

<http://www.pjbs.org>

PJBS

ISSN 1028-8880

**Pakistan
Journal of Biological Sciences**

ANSI*net*

Asian Network for Scientific Information
308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan



Research Article

Evaluation of the Toxic Effects of Novaluron on *Muscina stabulans* (Fallen) (Diptera: Muscidae)

¹Lamya A. Al-Keridis and ²Karem Ghoneim

¹Department of Biology, College of Sciences, Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, 11474 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Zoology and Entomology, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

Background and Objective: The worldwide fly species, *Muscina stabulans* (Diptera: Muscidae) is known as 'false stable fly'. It has veterinary, forensic and medical importance. The present study aimed to examine the toxicity of novaluron (chitin synthesis inhibitor) via its effect on the growth and reproductive potential of *M. stabulans*. **Materials and Methods:** The early last (3rd) instar larvae and prepupae have been treated with novaluron using five doses: 5.0, 1.0, 0.5, 0.1 and 0.01 µg/larva. Student's t-test analysis has been used for data processing as well as refined by Bessel correction for significant differences among means. **Results:** Current study revealed that, after the treatment different mortalities of larva, pupa and adult have been estimated. LD₅₀ values of novaluron were 0.018 and 0.057 µg/insect, respectively. Furthermore, the larval period was insignificantly shortened while the pupal duration has been significantly extended and the developmental rate has been slightly enhanced. On the other hand, the adult longevity of females was considerably reduced and the adult emergence was considerably blocked, regardless the dose. However, only after the treatment, some deformed pupae were observed and some adult deformities were observed. Both fecundity and fertility were drastically reduced and sterilizing activity on novaluron increased in a dose-dependent course, regardless the time of treatment. **Conclusion:** Novaluron can be used as an effective IGR in the integrated control program for this medically and veterinary serious fly.

Key words: Fertility, fecundity, metamorphosis, mortality, pupation, sterility

Citation: Al-Keridis, L.A. and K. Ghoneim, 2021. Evaluation of the toxic effects of novaluron on *Muscina stabulans* (fallen) (diptera: muscidae). Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 24: 42-52.

Corresponding Author: Lamya A. Al-Keridis, Department of Biology, College of Sciences, Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, 11474 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Copyright: © 2021 Lamya A. Al-Keridis and Karem Ghoneim. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

In flies, family Muscidae includes a small genus *Muscina* which currently comprises 14 valid species and 30 additional species¹. *Muscina stabulans* (Diptera: Muscidae) is commonly known as 'false stable fly'. It is worldwide fly species² that mostly found at urban and suburban areas³. Also, maggots are frequently found in animal excrement and decaying vegetable materials as well as in poultry houses⁴. Also, it is reported to inhabit latrines and household wastes and other filthy habitats⁵. The fly is reported to cause myiasis in humans and animals⁶. Mian *et al.*⁷ isolated *Salmonella enteritidis* from the fly adults in California and Yoshida *et al.*⁸ isolated the trypanosomatid flagellate *Herpetomonas mariadeane* (Protozoa) from this fly species. In addition, *M. stabulans* larvae are reported among flies of the forensic importance⁹⁻¹¹. Despite the potential of adults as a vector of pathogens, larvae prey on the immature stages of other dipterous species and subsequently limit their abundance¹². Thus, these larvae can play a role in the control of some Dipter¹³.

The intensive and discriminate uses of many broad-spectrum conventional insecticides cause several serious problems, such as toxicological problems to humans, destruction of the biological enemies and the development of insect resistance toward different insecticides¹⁴⁻¹⁷. Therefore, alternative control agents have been searched recently to minimize insecticide hazards. Few years ago, a recent group of safe compounds had been synthesized. These compounds are known as Insect Growth Regulators (IGRs)¹⁸. IGRs are not directly toxic but act selectively on the growth, metamorphosis and/or reproduction of serious insect pests^{19,20} owing to their disruptive effects on the normal activity of endocrine system of insects²¹.

According to their modes of action, these Insect Growth Regulators (IGRs) were categorized in three groups: (i) Juvenile Hormone Analogues (JHAs), (ii) Ecdysteroids or ecdysone agonists and (iii) Chitin Synthesis Inhibitors (CSIs)^{22,23}. CSIs were known as safe or low toxicity to the beneficial biota and non-target organisms with expected have no residual impact²⁴ because they interfere with chitin biosynthesis and thus disrupt molting²⁵.

In the late decades, some new benzoylphenylurea (BPU) compounds were synthesized, such as diflubenzuron, hexaflumuron, noviflumuron, lufenuron and novaluron²⁶⁻²⁸. Novaluron was reported to exhibit different effects on several dipterous species²⁹⁻³². Also, its powerful suppression was recorded for lepidopterous larvae³³⁻³⁵ and whiteflies^{36,37}. In addition, novaluron exhibited various effects on some coleopteran insects³⁸⁻⁴⁰. This compound has no or moderate

impacts on parasitoids and other natural enemies^{33,36}. Recently, novaluron was found to exhibit various effects on the pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella*, such as reduced survival, retarded development, impaired metamorphosis⁴¹, disrupted adult performance, disturbed reproductive ability⁴² and declined main metabolites⁴³. Therefore, the current study was conducted to assess the toxic effects of novaluron and its impacts on the development and the reproduction of *M. stabulans*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental insect

Study area: A culture of susceptible strain of false stable fly *Muscina stabulans* (Fallen) (Diptera: Muscidae) was established in the laboratory of Entomology, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt. Controlled laboratory conditions ($25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, $55 \pm 5\%$ R.H., photoperiod 12 L:12 D) were justified for a number of generations during the period 2017-2019. Larvae were fed on an artificial diet (200 g wheat bran, 100 g powdered milk, 3 g yeast and 200 mL tap water) based on the rearing technique of the house fly *Musca domestica* described by Busvine⁴⁴. Feeding larvae were kept in breeding pans covered with muslin and fitted with rubber bands. After pupation (pupariation), pupae were gently collected and confined in Petri dishes. These Petri dishes were transferred into wooden cages ($45 \times 45 \times 45$ cm). Each cage was structurally provided with wire-gauze sides except the bottom and the front which was fitted with a small circular window attached with a cloth sleeve for routine daily work, such as feeding, handling and cleaning. After emergence, the adult flies were supplied with a milk diet and a piece of cotton soaked in 10-15% sugar solution.

Novaluron administration: Novaluron ($\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_9\text{ClF}_8\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals. A sequence of five dose levels has been formulated using acetone for novaluron dilutions (5.0, 1.0, 0.5, 0.1 and 0.01 $\mu\text{g}/\text{larva}$). Fifty larvae in 10 replicates (5 larvae/replicate) of the early last (3rd) instar and a similar number of prepupae were topically treated, individually, with each dose using a Hamilton micro applicator (NHN 737). A similar number of replicates of early last instar larvae and prepupae were topically treated with 1 μL acetone only as controls. All treated and control replicates were confined in small tubes and kept under the previously mentioned laboratory conditions. Treated and control insects were observed every day for feeding of the larvae and recording all criteria of the study.

Toxicity of novaluron: Toxicity of novaluron was determined by observed mortality. Mortality of larvae, pupae and adults (treated and control) have been noted every day and corrected as stated by Abbott's formula⁴⁵ as follows:

$$\text{Corrected mortality (\%)} = \frac{\text{Test mortality (\%)} - \text{Control mortality (\%)}}{100 - \text{Control mortality (\%)}} \times 100$$

The values of LD₅₀ for the tested compound, after treatment of larvae and prepupae, were calculated according to Finney⁴⁶.

Developmental durations and rates: Developmental durations of larvae and pupae had been calculated (mean days ± SD) using Dempster's equation⁴⁷. The developmental rate was calculated according to Lotka⁴⁸. Total longevity of adult female flies was also calculated in mean days ± SD.

Metamorphosis and morphogenesis: Pupation rate has been calculated as % of the developed pupae and the adult emergence has been calculated in percentage as mentioned by Jimenez-Peydro *et al.*⁴⁹ as follows:

$$\text{Adult emergence} = \frac{\text{Number of completely emerged adults}}{\text{Number of pupae}} \times 100$$

All of the possible aberrations of metamorphosis and morphogenesis were calculated in percentage.

Reproductive potential: For determination of the most important reproductive parameters, treated and control pupae were transferred into small cages (20 × 20 × 20 cm). Each treated female has been coupled with two normal males of the same age. Fecundity was calculated by the number of eggs per female. Fertility has been estimated by hatching the percentage of laid eggs. Sterility index has been evaluated as mentioned by Topozada *et al.*⁵⁰ as follows:

$$\text{Sterility index} = 100 - \frac{ab}{AB} \times 100$$

Where:

- a = Indicate the number of eggs laid per female in the treatment
- b = Ratio of hatching in the treatment
- A = Indicate the number of eggs laid per female in the controls
- B = Percentage of hatching in the controls

Data analysis: Data have been processed by the student's t-test and refined by Bessel correction⁵¹ for the test significant differences between means.

RESULTS

Toxic impact of novaluron against *M. stabulans*: Novaluron showed high toxicity that was expressed as mortality of larvae (maggots), pupae (puparia) and adult flies when early last (3rd) instar larvae have been treated as assorted in Table 1. Following topical treatment of prepupae, data of mortalities were arranged in Table 2. Last instar larvae treated with Novaluron showed high larval mortality in a dose-dependent course (10, 18, 28, 38 and 62% mortalities, at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 5.0 µg/larva, respectively, vs. 4% mortality among control larvae) as presented in Table 1. It was also observed that, pupae have completely died after the application of the highest two doses and the mortality of pupae was detected in a dose-dependent course, at other applied doses (22.2, 43.9 and 69.4% pupal mortality, at 0.01, 0.1 and 0.5 µg/larva, respectively, vs. control pupae mortality 2.1%).

Furthermore, pupae have completely died after the highest dose of Novaluron was applied for prepupae treatment as presented in Table 2. At other dose levels, the pupal mortality has been noted in a dose-dependent way (37.5, 47.6, 50.0 and 81.3% pupal mortality, at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 µg/prepupa, respectively, vs. control pupae mortality, 4.1%). Depending on the data of the same table, no adult mortality could be recorded at the higher two doses of Novaluron because all pupae died. Following application of other three doses on last instar larvae, the adulticidal effect of Novaluron was exhibited parallel to the dose level, i.e., the mortality of adult has been noted in a dose-dependent manner (20.0, 47.8 and 63.6% adult mortality, at 0.01, 0.1 and 0.5 µg/larva, respectively, in comparison with 6.0% mortality of control adults). As clearly seen in Table 2, also, no adult mortality could be recorded after treatment of prepupae with the highest dose of novaluron because all pupae died. In addition, the adult mortality was recorded in a dose-dependent style (37.5, 47.6, 50.0 and 81.3% adult mortality, at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 µg/prepupa, respectively, vs. control congeners mortality, 4.1%).

Depending on the corrected mortality percentages, Novaluron exerted a lethal potency against *M. stabulans* parallel to the dose level, regardless the time of treatment (Table 1 and 2). The calculated LD₅₀ values of Novaluron against *M. stabulans* were 0.018 and 0.057 µg/insect for last

Table 1: Toxic effect (%) of Novaluron on *M. stabulans* after topical treatment of the early last instar larvae

Dose (µg/larva)	Larval mortality	Pupal mortality	Adult mortality	Total mortality	Corrected mortality	LD ₅₀ (µg/larva)
5.0	62	100.0	---	100	100.0	
1.0	38	100.0	---	100	100.0	
0.5	28	69.4	63.6	92	90.9	0.018
0.1	18	43.9	47.8	76	72.7	
0.01	10	22.2	20.0	44	36.4	
Control	4	2.1	6.0	12	---	

---: No adult mortality could be calculated because no adult flies emerged

Table 2: Toxic effect (%) of Novaluron on *M. stabulans* after topical treatment of the prepupae

Dose (µg/prepupa)	Pupal mortality	Adult mortality	Total mortality	Corrected mortality	LD ₅₀ (µg/prepupa)
5.0	100	---	100	100.0	0.057
1.0	36	81.3	88	87.2	
0.5	24	50.0	62	59.6	
0.1	16	47.6	56	53.2	
0.01	4	37.5	40	36.2	
Control	2	4.1	06	---	

---: No adult mortality could be calculated because no adult flies emerged

Table 3: Affected developmental durations (mean days ±SD) of *M. stabulans* after topical application of Novaluron onto the early last instar larvae

Dose(µg/larva)	Larval duration	Pupal stage		
		Duration	Developmental rate	Adult longevity
5.0	3.8±0.4 ^a	---	---	---
1.0	3.7±0.5 ^a	---	---	---
0.5	3.7±0.4 ^a	8.1±3.11 ^b	12.32	05.3±0.48 ^d
0.1	3.8±0.2 ^a	8.0±1.84 ^b	12.50	08.3±0.94 ^d
0.01	3.8±0.2 ^a	6.6±1.24 ^a	15.52	10.7±1.70 ^d
Control	3.9±0.4	6.6±1.38	15.50	19.2±0.20

---: Some pupae died as morphologically normal pupae and other pupae died as deformed pupae, thus pupal duration could not be measured. Mean ±SD followed with the same letter a: Insignificantly different (p>0.05), b: Significantly different (p<0.05), d: Very highly significantly different (p<0.001)

Table 4: Affected developmental durations (mean days ±SD) of *M. stabulans* after topical application of Novaluron onto the prepupae

Dose (µg/prepupa)	Pupal stage		
	Duration	Developmental rate	Adult longevity
5.0	---	---	---
1.0	6.4±1.62 ^a	16.47	06.8±0.12 ^d
0.5	6.5±1.74 ^a	16.45	08.3±2.88 ^d
0.1	4.6±0.80 ^b	19.67	10.0±0.80 ^d
0.01	6.1±1.70 ^a	16.48	13.5±1.28 ^d
Control	6.6±4.60	16.40	19.7±1.50

---: Some pupae died as morphologically normal pupae and other pupae died as deformed pupae, thus pupal duration could not be measured. Mean ±SD followed with the same letter a: Insignificantly different (p>0.05), b: Significantly different (p<0.05), d: Very highly significantly different (p<0.001)

instar larvae and prepupae, respectively. Therefore, the last instar larvae were more sensitive to the toxicity of Novaluron than prepupae.

Effect of novaluron on the developmental durations and rates of *M. stabulans*: Data about the durations of different developmental stages of the last instar larvae treated with Novaluron were arranged in Table 3. Based on these data, the larval duration was insignificantly shortened, in no certain trend. In contrast, the pupal duration was remarkably prolonged at 0.5 and 0.1 µg/larva (8.1±3.11 and 8.0±1.84 days, respectively, vs. 6.6±1.38 days of control pupae). Also,

the developmental rate of treated pupae had been seriously suppressed at these two doses. The adult female longevity was considerably shortened (5.3±0.48, 8.3±0.94 and 10.7±1.7 days at 0.5, 0.1 and 0.01 µg/larva respectively, in comparison to 19.2±0.2 days of control adult females.

Information about the effect of application of Novaluron onto the prepupae development was shown in Table 4. According to these data, the pupal duration has been significantly or insignificantly shortened, based on the dose concentration (6.4±1.62, 6.5±1.74, 4.6±0.8 and 6.1±1.70 days, at 1.0, 0.5, 0.1 and 0.01 µg/prepupa, respectively, vs. 6.6±4.6 days of control pupae). The developmental rate of

Table 5: Metamorphic and morphogenic effects of Novaluron on *M. stabulans* after topical treatment of early last instar larvae

Dose (µg/larva)	Pupal stage		Adult stage	
	Pupation (%)	Pupal deformities (%)*	Emergence (%)	Adult deformities (%)**
5.0	39.0	32.0	00.0	---
1.0	61.3	19.8	00.0	---
0.5	71.6	30.6	87.0	06.4
0.1	81.8	17.6	69.2	10.6
0.01	89.9	11.4	93.3	05.0
Control	100	00.0	93.3	00.0

*: Deformed pupae perished without metamorphosis into adult flies, **: Deformed adults with curly wings, atrophied mouth parts and ill-developed legs. These adult females perished within few days without mating

Table 6: Metamorphic and morphogenic effects of Novaluron on *M. stabulans* after topical treatment of prepupae

Dose (µg/prepupa)	Pupal stage		Adult stage	
	Pupation (%)	Pupal deformities (%)*	Emergence (%)	Adult deformities (%)**
5.0	90.0	0	00.0	---
1.0	100	0	51.6	30.2
0.5	100	0	76.7	22.3
0.1	100	0	83.3	16.1
0.01	100	0	86.7	26.0
Control	100	0	93.3	00.0

*: Deformed pupae perished without metamorphosis into adult flies, **: Deformed adults with curly wings, atrophied mouth parts and ill-developed legs. These adult females perished within few days without mating

treated pupae was slightly elevated, especially at 0.1 µg/prepupa. Data of Table 4 obviously revealed that the adult longevity was conspicuously shortened, in a dose-dependent style, following prepupae topical treatment (13.5 ± 1.28 , 10.0 ± 0.80 , 8.3 ± 2.88 and 6.8 ± 0.12 days, at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 µg/prepupa, respectively, vs. days of adult females control, 19.7 ± 1.5).

Effects of novaluron on metamorphosis of *M. stabulans*:

Following Novaluron application on the last instar larvae with, data of metamorphosis and morphogenesis were arranged in Table 5. Following current application of Novaluron on the prepupae, data of metamorphosis and morphogenesis were assorted in Table 6. As clearly seen in Table 5, the pupation rate (%) was regressed in a dose-dependent manner (89.9, 81.8, 71.6, 61.3 and 39% pupation, at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 5.0 µg/larva, respectively, vs. 93.3% pupation of control congeners). Additionally, data of Table 6 showed no effect of Novaluron on the pupation rate after the treatment of prepupae.

In respect of the adult emergence, no adults emerged following application of the highest two doses for the treatment of 3rd instar larvae. The adult emergence was remarkably blocked at the other three doses (93.3, 69.2 and 87.0%, at 0.01, 0.1 and 0.5 µg/larva, respectively, vs. 93.3% emergence of control adults, Table 5). Following treatment of prepupae, the adult eclosion was completely blocked at the highest dose and increasingly blocked parallel to the

increasing dose (86.7, 83.3, 76.7 and 51.6% emergence, at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 µg/prepupa, respectively, vs. 93.3% emergence of control adults, Table 6).

Effect of novaluron on morphogenesis of *M. stabulans*:

Following Novaluron application for the treatment of last instar larvae, disruptive effect on the pupal morphogenesis was observed, since different percentages of deformed pupae were recorded, with no certain trend (11.4, 17.6, 30.6, 19.8 and 32.0% pupal deformities, at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 5.0 µg/larva, respectively, vs. 0% deformity of control pupae, Table 5). These deformed pupae perished without metamorphosis into adults. On the contrary, Novaluron failed to affect the pupal morphogenesis after topical treatment of prepupae, since no deformed pupae were observed (Table 6).

With regard to the affected adult morphogenesis, treated early last instar larvae with Novaluron showed some deformities of adults, in no certain trend (5.0, 10.6 and 6.4% deformed adults, at 0.01, 0.1 and 0.5 µg/larva, respectively, in comparison to 0% deformation of control adults, Table 5). Also, various percentages of malformed adults were recorded after topical treatment of prepupae with Novaluron, in no certain trend (26, 16.1, 22.3 and 30.2% deformed adults, at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 µg/prepupa, respectively, in comparison to 0% deformation of control adults, Table 6). Irrespective of the time of treatment with Novaluron, the deformed adults could not sexually be differentiated (males or females). The

Table 7: Reducing effect of Novaluron on the reproductive potential of *M. stabulans*

Dose ($\mu\text{g}/\text{larva}$)	After treatment of last instar larvae			After treatment of prepupae		
	Fecundity (mean No. of egg \pm SD)	Fertility (hatching %)	Sterility index	Fecundity (mean No. of egg \pm SD)	Fertility (hatching %)	Sterility index
5.0	---	---	---	---	---	---
1.0	---	---	---	073.5 \pm 1.5 ^d	13.3	94.99
0.5	140.3 \pm 0.9 ^d	76.8	27.12	135.8 \pm 1.6 ^d	77.2	37.09
0.1	151.4 \pm 1.2 ^d	82.4	13.38	146.8 \pm 1.7 ^d	81.0	28.76
0.01	153.0 \pm 1.3 ^d	88.1	07.77	152.7 \pm 1.2 ^d	85.0	25.58
Control	192.3 \pm 1.8	98.5	-	194.8 \pm 2.5	100	-

d: Very highly significantly different ($p < 0.001$)

major features of deformation had been seen in dwarf bodies with curly wings, atrophied mouthparts and ill-developed legs. All deformed adults perished within few days without mating.

Effect of novaluron on the reproductive capacity of

M. stabulans. Important parameters of the reproductive capacity of *M. stabulans* have been presented in Table 7 as results of novaluron treatment on the early last instar larvae and prepupae. With regard to the fecundity (mean number of eggs/♀), novaluron exerted increasingly suppressive action on the oviposition females, regardless the time of treatment. In some detail, the female fecundity was drastically reduced after treatment of the early last instar larvae, in a dose-dependent fashion (153.0 \pm 1.3, 151.4 \pm 1.2 and 140.3 \pm 0.9 eggs/treated ♀, at 0.01, 0.1 and 0.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{larva}$, respectively, compared to 192.3 \pm 1.8 eggs/control ♀). In a similar trend, fecundity was dramatically inhibited correspondingly to the dose level, after treatment of prepupae (152.7 \pm 1.2, 146.8 \pm 1.7, 135.8 \pm 1.6 and 073.5 \pm 1.5 eggs/treated ♀, at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{prepupa}$, respectively, in comparison to 194.8 \pm 2.5 eggs/control ♀).

In respect of the fertility (hatching% = hatchability), it was clearly indicated the inhibitory effect of Novaluron of the egg viability, regardless the time of treatment, since fertility was seriously reduced after treatment of last instar larvae, in a dose-dependent style (88.1, 82.4 and 76.8% reduction of fertility, at 0.01, 0.1 and 0.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{larva}$, respectively, vs. 98.5% hatchability of control eggs) as noted in Table 7. Also, sterility index increased with the increasing dose level of Novaluron. Similarly, fertility was pronouncedly reduced after treatment of prepupae, in a dose-dependent manner (85.0, 81.0, 77.2 and 13.3% hatching of treated eggs, at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{prepupa}$, respectively, vs. 100% hatching of control eggs). In a comparable style, the sterility index increased (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

Findings from the present investigation indicated that the application of novaluron to the early last instar larvae of *M. stabulans* led to larval mortality in a dose-dependent manner.

Following application of the highest two doses against last instar larvae or treatment of prepupae with the highest dose, all developed pupae completely died. At other dose levels, pupal mortality has been noted in a dose-dependent style. Also, the mortality of adult has been noted in a dose-dependent manner after the treatment of larvae or prepupae with novaluron. Therefore, the present outcomes have been, to some extent, in accordance with some stated findings of toxicity of different IGRs against a number of insects, such as fenoxycarb against the parasitoid *Phanerotoma ocularis*⁵² and juvenoid pyriproxyfen against the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria*⁵³. Larvicidal and pupicidal impact of methoprene has been reported on the common house mosquito *Culex pipiens*⁵⁴, the rice meal moth *Corcyra cephalonica*⁵⁵, the yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti*⁵⁶ and the flesh fly *Sarcophaga ruficornis*⁵⁷. Also, toxicities of other IGRs were reported against different insects, such as kinoprene against *C. pipiens*⁵⁸.

In the current investigation, larval mortalities of *M. stabulans* might be due to the prevention of larvae to split the old cuticle and expand the new one during moulting⁵⁹. Additionally, the mortality of the larva might have resulted from continuous starvation⁶⁰. In addition, pupal mortality might be directly due to failure of vital homeostatic mechanisms and/or some secondary factors, such as bleeding, suffocation and desiccation by imperfect exuvation⁶¹.

On the other hand, in insects, however, LC₅₀ of an agent differs according to various factors, such as its lethal potency as well as its concentration, the sensitivity of the insect and its developmental stage or instar, method and time of treatment and the experimental conditions. In this context, the early last

instar larvae of *M. stabulans* were more sensitive to novaluron than prepupae, in the present study. This finding coincides, to a great extent, with many works reporting that the early larval instars of different flies have been more sensitive than the later ones to some IGRs, such as *Ceratitis*⁶².

In the present investigation on *M. stabulans*, prolonged pupal period and regressed developmental rate, following the treatment of last instar larvae with novaluron at different doses 0.5 and 0.1 µg/larva of, might be due to the disturbance of the neuroendocrine organs responsible for the synthesis and/or release of their hormones⁶³. To explicate the shortened larval period after treatment of last instar larvae with novaluron and shortened pupal period after treatment of prepupae, this might be due to avoiding responses of these stages to novaluron as a xenobiotic agent.

In the current research, novaluron enforced the adult females of *M. stabulans* to survive short longevity. Current findings were, to some extent, in corroboration with many reports resulted in shortened longevity in different insects by several IGRs, such as *S. litura* by ecdysteroid RH-5849⁶⁴. Present findings, the shortened longevity of *M. stabulans* adult females, might be an indication to the interference of novaluron with the hormonal activity in adults, while a close relation between special hormones and adult longevity has been noted in other insects^{65,66}.

After attainment of the sexual maturity in adult insects, different degenerative changes have been shown in some organs and tissues which could be collectively described as 'senility'. However, the disturbed adult longevity might be considered as a useful sign for the adult aging, i.e., shortened longevity might indicate the accelerated aging and *vice versa*. JH regulates the aging because of its ability for direct affects mechanisms of the somatic survival as reported by Yamamoto *et al.*⁶⁷. Therefore, novaluron could influence the JH level and/or functions resulting in shortening of adult longevity, in the current investigations. Though, the particular mechanism of novaluron on the biochemical processes in adults still needs further investigation.

A dose-dependent regression of the pupation rate of *M. stabulans*, in the present study was recorded after treatment of last instar larvae with novaluron. In contrast, no affect was recorded on this rate after treatment of prepupae. These results were, to some extent, in agreement with some reported results in some insects by various IGRs which regressed the pupation rate^{68,21}.

Depending on the present results the adult emergence of *M. stabulans* was completely prevented after treatment of

early last instar larvae with the higher two doses of novaluron (5.0 and 1.0 µg/larva) and after treatment of prepupae with the highest dose. In addition, the adult emergence was remarkably blocked at the other doses by different percentages. These results were, to a great extent, in corroboration with some reported results since adult emergence in different insect species was partially or completely hindered after larval treatment with various LGRs, such as *S. littoralis* after treatment with novaluron³⁵.

In this regard, it is critical to point out that the adult eclosion in insects is a vital process and regulated by the Eclosion Hormone (EH). Partial or complete disturbance of EH prohibit the adults to emerge⁶⁹. The blocked adult emergence, in the present study, can be interpreted by a disturbing effect of novaluron on the adult EH release.

In the current study, a disruptive effect was exhibited by Novaluron on the pupal morphogenesis of *M. stabulans*, since different deformed pupae were observed after treatment of the last instar larvae. For interpretation of the anti-morphogenic activity of novaluron against pupae of *M. stabulans*, in the present study, it might exhibit suppressive effect on the chitin synthesis leading to prevent the normal deposition of new cuticle⁷⁰.

In the current investigation, some adult deformations were observed after treatment of last instar larvae and prepupae of *M. stabulans* with novaluron. The production of anomalous *M. stabulans* adult flies might be interpreted by an adverse action of novaluron on the hormonal balance during the adult metamorphosis, since the disturbance of ecdysteroid titer leads to changed activity of the lysosomal enzyme.

In the present study the female fecundity of *M. stabulans* was drastically inhibited after novaluron application on last instar larvae or prepupae. In the current investigation, fecundity of *M. stabulans* was drastically prohibited which might resulted from the interference of novaluron with some reproductive developments, including the ovarian follicle growth and the egg maturation. In some detail, this can be explained by some reasons as follows:

- Some disorders in ovaries might be caused by novaluron⁷¹
- Novaluron might disrupt the synthesis of proteinaceous contents during the oogenesis⁷²
- Novaluron might disturb the production and/or function of the gonadotropic hormone responsible for vitellogenesis (yolk precursors)⁷³

- Eggs might develop normally in ovaries but they could not be lay, owing to the severely deformed ovipositor or to the reduced mechanical strength⁷⁴ or their reabsorption before oviposition⁷⁵

In the present study, the fertility of *M. stabulans* adult females was seriously reduced after treatment of last instar larvae or prepupae with novaluron. This result was in accordance with some noted outcomes of reduced fertility in different insects by some IGRs, such as *L. dispar*⁷⁶ by methoxyfenozide.

In the current research, several recommendations might be given for explicating the fertility reduction in *M. stabulans* by novaluron, (1): Vitellogenins are necessarily required for the embryogenesis in the insect egg⁷⁷. These vitellogenic metabolites are synthesized mainly by fat body during the developmental stages or inside the ovary itself⁷⁸. Novaluron might disrupt the production and/or accumulation of these metabolites in adult females of *M. stabulans*, (2): Novaluron might disrupt the vitellogenin deposition into oocytes through the inhibition of gonadotropic hormone responsible for the egg maturation or/and exhibit a disruptive effect on the intracellular spaces in the follicular epithelium⁷⁹, (3): From the treated *M. stabulans* immature stages, some residual amounts of novaluron penetrated into mothers and then into eggs. The residual material affected the cuticle synthesis resulting in weakened mouth parts of mature embryos to perforate the vitellin membrane for hatching⁴², (4): In the current study, reduction of *M. stabulans* fertility might be due to the negative influence at certain stages of novaluron on the developing embryos.

CONCLUSION

Depending on the present results, novaluron exhibited toxicity against larvae, pupae and adults of *M. stabulans*. Also, the tested compound exerted disruptive action on development, metamorphosis and morphogenesis of pupae and adults in addition to its reducing action on fecundity and sterilizing activity. Therefore, novaluron can be used as an effective IGR in the integrated control program for this medically and veterinary serious fly.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This study discovered the tested compound, novaluron that can be beneficial for impairing the developmental and reproduction of *M. stabulans*. This study will help the

researchers to uncover some critical areas of the control of the present medically dangerous fly that many researchers were not able to explore.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University through the Fast-track Research Funding Program.

REFERENCES

1. Pape, T. and N.L. Evenhuis, 2013. Systema Dipteroorum, Version 1.5. <http://www.diptera.org>
2. Grzywacz, A., M.J.R. Hall, T. Pape and K. Szpila, 2017. Muscidae (Diptera) of forensic importance-an identification key to third instar larvae of the western Palaearctic region and a catalogue of the muscid carrion community. *Int. J. Legal Med.*, 131: 855-866.
3. Patitucci, L.D., P.R. Mulieri, J.C. Mariluis and J.A. Schnack, 2010. The population ecology of *Muscina stabulans* (fallén) (diptera: muscidae), along an urban-rural gradient of buenos aires, Argentina *Neotrop. Entomol.*, 39: 441-446.
4. Smith, K.G.V., 1986. A Manual of Forensic Entomology. 1st Edn., British Museum (Natural History), London and Cornell University Press, Ithaca, Pages: 205.
5. Laos, F., L. Semenas and V. Labud, 2004. Factors related to the attraction of flies at a biosolids composting facility (Bariloche, Argentina). *Sci. Total Environ.*, 328: 33-40.
6. Zumpt, F., 1965. Myiasis in Man and Animals in the Old World: A textbook for Physicians, Veterinarians and Zoologists. Butterworths Publishing Company, London, Pages: 267.
7. Mian, L.S., H. Maag and J.V. Tacal, 2002. Isolation of Salmonella from muscoid flies at commercial animal establishments in San Bernardino County, California. *J. Vector Ecol.*, 27: 82-85.
8. Yoshida, N., E. Freymüller and F.G. Wallace, 2007. *Herpetomonas mariadeanei* sp. n. (Protozoa, Trypanosomatidae) from *Muscina stabulans* (Fallén, 1816) (Diptera, Muscidae). *J. Protozool.*, 25: 421-425.
9. Schroeder, H., H. Klotzbach and K. Püschel, 2003. Insects colonization of human corpses in warm and cold season. *Forensic Sci. Int.*, 5: 372-374.
10. Gaudry, E., 2010. The Insects Colonisation of Buried Remains. Springer, Dordrecht, New York, ISBN: 978-1-4020-9684-6, pp: 273-311.
11. Gunn, A. and J. Bird, 2011. The ability of the blowflies *Calliphora vomitoria* (Linnaeus), *Calliphora vicina* (Rob-Desvoidy) and *Lucilia sericata* (Meigen) (Diptera: Calliphoridae) and the muscid flies *Muscina stabulans* (Fallén) and *Muscina prolapsa* (Harris) (Diptera: Muscidae) to colonise buried remains. *Forensic Sci. Int.*, 207: 198-204.

12. Legner, E.F. and E.J. Dietrick, 1989. Coexistence of predatory *Muscina stabulans* and *ophya aenescens* [Dipt.: Muscidae] with dipterous prey in poultry manure. *Entomophaga*, 34: 453-461.
13. Duarte, J.L.P., R.F. Kruger and P.B. Ribeiro, 2013. Interaction between *Musca domestica* L. and its predator *Muscina stabulans* (Fallen) (Diptera, Muscidae): Effects of prey density and food source abundance. *Revista Brasileira Entomologia*, 57: 55-58.
14. Rose, R.I., 2001. Pesticides and public health: Integrated methods of mosquito management. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 7: 17-23.
15. Davies, T.G.E., L.M. Field, P.N.R. Usherwood and M.S. Williamson, 2007. DDT, pyrethrins, pyrethroids and insect sodium channels. *IUBMB Life*, 59: 151-162.
16. Costa L.G., G. Giordano, M. Guizzetti and A. Vitalone, 2005. Neurotoxicity of pesticides: A brief review. *Front. Biosci.*, 13: 1240-1249.
17. Mosallanejad, H. and G. Smagghe, 2009. Biochemical mechanisms of methoxyfenozide resistance in the cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis*. *Pest. Manag. Sci.*, 65: 732-736.
18. Khan, I. and A. Qamar, 2012. Andalin, an insect growth regulator as reproductive inhibitor for the red cotton stainer, *Dysdercus koenigii* (F.) (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae). *Acad. J. Entomol.*, 5: 113-121.
19. Nicholas, A.H., W.G. Thwaite and R.N. Spooner-Hart, 1999. Arthropod abundance in an Australian apple orchard under mating disruption and supplementary insecticide treatments for codling moth, *Cydia pomonella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Aust. J. Entomol.*, 38: 23-29.
20. Martins, F. and I.G. da Silva, 2004. Evaluation of the inhibiting activity of the diflubenzuron on the ecdysis of larvae of *Aedes aegypti* (Linnaeus, 1762) (Diptera, Culicidae). *Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop.*, 37: 135-138.
21. Wang, Q.L. and T.X. Liu, 2016. Effects of three insect growth regulators on *Encarsia formosa* (hymenoptera: aphelinidae), an endoparasitoid of *Bemisia tabaci* (hemiptera: aleyrodidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 109: 2290-2297.
22. Dhadialla, T.S., G.R. Carlson and D.P. Le, 1998. New insecticides with ecdysteroidal and juvenile hormone activity. *Ann. Rev. Entomol.*, 43: 545-569.
23. Oberlander, H. and D. Silhacek, 2000. Insect growth regulators. In: *Alternatives to Pesticides in Stored-Product IPM*, Subramanyam, B. and D.W. Hagstrum, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, ISBN: 9781461543534, pp: 147-163.
24. Talikoti, L.S., D. Sridevi and T. Ratnasudhakar, 2012. Relative toxicity of insect growth regulators against tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius). *J. Entomol. Res.*, 36: 31-34.
25. Mondal, K.A.M.S.H. and S. Parween, 2001. Insect growth regulators and their potential in the management of stored product pests. *Integrated Pest Manage. Rev.*, 5: 255-295.
26. Kim, K.S., B.J. Chung and H.K. Kim, 2000. DBI-3204: A new benzoylphenyl urea insecticide with a particular activity against whitefly. Proceedings of an international conference held at the Brighton Hilton Metropole Hotel, Brighton, UK, November 13-16, 2000 CABI, pp: 41-46.
27. Karr, L.L., J.J. Sheets, J.E. King and J.E. Dripps, 2004. Laboratory performance and pharmacokinetics of the benzoylphenylurea noviflumuron in eastern subterranean termites (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 97: 593-600.
28. Ishaaya, I., A. Barazani, S. Kontsedalov and R.A. Horowitz, 2007. Insecticides with novel modes of action: Mechanism, selectivity and cross-resistance. *Entomol. Res.*, 37: 148-152.
29. Bouaziz, A., H. Boudjelida and N. Soltani, 2011. Toxicity and perturbation of the metabolite contents by a chitin synthesis inhibitor in the mosquito larvae of *Culiseta longiareolata*. *Annals Biol. Res.*, 2: 134-142.
30. Fontoura, N.G., D.F. Bellinato, D. Valle and J.B.P. Lima, 2012. The efficacy of a chitin synthesis inhibitor against field populations of organophosphate-resistant *Aedes aegypti* in Brazil. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz*, 107: 387-395.
31. Djeghader, N., H. Djeghader, A. Bouaziz and N. Soltani, 2013. Biological effects of a benzoylphenylurea derivative (Novaluron) on larvae of *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Adv. Appl. Sci. Res.*, 4: 449-456.
32. Lohmeyer, K.H., J.M. Pound, K.M. Yeater and M.A. May, 2014. Efficacy of novaluron as a feed-through for control of immature horn flies, house flies and stable flies (diptera: muscidae) developing in cow manure. *J. Med. Entomol.*, 51: 873-877.
33. Ishaaya, I., S. Kontsedalov, D. Masirov and A.R. Horowitz, 2001. Bio-rational agents-mechanism, selectivity and importance in IPM programs for controlling agricultural pests. *Med. Landbouww Rijksuniv Gent.*, 66: 363-374.
34. Murthy, K.S.R.K. and G.M. Ram, 2002. Studies on the efficacy of a new chitin synthesis inhibitor Rimon (novaluron 10 EC) on American bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubn. attacking cotton. In: *Resources Management in Plant Protection During Twenty First Century*, Vol. II, Hyderabad, India, pp: 165-168.
35. Ghoneim, K., M. Tanani, K. Hamadah, A. Basiouny and H. Waheeb, 2015. Bioefficacy of Novaluron, a chitin synthesis inhibitor, on survival and development of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Adv. Zool.*, 1: 24-36.
36. Ishaaya, I., A.R. Horowitz, L. Tirry and A. Barazani, 2002. Novaluron (Rimon), a novel IGR: mechanism, selectivity and importance in IPM programs. *Meded. Rijksuniv. Gent. Fak. Landbouwk. Toegep. Biol. Wet.* 67: 617-626.
37. Ishaaya, I., S. Kontsedalov and A.R. Horowitz, 2003. Novaluron (Rimon), a novel IGR: Potency and cross-resistance. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.*, 54: 157-164.

38. Cutler, G.C., C.D. Scott-Dupree, J.H. Tolman and C.R. Harris, 2007. Field efficacy of novaluron for control of Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on potato. *Crop Prot.*, 26: 760-767.
39. Alyokhin, A., R. Guillemette and R. Choban, 2009. Stimulatory and suppressive effects of novaluron on the Colorado potato beetle reproduction. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 102: 2078-2083.
40. Arthur, F.H. and E.A. Fontenot, 2012. Residual activity of methoprene and novaluron as surface treatments to manage the flour beetles, *Tribolium castaneum* and *Tribolium confusum*. *J. Insect Sci.*, 12: 1-11.
41. Ghoneim, K., H.A. Hassan, M.A. Tanani and N.A. Bakr, 2017. Toxic and disruptive effects of Novaluron, a chitin synthesis inhibitor, on development of the pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Int. J. Entomol. Res.*, 2: 36-47.
42. Hassan H.A., K. Ghoneim, M.A. Tanani and N.A. Bakr, 2017. Impaired adult performance and reproductive potential of the pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) by the chitin synthesis inhibitor, Novaluron. *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.*, 5: 581-592.
43. Tanani, M.A., K. Ghoneim, H.A. Hassan and N.A. Bakr, 2017. Perturbation of main body metabolites in the pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) by the chitin synthesis inhibitors novaluron and diufenolan. *BioBulletin*, 3: 8-21.
44. Busvin, J.R., 1962. A laboratory technique for measuring the susceptibility of house-flies and blowflies to insecticides. *Lab. Pract.*, 11: 464-468.
45. Abbott, W.S., 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 18: 265-267.
46. Finney, D.J., 1971. *Probit Analysis*. 3rd Edn., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK., ISBN-13: 9780521080415, Pages: 333.
47. Dempster, C., 1957. The population dynamic of Moroccan locust *Dociostarus murcocamus* in Cyprus. *Anti Locust Bull.*, 27.
48. Lotka, A.J., 1907. ART. XXII.--Studies on the mode of growth of material aggregates. *Am. J. Sci.*, 24: 199-216.
49. Jimenez-Peydro, R., C. Gimeno-Martos, J. Lopez-Ferrer, C. Serrano-Delgado and J. Moreno-Mari, 1995. Effects of the insect growth regulator cyromazine on the fecundity, fertility and offspring development of Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitidis capitata* Wied. (Dipt., Tephritidae). *J. Applied Entomol.*, 119: 435-438.
50. Tappozada, A., S. Abdallah and M.E. Eldefrawi, 1966. Chemosterilization of larvae and adults of the Egyptian cotton leafworm, *Prodenia litura*, by Apholate, Metepa and Tepa. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 59: 1125-1128.
51. Moroney, M.J., 1956. *Facts from Figures*. 3rd Edn., Pinguin Book Ltd., Harmondsworth, Middlesex, pp: 228.
52. Moreno, J., N. Hawlitzky and R. Jimenez, 1993. Effect of the juvenile hormone analogue fenoxycarb applied via the host on the parasitoid *Phanerotoma (Phanerotoma) ocularis* Kohl (Hym., Braconidae). *J. Insect Physiol.*, 39: 183-186.
53. Ghoneim, K.S., A.G. Al-Dali, R.F. Bakr, M.A. Tanani and A.S. Bream, 2007. Developmental and morphogenic responses of *Schistocerca gregaria* (Orthoptera: Acrididae) to the juvenoid pyriproxyfen (S-31183). *Al-Azhar Bull. Sci.*, 18: 59-81.
54. Gelbič I., J. Olejníček and L. Grubhoffer, 2002. Effects of insect hormones on hemagglutination activity in two members of the *Culex pipiens* complex. *Exp. Parasitol.*, 100: 75-79.
55. Tripathi, P. and S.K. Tiwari, 2014. Potential of an insect growth regulator in the management of the moth *Corcyra cephalonica* stainton, 1866 (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Polish J. Entomol.*, 83: 79-97.
56. Braga, I.A., C.B. Mello, A.A. Peixoto and D. Valle, 2005. Evaluation of methoprene effect on *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) development in laboratory conditions. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz*, 100: 435-440.
57. Singh, Z., A. Singh, M. Kaur and T. Kaur, 2017. Assessment of barium carbonate toxicity on the developmental stages of *Sarcophaga ruficornis* (Diptera: Sarcophagidae). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.*, 6: 485-494.
58. Hamaidia, K., 2014. Laboratory evaluation of a biorational insecticide, kinoprene, against *Culex pipiens* larvae: effects on growth and development. *Ann. Res. Rev. Biol.*, 4: 2263-2273.
59. Linton, Y.M., A.J. Nisbet and A.J. Mordue, 1997. The effect of azadirachtin on the testes of the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forsk.). *J. Insect Physiol.*, 43: 1077-1084.
60. Anger, K. and R.R. Dawirs, 1981. Influence of starvation on the larval development of *Hyas araneus* (Decapoda: Majidae). *Helgol. Meeresuntersuchungen*, 34: 287-311.
61. Smagghe, G. and D. Degheele, 1994. The significance of pharmacokinetics and metabolism to the biological activity of RH-5992 (tebufenozide) in *Spodoptera exempta*, *Spodoptera exigua* and *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*. *Pesticide Biochem. Physiol.*, 49: 224-234.
62. Viñuela, E., F. Budia, J. Jacas, A. Adán, V. Marco and P.D. Estal, 1993. Differential larval age susceptibility of the medfly, *Ceratitidis capitata* Wied. (Dipt., Tephritidae) to cyromazine. *J. Appl. Entomol.*, 115: 355-362.
63. Subrahmanyam, B., T. Muller and H. Rembold, 1989. Inhibition of turnover of neurosecretion by azadirachtin in *Locusta migratoria*. *J. Insect Physiol.*, 35: 493-500.
64. Seth, R.K., J.J. Kaur, D.K. Rao and S.E. Reynolds, 2004. Effects of larval exposure to sublethal concentrations of the ecdysteroid agonists RH-5849 and tebufenozide (RH-5992) on male reproductive physiology in *Spodoptera litura*. *J. Insect Physiol.*, 50: 505-517.

65. Carbone, M.A., K.W. Jordan, R.F. Lyman, S.T. Harbison and J. Leips *et al.*, 2006. Phenotypic variation and natural selection at *Catsup*, a pleiotropic quantitative trait gene in *Drosophila*. *Curr. Biol.*, 16: 912-919.
66. Chamseddin, K.H., S.Q. Khan, M.L. Nguyen, M. Antosh and S.N.S. Morris *et al.*, 2012. Takeout-dependent longevity is associated with altered juvenile hormone signaling. *Mech. Ageing Dev.*, 133: 637-646.
67. Yamamoto, R., H. Bai, A.G. Dolezal, G. Amdam and M. Tatar, 2013. Juvenile hormone regulation of *Drosophila* aging. *BMC Biol.*, Vol. 11. 10.1186/1741-7007-11-85.
68. Josephraj Kumar, A., B. Subrahmanyam and S. Srinivasan, 1999. Plumbagin and azadirachtin deplete haemolymph ecdysteroid levels and alter the activity profiles of two lysosomal enzymes in the fat body of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Eur. J. Entomol.*, 96: 347-353.
69. McNabb, S.L. and J.W. Truman, 2008. Light and peptidergic eclosion hormone neurons stimulate a rapid eclosion response that masks circadian emergence in *Drosophila*. *J. Exp. Biol.*, 211: 2263-2274.
70. Lucantoni, L., F. Giusti, M. Cristofaro, L. Pasqualini, F. Esposito, P. Lupetti and A. Habluetzel, 2006. Effects of a neem extract on blood feeding, oviposition and oocyte ultrastructure in *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). *Tissue Cell*, 38: 361-371.
71. Catchot, B., C.J. Anderson, J. Gore, R. Jackson, K. Rakshit, F. Musser and N. Krishnan, 2020. Novaluron prevents oogenesis and oviposition by inducing ultrastructural changes in ovarian tissue of young adult *Lygus lineolaris*. *Lygus lineolaris Pest Manag. Sci.*, Vol. 76. 10.1002/ps.5960.
72. Di Ilio, V., M. Cristofaro, D. Marchini, P. Nobe and R. Daliai, 1999. Effects of a neem compound on the fecundity and longevity of *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 92: 76-82.
73. Moreno, D.S., A.J. Martinez and M.S. Riviello, 1994. Cyromazine effects on the reproduction of *Anastrephaludens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in the laboratory and in the field. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 87: 202-211.
74. Zhou, F., G. Zhu, H. Zhao, Z. Wang and M. Xue *et al.*, 2016. Sterilization effects of adult-targeted baits containing insect growth regulators on *Delia antiqua*. *Sci. Rep.*, Vol. 6. 10.1038/srep32855.
75. Ouakid, M.L., Y. Adjami, W. Habbachi, R. Ghanem, H. Daas and A. Tahraoui, 2016. Insecticidal effect of halofenozide and methoxyphenoside in different stages of *Lymantria dispar*, an important fungal oak leafhopper. *Turk. J. Agric. For.*, 17: 80-84. 10.18182/tjf.97406.
76. Chapman, R.F., 1998. *The Insects: Structure and Function*. 1st Edn., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
77. Telfer, W.H., 2009. Egg formation in lepidoptera. *J. Insect Sci.*, Vol. 9. 10.1673/031.009.5001.
78. Indrasith, L.S., T. Sasaki, T. Yaginuma and O. Yamashita, 1988. The occurrence of a premature form of egg-specific protein in vitellogenic follicles of *Bombyx mori*. *J. Comp. Physiol. B*, 158: 1-7.
79. Davey, K.G. and D.R.B. Gordon, 1996. Fenoxycarb and thyroid hormones have JH like effects on the follicle cells of *Locusta migratoria in vitro*. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.*, 32: 613-622.