

# NUTRITION OF



308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorpjn@gmail.com Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 8 (6): 814-817, 2009 ISSN 1680-5194 © Asian Network for Scientific Information, 2009

## Manipulation of Rumen Ecology by Malate and Cassava Hay in High-Quality Feed Block in Dairy Steers

Sittisak Khampa<sup>1</sup>, Pala Chaowarat<sup>1</sup>, Uthai Koatdoke<sup>1</sup>, Rungson Singhalert<sup>2</sup> and Metha Wanapat<sup>3</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Rajabhat Mahasarakham University, P.O. Box 44000, Thailand
<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Rajabhat Mahasarakham University,
P.O. Box 44000, Thailand,

<sup>3</sup>Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (TROFREC), Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, P.O. Box 40002, Thailand

Abstract: Four, dairy steers were randomly assigned according to a 2x2 Factorial arrangement in a 4x4 Latin square design to study supplementation of malate level at 500 and 1,000 g and cassava hay in high-quality feed block. The treatments were as follows: T1 = supplementation of high-quality feed block without cassava hay + malate at 500 g; T2 = supplementation of high-quality feed block without cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g; T3 = supplementation of high-quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 500 g; T4 = supplementation of high-quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, respectively. The cows were offered the treatment concentrate at 1.0% BW and ruzi grass was fed ad libitum. The results have revealed that populations of protozoa and fungal zoospores were significantly different as affected by malate level and cassava hay supplementation. However, rumen fermentation and blood metabolites were similar for all treatments. In conclusion, the combined use of cassava hay and malate at 1,000 g in high-quality feed block with concentrates containing high levels of cassava chip at 65% DM could highest improved rumen ecology in dairy steers.

Key words: Malate, cassava hay, high-quality feed block, rumen ecology, dairy steers

#### INTRODUCTION

Some strictly anaerobic bacteria use a reductive or reverse citric acid cycle known as the succinatepropionate pathway to synthesize succinate and (or) propionate. Both malate and fumarate are key intermediates in the succinate propionate pathway and S. ruminantium uses this pathway (Gottschalk, 1986). The fact dicarboxylic acids, especially malate and fumarate, stimulate lactate utilization is consistent with the presence of this pathway in this ruminal anaerobe (Callaway and Martin, 1996). Previous studies by and Stallcup (1984)reported Sanson supplementation of malate in ruminant diets has been shown to increase nitrogen retention in sheep and steers and to improve average daily gain and feed efficiency in bull calves.

Cassava (Manihot esculenta, Crantz) production in tropical areas has a potential use in ruminant livestock nutrition and feeding. Cassava root contains high levels of energy and has been used as a source of readily fermentable energy in ruminant rations (Wanapat, 2003; Kiyothong and Wanapat, 2004; Promkot and Wanapat, 2005). One strategy for using high degradable carbohydrates is to use in combination with readily available NPN sources such as urea. Urea is commonly used as N source when highly soluble carbohydrates are fed and maintained (Wohlt et al., 1978). However, efficient utilization of protein and Non-protein Nitrogen

(NPN) in ruminants depends upon knowledge of the basic principles underlying ruminal microbial N metabolism (Fernandez *et al.*, 1997). Moreover, ruminal pH has great impact on rumen fermentation efficiency (Wanapat, 2003).

However, the use of malate and cassava hay in highquality feed block with cassava based-diets in dairy steers has not yet been investigated. Therefore, the objective of this experiment was to investigate the supplementation of malate levels and cassava hay in high-quality feed block with ruzi grass as a basal roughage on rumen ecology in dairy steers.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Animals, diets and experimental design: Four, Holstein-Friesian crossbred dairy steers (75%) and the body weight were 150±10 kg were used in experiment. Cows were randomly assigned according to a 2x2 Factorial arrangement in a 4x4 Latin square design to study two levels dl-malate with cassava hay in high-quality feed block supplementation on ruminal fermentation efficiency. The dietary treatments were as follows: T1 = supplementation of high-quality feed block without cassava hay + malate at 500 g; T2 = supplementation of high-quality feed block without cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g; T3 = supplementation of high-quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 500 g T4 = supplementation of high-quality feed block

with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, respectively. The composition of dietary treatments, concentrate and ruzi grass used are shown in Table 1 and 2.

Cows were housed in individual pens and individually fed concentrate at 1.0% BW, twice daily at 06:00 a.m. and 16:00 p.m. All cows were fed ad libitum of ruzi grass with water and a mineral-salt block. Feed intake of concentrate and roughage were measured separately and refusals recorded. The experiment was run in four periods, each experimental period lasted for 21 days, the first 14 days for treatment adaptation and for feed intake measurements whist the last 7 days were for sample collections of rumen fluid and faeces. Body weights were measured daily during the sampling period prior to feeding. Milk yield was recorded during the 21 day-period and samples were collected during the last 7 day of each period.

Data collection and sampling procedures: Ruzi grass and concentrate were sampled daily during the collection period and were composted by period prior to analyses. Composites samples were dried at 60°C and ground (1 mm screen using Cyclotech Mill, Tecator, Sweden) and then analyzed for Dry Matter (DM), Ether Extract (EE), ash and Crude Protein (CP) content (AOAC, 1985), Neutral-detergent Fiber (NDF), Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) and Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL) (Goering and Van Soest, 1970).

Rumen fluid samples were collected at 0 and 4 h postfeeding. Approximately 200 ml of rumen fluid was taken from the middle part of the rumen by a stomach tube connected with a vacuum pump at each time at the end of each period. Rumen fluid was immediately measured for pH and temperature using (HANNA instruments HI 8424 microcomputer) after withdrawal. Rumen fluid samples were then filtered through four layers of cheesecloth. Samples were divided into two portions. One portion was used for NH<sub>3</sub>-N analyses where 5 ml of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (1M) was added to 50 ml of rumen fluid. The mixture was centrifuged at 16,000 g for 15 min and the supernatant stored at -20°C prior to NH3-N analysis using the micro Kjeldahl methods (AOAC, 1985). Another portion was fixed with 10% formalin solution in normal saline (Galyean, 1989).

The total count of bacteria, protozoa and fungal zoospores were made using the methods of Galyean (1989) based on the use of a haematocytometer (Boeco). A blood sample (about 10 ml) was drawn from the jugular vein at the same time as rumen fluid sampling, separated by centrifugation at 5,000 g for 10 min and stored at -20°C until analysis of Blood Urea Nitrogen (BUN) according to the method of Crocker (1967).

Statistical analysis: All data obtained from the experiment were subjected to ANOVA for a 4x4 Latin

Table 1: Ingredients of high-quality feed block used in the experiment (%DM basis)

		/		
Ingredients (%DM)	T1	T2	Т3	T4
Coarse rice bran	30	30	-	-
Cassava hay	-	-	30	30
Molasses	40	40	42	42
Urea	13	13	11	11
Limestone	12	12	12	12
Sulfur	1	1	1	1
Mineral mix	1	1	1	1
Salt	1	1	1	1
Tallow	2	2	2	2
DL-malate (g/100 kg)	500	1,000	500	1,000

Table 2: Chemical composition of high-quality feed block, concentrates and ruzi grass used in the experiment

Chemical						
composition	า					Ruzi
(%)	T1	T2	Т3	T4	Conc.1	grass
DM (%)	79.2	79.2	79.8	79.8	89.1	29.8
OM	75.3	75.3	76.1	76.1	91.2	87.6
CP	36.1	36.1	37.3	37.3	16.0	8.2
NDF	24.8	24.8	33.2	33.2	12.1	35.6
ADF	18.2	18.2	15.2	15.2	7.2	27.8

DM = dry matter, CP = crude protein, OM = organic matter, NDF = neutral detergent fiber, ADF = acid detergent fiber, UTRS = urea-treated rice straw. (¹Ingredients = concentrate compost of cassava chips 65, palm meal 2.5, soybean meal 17, urea 3, molasses 5, coconut oil 4, sulfur 1, salt 1, mineral mix 1.5%) as dry weight. Conc. = Concentrate

square design with 2x2 Factorial arrangement of treatments using the General Linear Models (GLM) procedures of the Statistical Analysis System Institute (SAS, 1998). Treatment means were compared by Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Chemical composition of dietary treatments and feed intake: The chemical composition of dietary treatments and concentrate diets fed in dairy cows are presented in Table 2. Concentrate diets containing high levels of cassava chip based diets had a slightly higher NSC and lower NDF due to increased level of cassava chip in the diets. Furthermore, the chemical composition of ruzi grass is presented in Table 2. The effects of malate level with cassava hay in high-quality feed block on feedintake of dairy steers are presented in Table 3. Feed intakes were not significantly affected by malate level cassava hay in high-quality feed block supplementation (3.1-3.3% BW). This data indicated that malate level with cassava hay in high-quality feed block supplementation had no effect on feed-intake in dairy steers. These results was in agreement with earlier work by (Sommart et al., 2000; Wanapat and Khampa, 2006) which reported that inclusion of cassava chip in diets resulted in satisfactory animal performance and had no negative effects on animal health in finishing beef cattle and lactating dairy cows.

Table 3: Effect of supplementation of malate and cassava hay in high-quality feed block (HQFB) on feed-intake, blood metabolites and rumen fermentation in dairy steers

Item	Treatments				Contrast <sup>1</sup>			
	T1	T2	T3	T4	SEM	CH	M	CHxM
DM feed intake (%BW)								
HQFB	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	-	NS	NS	NS
Ruzi grass	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	0.06	NS	NS	NS
Concentrate	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	NS	NS	NS
Total	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.3	0.06	NS	NS	NS
Rumen fermentation								
Temperature (°C)	39.8	39.6	39.5	39.7	0.65	NS	NS	NS
Ruminal pH	6.64	6.71	6.75	6.86	80.0	NS	NS	NS
NH₃-N (mg%)	17.1	18.3	19.1	20.8	3.56	NS	NS	NS
BUN (mg%)	11.4	12.1	12.5	13.7	3.51	NS	NS	NS

<sup>a,b,c</sup>Values on the same row with different superscripts differ (p< 0.05). T1 = High quality feed block without cassava hay + malate at 500 g, T2 = High quality feed block without cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T2 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T2 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed

Table 4: Effect of supplementation of malate and cassava hay in high-quality feed block (HQFB) on rumen microorganisms in dairy steers

Item	Treatments				Contrast <sup>1</sup>			
	 T1	T2	T3	T4	SEM	CH	M	CHxM
Total direct counts (cell/ml)								
Bacteria (x1012)	6.6ª	7.3°	9.3⁵	10.3ªb	0.93	NS	**	NS
Protozoa								
Holotrich (x104)	3.1ª	3.0°	2.5⁵	2.1ab	0.21	NS	**	NS
Entodiniomorph (x10⁵)	10.2°	6.7 <sup>b</sup>	4.0⁵	2.6⁵	0.73	*	**	NS
Fungal zoospores (x105)	4.6ª	5.8ª	7.7b	9.1⁵	0.52	*	**	NS

a,b,c Values on the same row with different superscripts differ (p< 0.05). T1 = High quality feed block without cassava hay + malate at 500 g, T2 = High quality feed block without cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T3 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed block with cassava hay + malate at 1,000 g, T4 = High quality feed b

Characteristics of ruminal fermentation and blood metabolism: Rumen ecology parameters were measured for temperature, pH and NH $_3$ -N (Table 3). In addition, BUN was determined to investigate their relationships with rumen NH $_3$ -N and protein utilization. Rumen pH at 0 and 4 h post-feeding were unchanged by dietary treatments and the values were quite stable at 6.6-6.8, but all treatment means were within the normal range which has been reported as optimal for microbial digestion of fiber and also digestion of protein (6.0-7.0) (Hoover, 1986).

Ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N, and BUN concentrations were not altered by malate level with cassava hay supplement in high-quality feed block with concentrate containing high cassava-based diets. As NH<sub>3</sub>-N is regarded as the most important nitrogen source for microbial protein synthesis in the rumen. In addition, the result obtained was closer to optimal ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N between at 15-30 mg% (Wanapat and Pimpa, 1999; Chanjula *et al.*, 2003, 2004) for increasing microbial protein synthesis, feed digestibility and voluntary feed intake in ruminant fed on low-quality roughages.

Rumen microorganisms populations: Table 4 presents rumen microorganism populations. The fungal zoospores, protozoa and total bacteria direct counts

were significantly different and populations of bacteria had higher numbers in dairy steers receiving at 1,000 than 500 g of malate. In contrast, the present number of protozoa in the rumen was decreased by cassava hay and malate supplementation in high-quality feed block with concentrate contained cassava-based diets. In the experiment by Newbold et al. (1996) has shown that feeding 100 mg of malate per day in sheep caused an increase in the number of total bacteria and tended to increase the population of cellulolytic bacteria. In agreement with these observations, Lopez et al. (1999) reported that fumarate (another intermediate in the succinate to propionate pathway) increased the number of cellulolytic bacteria almost three-fold during fermentation in the RUSITEC system. As cassava chip can be readily degraded in the rumen and ruminal pH was decreased, malate could stimulate lactate utilization by S. ruminantium and could improve pH in the rumen. It is possible that supplementation of malate and cassava hay in high quality feed block may play an important role in increasing bacterial populations. Moreover, Martin et al. (1999) reported that increasing dietary concentrations of malate might help to reduce problems associated with ruminal acidosis by stimulating lactate utilization by S. ruminantium.

Conclusions: Based on this experiment, it could be concluded that supplementation of malate and cassava hay in high-quality feed block with concentrate containing high cassava-based diets could improved ruminal fermentation efficiency and increase populations of bacteria, but decreased protozoal populations. These results suggest that the combined use of cassava hay and malate at 1,000 g in high-quality feed block with concentrates containing high levels of cassava chip at 65% DM could highest improved rumen ecology in dairy steers fed ruzi grass based-diets.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors wish to express sincere thanks to Rajabhat Mahasarakham University, This Project No. MRG510005 was funded by The Thailand Research Fund (TRF) and Commission on Higher Education, The National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) and Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (TROFREC), Khon Kaen University for providing financial support of research and research facilities.

#### **REFERENCES**

- AOAC., 1985. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analysis Chemists, DC, USA.
- Callaway, T.R. and S.A. Martin, 1996. Effects of organic acid and monensin treatment on *in vitro* mixed ruminal microorganism fermentation of cracked corn. J. Anim. Sci., 74: 1982-1989.
- Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, S. Uriyapongson and P. Rowlinson, 2003. Ruminal degradability of tropical feeds and their potential use in ruminant diets. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 16: 211-216.
- Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, S. Uriyapongson and P. Rowlinson, 2004. Effect of synchronizing starch sources and protein (NPN) in the rumen on feed intake, rumen microbial fermentation, nutrient utilization and performance of lactating dairy cows. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 17: 1400-1410.
- Crocker, C.L., 1967. Rapid determination of urea nitrogen in serum or plasma without deproteinzation. American J. Medical Technol., 33: 361-365.
- Fernandez, J.M., T. Sahulu, C. Lu, D. Ivey and M.J. Potchoiba., 1997. Production and metabolic aspects of non-protein nitrogen incorporation in lactation rations of dairy goats. Small Rum. Res., 26: 105-107.
- Galyean, M., 1989. Laboratory Procedure in Animal Nutrition Research. Department of Animal and Life Science. New Mexico states University, U.S.A.
- Goering, H.K. and P.J. Van Soest, 1970. Forage Fiber Analysis (apparatus, reagent, procedures and some application). Agric. Handbook No. 379, ARS, USDA, Washington, D.C.

- Gottschalk, G., 1986. Bateria metabolism (2nd Ed.). Sparinger-Verlag. New York.
- Hoover, W.H., 1986. Chemical factors involved in ruminal fiber digestion. J. Dairy Sci., 69: 2755-2766.
- Kiyothong, K. and M. Wanapat, 2004. Growth, hay yield and chemical composition of cassava and Stylo 184 grown under intercropping. Aisan-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 17: 799-807.
- Lopez, S., C. Newbold and R.J. Wallace, 1999. Influence of sodium fumarate addition on rumen fermentation *in vitro*. Br. J. Nutr., 81: 59-64.
- Martin, S.A., M.N. Streeter, D.J. Nisbet, G.M. Hill and E.E. Williams, 1999. Effect of DL-malate on ruminal metabolism and performance of cattle fed a high concentrate diets. J. Anim. Sci., 77: 1008-1015.
- Newbold, C.J., R.J. Wallace and F.M. McIntosh, 1996. Mode of action of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a feed additive for ruminants. Br. J. Nutr., 76: 249-261.
- Promkot, C. and M. Wanapat, 2005. Effect of level of crude protein and use of cottonseed meal in diets containg cassava chips and rice straw for lactating dairy cows. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 18: 502-511.
- Sanson, D.W. and O.T. Stallcup, 1984. Growth response and serum constituents of Holstein bulls fed malic acid. Nutr. Rep. Int., 30: 1261-1267.
- SAS., 1998. SAS/STAT User's Guide. Version 6.12. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Sommart, K., M. Wanapat, D.S. Parker and P. Rowlinson, 2000. Cassava chip as an energy source for lactating dairy cows fed rice straw. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 13: 1094-1101.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1980. Principles and Procedure of Statistics. McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., New York.
- Wanapat, M., 2003. Manipulation of cassava cultivation and utilization to improve protein to energy biomass for livestock feeding in the tropics. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 16: 463-472.
- Wanapat, M. and S. Khampa, 2006. Effect of cassava hay in high-quality feed block as anthelmintics in steers grazing on ruzi grass. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 19: 695-699.
- Wanapat, M. and O. Pimpa, 1999. Effect of ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N levels on ruminal fermentation, purine derivatives, digestibility and rice straw intake in swamp buffaloes. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 12: 904-907.
- Wohlt, J.E., J.H. Clark and F.S. Blaisdell, 1978. Nutritional value of urea versus preformed protein for ruminants. II. Nitrogen utilization by dairy cows fed corn based diets containing supplemental nitrogen from urea and/or soybean meal. J. Dairy Sci., 61: 916-931.