

**PJN**

ISSN 1680-5194

PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF  
**NUTRITION**

**ANSI***net*

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan  
Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544  
E-mail: [editorpjn@gmail.com](mailto:editorpjn@gmail.com)

## Manipulation of Rumen Ecology by Yeast and Malate in Dairy Heifer

Sittisak Khampa<sup>1</sup>, Pala Chaowarat<sup>1</sup>, Rungson Singhalert<sup>2</sup> and Metha Wanapat<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Agricultural Technology, <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences,  
Rajabhat Mahasarakham University, P.O. Box 44000, Thailand

<sup>3</sup>Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (TROFREC), Department of Animal Science,  
Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, P.O. Box 40002, Thailand

**Abstract:** Four, one-year old of dairy heifers were randomly assigned according to a 2x2 Factorial arrangement in a 4x4 Latin square design to study supplementation of malate level at 500 vs 1,000 g with yeast at 1,000 vs 2,000 g in concentrate. The treatments were as follows: T1 = supplementation of malate at 500 g + yeast at 1,000 g; T2 = supplementation of malate at 500 g + yeast at 2,000 g; T3 = supplementation of malate at 1,000 g + yeast at 1,000 g; T4 = supplementation of malate at 1,000 g + yeast at 2,000 g in concentrate, respectively. The cows were offered the treatment concentrate at 1 %BW and ruzi grass was fed *ad libitum*. The results have revealed that rumen fermentation and blood metabolites were similar for all treatments. However, the concentration of volatile fatty acid was significantly different especially the concentration of propionic acid was slightly higher in heifer receiving T4 than T3, T2 and T1 (24.4, 22.9, 22.4 and 19.7%, respectively). The populations of protozoa and fungal zoospores were significantly different as affected by malate level and yeast. In conclusion, the combined use of concentrate containing high level of cassava chip at 70% DM with malate at 1,000 g and yeast at 2,000 g in concentrate with ruzi grass as a roughage could improved rumen ecology in dairy heifers.

**Key words:** Yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, malate, rumen ecology, dairy heifer

### INTRODUCTION

The rumen has been well recognized as an essential fermentation that is capable of preparing end-products particularly Volatile Fatty Acids (VFAs) and microbial protein synthesis as major energy and protein for the ruminant host, hence, the more efficient the rumen is, the optimum the fermentation end-products are being synthesized. In recent years, there have been increasing interests, researches conducted as well as reviews in relation to rumen studies, rumen ecology and rumen manipulation (Martin *et al.*, 1999; Wanapat, 2003; Khampa *et al.*, 2006). In the tropics, most of ruminants have been fed on low-quality roughages, agricultural crop-residues, industrial by - products which basically contained high levels of lingo-celluloses materials, low level of fermentable carbohydrate as well as low level of good-quality protein.

Some strictly anaerobic bacteria use a reductive or reverse citric acid cycle known as the succinate-propionate pathway to synthesize succinate and (or) propionate. Both malate and fumarate are key intermediates in the succinate propionate pathway and *S. ruminantium* uses this pathway (Gottschalk, 1986). The fact dicarboxylic acids, especially malate and fumarate, stimulate lactate utilization is consistent with the presence of this pathway in this ruminal anaerobe (Callaway and Martin, 1996). Previous studies by Sanson and Stallcup (1984) reported that supplementation of malate in ruminant diets has been

shown to increase nitrogen retention in sheep and steers and to improve average daily gain and feed efficiency in bull calves. In addition, supplementing diets with yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) increases milk production of dairy cows and weight gain of growing cattle (Brossard *et al.*, 2006). Production responses attributed to yeast are usually related to stimulation of cellulolytic and lactate-utilizing bacteria in the rumen, increased fiber digestion and increased flow of microbial protein from the rumen which may be beneficial for feedlot cattle fed high-grain diets (Guedes *et al.*, 2007). However, the use of malate and yeast in cassava based-diets has not yet been investigated. Therefore, the objective of this experiment was to investigate the supplementation of malate and yeast in concentrates containing high level of cassava chip with ruzi grass as a basal roughage on rumen ecology in dairy heifers.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Animals, diets and experimental design:** Four, one-year old of dairy heifers weighing at 150±10 kg. Cows were randomly assigned according to a 2x2 Factorial arrangement in a 4x4 Latin square design to study two levels malate at 500 vs 1,000 g with yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) at 1,000 vs 2,000 g in concentrates supplementation on rume ecology. The dietary treatments were as follows: T1 = supplementation of malate at 500 g + yeast at 1,000 g; T2 = supplementation of malate at 500 g + yeast at

2,000 g; T3 = supplementation of malate at 1,000 g + yeast at 1,000 g; T4 = supplementation of malate at 1,000 g + yeast at 2,000 g in concentrate, respectively. The composition of dietary treatments and ruzi grass are shown in Table 1, 2.

Cows were housed in individual pens and individually fed concentrate at 1.5% BW. All cows were fed *ad libitum* of ruzi grass with water and a mineral-salt block. Feed intake of concentrate and roughage were measured separately and refusals recorded. The experiment was run in four periods, each experimental period lasted for 21 days, the first 14 days for treatment adaptation and for feed intake measurements whilst the last 7 days were for sample collections of rumen fluid and faeces. Body weights were measured daily during the sampling period prior to feeding.

**Data collection and sampling procedures:** Concentrate and ruzi grass were sampled daily during the collection period and were composted by period prior to analyses. Composites samples were dried at 60°C and ground (1 mm screen using Cyclotech Mill, Tecator, Sweden) and then analyzed for DM, ether extract, ash and CP content (AOAC, 1985), NDF, ADF and ADL (Goering and Van Soest, 1970).

Rumen fluid samples were collected at 0, 2 and 4 h post-feeding. Approximately 200 ml of rumen fluid was taken from the middle part of the rumen by a stomach tube connected with a vacuum pump at each time at the end of each period. Rumen fluid was immediately measured for pH and temperature using (HANNA instruments HI 8424 microcomputer) after withdrawal. Rumen fluid samples were then filtered through four layers of cheesecloth. Samples were divided into two portions. One portion was used for NH<sub>3</sub>-N analyses where 5 ml of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (1M) was added to 50 ml of rumen fluid. The mixture was centrifuged at 16,000 g for 15 min and the supernatant stored at -20°C prior to NH<sub>3</sub>-N analysis using the micro Kjeldahl methods (AOAC, 1985) and Volatile Fatty Acids (VFAs) analyses using a HPLC according to Zinn and Owen (1986). Another portion was fixed with 10% formalin solution in normal saline (Galyean, 1989).

The total count of bacteria, protozoa and fungal zoospores were made using the methods of Galyean (1989) based on the use of a haematocytometer (Boeco). A blood sample (about 10 ml) was drawn from the jugular vein at the same time as rumen fluid sampling, separated by centrifugation at 5,000 g for 10 min and stored at -20°C until analysis of Blood Urea Nitrogen (BUN) according to the method of Crocker (1967).

**Statistical analysis:** All data obtained from the experiment were subjected to ANOVA for a 4x4 Latin square design with 2 x 2 Factorial arrangement of

Table 1: Ingredients of concentrate used in the experiment (% DM basis)

Item	Concentrates			
	I	II	III	IV
Ingredient (%DM)				
Cassava chip	70	70	70	70
Palm meal	3	3.5	3	3.5
Soybean meal	10	10	10	10
Molasses	5	5	5	5
Coconut oil	4	4	4	4
Urea	3.5	3	3.5	3
Sulfur	1	1	1	1
Salt	1	1	1	1
Limestone	1	1	1	1
Mineral mix	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Malate (g)	500	500	1,000	1,000
Yeast (g)	1,000	2,000	1,000	2,000

Table 2: Chemical composition of concentrates and ruzi grass used in the experiment

Chemical compositions (%)	Concentrate				Ruzi grass
	I	II	III	IV	
DM	88.7	89.4	88.7	89.4	29.8
OM	91.1	91.2	91.1	91.2	87.6
CP	16.2	16.1	16.2	16.1	8.2
NDF	13.7	12.9	13.7	12.9	35.6
ADF	8.8	7.9	8.8	7.9	27.8
TDN	79.5	79.7	79.5	79.7	57.3
ME (Mcal/kg)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.0
Feed cost (US\$/kg)	0.25	0.28	0.28	0.30	0.06

treatments using the General Linear Models (GLM) procedures of the Statistical Analysis System Institute (SAS, 1998). Treatment means were compared by Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Chemical composition of diets and feed-intake:** The chemical compositions of roughage and concentrate diets fed in dairy cows are presented in Table 2. Concentrate diets contained similar concentrations of DM, OM, CP, NDF, ADF and TDN. Diets containing high levels of cassava chip based diets had a slightly higher Non-structural Carbohydrate (NSC) and lower NDF due to increased level of cassava chip in the diets. Furthermore, the chemical composition of ruzi grass is presented in Table 2.

The effects of malate level with yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on feed-intake of dairy heifers are presented in Table 3. Feed intake were non-significantly different among treatments and was higher in dairy cows receiving T4 than T3, T2, T1 (3.4, 3.3, 3.2 and 3.1% BW, respectively). This data indicated that malate level with yeast supplementation had no effect on feed-intake in

Table 3: Effects of malate and yeast on feed-intake and rumen fermentation in dairy heifers

Item	Treatments <sup>1</sup>				Contrast <sup>2</sup>			
	T1	T2	T3	T4	SEM	M	Y	MxY
DM intake (%BW)								
Ruzi grass	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	0.08	NS	NS	NS
Concentrate	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	-	NS	NS	NS
Total	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	0.12	NS	NS	NS
Ruminal temperature (°C)	39.7	39.5	39.7	39.8	0.52	NS	NS	NS
Ruminal pH	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.9	0.14	NS	NS	NS
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg%)	16.2	17.4	18.5	18.8	1.98	NS	NS	NS
BUN (mg%)	9.6	10.7	12.6	13.2	2.69	NS	NS	NS
Total VFA (mmol/L)	107.2 <sup>a</sup>	118.2 <sup>b</sup>	119.2 <sup>b</sup>	118.3 <sup>b</sup>	1.03	*	NS	NS
Molar proportion of VFA (mol/100mol)								
Acetate (C2)	71.2 <sup>a</sup>	68.5 <sup>b</sup>	67.2 <sup>b</sup>	66.7 <sup>c</sup>	0.37	**	NS	NS
Propionate (C3)	19.7 <sup>a</sup>	22.4 <sup>b</sup>	22.9 <sup>b</sup>	24.4 <sup>c</sup>	0.34	**	NS	NS
Butyrate (C4)	9.1	9.1	9.9	8.9	0.46	NS	NS	NS
C2:C3 ratio	3.6 <sup>a</sup>	3.1 <sup>b</sup>	3.0 <sup>b</sup>	2.7 <sup>c</sup>	0.04	**	NS	NS
C2+C4:C3 ratio	4.1 <sup>a</sup>	3.5 <sup>b</sup>	3.4 <sup>b</sup>	3.1 <sup>c</sup>	0.05	*	NS	NS

<sup>a,b,c</sup>Values on the same row with different superscripts differ (p<0.05). <sup>1</sup>T1 = malate at 500 g with yeast at 1,000 g; T2 = malate at 500 g with yeast at 2,000 g; T3 = malate at 1,000 g with yeast at 1,000 g; T4 = malate at 1,000 g with yeast at 2,000 g. <sup>2</sup>Probability of main effects of level malate (M) in concentrates (500 vs 1,000 g), levels of yeast (Y) (1,000 vs 2,000 g), or the MxY interaction. \* = p<0.05, NS = p>0.05.

Table 4: Effects of malate level and yeast on rumen microorganisms in dairy heifers

Total direct counts (cell/ml)	Treatments <sup>1</sup>				Contrast <sup>2</sup>			
	T1	T2	T3	T4	SEM	M	Y	MxY
Bacteria (x10 <sup>12</sup> )	5.1 <sup>a</sup>	6.2 <sup>ab</sup>	7.9 <sup>ab</sup>	9.9 <sup>b</sup>	1.22	*	NS	NS
Protozoa								
<i>Holotric</i> (x10 <sup>3</sup> )	3.3 <sup>a</sup>	3.2 <sup>a</sup>	2.7 <sup>ab</sup>	2.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.26	*	NS	NS
<i>Ertodiniomorph</i> (x10 <sup>6</sup> )	9.3 <sup>a</sup>	6.8 <sup>b</sup>	3.1 <sup>c</sup>	2.4 <sup>c</sup>	0.71	*	*	NS
Fungal zoospores (x10 <sup>6</sup> )	2.2 <sup>a</sup>	3.4 <sup>a</sup>	5.3 <sup>b</sup>	6.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.51	*	*	NS

<sup>a,b,c</sup>Values on the same row with different superscripts differ (p<0.05). <sup>1</sup>T1 = malate at 500 g with yeast at 1,000 g; T2 = malate at 500 g with yeast at 2,000 g; T3 = malate at 1,000 g with yeast at 1,000 g; T4 = malate at 1,000 g with yeast at 2,000 g. <sup>2</sup>Probability of main effects of level malate (M) in concentrates (500 vs 1,000 g), levels of yeast (Y) (1,000 vs 2,000 g), or the MxY interaction. \* = p<0.05, NS = p>0.05.

dairy heifers. This result was in agreement with earlier work by (Sommat *et al.*, 2000 and Khampa *et al.*, 2006) which reported that inclusion of cassava chip in diets resulted in satisfactory animal performance and had no negative effects on animal health in finishing beef cattle and lactating dairy cows.

**Characteristics of ruminal fermentation and blood metabolism:**

Rumen ecology parameters were measured for temperature, pH and NH<sub>3</sub>-N, VFA (Table 4). In addition, BUN was determined to investigate their relationships with rumen NH<sub>3</sub>-N and protein utilization. Ruminal pH at 0, 2 and 4 h post-feeding were unchanged by dietary treatments and the values were quite stable at 6.7-6.9, but all treatment means were within the normal range which has been reported as optimal for microbial digestion of fiber and also digestion of protein (6.0-7.0) (Hoover, 1986).

Ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N and BUN concentrations were not altered by malate level and yeast supplement in diets containing high cassava-based diets. As NH<sub>3</sub>-N is regarded as the most important nitrogen source for

microbial protein synthesis in the rumen. In addition, the result obtained was closer to optimal ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N between at 15-30 mg% (Wanapat and Pimpa, 1999; Chanjula *et al.*, 2003, 2004) for increasing microbial protein synthesis, feed digestibility and voluntary feed intake in ruminant fed on low-quality roughages.

The influence of malate level with yeast supplement in concentrates on Volatile Fatty Acid (VFA) on production of total VFA, acetic acid proportion, propionic acid proportion, butyric acid proportion and acetic to propionic ratio are shown in Table 3. Mean total VFAs and propionate concentrations in the rumen were increased with increasing malate level and yeast in the diet (p<0.05). Especially, the concentration of propionic acid was slightly higher in T4 than T3, T2 and T1 respectively. However, it was found that total VFA concentration in all diets ranged from 70-130 mM, the range suggested by France and Siddons (1993). Although the acetate to propionate ratio was decreased by the level of sodium dl-malate, but the supplementation of malate level with yeast increased the daily output of propionate without decreasing the production of acetate and it was in

agreement with the results reported by other authors (Callaway and Martin, 1996; Khampa *et al.*, 2006).

**Rumen microorganisms populations:** Table 4 presents rumen microorganism populations. The populations of fungal zoospores, protozoa and total bacteria direct counts were significantly different and populations of bacteria had higher numbers in heifer receiving diets T4 than T3, T2 and T1. In contrast, the present number of protozoa in the rumen was decreased by malate level and yeast supplementation in high cassava-based diets. In the experiment by Newbold *et al.* (1996) has shown that feeding 100 mg of malate per day in sheep caused an increase in the number of total bacteria and tended to increase the population of cellulolytic bacteria. In agreement with these observations, Lopez *et al.* (1999) reported that fumarate (another intermediate in the succinate to propionate pathway) increased the number of cellulolytic bacteria almost three-fold during fermentation in the RUSITEC system. In addition Guedes *et al.* (2007) reported that yeast are usually related to stimulation of cellulolytic and lactate-utilizing bacteria in the rumen, increased fiber digestion and increased flow of microbial protein from the rumen which may be beneficial for feedlot cattle fed high-grain diets. As cassava chip can be readily degraded in the rumen and ruminal pH was decreased, malate could stimulate lactate utilization by *S. ruminantium* and could improve pH in the rumen. It is possible that supplementation of malate with yeast may play an important role in increasing bacterial populations. Moreover, Martin *et al.* (1999) reported that increasing dietary concentrations of malate might help to reduce problems associated with ruminal acidosis by stimulating lactate utilization by *S. ruminantium*.

**Conclusions:** Based on this experiment, it could be concluded that supplementation of malate with yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) in concentrate containing high level of cassava chip maintained could improved ruminal fermentation efficiency, increasing propionate production and decreased acetate to propionate ratio. Moreover, high level of cassava chip in diet resulted increase populations of bacteria, but decreased protozoal populations. These results suggest that the combined use of concentrates containing high level of cassava chip at 70% DM with malate at 1,000 g and yeast at 2,000 g in concentrate could highest improved rumen ecology in dairy heifers.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their most sincere gratitude and appreciation to the Rajabhat Mahasarakham University, The National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) and Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (TROFREC), Khon Kaen University for their financial support of research and the use of research facilities.

#### REFERENCES

- AOAC, 1985. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analysis Chemists, DC, USA.
- Brossard, L., F. Chaucheyras-Durand, B. Michalet-Doreau and C. Martin, 2006. Dose effect of live yeasts on rumen microbial communities and fermentations during butyric latent acidosis in sheep: newtype of interaction. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 82: 1-11.
- Callaway, T.R. and S.A. Martin, 1996. Effects of organic acid and monensin treatment on *in vitro* mixed ruminal microorganism fermentation of cracked corn. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 74: 1982-1989.
- Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, S. Uriyapongson and P. Rowlinson, 2003. Ruminal degradability of tropical feeds and their potential use in ruminant diets. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 16: 211-216.
- Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, S. Uriyapongson and P. Rowlinson, 2004. Effect of synchronizing starch sources and protein (NPN) in the rumen on feed intake, rumen microbial fermentation, nutrient utilization and performance of lactating dairy cows. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 17: 1400-1410.
- Crocker, C.L., 1967. Rapid determination of urea nitrogen in serum or plasma without deproteinization. *Am. J. Med. Technol.*, 33: 361-365.
- France, J. and R.C. Siddons, 1993. Volatile fatty acid production. In: *Quantitative Aspects Ruminant Digestion and Metabolism* (Eds., J. M. Forbes and J. France). C.A.B. International, Willingford, UK.
- Galyean, M., 1989. *Laboratory Procedure in Animal Nutrition Research*. Department of Animal and Life Science. New Mexico states University, USA.
- Goering, H.K. and P.J. Van Soest, 1970. *Forage Fiber Analysis* (apparatus, reagent, procedures and some application). Agric. Handbook No. 379, ARS, USDA, Washington, D.C.
- Gottschalk, G., 1986. *Bacteria metabolism* (2nd Ed.). Springer-Verlag. New York.
- Guedes, C.M., D.M. Goncalves, A.M. Rodrigues and A. Dias-da-Silva, 2007. Effects of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast on ruminal fermentation and fibre degradation of maize silages in cows. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 145: 27-40.
- Hoover, W.H., 1986. Chemical factors involved in ruminal fiber digestion. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 69: 2755-2766.
- Khampa, S., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, N. Nontaso and M. Wattiaux, 2006. Effect of levels of sodium dl-malate supplementation on ruminal fermentation efficiency in concentrates containing high levels of cassava chip in dairy steers. *Aisan-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 19: 368-375.
- Lopez, S., C. Newbold and R.J. Wallace, 1999. Influence of sodium fumarate addition on rumen fermentation *in vitro*. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 81: 59-64.

- Martin, S.A., M.N. Streeter, D.J. Nisbet, G.M. Hill and E.E. Williams, 1999. Effect of DL-malate on ruminal metabolism and performance of cattle fed a high concentrate diets. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 77: 1008-1015.
- Newbold, C.J., R.J. Wallace and F.M. Mc-Intosh, 1996. Mode of action of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a feed additive for ruminants. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 76: 249-261.
- Sanson, D.W. and O.T. Stallcup, 1984. Growth response and serum constituents of Holstein bulls fed malic acid. *Nutr. Rep. Int.*, 30: 1261-1267.
- SAS, 1998. SAS/STAT User's Guide. Version 6.12. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Sommat, K., M. Wanapat, D.S. Parker and P. Rowlinson, 2000. Cassava chip as an energy source for lactating dairy cows fed rice straw. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 13: 1094-1101.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1980. Principles and Procedure of Statistics. McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., New York.
- Wanapat, M., 2003. Manipulation of cassava cultivation and utilization to improve protein to energy biomass for livestock feeding in the tropics. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 16: 463-472.
- Wanapat, M. and O. Pimpa, 1999. Effect of ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N levels on ruminal fermentation, purine derivatives, digestibility and rice straw intake in swamp buffaloes. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 12: 904-907.
- Zinn, A.R. and F.N. Owen, 1986. A rapid procedure for purine measurement and its use for estimating net ruminal protein synthesis. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 66: 157-163.