

PJN

ISSN 1680-5194

PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF
NUTRITION

ANSI*net*

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan
Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544
E-mail: editorpjn@gmail.com

Body Composition, its Significance and Models for Assessment

Amir Haider Shah and Rakhshanda Bilal

Life Sciences Group, Isotope Application Division, PINSTECH, P.O. Nilore, Islamabad, Pakistan

Abstract: The term Body Composition is used to illustrate the different components that, when taken together, makes up a person's body weight. For analysis of body composition it is often suitable to think of the body as made of two components: fat and non-fat. The non-fat portion is called "fat free mass" or "lean body mass". However, body can be taken into different compartment models for body composition assessments. It depends upon the compartment of interest, availability of techniques, technical training of staff, condition of patient / subject and location where assessment will be done i.e., laboratory / clinic or field / remote site. Body Composition is a tool for diagnosis as it may be significantly altered in many disorders like Anorexia and obesity, Renal failure, Liver disorders: Ascites, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Cancer and AIDS associated wasting, Burns and trauma, Congestive heart failure, Spinal cord injuries and Osteoporosis. This paper describes various models in use for body composition assessment and scope of their utility.

Key words: Body composition, fat mass, lean body mass, obesity, compartment models.

Introduction

Body composition and its significance: The term Body Composition is used to illustrate the different components that, when taken together, makes up a person's body weight. We can say it is the amount of lean tissue compared to fat. Body composition data can make the basis for a wide variety of therapeutic, health and fitness programs. Clinically, body composition analysis along with non-pharmacological nutrition and physical activity prescriptions provide the foundation upon which further treatment is based. Only body composition analysis can determine how much muscle and fat are lost or gained as the result of any nutrition, exercise, or pharmaceutical prescription. By measuring body composition, a person's health status can be more accurately assessed and the effects of both dietary and physical activity programs better directed. The amount of body fat is variable, but it has a relative constant density of about 900Kg/m^3 at 37°C (Allen *et al.*, 1959) and a negligible water and potassium content. The fat free mass consists of three components: total body protein, total body water and bone minerals, with densities at 37°C of approximately 993, 1304 and 3000kg/m^3 respectively. In healthy persons, the fat free mass has a relatively constant composition, with water content of 72% to 74% (Sheng and Huggins, 1979) potassium content of about 60-70mmol/Kg in men and 50-60mmol/Kg in women (Womersley *et al.*, 1976) and a protein content of about 20% (Garrow, 1982). On average, the density of the fat-free mass approximates 1100 Kg/ m^3 at 37°C (Behnke *et al.*, 1953). The contribution of water and protein to the fat-free weights is shown in Table 1, while Table 2 shows the mean body composition estimates for adult Caucasian males

and females (Kenneth, 2000). It is reported that there is a relationship between body composition and morbidity and mortality (Lahmann *et al.*, 2002; Heitmann *et al.*, 2000). Evidence showed that a low fat-free mass index (FFMI) and a high body fat mass index (BFMI) were linked to increased lengths of hospital stay. Thus it is very important to determine fat and lean body masses by direct measures, rather than relying on the body mass index (BMI) only, especially while describing obesity and malnutrition-related mortality risk and clinical outcome (Kyle *et al.*, 2003). Fat-free mass (FFM) and body fat (FM) are helpful tools to evaluate nutritional status by comparing individuals or groups of individuals with themselves or with reference values. Percentile distributions are often useful in declaring whether individuals or groups fall within the particular population range. Percentile values can also be referred to define nutritional depletion and obesity (Kyle *et al.*, 2003). The most commonly used method today for classifying an individual as overweight or obese is based on body mass index (BMI), a value that is determined by dividing body weight (in kilograms) by the square of height (in meters). In adults, regardless of sex, overweight is defined by a BMI of $\geq 25.0\text{ Kg/m}^2$ and obesity is defined by a BMI of $\geq 30.0\text{ Kg/m}^2$ (Allison *et al.*, 1990). However, The major limitation of BMI is that it does not differentiate between weight that is fat (i.e., fat mass) and weight that is muscle (i.e., fat-free mass) and therefore may lead to misclassification of very muscular individuals as overweight. In addition, older adults may appear to have a healthy BMI despite having excess fat and reduced muscle mass (Baumgartner *et al.*, 1995). Obesity is a global problem, affecting an estimated 300 million people worldwide. Its prevalence is increasing in

Amir and Rakhshanda: Body Composition, its Significance and Models for Assessment

Table 1: The contribution of water and protein to fat-free weights of six adults (Garrow, 1983)

Sex	Age (Yrs)	Water (g/Kg)	Protein (g/Kg)	Remainder (g/Kg)	Density (Kg/m ³)	Potassium (mmol/Kg)
Male	25	728	195	77	1120	71.5
Male	35	775	165	60	1083	
Female	42	733	192	75	1103	73.0
Male	46	674	234	92	1131	66.5
Male	48	730	206	64	1099	
Male	60	704	238	58	1104	66.6
Mean		724	205	71	1106	69.4
SD		34	28	3	17	3.3

Table 2: Mean body composition estimates for adults

Age Group	BMI (Kg/m ²)	TBW (Ltr)	TBPr (Kg)	BCM (Kg)	OsMin (Kg)	ICW (Ltr)	ECW (Ltr)	ECW/TBW	FFM (Kg)
Males									
20-29	24.1	45.4	12.6	34.5	2.97	27.6	17.8	0.39	62.6
30-39	24.3	39.7	11.3	30.9	2.76	24.7	14.9	0.38	55.7
40-49	25.2	43.2	11.4	31.4	2.72	25.1	18.1	0.42	57.7
50-59	26.5	43.7	11.4	29.1	2.64	23.3	20.4	0.47	56.5
60-69	25.8	39.7	10.6	28.9	2.66	23.1	16.5	0.42	53.3
70-79	26.5	41.6	9.7	24.9	2.66	19.9	21.6	0.52	50.2
Females									
20-29	22.1	31.1	9.1	21.1	2.25	16.0	14.2	0.46	43.4
30-39	22.7	32.0	8.8	22.2	2.06	17.8	14.2	0.44	44.1
40-49	24.9	30.5	8.4	20.2	2.12	16.2	14.3	0.47	41.4
50-59	25.6	30.9	7.8	20.0	1.96	16.0	14.9	0.48	40.5
60-69	25.4	27.6	7.2	16.9	1.74	13.5	14.0	0.51	35.8
70-79	24.5	25.7	6.8	15.6	1.59	12.5	13.3	0.52	33.5

Values are for Caucasian males and females in the United States. Estimates are based on tritium dilution, ⁴⁰K counting and Neutron activation analysis measurements (Wang *et al.*, 1992). TBW = Total Body Water; TBPr = total body protein; BCM = body cell mass; OsMin = bone mineral mass; ICW = intracellular water; ECW = extracellular water; FFM = fat free mass.

both developed and developing countries throughout the world (WHO, 2002). The occurrence of overweight and obesity is more than almost 60% among U.S. adults and the rate is speedily increasing among children and adolescents as well (Wyatt *et al.*, 2006). Approximately 325,000 deaths in the United States each year among nonsmokers are attributable to obesity (Allison *et al.*, 1999). Obesity and overweight are strongly linked to higher risk of diseases of the metabolic syndrome, including diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease, as well as with certain forms of cancer (Kopelman, 2000; Seidell and Flegal, 1997). Simple indicators such as BMI, waist circumference, or waist-to-hip ratio are commonly used to assess obesity (Seidell and Flegal, 1997). But specificity and adequacy of these indicators are, however, still controversial (Bagust and Walley, 2000) because they do not allow a precise assessment of body composition. Body fat, especially visceral fat, is a proper option to be a better predictor of diseases of the metabolic syndrome (Mueller *et al.*, 1991). Therefore, the assessment of body composition is more accurate and reliable tool to estimate the nutritional status of individuals, especially in epidemiological studies. As assessment of body composition is pragmatic approach to classify and diagnose obesity and related problems so it can also be altered in other health consequences associated with obesity which include stroke; type 2 diabetes mellitus (Hu *et al.*, 2001); hypertension;

dyslipidemia; cancers of the breast, endometrium, prostate and colon (Stoll, 1999; Wolff, 1987); gallbladder disease; osteoarthritis (Hartz *et al.*, 1986) respiratory problems including asthma (Chen *et al.*, 2002) and sleep apnea (Young *et al.*, 2002) and perhaps depression (Roberts *et al.*, 2000). Researchers are employing advance technologies along with improving the classical methods for better and perfect estimation of body composition. Recently introduced technologies such as positron emission tomography and functional magnetic resonance imaging broaden 'mass' estimates to related 'function' and physiology in humans. Still there is need to work more in this area (Heymsfield *et al.*, 2005).

Different compartment models for assessment of body composition: Body composition studies are rapidly growing into a separate scientific discipline. This growth is fueled by an increasing interest among investigators from assorted backgrounds in the assessment of body composition components *in vivo*. Scientists divide the whole body in different compartment for measurement of accurate body composition.

Two compartment (2-C) model: In 2-C model, the body is considered into two parts. One is the body fat and all the other tissues are kept together into the part known as the fat-free mass (FFM). The direct measurement of

Amir and Rakhshanda: Body Composition, its Significance and Models for Assessment

Table 3: Selected Equations related to the five-level multi-compartment model (Kenneth, 2000)

Elemental Level	Body Wt = TBO+TBC+TBH+TBN+TBCa+TBP+TBK+TBCl+TBNa+ TBMg.....
Molecular level	Body Wt = Lipid Mass (Fat)+Total body water (TBW)+Total body protein (TBPr)+Bone Mineral (OM)+Soft Tissue Mineral
Cellular level	Body Wt = body cell mass (BCM)+Extra Cellular water (ECW)+Extracellular solids+fat
Tissue system level	Body Wt = adipose tissue (Fat+cells)+Skeletal Muscles (SM)+Bone (mineral+fluid+marrow)+other tissues
Transitional equations between cellular, molecular and elemental levels	
TBCa	= 0.340 BMC
TBN	= 0.161 TBPr
TBC	= 0.759 TLipid + 0.532 TBPr + 0.018 BMC
TBK	= 120 BCM
TBCl	= 111 ECW
TBLipid	= 1.318 TBC – 4.353TBN – 0.070TBCa
TBPR	= 6.21 TBN
OM	= 2.941 TBCa
STM	= 2.75 TBK + TBNa + 1.43 TBCl – 0.038TBCa

TB = Total Body for the elements; O = oxygen; C = carbon; H = hydrogen; N = nitrogen; Ca = calcium; P = phosphorus; K = potassium; Cl = chloride; Na = sodium; Mg = magnesium; BMC = Bone mineral contents


body fat mass has never been simple and remains a major challenge for most body composition techniques. However, if the total FFM can be determined, then body fat can be calculated indirectly as the difference between body weight and FFM (Kenneth, 2000). The earliest and probably the most frequently used 2-C model is based on the measurement of total body density. The most common method is hydro densitometry or UWW (Under Water Weighing), which was documented for first time in 1942 (Behnke *et al.*, 1942). However other techniques are also worked using this model, among those nuclear techniques are being paid special attention due to their accuracy and simplicity. For the assessment of body fatness with either of these nuclear-based models, the water or potassium content of the FFM is measured and their relative concentrations are assumed to be constant for all ages: 0.732l/Kg for body water (Pace and Rathbun, 1945) and 68.1meq/Kg for body potassium (Forbes *et al.*, 1961). Likewise, the density of the FFM for the 2-C model is also assumed constant. There may be a little change in these constants during pregnancy and may also vary in different ethnic groups and populations. As in case of healthy young white adults use of these three constants was suitable. However, when the populations included very young or old subjects, different ethnic groups, or subjects with certain diseases, it quickly became evident that these "constants" were, at best, only average values that were often population specific (Kenneth, 2000).

Three compartment (3-C) model: In 3-C model, the FFM is further divided into two parts: its water content and the remaining solids (predominately protein and minerals). So the three compartments are Fat Mass (FM), total body water (TBW) and solids (protein and minerals). The results obtained using this model provided some improvement over the basic 2-C model for healthy adults and older children. However, for patients with significantly depleted body protein mass and/or bone mineral mass, the estimated values for the density for the solids compartment will be incorrect; thus the final estimate of body fat mass will also be inaccurate (Kenneth, 2000).

Four compartment (4-C) model: The basic 2-C model can be extended to four compartments, but it is very important to measure the protein and mineral compartments accurately, in addition to that of total body water. Therefore, to assess of the mass of each of these body compartments, two additional measurements [neutron activation analysis for body protein and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) for bone mineral content] are required. An alternate 4-C model, without the UWW measurement, has also been developed. In this model, the body's FFM is divided into three basic cellular or physiological compartments: body cell mass (BCM), extra cellular fluid or water (ECW) and extra cellular solids (ECS). BCM can be based on the measurement of whole body potassium (obtained by ⁴⁰K counting) or dilution with a radioactive ⁴²K tracer in plasma (De Lorenzo *et al.*, 2004). For ECW compartment, the dilution methods using bromide or sulfate compounds as the tracer have been developed (Edelman *et al.*, 1959). The ECS compartment can be defined on the basis of total body calcium or bone mineral content (Cohn *et al.*, 1980; Wang *et al.*, 1992). Fat-free mass is then defined as BCM+ECW+ECS and total body fat mass as body weight minus FFM.

Multi compartment model: A survey of the literature for the last 50 years shows there is an evolutionary process from the basic 2-C models to the presently popular 4-C models of body composition. On the basis of these informations and experiences scientists have proposed a comprehensive, five-level model of body composition (Wang *et al.*, 1992). This five-level model, illustrated in Fig. 1, has become the standard for body composition research. The five levels of the model are as follows: elemental, molecular, cellular, tissue systems and total body. As each model includes more measurements, it tends to migrate toward the cellular or physiological model. At each level (examples are presented in Table 3), equations can be used to describe that level within the total model. In addition, there are translational equations between levels, as well as hybrid or mixed

**Basic Model
2-Compartment**



Multicompartment Models

Fig. 1: Basic Two Compartment model and five level multi-compartment model of body composition
ECS = extracellular solids; ECF = Extracellular fluid (Wang *et al.*, 1998; Wang *et al.*, 1992)

level models. In general, the relationships between chemical or elemental composition (amounts of oxygen, carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, calcium) and the molecular structure of tissues (water, protein, lipids and bone mineral) remain relatively fixed in both health and disease. Consequently, the reconstruction of body composition from the elemental level is often more reliable and minimizes the assumptions related to tissue density, hydration and/or structure (Kenneth, 2000).

References

Allen, T.H., H.J. Krzywicki and J.E. Roberts, 1959. Density, fat, water and solids in freshly isolated tissues. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, 14: 1005-1008.

Allison, D.B., K.R. Fontaine, J.E. Manson, J. Stevens and T.B. Vanltallie, 1999. Annual deaths attributable to obesity in the United States. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.*, 282: 1530-1538.

Bagust, A. and T. Walley, 2000. An alternative to body mass index for standardizing body weight for stature. *Qjm.*, 93: 589-96.

Baumgartner, R.N., S.B. Heymsfield and A.F. Roche, 1995. Human-body composition and the epidemiology of chronic disease. *Obes. Res.*, 3: 73-95.

Behnke, A.R., B.G. Feen and W.C. Welham, 1942. The specific gravity of healthy men. Body weight and volume as an index of obesity. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.*, 118: 495-498.

Behnke, A.R., E.F. Osserman and W.C. Welham, 1953. Lean body mass: its clinical significance and estimation from excess fat and total body water determinations. *Arch. Internal Med.*, 91: 585-601.

Chen, Y., R. Dales, M. Tang and D. Krewski, 2002. Obesity may increase the incidence of asthma in women but not in men, longitudinal observations from the Canadian National Population Health Surveys. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, 155: 191-197.

Cohn, S.H., D. Vartsky, S. Yasumura, A. Sawitsky, I. Zanzi, A.N. Vaswani and K.J. Ellis, 1980. Compartmental body composition based on total body nitrogen, potassium and calcium. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.*, 239: E524-E530.

De Lorenzo, A., A. Andreoli, P. Battisti, N.C. Andeloro, S.L. Volpe and N. Di Daniele, 2004. Assessment of total body potassium in healthy Italian men. *Annals. Hum. Bio.*, 31: 381-388.

Edelman, I.S. and J. Leibman, 1959. Anatomy of body water and electrolytes. *Am. J. Med.*, 171: 279-296.

Forbes, G.B., J. Gallup and J. Hursh, 1961. Estimation of total body fat from potassium-40 content. *Sci.*, 133: 101-102.

Garrow, J.S., 1982. New Approaches to body composition. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, 35: 1152-1158.

Garrow, J.S., 1983. Indices of adiposity. *Nutr. Abstracts and Rev.* 53: 697-708.

Hartz, A.J., M.E. Fischer, G. Bril, S. Kelber, D. Rupley, B. Oken and A.A. Rimm, 1986. The association of obesity with joint pain and osteoarthritis in the HANES data. *J. Chron. Dis.*, 39: 311-319.

Amir and Rakhshanda: Body Composition, its Significance and Models for Assessment

- Heitmann, B.L., H. Erikson, B.M. Ellsinger, K.L. Mikkelsen and B. Larsson, 2000. Mortality associated with body fat, fat-free mass and body mass index among 60-year-old Swedish men—a 22-year follow-up. The study of men born in, 1913. *Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord.*, 24: 33-37.
- Heymsfield, S.B., A. Pietrobelli, Z.M. Wang and W.H.M. Saris, 2005. The end of body composition methodology research?. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. and Metab. Care*, 8: 591-594.
- Hu, F.B., J.E. Manson, M.J. Stampfer, G. Colditz, S. Liu, C.G. Solomon and W.C. Willett, 2001. Diet, lifestyle and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 345: 790-797.
- Kenneth, J.E., 2000. Human Body Composition: *In vivo* methods. *Physiol. Rev.*, 80: 649-680.
- Kopelman, P.G., 2000. Obesity as a medical problem. *Nat.*, 404: 635-43.
- Kyle, U.G., A. Piccoli and C. Pichard, 2003. Body composition measurements: interpretation finally made easy for clinical use. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care*, 6: 387-393.
- Kyle, U.G., M. Pirlich, T. Schuetz, H.J. Luebke, H. Lochs and C. Pichard, 2003. Prevalence of malnutrition in, 1760 patients at hospital admission: a controlled population study of body composition. *Clin. Nutr.*, 22: 473-481.
- Lahmann, P.H., L. Lissner, B. Gullberg and G. Berglund, 2002. A prospective study of adiposity and all-cause mortality: the Malmo Diet and Cancer Study. *Obes. Res.*, 10: 361-369.
- Mueller, W.H., M.L. Wear, C.L. Hanis, J.B. Emerson, S.A. Barton, D. Hewett-Emmett and W.J. Schull, 1991. Which measure of body fat distribution is best for epidemiologic research?. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, 133: 858-69.
- Pace, N. and E.N. Rathbun, 1945. Studies on body composition III. The body water and chemically combined nitrogen content in relation to fat content. *J. Bio. Chem.*, 158: 685-691.
- Roberts, R.E., G.A. Kaplan, S.J. Shema and W.J. Strawbridge, 2000. Are the obese at greater risk for depression?. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, 152: 163-170.
- Seidell, J.C. and K.M. Flegal, 1997. Assessing obesity: classification and epidemiology. *Br. Med. Bull.*, 53: 238-52.
- Sheng, H.P. and R.A. Huggins, 1979. A review of body composition studies with emphasis on total body water and fat. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, 32: 630-647.
- Stoll, B.A., 1999. Perimenopausal weight gain and progression of breast cancer precursors. *Cancer Detect. Prev.*, 23: 31-36.
- Wang, Z.M., P. Deurenberg, S.S. Guo, A. Pietrobelli, J. Wang, R.N. Pierson and S.B. Heymsfield, 1998. Six-compartment body composition model: inter-method comparisons of total body fat measurement. *Int. J. Obes.*, 22: 329-337.
- Wang, Z.M., R.N. Pierson and S.B. Heymsfield, 1992. The five level model: a new approach to organizing body composition research. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, 56: 19-28.
- WHO, 2002. Controlling the global obesity epidemic.
- Wolff, G.L., 1987. Body weight and cancer. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, 45: 168-180.
- Womersley, J., J.V.G.A. Durnin, K. Boddy and M. Mahaffy, 1976. Influence of muscular development, obesity and age on the fat-free mass of adults. *J. Appl. Physiol.*, 41: 223-229.
- Wyatt, S.B., R.N.K.P. Winters and P.M. Dubbert, 2006. Overweight and Obesity: Prevalence, Consequences and Causes of a Growing Public Health Problem. *Am. J. Med. Sci.*, 331: 166-174.
- Young, T., P.E. Peppard and D.J. Gottlieb, 2002. Epidemiology of obstructive sleep apnea: a population health perspective. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.*, 165: 1217-1239.