	2.96°	3.07^{b}	3.00^{bc}
Control	1.77 ⁶	1.85^{b}	1.81 ^b	2.03°	2.70 ^b	2.37 ^b	2.22°	3.48^{b}	2.85°
SE±(0.05%)	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.10	0.17	0.08	0.15	0.21	0.14
Fertilizer									
100 kg N-50 kg P ₂ O ₅ -50 kg K ₂ O ₅	1.976	$1.61^{\rm b}$	1.79°	2.02°	2.05°	2.04°	2.30°	2.97⁰	2.63°
50-25-25	2.19^{ab}	1.91	2.05^{b}	2.72^{b}	2.75 ^b	2.73^{b}	3.19°	3.63^{b}	3.41^{b}
No fertilizer	2.50°	2.13a	2.31ª	3.25ª	3.47ª	3.36^{a}	4.47ª	4.75ª	4.61ª
SE±(0.05%)	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.09

Means with the same alphabets within the same column are not significantly different from one another at p<0.05, WAP: Week after planting, Sorghum reaction score used was scale (1 to 9), where 1 was assigned to normal plant growth and 9 was completely dead plant

Table 4: Effects of inoculation and fertilizer on Striga shoot count at 6, 9 and 12 WAP in 2008, 2009 and combined

	<i>Striga</i> sh	oot count/pc	ot at 6 WAP	Striga shoot count/pot at 9 WAP			Striga shoot count/pot at 12 WAP		
Source	2008	2009	Combined	2008	2009	Combined	2008	2009	Combined
Host (Sorghum)									
Samsorg 3	0.94^{b}	$1.80^{\rm b}$	1.37 ^c	1.80°	2.72 ^b	2.26°	$2.97^{\rm b}$	3.22^{b}	3.09€
Samsorg 14	1.69^{ab}	3.52ª	2.61^{b}	3.27°	4.80^{a}	4.04^{b}	5.13ª	6.38ª	5.76°
ICSV III	2.25ª	3.72ª	2.98⁴	3.58⁴	5.36ª	4.47ª	5.63ª	7.19⁴	6.41ª
SE±(0.05%)	0.23	0.15	0.10	0.06	0.18	0.12	0.26	0.39	0.20
Inoculation									
Striga+Glomus	1.59 ^b	4.25^{b}	2.92^{b}	3.59°	6.22^{b}	4.90°	$5.92^{\rm b}$	7.81 ^b	6.87⁰
Striga	4.92ª	7.81ª	6.37ª	7.96ª	10.96ª	9.46ª	12.40a	14.59⁴	13.50 ^a
Glomus	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°
Control	0.00^{c}	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°
SE±(0.05%)	0.19	0.21	0.11	0.18	0.38	0.14	0.25	0.68	0.23
Fertilizer									
$100 \text{ kg N-} 50 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 - 50 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O}_5$	0.91°	2.30°	1.61⁰	1.97°	3.25°	2.61°	3.00°	4.02°	3.51°
50-25-25	1.36°	2.94^{b}	2.15^{b}	2.44^{b}	4.27 ⁶	3.36°	3.94^{b}	5.52 ^b	4.73 ^b
No fertilizer	2.61ª	3.80^{a}	3.21ª	4.25°	5.36ª	4.80°	6.80ª	7.25ª	7.02 ^a
SE±(0.05%)	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.20	0.19	0.20

Means with the same alphabets within the same column are not significantly different from one another at p<0.05, WAP: Week after planting

Table 5: Effects of inoculation and fertilizer on days to Striga emergence and crop and Striga dry shoot weight of sorghum varieties in 2008, 2009 and combined

сотполнес	Days to Si	<i>riga</i> emerger	nce	Crop dry	shoot weight			
						Striga dry shoot weight		
Source	2008	2009	Combined	2008	2009	Combined	2009	
Host (Sorghum)								
Samsorg 3	21.02ª	20.30	20.66°	95.00	97.32	96.16°	3.19^{b}	
Samsorg 14	20.47^{b}	20.19	20.33^{b}	92.36	93.65	93.01^{b}	6.36ª	
ICSV III	20.22^{b}	20.16	20.19^{b}	93.65	95.07	94.36 ⁶	7.03ª	
SE±(0.05%)	0.08	0.07	0.07	1.22	1.52	0.56	0.42	
Inoculation								
Striga+Glomus	41.77ª	40.66ª	41.22ª	95.88 ⁶	97.32ª	96.60 ^b	7.57 ^b	
Striga	40.51^{b}	40.22^{b}	40.37^{b}	81.42°	86.21 ^b	83.82°	14.53°	
Glomus	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	97.74ab	100.15a	98.94ª	0.00°	
Control	0.00°	0.00°	0.00°	99.63ª	97.71°	98.67ª	0.00°	
SE±(0.05%)	0.12	0.08	0.08	1.16	1.33	0.65	0.67	
Fertilizer								
$100 \text{ kg N-}50 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5\text{-}50 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O}_5$	20.80^{a}	20.22	20.51a	97.68⁴	98.80°	98.24ª	3.85°	
50-25-25	20.63^{ab}	20.25	20.44ª	95.26°	96.85 ^b	96.05^{b}	5.41 ^b	
No fertilizer	20.27^{b}	20.19	20.23 ^b	88.07°	90.40°	89.23°	7.31ª	
SE±(0.05%)	0.14	0.06	0.07	0.63	0.42	0.56	0.21	

Means with the same alphabets within the same column are not significantly different from one another at $p \le 0.05$

combined analyses. Plants of pots inoculated with Striga alone were shorter than those of the pots inoculated with its mixture with Glomus, Glomus alone and the control (Table 1) as this is in agreement with the study of Mobasser et al. (2012) that reported a significant influence of mycorrhizal on plant height of maize. Also Samra et al. (1997) reported that the general application of mycorrhiza increased plant heights compared to the control treatments without mycorrhizal infection. The results of this trial are similar to those of other reports showing that infections of mycorrhizal fungi increased plant height compared to controls (Ahiabor and Hirata, 1994; HashemiDezfuli et al., 1999; Olatunji et al., 2008). The various forms of inoculation resulted in shorter plants than those of the control except with Glomus at 12 WAP which was not significant (Table 1). Plants inoculated with Striga alone had lower vigour scores than those of the other treatments while those of Striga plus

Glomus also had lower scores compared with those of Glomus alone and control at 9 and 12 WAP (Table 2). The reaction scores of plants of pots with Striga inoculation was obviously higher than those of the other treatments which were similar at 9 and 12 WAP while Glomus alone caused higher reaction score than the control at 12 WAP (Table 3). Striga shoot emergence count and weight were significantly lower with Striga/Glomus mixture inoculation than Striga alone while emergence was delayed by the mixture. Striga inoculation alone resulted in significantly lower crop shoot dry matter production compared with the other treatments, although Striga/Glomus mixture also caused lower values than Glomus alone and the control (Table 5). The ability of AMF to reduce Striga count can be explained in three ways: (1) The formation of metabolites, especially strigolactones that are responsible for the induction of Striga germination is down regulated upon mycorrhizal colonization; (2) Plant metabolites, such

as cyclohexenones which arise through carotenoid degradation, that are up-regulated upon mycorrhizal colonization inhibit *Striga* germination and (3) Mycorrhizal colonization induces mycorrhizosphere effects that negatively impact on *Striga* germination (Lendzemo *et al.*, 2007).

Influence of fertilizer rates on growth of sorghum: Fertilizer application had significant effect on height, vigour score, reaction score of sorghum as well as shoot count, days to emergence, dry matter production and dry weight of Striga. Sorghum plant height at 6 WAP (Table 1) and vigour score at 6, 9 and 12 WAP (Table 2) crop shoot dry weight at 12 WAP (Table 5) increased with the rates of fertilizer applied, while both rates of fertilizer similarly increased plant height of sorghum at 9 and 12 WAP. Conversely, crop reaction score (Table 3) and emergence shoot count (Table 4) and dry weight of Striga (Table 5) decreased with the rates of applied fertilizer. The two rates of fertilizer applied significantly delayed Striga emergence on sorghum compared to no fertilizer control. Gworgwor and Webber, 1991) observed that application of high nitrogen increases the performance of cereal crops under Striga infestation. This is due to the fact that nitrogen fertilizer reduced the severity of Striga attack while simultaneously increasing the host performance (Lagoke and Isah, 2010).

CONCLUSION

Sorghum variety SAMSORG 3 was resistant to Striga hermonthica in this study. There was an improvement in the performance of sorghum varieties when Striga was mixed with Glomus indicating that Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi is effective in S. hermonthica management. It was further enhanced when higher levels of fertilizer are used especially with those sorghum varieties found to be susceptible to S. hermonthica parasitism.

REFERENCES

- Ahiabor, B.O. and H. Hirata, 1994. Characteristic responses of three tropical legumes to inoculation of two species of VAM fungi in Andosol soil with different fertilities. Mycorryza, 5: 63-70.
- Akintayo, I. and J. Sedgo, 2001. Towards Sustainable Sorghum Production, Utilization and Commercialization in West and Central Africa. In: Proceedings of a Technical Workshop of the West and Central African Sorghum Research Network, April 19-22, 1999, Lome Togo, Bamako, BP 320, Mali, Akintayo, I. and J. Sedgo (Eds.). ICRISTA, WCASRN/ROCARS, Bamako, Mali and ICRISAT, Andra Pradesh, India, ISBN: 92-9066-4330-9, pp: 162.

- Berner, D.K., J.G. Kling and B.B. Singh, 1995. Striga research and control: A perspective from Africa. J. Plant Dis., 79: 652-660.
- Berner, D.K., M.D. Winslow, A.E. Awad, K.F. Cardwell, D.R. Mohan Raj and S.K. Kim, 1997. Striga Research Methods: A Manual. 2nd Edn., The International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp: 13-20.
- Giovannetti, M. and B. Mosse, 1980. An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in roots. New Phytol., 84: 489-500.
- Gressel, J., A. Hanafi, G. Head, W. Marasas and A.B. Obilana *et al.*, 2004. Major heretofore intractable bioticconstraints to African food security that may beamendable to novel biotechnological solutions. Crop Prot., 23: 661-689.
- Gworgwor, N.A. and H.C. Webber, 1991. Effect of N-application on sorghum growth, *Striga* infestation and the osmotic pressure of the parasite in relation to the host. J. Plant Physiol., 39: 194-198.
- HashemiDezfuli, A., A. Kochaki and M. Banayanaval, 1999. Crop Yield. Ferdowsi University of Mashhad Publications, Iran, Pages: 394.
- Isah, K.M., S.T.O. Lagoke, K. Elemo and O.J. Ariyo, 2009. Differential reaction of host crop varieties to Striga hermonthica of different crop and location sources. Nig. J. Weed Sci., 22: 1-14.
- Kanampiu, F., J. Ransom, J. Gressel, D. Jewell, D. Friesen, D. Grimanelli and D. Hoisington, 2002. Appropriateness of biotechnology to African agriculture: *Striga* and maize paradigms. Plant Cell, Tiss. Organ Cult., 69: 105-110.
- Kim, S.K., 1994. Genetics of maize tolerance of *Striga hermonthica*. Crop Sci., 34: 900-907.
- Lagoke, S.T.O. and K.M. Isah, 2010. Reaction of maize varieties to *Striga hermonthica* as influenced by food legume intercrop, spacing and split application of compound fertilizer. Nig. J. Weed Sci., 23: 45-58.
- Lagoke, S.T.O., V. Parkinson and R.M. Agunbiade, 1991.
 Parasitic weeds and control methods in Africa Proceedings of the International Workshop on Combating Striga in Africa, August 22-24, 1988, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp. 3-14.
- Lendzemo, V.W., T.W. Kuyper, R. Matusova, H.J. Bouwmeester and A.V. Ast, 2007. Colonization by Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi of Sorghum leads to reduced germination and subsequent attachment and emergence of *Striga hermonthica*. Plant Signal. Behav., 2: 58-62.
- Mathimaran, N., R. Ruh, P. Vullioud, E. Frossard and J. Jansa, 2005. *Glomus intraradices* dominates arbuscular mycorrhizal communities in a heavy textured agricultural soil. Mycorrhiza, 16: 16-66.

- Mobasser, H.R., A. Moradgholi, A. Mehraban and S. Koohkan, 2012. Investigation of mycorrhizal effect on agronomic traits and protein percent of corn varieties in Sistan. Int. J. Agric. Sci., 2: 108-119.
- Obilana, A.B. and K.V. Ramaiah, 1992. Striga (Witchweed) in Sorghum and Millet: Knowledge and Future Research Needs. In: Sorghum and Millers Diseases: A Second World Review, De Milliano, W.A.J., R.A. Freederiksen and G.D. Bengston (Eds.). ICRISAT, Andhra, Pradesh, India, pp. 187-201.
- Olatunji, O.O., G.E. Akinbola, G.O. Oyediran, B.A. Lawal, W.B. Akanbi, J.C. Obi and F.M. Owoade, 2008. Mycorrhiza fungi distribution in six different soil types of Southwestern Nigeria. Res. J. Agronomy, 20: 52-55.
- Osonubi, O., K. Mulongoy, O.O. Awotoye, M.O. Atayese and D.U.U. Okali, 1991. Effects of ectomycorrhizal and vesicular-arbuscularmycorrhizal fungi on drought tolerance of four leguminous woody seedlings. Plant Soil, 136: 131-143.
- Parker, C. and C.R. Riches, 1993. In Parasitic weeds of the world: Biology and control. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK., pp. 1-74.

- Patterson, D.T., 1990. Effects of Environment on Growth and Reproduction of Witchweed and the Ecological Range of Witchweed. In: Witchweed Research and Control in the United States, Sand, P.F., I. Eplee and R.G. West Books (Eds.). Weed Science Society of America-Champaign II, USA., pp. 68-80.
- Phillips, D.A. and J.G. Torrey, 1970. Cytokinin production by *Rhizobium japonicum*. Physiol. Plant., 213: 1057-1063.
- SAS, 2009. SAS OnlineDoc®. Version 9.2. SAS Institute Inc., Cary,NC, USA...
- Samra, D., E. Gaudot and S. Gianinazzi, 1997. Detection of symbiosis related polypeptides during the early stages of the establishment of arbuscular mycorrhiza between *Glomus mosseae* and *Pisum sativumroots*. New Phytol., 135: 711-722.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1960. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, pp. 481.
- Vierheilig, H., M.J. Garcia-Garrido, U. Wyss and Y. Piche, 2000. Systemic suppression of mycorrhizalcolonization in barley roots already colonized by AM-fungi. Soil Biol. Biochem., 32: 589-595.