http://www.pjbs.org



ISSN 1028-8880

Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences



Anatomic Variations of Aortic Arch Branches and Relationship with Diameter of Aortic Arch by 64-row CT Angiography

¹Abolhassan Shakeri, ¹Masoud Pourisa, ¹Abdolreza Deldar and ²Mohamad Goldust ¹Department of Radiology, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran ²Student Research Committee, Tuberculosis and Lung, Disease Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract: There are different variations in the branching pattern of the Aortic Arch (AA). The aim of the present study was to study and evaluation of anatomic variations of aortic arch branches and relationship with diameter of aortic arch by 64-row computed tomography angiography (CT angiography). This descriptive analytical study was performed on 503 human by using of CT Angiography (CTA) to evaluation of anatomic variations of aortic arch. Patients underwent three dimensional restoration of aorta arch images and its branches using MIP and VRT software following intravascular injection of vein material (100 mL) and conducting CT. Then, kind of their divisions were registered. Additionally, diameter of aorta arch was measured at its cross section immediately before and after separation of its first and last branches, respectively. This study was performed on 503 specimen that 269 (53.5%) was male and 234 (46.5%) was female. The most common AA branching pattern was found in 346 (68.8%) of 503 specimens. There was no significant correlation between sex and type of variations (p = 0.073). There was no significant correlation between type of AA variations and AA diameter in the early branching area (p = 0.446) and there is no significant correlation between type of AA variations and AA diameter in the last branching area (p = 0.887). The different branching patterns of the AA observed in this study and the morphometric measurements taken can assist doctors in performing safe and effective surgeries in the superior mediastinum and also a safe interventional procedures.

Key words: Variation, aorta arch, diameter, CT angiography, diagnosis

INTRODUCTION

Vascular variations are branched from several aorta arches. Cardiovascular, thorax and neck surgeons as well as radiologists and anatomists should be familiar with these variations (Goldust et al., 2013a; Mirjalili et al., 2012). Aorta arch is a part of body complex vascular system which can be evolved with congenital variations (Goldust et al., 2013a; Vasava et al., 2012). Aorta arch may have different locations in different persons and even it is possible that aorta arch is seen with random branches (Goldust et al., 2011; Mohebbipour et al. Trachet et al., 2011). Also, aorta diameter may vary considering shear stress variations resulting from blood turbulence at the location of aorta branches division at different kinds of variations (Goldust et al., 2013a; Sasaki et al., 2011). There are several ways to study different variations of aorta arch including MRI, echocardiography and angiography using catheter

(Sakamoto et al., 2011). Each of the methods carries their own problems: limited use in sever vascular diseases and non-cooperation of the patients with this technique (MRI), limitations in detecting some diseases including abnormality of big arteries (echocardiography) and invasive and expensive (angiography using catheter) (Milan et al., 2011). T-angiography especially CT with 64-rows detector is another method used in detecting aorta arch variations. Its non-invasive and inexpensive nature as well as its requiring less time in comparison with other detective techniques can be referred to as its advantages (Choi et al., 2011; Goldust et al., 2013a-d). CT angiography is a noninvasive method which is essentially inexpensive than other common digital angiography methods. Taking a three dimensional image from all angles of a unit vascular complex is possible in this method (Goldust et al., 2013a; Muhs et al., 2006). Comparing with digital angiography, capability to demonstrate form, size and density of vessels wall and adjacent limbs is another advantage of CTA. Additionally, high expenses, incapability in detecting Intramural Hematoma (IMH) and need to clinical examination have been introduced as main disadvantages of angiography in comparison with CTA (Sadighi et al., 2011; Yamada et al., 1998). During the last decade, CT angiography has become a standard noninvasive imaging technique to describe vascular anatomy and pathology (Goldust et al., 2012; Karabulut et al., 2010). Imaging quality and speed of CT angiography has significantly promoted and developed from single canal spiral systems to multi-canal spiral systems (Goetti et al., 2010; Milan et al., 2011). CT 64-row detector systems were available since 2004. The imaging method is often used as a supplementary detect tool of aorta diseases specified by echocardiography, MRI esophageal Trans aortography. The imaging technique is widely used in more applications at several imaging methods (Golfurushan et al., 2011; Kovacs et al., Sadeghpour et al., 2011). Therefore, the present study aims at evaluating variations of aorta arch branches using 64-row detector CT angiography as well as its relationship with variations of aorta arch diameter.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects: This descriptive-analytical study conducted on 503 patients at Parsian CT angiography ward of Shahid Madani Hospital, Tabriz from March 2011-2012. The patients were selected using random sampling method.

Methods: Considering that all understudy patients were referred by different physicians to thorax CT using injection of veil material and it was regarded as the essential element of diagnostically evaluate their disease indicated by the related specialist, we worked only on the above-mentioned images using restoration and software techniques and registered the required findings. In this study, those patients referred by different physicians to thorax CT using injection of veil material (for any reason) underwent three dimensional restoration of aorta arch images and its branches using MIP and VRT software following intravascular injection of vein material (100 mL) and conducting CT. Then, kind of their divisions were registered. Additionally, diameter of aorta arch was measured at its cross section immediately before and after separation of its first and last branches, respectively.

Statistical analysis: All data was collected at a special checklist and statistically analyzed using SPSS-15 statistical software. The results were stated as Mean ±SD. To compare data using one way ANOVA method and

Pierson correlation coefficient, Chi-square and multiple regression methods were used. Also, Fischer's exact test was used to compare qualitative variables. In this study, reliance coefficient and p-value were regarded as 95% and <0.05, respectively.

RESULTS

The study was consisted of 503 participants out of them 269 (53.5%) were males and 234 (46.5%) were females. Mean age of all subjects was 56.70±16.79 years. In this study, mean age of males was 57.51±17.20 and that of females was 55.76±16.29 years. Mean cross sectional diameter of aorta arch immediately before and after separation of the first and last branches was 32.05±4.99 and 23.82±3.48 mm, respectively (Table 1). Considering frequency of variations of aorta arch branches in the understudy subjects, the patients were divided into following categories: (a) 346 patients (68.8%) were among No. 1 variation that 192 (38%) subjects were male and 154 (30.6%) were female. (i.e., aorta arch with three branches), (b) 60 subjects (11.9%) were among No. 2 variation that 27 (5.3%) subjects were male and 33 (6.5%) were female (i.e., aorta arch with two branches), (c) 21 cases (4.2%) were among No. 3 variation that 16 (3.2%) subjects were male and 5 (1%) were female (i.e., left vertebral artery separated from aorta rather than left sub-clavin artery), (d) One female (0.2%) was among No. 4 variation (i.e., common origin of common carotid arteries of aorta arch), (e) 72 subjects (14.3%) were among No. 5 variation that 34 (6.7%) subjects were male and 38 (7.5%) were female (i.e., common origin of left carotid artery with brachiocephalic artery), (f). There was not found any case who can be placed among No.6 variation (i.e., common origin of common carotid arteries and sub-clavin arteries), (g) One female (0.2%) was among No. 7 variation (i.e., lack

Table 1: Demographic data of study population		
Parameters	Male	Female
N	269 (53.5)	269 (53.5)
Age	57.51±17.20	55.76±16.29
Mean cross sectional diameter of	32.05±4.99	3.82 ± 3.48
aorta arch immediately before separation of the first and		
last branches (mm)		
Variations		
1	192 (38)	154 (30.6)
2	27 (5.3)	33 (6.5)
3	16 (3.2)	5 (1)
4	-	1 (0.2)
5	34 (6.7)	38 (7.5)
6	-	-
7	-	1 (0.2)
8	-	-
Right-oriented aorta arch	-	1 (0.2)
Aberrant sub-clavin	-	2 (0.4)

Values in parenthesis are percentages

of brachiocephalic body) and (h). There was not found any case who can be placed among No. 8 variation (i.e., additional branch of thyroid artery of aorta arch). Also, there were three other cases of variation which are not regarded among the above-mentioned divisions: 2 cases (0.4%) of aberrant sub-clavin artery, 1 case (0.2%) of right-oriented aorta arch. Gender frequency of kinds of variations has been demonstrated in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

There were more than 500 subjects in this study and frequency of kinds of variations of aorta arch and their branches. Additionally, the relationship found between aorta diameter and variation and gender was also studied. There are few studies all over the world in this regard and similar researches may be helpful at different areas (Satyapal et al., 2003; Sora et al., 2002). In this study, the highest rate of frequency belonged to No. 1 variation (aorta arch with three branches) and then, No. 5 variation (common origin of left carotid artery with brachiocephalic artery) and No. 2 variation (aorta arch with two branches). Also, there was not found any meaningful relationship between cross sectional aorta diameter immediately before the firs branch and immediately after the last branch and variation. In a previous study, authors evaluated celiac network and mesenteric arteries using CT angiography 64-row detector. They found that only 56.7% of subjects had classic anatomy with branch of 3 arteries and common hepatic artery was seen in only 60% of cases at normal anatomic view. Also, they stated that CT angiography 64-row detector is able to detect small vessels which can hardly be seen in other techniques. This is the most ideal method in evaluating vascular variations (Carvey et al., 1935). In this study on aorta arch variations, 64-row detector CT angiography was used similar to the above-mentioned study. It was concluded that only 68.8% of cases have three-branch aorta arch variations and frequency of other variations is significantly high. This study evaluated the relationship found between kinds of aorta variations and aorta arch diameter at two points, i.e., immediately before the first and immediately after the last branch, resulting from shear stress variations. There was not found any meaningful relationship between kind of variation and values of aorta arch diameter. In a previous study, authors evaluated variations and carotid artery branches and its effects on mechanical stresses and shear stress and indicated to the relationship found between values and intensity of turbulence currents and shear stress with states, variations and branches of carotid artery (Lakoumentas et al., 2006). This study did not refer to any meaningful relationship between kinds of aorta arch variations and its lumen diameter. It shows that shear stress is not at an extent which can change vessel diameter. In this study, only 68.8% of cases were regarded as No. 1 variations (three-branched aorta arch), 11.9% were of No. 2 variation (two-branched aorta arch), 4.2% of No. 3 variation (left vertebra artery separated from aorta rather than left sub-clavin artery), 0.2% of No. 4 variation (common origin of common carotid arteries of aorta arch 0, 14.3% of No.5 variations (common origin of left carotid artery with braciocephalic artery). There was not found any cases of No. 6 (common origin of common carotid arteries and sub-clavin arteries) and No. 8 (additional branch of thyroid artery of aorta arch) variations in this study. In a previous research, authors studied aorta arch variations and anomalies at Australia. They studied the relationship between gender and frequency of anomaly and stated that the relationship was not meaningful. Additionally, there was not any meaningful relationship between race and frequency of aorta arch anomalies (Bhatia et al., 2005). This study did not refer to any meaningful relationship between gender and kinds of aorta arch variations. In a previous study, authors evaluated frequency of different aorta arch variations and in their study 75% of cases had normal three-branched variations. Also, branch diameter of aorta arch was measured to determine weather kinds of variations play a role in thickness and diameter of aorta arch branches. The study demonstrated that the rest 9 cases (25%) had other variations (Goray et al., 2005). This study was conducted on 503 patients and out of them, 346 cases (68.8%) had normal three-branched variations. In comparison with the above-mentioned research, it was less frequent. Additionally, this study only used CT-scan to evaluate and measure the desired parameters. This study did not refer to any meaningful relationship between value of aorta arch diameter immediately before the first branch and kind of variation. It was true about aorta arch diameter immediately after the last branch and kind of variation. There was not any meaningful relationship in this regard, too.

CONCLUSION

In this study, no meaningful relationship was found between gender and kind of variation. Also, there was not any meaningful relationship between kind of variation and cross sectional aorta arch diameter immediately before the first and after the last branch.

REFERENCES

- Bhatia, S., F.M. Baroody, M. deTineo and R.M. Naclerio, 2005. Increased nasal airflow with budesonide compared with deslorated during the allergy season. Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surgery, 131: 223-228.
- Carvey, E.V., S.R. Gaston and H.B. Ferris, 1935. An unusual variation of the aortic arch. Yale J. Biol. Med., 7: 317-318.
- Choi, H.S., D.H. Shin, K.R. Kim and Y.A. Park, 2011. Preoperative three-dimensional CT angiography to distinguish between an aberrant subclavian artery and a double aortic arch in thyroid surgery: Report of 2 cases. Auris Nasus Larynx, 38: 127-132.
- Goetti, R., G. Feuchtner, P. Stolzmann, L. Desbiolles and M.A. Fischer *et al.*, 2010. High-pitch dual-source CT coronary angiography: Systolic data acquisition at high heart rates. Eur. Radiol., 20: 2565-2571.
- Goldust, M., F. Golforoushan and E. Rezaee, 2011. Treatment of solar lentigines with trichloroacetic acid 40% vs. cryotherapy. Eur. J. Dermatol., 21: 426-427.
- Goldust, M., E. Rezaee and S. Hemayat, 2012. Treatment of scabies: Comparison of permethrin 5% versus ivermectin. J. Dermatol., 39: 545-547.
- Goldust, M., E. Rezaee and R. Raghifar, 2013a. Comparison of oral ivermectin versus crotamiton 10% cream in the treatment of scabies. Cutaneousv Ocul. Toxicol.
- Goldust, M., M. Talebi, J. Majidi, M.A.R. Saatlou and E. Rezaee, 2013b. Evaluation of antiphospholipid antibodies in youths suffering from cerebral ischemia. Int. J. Neurosci., 123: 209-212.
- Goldust, M., M.R. Ranjkesh, M. Amirinia, F. Golforoushan, E. Rezaee and M.A.R. Saatlou, 2013c. Sertaconazole 2% cream vs. hydrocortisone 1% cream in the treatment of seborrheic dermatitis. J. Dermatol. Treat., (In Press).
- Goldust, M., S.B. Nejad, E. Rezaee and R. Raghifar, 2013d. Comparative trial of permethrin 5% vs. lindane 1% for the treatment of scabies. J. Dermatol. Treat., (In Press).
- Golfurushan, F., M. Sadeghi, M. Goldust and N. Yosefi, 2011. Leprosy in Iran: An analysis of 195 cases from 1994-2009. J. Pak. Med. Assoc., 61: 558-561.
- Goray, V.B., A.R. Joshi, A. Garg, S. Merchant, B. Yadav and P. Maheshwari, 2005. Aortic arch variation: A unique case with anomalous origin of both vertebral arteries as additional branches of the aortic arch distal to left subclavian artery. AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol., 26: 93-95.

- Karabulut, O., K. Iltimur and M.C. Tuncer, 2010. Coexisting of aortic arch variation of the left common carotid artery arising from brachiocephalic trunk and absence of the main branches of right subclavian artery: A review of the literature. Rom. J. Morphol. Embryol., 51: 569-572.
- Kovacs, A., W. Schiller, H.M. Gerhards, A. Welz and W.A. Willinek et al., 2009. Visualization of the Adamkiewicz artery in patients with acute stanford a dissections: A prospective 64-row multi-detector CT study. Rofo, 181: 870-874.
- Lakoumentas, J.A., N. Stathakos, T. Xionis and P.K. Harbis, 2006. Ventricular septal defect and anatomical variation of arterial origins from the aortic arch. Hellenic J. Cardiol., 47: 174-175.
- Milan, P.B., D.M. Nejad, A.A. Ghanbari, J.S. Rad and H.T. Nasrabadi et al., 2011. Effects of Polygonum aviculare herbal extract on sperm parameters after EMF exposure in mouse. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 14: 720-724.
- Mirjalili, S.A., S.M.J. Hale, T. Buckenham, B. Wilson and M.D. Stringer, 2012. A reappraisal of adult thoracic surface anatomy. Clin. Anat., 25: 827-834.
- Mohebbipour, A., P. Saleh, M. Goldust, M. Amirnia, Y.J. Zadeh, R.M. Mohamadi and E. Rezaee, 2012. Treatment of scabies: Comparison of ivermectin vs. lindane lotion 1%. Acta Dermatovenerol. Croat, 20: 251-255.
- Muhs, B.E., K.L. Vincken, J. van Prehn, M.K.C. Stone and L.W. Bartels *et al.*, 2006. Dynamic cine-CT angiography for the evaluation of the thoracic aorta: Insight in dynamic changes with implications for thoracic endograft treatment. Eur. J. Vasc. Endovasc. Surg., 32: 532-536.
- Sadeghpour, A., R. Mansour, H.A. Aghdam and M. Goldust, 2011. Comparison of trans patellar approach and medial parapatellar tendon approach in tibial intramedullary nailing for treatment of tibial fractures. J. Pak. Med. Assoc., 61: 530-533.
- Sadighi, A., A. Elmi, M.A. Jafari, V. Sadeghifard and M. Goldust, 2011. Comparison study of therapeutic results of closed tibial shaft fracture with intramedullary nails inserted with and without reaming. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 14: 950-953.
- Sakamoto, S., M. Shibukawa, I. Tami, O. Araki and S. Oki et al., 2011. Carotid artery stenting in a patient with right-sided aortic arch with an aberrant left subclavian artery. Acta Neurochirurgica, 153: 2169-2173.
- Sasaki, B., K. Shimizu, N. Ohno, K. Tsukuda and K. Fujiwara, 2011. Open stent-grafting for adult patent ductus arteriosus with a distal aortic arch aneurysm. Gen. Thoracic Cardiovasc. Surg., 59: 806-808.

- Satyapal, K.S., S. Singaram, P. Partab, J.M. Kalideen and J.V. Robbs, 2003. Aortic arch branch variations-case report and arteriographic analysis. S. Afr. J. Surg., 41: 48-50.
- Sora, M.C., B. Strobl, S. Forster-Streffleur and D. Staykov, 2002. Aortic arch variation analyzed by using plastination. Clin. Anat., 15: 379-382.
- Trachet, B., J. Bols, G. De Santis, S. Vandenberghe, B. Loeys and P. Segers, 2011. The impact of simplified boundary conditions and aortic arch inclusion on CFD simulations in the mouse aorta: A comparison with mouse-specific reference data. J. Biomech. Eng., Vol. 133.
- Vasava, P., P. Jalali, M. Dabagh and P.J. Kolari, 2012. Finite element modelling of pulsatile blood flow in idealized model of human aortic arch: Study of hypotension and hypertension. Comput. Math. Methods Med., Vol. 2012.
- Yamada, I., T. Nakagawa, Y. Himeno, F. Numano and H. Shibuya, 1998. Takayasu arteritis: Evaluation of the thoracic aorta with CT angiography. Radiology, 209: 103-109.