

**PJN**

ISSN 1680-5194

PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF  
**NUTRITION**

**ANSI***net*

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan  
Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544  
E-mail: [editorpjn@gmail.com](mailto:editorpjn@gmail.com)

## Assessing Yield, Water Use Efficiency and Evapotranspiration with Ameliorating Effect of Potassium in Wheat Crop Exposed to Regulated Deficit Irrigation

Muhammad Imran<sup>1</sup>, Anwar-Ul-Hassan<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Iqbal<sup>1</sup> and Ehsan Ullah<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Abstract:** Water is the most important factor for plant growth while potassium fertilization plays an important role under deficit irrigation or under stress condition. A pot experiment was conducted to assess the yield and water use efficiency with amelioration effect of potassium in wheat crop exposed to regulated deficit irrigation. Wheat crop was sown for two years. Pot experiment was laid out following CRD with two factorial arrangements. Each treatment was replicated thrice. Wheat variety "Sahar-2006" was sown. All the measures were taken to control weeds, other pests and diseases for the crop management. Soil water content was measured by weighing pot after 1 to 2 day's interval throughout the growing season. Potential evapotranspiration was calculated using Penman-Montieth model. The  $ET_a$  was calculated using water balance equation and crop coefficient was calculated from the lysimeter/pot trials. The data obtained was analyzed statistically. The result of this study showed that the maximum grain yield was observed with  $T_1$  (HHHHH) at  $K_2$  and second best was the  $T_2$  (MMMMM: 70-80% FC at all stages) at  $K_2$  because it showed minimum reduction in yield and save upto 20-30% water. It also showed the highest water use efficiency (WUE). It was also observed that the soil evaporation decreased with regulated deficit irrigation to some extent but it mostly depend upon on the hydraulic properties of soil. The treatment combination  $T_3$  (LLLLL: 60-70% FC at all growth satges) at  $K_2$  showed the highest root mass density and root length density during 2010-11 and 2011-12, respectively. Regulated deficit irrigation (RDI) and potassium application have significant effect on crop coefficient (Kc) during 2010-11 and 2011-12 in Winter seasons.

**Key words:** Water use efficiency, actual evapotranspiration, crop coefficient, root length density, root mass density

### INTRODUCTION

In Pakistan's economy, agriculture sector plays a central role. Its share in GDP is 21 and 45% of the country's total labour force is engaged in this sector. Now a day's, this sector is facing some emerging challenges such as water shortage and climatic changes (Anonymous, 2010-11). Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the most important and most widely grown cereal crop and is also the staple food of the country. It contributes 13.1% to the value added in agriculture and 2.7% in GDP. There are many factors responsible for low yield of wheat in Pakistan but soil moisture is the most important. Soil water is one of the most important factors which influence yield and quality of crops. Crop water productivity of wheat (0.6-1.7 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) is very low globally, which is even adverse in Pakistan, consequently it offers incredible opportunities for increasing or at least maintaining production of wheat crops with a less amount of water (Zwart and Bastiaanssen, 2004). Water is a basic necessity for life and is fast becoming an economically scarce resource in many areas of the world especially in arid and semiarid regions. In plants, functions of water are manifold, such as maintenance of

turgidity, uptake and translocation of nutrients and metabolites, sequestration of excessive salts and toxic material into vacuoles or out of tissue and serving as medium for all biochemical and bio-energy reactions (Salisbury and Ross, 2005). However, Pakistan will face severe water shortage in future which ultimately will enhance food shortage. It is reported that the Pakistan will have approximately 32% less water during 2025 as compared to present situation which will produced 70 m ton food shortage. It is estimated that surface water storage capacity will be reduced by 30% during 2025 due to climatic changes and siltation of main reservoirs. The per capita water storage capacity in Pakistan is only 150 m<sup>3</sup> as compared to 5000 m<sup>3</sup> in US and Australia and 2200 m<sup>3</sup> in China (Qureshi, 2011). In Pakistan, there are many plans to overcome problems of food security. If anything, there will be less water than before as nonagricultural uses grow and compete for irrigation water. On the other hand melting of the glaciers gives tangible meaning to climatic change (Archer *et al.*, 2010). The horrible impact of climatic change is drought. Approximately, sixty one percent of countries of the world receive less than 500 mm rainfall annually and wheat

crop is mostly cultivated in these semiarid regions (Deng *et al.*, 2004; El-Abady *et al.*, 2009). In order to combat with water shortage problem, the irrigation system will be changed towards maximizing the production per unit of water consumed rather than emphasizing on production per unit area (Feres and Soriano, 2007). Therefore, techniques are needed to increase water use efficiency. The scarcity of water and high irrigation costs are forcing to established new methods of irrigation that increased water use efficiency and save the water for raising other crops (Tariq and Usman, 2009). There has been a wide range of proposed novel approaches to irrigation scheduling which have not yet been adopted. The regulated deficit irrigation (RDI) is one way of maximizing the water use efficiency for maximum yields per unit application of water (English and Raja, 1996). The decrease in yield will be nonsignificant as compared with benefit achieved through diverting the saved water (Eck *et al.*, 1987). The correct application of RDI requires thorough understanding of the yield response to water and of the economic impact of reductions in harvest. The saved water can be used to irrigate extra units of land. Water deficit plays a very important role in inhibiting the yields of crops. However, water shortage and its wastage now are the two inconsistency aspects in the usage of water resources worldwide (Ogola *et al.*, 2002). Thus in order to optimize crop yields and water use efficiency (WUE) in irrigated environments, irrigations should be timed in a way that non-productive soil water evapotranspiration and drainage losses are minimized and possible inevitable water deficits coincide with least sensitive growth period (Arora and Gajri, 1998). Wheat grown under the RDI schemes produced 17 and 29% more grain yield during 2003 and 2004, respectively as compared to control (Zhang *et al.*, 2005). Similarly, Chennafi *et al.* (2006) reported that yield and other wheat traits respond to applied water and the response was dependent on seasons, levels of regulated deficit irrigation and crop growth stage at which water was applied. Grain yield was correlated linearly to water use efficiency and curvi-linearly to total water evapotranspired. They also reported that the limited irrigation applied at heading stage increased grain yield effectively and decreased the crop failure risk. Mineral nutrients play an important role in increasing plant resistance to drought stress (Marschner, 1995). Under regulated deficit irrigation, potassium fertilization increase crop tolerance to water stress by utilizing the soil moisture more efficiently than in K deficient plants. The increase in the stress tolerance by K fertilization may be due to promotion of root growth associated with more nutrient and water uptake (Umar and Din, 2002) and through the reduction of transpirational water loss. It also maintain the osmotic and turgor of the cell and regulate the stomatal functioning under water stress

condition (Kant and Kafkafi, 2002) which is reflected in improved crop yield under drought conditions (Egila *et al.*, 2001; Umar and Din, 2002). The effective diffusion coefficient of  $K^+$  was increased with increasing the soil moisture content at the lower side of the optimal soil moisture, therefore increased  $K^+$  uptake (Mackay and Barber, 1985). The rate of root elongation is a crucial parameter in the uptake of nutrients that are strongly adsorbed to the soil and their concentration in the soil solution is usually very low (Kafkafi, 1991).

The detail methods for estimating evapotranspiration and calculation of crop water requirements for different crops under different climates, as needed in water balance calculation, have been reviewed in detail (Allen *et al.*, 1998). Determination of actual crop evapotranspiration ( $ET_c$ ) during the growing season has a potential advantage to attain proper irrigation scheduling. Crop coefficient ( $K_c$ ) is widely used to estimate crop water use and to schedule irrigations. The concept of  $K_c$  was introduced (Jensen, 1968) and further developed by the other researchers (Allen *et al.*, 1998). Values of  $K_c$  for most agricultural crops increase from a minimum value at planting until a maximum  $K_c$  is reached at about full canopy cover. The  $K_c$  tends to decline at a point after a full cover is reached in the crop season.  $ET_o$  may be measured directly from a reference crop such as a perennial grass (Watson and Burnett, 1995) or computed from weather data using the Penman-Monteith (P-M) equation. The Penman-Monteith (P-M) equation is adopted and recommended by FAO-56 (Allen *et al.*, 1998; Asce-Ewri, 2005) and can be applied to a variety of vegetation conditions, including systems having varying leaf area and varying height. It is possible to standardize parameters in the P-M equation including aerodynamic resistance for application to grass reference  $ET_o$  (Allen *et al.*, 1998; Asce-Ewri, 2005). Weighing lysimeters are employed to measure  $ET_o$  and  $ET_c$  directly by detecting changes in the weight of the soil/crop unit (Marek *et al.*, 2006).

Keeping in view the above fact, this study will be conducted to achieve the following objectives:

- 1: Assessing yield and WUE under regulated deficit irrigation at various levels of potassium that was  $K_0$ : 0,  $K_1$ : 200 and  $K_2$ : 300 kg/ha, respectively
- 2: To calculate the  $K_c$  value for wheat crop under pot condition
- 3: To find out the  $ET_s$  at different growth stages under pot condition

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The pot and field experiments were conducted at the experimental farm of the Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan (Latitude, 31°-26' N and 73°-06' E,

184 m ASL) during the winter seasons of 2010-11 and 2011-12. The climate of the study area is semi subtropical-arid with more than 70% of the annual rainfall occurring during June to September. The soil type of the experiment site is well-drained Hafizabad sandy clay loam, mixed, semi-active, Isohyperthermic Typic Calcic Argids. Lysimeter/Pot experiment was laid out in CRD having two factors with factorial arrangements. Each treatment was replicated thrice. Plastic pot having capacity of 9 kg soil was used as weighing lysimeter. Each pot has 25 and 11 cm height and radius. Local high yielding wheat variety Sahar-2006 was planted. The sowing time was November 20, 2010 and November 25, 2011. Urea was applied at the rate of 120 kg N/ha in two splits while phosphorus was applied at 85 kg/ha and potassium was applied according to treatment plane at the time of sowing. Locally manufactured digital balance was used for weighing. It has weighing capacity in range from 200-30000+5 g. Data was collected according to (Dwyer *et al.*, 1987). Treatments plan was given in Table 1. Based on soil water measurements from weighing Lysimeter/Pot, the Actual Evapotranspiration was calculated using water balance equation:

$$Et_a = (I+p)-\Delta S \quad (1)$$

where,  $ET_a$  is the actual evapotranspiration (mm),  $I$  (mm) is irrigation,  $P$  (mm) is rainfall and  $\Delta S$  (mm) is change in root zone storage. There was no excess water losses below the root zone because irrigation was scheduled based on soil water content in the root zone. The irrigation amount was calculated to replace the water content depleted. The crop coefficient was calculated as follows:

$$K_c = \frac{ET_a}{ET_0} \quad (2)$$

Daily reference/potential evapotranspiration ( $ET_0$ ) for a hypothetical crop was calculated using The Penman-Monteith FAO-56 Equation (Allen *et al.*, 1998) as follows:

$$ET_0 = \frac{0.408\Delta(R_n - G) + \gamma \frac{900}{T_{mean} + 273} u_2 (e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + 0.34u_2)} \quad (3)$$

where,  $ET_0$  is the reference/potential evapotranspiration (mm/day),  $R_n$  the net radiation reaching the crop surface ( $MJ/m^2/day$ ),  $G$  the soil heat flux density ( $MJ/m^2/day$ ),  $\gamma$  is the psychrometric constant ( $kPa/^\circ C$ ),  $T_{mean}$  the average daily air temperature measured at 2 m height ( $^\circ C$ ),  $u_2$  the wind speed at 2 m height (m/s),  $e_s - e_a$  the saturation vapour pressure deficit (kPa),  $e_a$  the actual vapour pressure (kPa),  $e_s$  the saturation vapour pressure (kPa) and the slope of the vapour pressure curve ( $kPa/^\circ C$ ). Water-use efficiency is defined as follows (Hussain *et al.*, 1995):

$$WUE = \frac{GY}{ET_a} \quad (4)$$

where, WUE (kg/ha/mm) is the water use efficiency for grain yield, GY is the grain yield (kg/ha) and  $ET_a$  (mm) is the actual evapotranspiration. Water retention curve was measured for soil. Retention capacity of soil was measured by determining water contents at pre-defined matric potential (Dane and Hopmans, 2002) with the help of suction plates at the 0.3, 0.6, 1.0, 3.0 and 4.5 bar pressure and a linear regression equation was determined by taking  $\ln(h)$  versus  $\ln(\theta/\theta_s)$  to get water contents at permanent wilting point ( $\theta_{WP}$ ) and field capacity ( $\theta_{FC}$ ) of different soils (Williams *et al.*, 1983). The following linear regression equation was developed by taking  $\ln(\theta/\theta_s)$  versus  $\ln(h)$  to get  $\theta_{WP}$ ,  $\theta_{FC}$ ,  $\theta_{AWC}$  etc:

$$\ln P = \ln P_e + b \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_s}\right) \quad (5)$$

where,  $P$  is the matric potential (kPa), " $P_e$ " (intercept) is air entry value/bubbling pressure which is inversely related to " $\alpha$ " and " $b$ " is the slope of  $\ln P$  vs  $\ln(\theta/\theta_s)$  of water retention curve. The data collected was statistically analyzed using ANOVA (analysis of variance) techniques according to CRD design. The means were compared by LSD (least significant difference) test at  $p < 0.05$  (Steel *et al.*, 1997).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pot experiment was conducted at the experimental farm of the institute of soil and environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan during the Winter seasons of 2010-11 and 2011-12 to study the effect of regulated deficit irrigation and potassium on evapotranspiration, yield and water use efficiency of wheat. The results of this study are as under.

**Yield and yield contributing parameters of wheat:** The effect of RDI and potassium on biological yield was significant on both years during 2010-2011 and 2011-2012, while their interactive effect on biological yield of wheat was also significant during both years (Table 2). The maximum biological yield was recorded in treatment combination  $T_1$  (HHHHH) (water applied at 80-100% FC at all growth stages) and  $K_2$  (Potassium at 300 kg/ha) that was 28.6 and 29 g/pot during 2010-2011 and 2011-12, respectively. It was statistically at par with treatment combination  $T_1$  at  $K_1$  and  $T_1$  at  $K_0$  during 2010-11 while it was statistically different from  $T_1$  at  $K_0$  during 2011-12. These results are in line with Alderfasi and Refay (2010) who reported that gradual decrease in most growth parameters are in line with decreasing irrigation schedules. They also reported that the irrigation at 100 and 150 mm of CPE was statistically at par with regard

Table 1: Treatment plan used in experiment during 2010-11 and 2011-12

Potassium	Irrigation	Jointing*	Booting	Heading	Filling	Maturity
		LU	LU	LU	LU	LU
K <sub>0</sub> : No potassium	T <sub>1</sub> (HHHHH)	80-100	80-100	80-100	80-100	80-100
	T <sub>2</sub> (MMMMM)	70-80	70-80	70-80	70-80	70-80
	T <sub>3</sub> (LLLLL)	60-70	60-70	60-70	60-70	60-70
K <sub>1</sub> : Potassium at 200 kg/ha	T <sub>1</sub> (HHHHH)	80-100	80-100	80-100	80-100	80-100
	T <sub>2</sub> (MMMMM)	70-80	70-80	70-80	70-80	70-80
	T <sub>3</sub> (LLLLL)	60-70	60-70	60-70	60-70	60-70
K <sub>2</sub> : Potassium at 300 kg/ha	T <sub>1</sub> (HHHHH)	80-100	80-100	80-100	80-100	80-100
	T <sub>2</sub> (MMMMM)	70-80	70-80	70-80	70-80	70-80
	T <sub>3</sub> (LLLLL)	60-70	60-70	60-70	60-70	60-70

\*S1: Germination stage (0-15DAS)      S2: Jointing (15-45)      S3: Booting (45-60)  
S4: Heading (60-90)      S5: Grain filling (90-112)      S6: Maturity stage (112-140 DAS)

Table 2: Effect of RDI and Potassium on yield and yield contributing parameters of wheat under pot experiment during 2010-11 and 2011-12

Treatments	Grain yield (g/pot)			Biological yield (g/pot)			Harvest index (%)		
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>
	----- 2010-11 -----								
T <sub>1</sub> (HHHHH)	10.14	10.19	10.73	28.00	28.35	28.60	36.21	35.95	37.52
T <sub>2</sub> (MMMMM)	9.02	9.18	9.65	25.71	27.07	27.36	35.09	33.90	35.27
T <sub>3</sub> (LLLLL)	7.20	7.40	7.55	22.24	22.48	22.69	32.40	32.91	33.28
LSD (p<0.05)	0.27	-	-	0.72	-	-	0.08	-	-
	----- 2011-12 -----								
T <sub>1</sub> (HHHHH)	10.64	10.68	11.26	28.43	28.80	29.00	37.43	37.08	38.82
T <sub>2</sub> (MMMMM)	9.48	10.14	10.22	26.08	27.79	28.07	36.36	36.48	36.39
T <sub>3</sub> (LLLLL)	7.57	7.80	7.95	22.59	22.83	23.01	33.49	34.15	34.57
SD (p<0.05)	0.12	-	-	0.23	-	-	0.11	-	-

to growth characters and K rates influenced growth vigor mostly through leaf area and dry matter production. The maximum grain yield was recorded in treatment combination T<sub>1</sub> (HHHHH) (80-100% FC at all growth stages) and K<sub>2</sub> (Potassium at 300 kg/ha) that was 10.73 and 11.26 g/pot during 2010-2011 and 2011-12, respectively. It was statistically significant from all other treatment combinations during both years. The treatment combination T<sub>1</sub> at K<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> at K<sub>0</sub> was statistically at par with each other during both years. Our results are supported by Kazemini and Edalat (2011) who reported the highest grain yield (4333 kg/ha) with T<sub>1</sub> (100% FC in all growth stages) while minimum was recorded (1,377 kg/ha) with T<sub>13</sub> (50% FC in all growth stages). Grain yield was decreased with decreasing amount of water. The maximum harvest index was recorded in treatment combination T<sub>1</sub> (HHHHH) (80-100% FC at all growth stages) and K<sub>2</sub> (Potassium at 300 kg/ha) that was 37.52 and 38.82% during 2010-11 and 2011-12, respectively. The second best treatment was T<sub>2</sub> (MMMMM) (70-80% FC at all growth stages) at K<sub>2</sub> which showed 35.27 and 36.39% during first and second year, respectively. Work of Zhang *et al.* (2006) supported the results of current study. They observed 23.5-27.3% improvement in harvest index of wheat grown under regulated deficit irrigation. Less harvest index was also observed with deficit irrigation at vegetative and reproductive stage that was 39% (Moghaddam *et al.*, 2012).

**Crop coefficient (K<sub>c</sub>) of wheat at six stages:** Data presented in Table 3 showed that RDI and potassium had significant effect on crop coefficient (K<sub>c</sub>) at jointing,

booting, heading, grain filling and maturity stages (except germination/initial stage). At jointing stage, K<sub>c</sub> ranged from 0.56-0.93 and 0.56-0.95, respectively during 2010-11 and 2011-12. At this stage, the maximum K<sub>c</sub> was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (HHHHH) at K<sub>2</sub> during 2010-11 and 2011-12. The K<sub>c</sub> ranged from 1.07-1.18 and 1.09-1.20 at booting stage during first and second year. At heading stage highest K<sub>c</sub> was observed because at this stage the vegetative growth reached at maximum level and K<sub>c</sub> ranged 1.09-1.22 and 1.11-1.24, respectively during 2010-11 and 2011-12. The treatment T<sub>2</sub> at K<sub>2</sub> showed maximum K<sub>c</sub> value during both years at heading. At grain filling stage, K<sub>c</sub> value showed decline because crop tended toward maturity. The K<sub>c</sub> value ranged from 0.70-1.03 and 0.71-1.1.05 at grain filling during both the years, respectively. At maturity, the K<sub>c</sub> value ranged from 0.39-0.72 and 0.40-0.74, respectively during 2010-11 and 2011-12. During both years, the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (80-100% FC at all growth stages) at K<sub>2</sub> showed highest K<sub>c</sub> value except heading stage where treatment T<sub>3</sub> (MMMMM) at K<sub>2</sub> showed maximum value of K<sub>c</sub>. The higher value of K<sub>c</sub> at heading in T<sub>2</sub> with K<sub>2</sub> might be due to the availability of soil moisture because the moisture content of this treatment reached at minimum level that was 70% of FC (field capacity) and irrigation was applied to raise the moisture content at upper level of FC that was 80% of FC. Our results are supported by those of Doorenbos and Pruitt (1977) who divided the crop coefficient (K<sub>c</sub>) curve into four stages: Initial, crop development, mid and end-season stages. Similarly, Li *et al.* (2003) calculated K<sub>c</sub> values were 0.55, 1.03, 1.19 and 0.65 for the initial, crop development, mid-season and late-season stages, respectively. In other study, K<sub>c</sub>

Table 3: Effect of RDl and Potassium on crop coefficient (Kc) of wheat at six stages under pot experiment during 2010-11 and 2011-12

Treatments	Stages																													
	K <sub>1</sub>						K <sub>2</sub>						K <sub>3</sub>																	
	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6												
T <sub>1</sub> (HHHH)	0.56	0.9	1.12	1.13	1.01	0.71	0.57	0.91	1.15	1.17	1.02	0.72	0.58	0.93	1.18	1.18	1.03	0.73												
T <sub>2</sub> (MMMM)	0.56	0.57	1.11	1.13	0.9	0.61	0.57	0.58	1.14	1.17	0.91	0.62	0.58	0.59	1.17	1.22	0.92	0.63												
T <sub>3</sub> (LLLL)	0.55	0.56	1.07	1.09	0.7	0.39	0.56	0.57	1.08	1.1	0.71	0.4	0.57	0.58	1.09	1.11	0.72	0.41												
LSD (p<0.05)	ns	0.01	0.01	0.011	0.011	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-												
2011-12																														
T <sub>1</sub> (HHHH)	0.57	0.92	1.14	1.15	1.03	0.72	0.58	0.93	1.17	1.19	1.04	0.73	0.59	0.95	1.2	1.2	1.05	0.74												
T <sub>2</sub> (MMMM)	0.57	0.58	1.13	1.15	0.92	0.62	0.58	0.59	1.16	1.19	0.93	0.63	0.59	0.6	1.19	1.24	0.94	0.64												
T <sub>3</sub> (LLLL)	0.56	0.57	1.09	1.11	0.71	0.40	0.57	0.58	1.1	1.12	0.72	0.41	0.58	0.59	1.11	1.13	0.73	0.42												
LSD (p<0.05)	ns	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-												
S1: Germination stage	S2: Jointing						S3: Booting						S4: Heading						S5: Grain filling						S6: Maturity stage					

Table 4: Effect of RDl and Potassium on evapotranspiration (ETa) of wheat at six stages under pot experiment during 2010-11 and 2011-12 (mm)

Treatments	Stages																	
	K <sub>1</sub>						K <sub>2</sub>						K <sub>3</sub>					
	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6
T <sub>1</sub> (HHHH)	13.4	33.7	18.1	64.1	48.4	89.7	13.7	34	18.6	66.3	48.9	91	13.9	34.8	19.1	66.9	49.3	92.3
T <sub>2</sub> (MMMM)	13.4	21.3	18	64.1	43.1	77.1	13.7	21.7	18.5	66.3	43.6	78.4	13.9	22.1	19	69.2	44.1	79.6
T <sub>3</sub> (LLLL)	13.2	20.9	17.3	61.8	33.5	49.3	13.4	21.3	17.5	62.4	34	50.6	13.7	21.7	17.7	62.9	34.5	51.8
LSD (p<0.05)	0.04	0.18	0.05	0.11	0.19	0.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2011-12																		
T <sub>1</sub> (HHHH)	13	34	22.2	65.6	61.9	85.8	13.2	34.4	22.8	67.8	62.5	87	13.5	35.2	23.4	68.4	63.1	88.2
T <sub>2</sub> (MMMM)	13	21.5	22	65.6	55.3	73.9	13.2	21.8	22.6	67.8	55.9	75.1	13.5	22.2	23.2	70.7	56.5	76.3
T <sub>3</sub> (LLLL)	12.8	21.1	21.3	63.3	42.7	47.7	13	21.5	21.5	63.8	43.3	48.9	13.2	21.8	21.6	64.4	43.9	50.1
LSD (p<0.05)	0.01	0.18	0.06	0.11	0.25	0.21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

values were determined over the growing seasons varied from 0.1 to 1.7 for wheat (Ko *et al.*, 2009). They reported that the development of regionally based and growth-stage-specific Kc helps in irrigation management and provides precise water applications.

**Evapotranspiration (ETa) of wheat:** Data given in Table 4 revealed that RDI, potassium and their interaction have significant effect on ETa at jointing, booting, heading, grain filling and maturity stage except germination/ initial stage. The ETa ranged from 20.9-34.8 and 21.1-35.2 mm at jointing, 17.3-19.1 and 21.3-23.4 mm at booting, 61.8-69.2 and 63.3-70.7 mm at heading, 33.5-49.3 and 42.7-63.1 mm at grain filling and 49.3-92.3 and 47.7-88.2 mm at maturity stage, respectively during 2010-11 and 2011-12 in Winter seasons. In case of seasonal (Table 5), the ETa ranged 196.0-276.3 and 208.9-291.8 mm, respectively during 2010-11 and 2011-12 in winter seasons. The maximum ETa was observed in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (HHHHH) (80-100% FC at all growth stages) at K<sub>2</sub> during both years. It was followed in descending order by T<sub>1</sub> at K<sub>1</sub>, K<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> (MMMMM) at K<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>1</sub>, K<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> (LLLLL) at K<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>0</sub> during 2010-11. During 2011-12, it was followed in descending order by T<sub>1</sub> at K<sub>1</sub>, K<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> at K<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> at K<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>0</sub>. Evapotranspiration, an important aspect of water balance and a key factor to determine proper irrigation schedule and to improve water use efficiency in irrigated agriculture is successfully estimated by the crop coefficient- reference evapotranspiration (K<sub>c</sub>-ET<sub>0</sub>) method. Our results are in line with Liu and Zhang (2002) who reported that total water consumption averaged 453 and 423 mm for Winter wheat without water deficit. Evaporation from the soil surface took up 29.7% of the total evapotranspiration for Winter wheat equaling an annual loss of more than 250 mm water. Thus, reducing soil evaporation could be one of the most important water-saving measures in this serious water deficit era.

**Water use efficiency (WUE) kg/m<sup>3</sup>:** It was observed from the data presented in Table-6 that RDI, Potassium rate and their interaction have a significant effect on water use efficiency (WUE) of wheat crop. The treatment T<sub>2</sub> (MMMMM) at K<sub>2</sub> showed the maximum WUE of 1.02 Kg/m<sup>3</sup> during 2010-11 and 2011-12. It was followed by T<sub>1</sub> at K<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> with K<sub>2</sub> which showed 1.02, 0.98, 1.01 and 0.96 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively during first and second year. Our results are in conformity with those of Du *et al.* (2010) who reported that water deficit in any stage might improve WUE with slight reduction in grain yield of winter wheat. Water deficit at planting-stem elongation stage is the best choice for improved WUE and its increment also decreased with deficit irrigation treatments. Moreover, WUE under severe water deficit at seedling-stem elongation, stem elongation-booting and booting-milking reduced by 5.61, 9.25 and 10.07%, respectively,

Table 5: Effect of RDI and Potassium on seasonal evapotranspiration (ETa) of wheat under pot experiment during 2011-12 and 2011-12 (mm)

Treatments	2010-11			2011-12		
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>
T <sub>1</sub> (HHHHH)	267.4	272.5	276.3	282.5	287.7	291.8
T <sub>2</sub> (MMMMM)	237.0	242.2	247.9	251.3	256.4	262.4
T <sub>3</sub> (LLLLL)	196.0	199.2	202.3	208.9	212.0	215.0
LSD (p<0.05)	0.55	-	-	0.59	-	-

Table 6: Effect of RDI and potassium on Water Use Efficiency (WUE) of wheat under pot experiment during 2010-11 and 2011-12 (kg/m)

Treatments	2010-11			2011-12		
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>
T <sub>1</sub> (HHHHH)	0.99	0.98	1.02	0.98	0.96	1.01
T <sub>2</sub> (MMMMM)	1.01	1.00	1.02	0.98	1.00	1.02
T <sub>3</sub> (LLLLL)	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.94	0.95	0.96
LSD (p<0.05)	0.021	-	-	0.01	-	-

which implied that severe water deficit was not suitable at any stage. Mild water deficit at seedling-stem elongation and milking-harvesting stage decreased WUE by 3.22 and 3.64%, but it produced 21.5% more grain yield under mild water deficit at seedling-stem elongation than that under milking-harvesting stage (Karrou *et al.*, 2012; Quanqi *et al.*, 2010).

**Root mass (g/cm<sup>3</sup>) and Length density (cm/cm<sup>3</sup>):** Root mass and length density of wheat was significantly affected by irrigation and potassium levels during 2010-11 and 2011-12 as given in Table 7. Root mass density was ranged from 0.81-1.07 and 0.85-1.12 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively, during first and second year. The treatment combination T<sub>3</sub> (LLLLL) at K<sub>2</sub> showed the highest root mass density. The treatment T<sub>3</sub> at K<sub>2</sub> followed in descending order by T<sub>3</sub> at K<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> (MMMMM) at K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> (HHHHH) at K<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> at K<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> at K<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> at K<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> at K<sub>0</sub> during 2010-11. Similar trend was observed during 2011-12. Root length density ranged 2.32-3.05 and 2.43-3.20, respectively during 2010-11 and 2011-12. The treatment combination T<sub>3</sub> (LLLLL) at K<sub>2</sub> showed the highest root length density that was 3.05 and 3.20 cm/cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively during 2010-11 and 2011-12 while minimum was reported in treatment combination T<sub>1</sub> (HHHHH) at K<sub>0</sub> that was 2.32 and 2.43 cm/cm<sup>3</sup> during 2010-11 and 2011-12. It was followed in descending order by T<sub>3</sub> at K<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> (MMMMM) at K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> (HHHHH) at K<sub>2</sub> and K<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> at K<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> at K<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> at K<sub>0</sub>, respectively during both years. The increase in root mass and length density in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (LLLLL) might be due to regulated deficit irrigation because root growth was more under deficit irrigation to fulfil the evapotranspiration demand of crop. Our results are in agreement with Xue *et al.* (2003) who reported that irrigation significantly affected the rooting pattern. At booting, root length density in rain fed plot was higher than the irrigated plot. The irrigation during later part of winter wheat growing seasons and increase in irrigation

Table 7: Effect of RDI and Potassium on root mass density and root length density under lysimeter condition

Treatments	Root mass density (g/cm)			Root length density (cm/cm)		
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>
	----- 2010-11 -----					
T <sub>1</sub> (HHHHH)	0.81	0.98	1.01	2.32	2.80	2.84
T <sub>2</sub> (MMMMM)	0.87	1.02	1.01	2.48	2.90	2.89
T <sub>3</sub> (LLLLL)	0.95	1.05	1.07	2.72	2.99	3.05
LSD (p<0.05)	0.083	-	-	0.074	-	-
	----- 2011-12 -----					
T <sub>1</sub> (HHHHH)	0.85	1.03	1.05	2.43	2.94	2.99
T <sub>2</sub> (MMMMM)	0.91	1.07	1.06	2.60	3.05	3.03
T <sub>3</sub> (LLLLL)	1.02	1.10	1.12	2.86	3.14	3.20
LSD (p<0.05)	0.052	-	-	0.079	-	-

frequency decreased the available soil water due to change in vertical distribution of root length density. They also observed increase in root length density with 3 times irrigation at jointing, heading and milking stage in soil profile <30 cm depth. The highest root length density was observed in soil profile >30 cm depth with single irrigation at jointing stage (Quanqi *et al.*, 2010). Previous studies also showed that soil drying at early stage stimulated root growth, particularly the root growth in the deeper soil profile (Zhang *et al.*, 1998). The positive correlation of RWD and RLD with proper irrigation is evident from many studies (Sangakkara *et al.*, 2010).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is fully funded by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan.

#### REFERENCES

Anonymous, 2010-11. Economic survey of Pakistan 2010-11. Govt. of Pakistan, Finance division, economic advisory wing, Islamabad.

Archer, D.R., N. Forsythe, H.J. Fowler and S.M. Shah, 2010. Sustainability of water resources management in the Indus basin under changing climatic and socioeconomic conditions. *Hydrol. and Earth System Sci. Discuss.*, 7: 1883-1912.

Arora, V.K. and P.R. Gajri, 1998. Evaluation of a crop growth-water balance model for analyzing wheat responses to climate and water-limited environment. *Field Crops Res.*, 59: 213-224.

Allen, R.G., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes and M. Smith, 1998. *Crop evapotranspiration: Guide for computing crop water requirements*. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56, Rome, Italy.

Asce-Ewri, 2005. The ASCE Standardized Reference Evapotranspiration Equation. Environment and Water Resources Institute (EWRI) of ASCE, Standardization of Reference Evapotranspiration Task Committee Final Rep. Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.

Alderfasi, A.A. and Y.A. Refay, 2010. Integrated use of potassium fertilizer and water schedules on growth and yield of two wheat genotypes under arid environment in Saudi Arabia 1-Effect on growth characters. *Am. Eur. J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, 9: 239-247.

Chennafi, H., A. Aidaoui, H. Bouzerzour and A. Saci, 2006. Yield response of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* desf.) cultivar Waha to deficit irrigation under semi arid growth conditions. *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, 5: 854-860.

Deng, X., L. Shan, S. Inanaga and M. Inoue, 2004. Water saving approaches for improving wheat production. *J. Sci. Food and Agric.*, 85: 1379-1388.

Dwyer, L.M., D.W. Stewart and D. Balchin, 1987. Accurately monitoring and maintaining soil water in greenhouse containers. *Can. Agric. Eng.*, 29: 89-91.

Dane, J.H. and J.W. Hopmans, 2002. Laboratory determination of water retention. In: Dane, J.H. and G.C. Topp (eds.), *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 4, Physical Methods*. Soil Sci. Soc. Am., Inc., Madison, WI, USA. p: 671-720.

Doorenbos, J. and W.H. Pruitt, 1977. *Crop water requirements*. FAO irrigation and drainage paper No. 24. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 179.

Du, T., S. Kang, J. Sun, X. Zhang and J. Zhang, 2010. An improved water use efficiency of cereals under temporal and spatial deficit irrigation in north China. *Agric. Water Manage.*, 97: 66-74.

El-Abady, M., S.E. Seadh, A.E. Ward, A. Ibrahim and A.M.E.E. Ahmed, 2009. Irrigation withholding and potassium foliar application effects on wheat yield and quality. *Int. J. Sustain. Crop. Prod.*, 4: 33-39.

English, M. and S.N. Raja, 1996. Perspectives on deficit irrigation. *Agric. Water Manage.*, 32: 1-14.

Eck, H.V., A.C. Mathers and J.T. Musick, 1987. Plant water stress at various growth stages and growth and yield of soybean. *Field Crop Res.* 17:1-16.

Egila, J.N., F.T. Jr. Davies and M.C. Drew, 2001. Effect of potassium on drought resistance of *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* cv. Leprechaun: Plant growth, leaf macro and micronutrient content and root longevity. *Plant and Soil*, 229: 213-224.

Fereres, E. and M.A. Soriano, 2007. Deficit irrigation for reducing agricultural water use. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 58: 147-159.

Hussain, G., A.A. Al-Jaloud, S.F. Al-Shammary and S. Karimulla, 1995. Effect of saline irrigation on the biomass yield and the protein, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium composition of alfalfa in a pot experiment. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 18: 2389-2408.



- Jensen, M.E. 1968. Water consumption by agricultural plants. In: Kozlowski, T.T. (ed.), *Water Deficits and Plant Growth*, Vol. II. Academic Press, Inc., New York, NY, USA. pp: 1-22.
- Kant, S. and U. Kafkafi, 2002. Potassium and abiotic stresses in plants. Pasricha, N.S. and S.K. Bansal (eds.), *Role of potassium in nutrient management for sustainable crop production in India*, Potash Research Institute of India, Gurgaon, Haryana, India.
- Kafkafi, U., 1991. Root growth under stress. pp: 375-391. In: Waisel, Y., A. Eshel and U. Kafkafi (eds.). *Plant Roots, The Hidden Half*. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, USA.
- Kazemeini, S.A. and M. Edalat, 2011. Effect of deficit irrigation at different growth stages on wheat growth and yield. *J. Life Sci.*, 5: 35-38.
- Karrou, M., T. Oweis, R.A. El-Enein and M. Sherif, 2012. Yield and water productivity of maize and wheat under deficit and raised bed irrigation practices in Egypt. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.*, 7: 1755-1760.
- Ko, J., G. Piccinni, T. Marek and T. Howell, 2009. Determination of growth-stage-specific crop coefficients (Kc) of cotton and wheat. *Agric. Water Manage.*, 96: 1691-1697.
- Li, Yu-Lin, J.Y. Cui, T.H. Zhang and H.L. Zhao, 2003. Measurement of evapotranspiration of irrigated spring wheat and maize in a semi-arid region of north China. *Agric. Water Manage.*, 61: 1-12.
- Liu, C., X. Zhang and Y. Zhang, 2002. Determination of daily evaporation and evapotranspiration of winter wheat and maize by large-scale weighing lysimeter and micro-lysimeter. *Agric. For. Met.*, 111: 109-120.
- Marschner, H., 1995. *Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants*. 2nd Ed. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA.
- Mackay, A.D. and S.A. Barber, 1985. Soil moisture effects on potassium uptake by corn. *Agro. J.*, 77: 524-527.
- Marek, T., G. Piccinni, A. Schneider, T. Howell, M. Jett and D. Dusek, 2006. Weighing lysimeters for the determination of crop water requirements and crop coefficients. *Appl. Eng. Agric.*, 22: 851-856.
- Moghaddam, H.A., M. Galavi, M. Soluki, B.A. Siahisar, S.M.M. Nik and M. Heidari, 2012. Effects of deficit irrigation on yield, yield components and some morphological traits of Wheat cultivars under field conditions. *Int. J. Agric. Res. and Rev.*, 2: 825-833.
- Ogola, J.B.O., T.R. Wheeler and P.M. Harris, 2002. Effects of nitrogen and irrigation on water use of maize crops. *Field Crops Res.*, 78: 105-117.
- Qureshi, A.S., 2011. *Water management in the Indus Basin in Pakistan: Challenges and opportunities*. Mountain Res. Develop., 31: 252-260.
- Quanqi, L., D. Baodi, Q. Yunzhou, L. Mengyu and Z. Jiwang, 2010. Root growth, available soil water and water use efficiency of winter wheat under different irrigation regimes applied at different growth stages in North China. *Agric. Water Manage.*, 97: 1676-1682.
- Salisbury, F.B. and C.W. Ross, 2005. *Plant physiology*. Eastern Press Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, India.
- Steel, R.G.D., J.H. Torrie and D.A. Dicky, 1997. *Principles and Procedures of Statistics-A Biometrical Approach*. 3rd Ed. McGraw Hill Book Int. Co. Singapore., p: 204-227.
- Sangakkara, U.R., P. Amarasekera and P. Stamp, 2010. Irrigation regimes affect early root development, shoot growth and yields of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in tropical minor seasons. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 56: 228-234.
- Tariq, J.A. and K. Usman, 2009. Regulated deficit irrigation scheduling of maize crop. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 25: 441-450.
- Umar, S. and M.U. Din, 2002. Genotypic differences in yield and quality of groundnut as affected by potassium nutrition under erratic rainfall conditions. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 25: 1549-1562.
- Watson, I. and A.D. Burnett, 1995. *Hydrology: An Environmental Approach*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Williams, J., R.E. Prebble, W.T. Williams and C.T. Hignett, 1983. The influence of texture, structure and clay mineralogy on the soil moisture characteristic. *Australian J. Soil Res.*, 20: 15-32.
- Xue, Q., Z. Zhu, J.T. Musick, B.A. Stewart and D.A. Dusek, 2003. Root growth and water uptake in winter wheat under deficit irrigation. *Plant and Soil*, 257: 151-161.
- Zwart, S.J. and W.G.M. Bastiaanssen, 2004. Review of measured crop water productivity values for irrigated wheat, rice, cotton and maize. *Agric. Water Manage.*, 69: 115-133.
- Zhang, B.C., F.M. Li, G.B. Huang, Y. Gan, P.H. Liu and Z.Y. Cheng, 2005. Effects of regulated deficit irrigation on grain yield and water use efficiency of spring wheat in an arid environment. *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 85: 829-837.
- Zhang, B., F.M. Li, G. Huang, Z.Y. Cheng and Y. Zhang, 2006. Yield performance of spring wheat improved by regulated deficit irrigation in an arid area. *Agric. Water Manage.*, 79: 28-42.
- Zhang, J., X. Sui, B. Li, B. Su, J. Li and D. Zhou, 1998. An improved water-use efficiency for winter wheat grown under reduced irrigation. *Field Crops Res.*, 59: 91-98.