

Plant Pathology Journal

ISSN 1812-5387





Seed-Borne Infection of Farmer-Saved Maize Seeds by Pathogenic Fungi and Their Transmission to Seedlings

¹I. Somda, ²J. Sanou and ³P. Sanon

¹Institut du développement Rural, Université Polytechnique de Bobo-Dioulasso,

BP 1091, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso

²Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles, CRREA-ouest,

Farakô-Ba, BP 910, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso

³Centre Agricole Polyvalent de Matourkou, BP 130, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso

Abstract: Seed-borne infection of maize (Zea mays L.) by fungal pathogens was studied using 22 seed samples of maize collected from different locations in Burkina Faso. The moist blotter test was used to detect fungi on seeds. Experiment was also performed on seedlings raised from naturally infected maize seed samples in order to evaluate the transmission of fungi from seeds to seedlings. Ten pathogenic fungi were recorded on seeds and consisted of Acremonium strictum (infection ranging from 2 to 96%), Bipolaris maydis (1 to 30%), Botryodiplodia theobromae (1 to 17%), Colletotrichum graminicola (2 to 8%), Curvularia sp. (1 to 39%), Exserohilum rostratum (1 to 13%), Fusarium moniliforme (38 to 99%), F. equiseti (1 to 15%), F. pallidoroseum (1 to 23%) and Phoma sp. (2 to 50%). Aspergillus flavus (1 to 99%), A. niger (1 to 99%), Cladosporium sp. (1 to 93%), Penicillium sp. (12 to 100%) and Rhizopus sp. (1 to 51%) were the saprophytic fungi detected. Rates of seedling infection by fungal pathogens were low. Seed samples with 30% infection by B. maydis, 8% infection by C. graminicola and 26% infection by Phoma sp. resulted in 2, 2 and 16% leaf infections, respectively.

Key words: Maize, seed-borne fungi, seed transmission, seed infection, seedling infection

INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is the third most important crop in Burkina Faso regarding the production. It is an important staple for the population. Worldwide, maize is attacked by many pathogens which are seed-borne (Richardson, 1990). Leaf blights and spots caused by different species of Bipolaris, Curvularia, Exserohilum and Colletotrichum graminicola (Ces.) Wilson are endemic in maize growing areas (Carson, 2000). Southern leaf blight caused by Bipolaris maydis (Nisik. and Miyake) Shoem. (teleomorph Cochliobolus heterotrophus (Drechs.) Drechs.), is one of the most damaging diseases in Burkina Faso (Sanou, 1996). Efforts have been made by the breeders to develop high yielding hybrids of maize with high level of tolerance to this disease.

Despite the production of certified seeds of improved varieties of maize, small-scale farmers continue to produce and use their own seeds without knowing the health status of the seed lot. Moreover, so far no work has been done in Burkina Faso to evaluate the health status of maize seeds from formal and informal seed production sectors. Since seed could play an important role in the epidemics in fields, good quality and healthy seed of maize should be released to farmers in order to secure their outputs.

Systemic transmission of fungi from seeds to seedlings is well-documented (Maude and Humpherson-Jones, 1980; Chung and Lee, 1983; Manandhar et al., 1998; Shrestha et al., 2000). Transmission of Alternaria brassicae (Berk.) Sacc. from Brassica oleracea L. seeds to seedlings has been demonstrated (Maude and Humpherson-Jones, 1980; Shrestha et al., 2000). In many pathosystems, seedling phase of the diseases is very important in the development of epidemics.

The aim of this study was to examine the incidence of seed-borne fungi in maize seeds collected from different locations of Burkina Faso and to evaluate the rates of seed-to-seedling transmission of some fungal pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seed infection

Collection of seed samples: Twenty two seed samples of varieties and landraces of maize (Table 1) were collected

Corresponding Author: Dr. Irénée SOMDA, Plant Pathologist, Institut du Développement Rural,

from different locations in the Houet province, West region of Burkina Faso. The samples were obtained from farmers and breeders at the Institute of Environment and Agricultural Researches (INERA) at Farakô-Ba, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso. They were stored at 5°C until use.

Seed health testing: Seed-borne fungi were detected using the blotter method (ISTA, 1999; Mathur and Kongsdal, 2003). Two hundred seeds were randomly taken from each sample. The plates were incubated 24 h at 21-22°C, then deep-frozen 24 h before final incubation at 21-22°C under cycles of 12 h darkness and 12 h near UV-light (Philips TLD 36W/08, Philips Lighting B.V., Roosendaal, The Netherlands). After 5 days of incubation under alternating light conditions, the seeds were examined for fungal growth under stereomicroscope. Identification of fungi was based on their habit character on seeds and microscopic examinations of conidia following Mathur and Kongsdal (2003). According to the authors, seed bearing a fruiting body (conidia, sclerotia, pycnidia or dictyochlamydospores) is considered as infested by the given fungus. Infestation levels were recorded as percentage of infested seeds in each sample.

Seed-to-seedling transmission

Seed samples tested: Three seed samples (Die1, Pal2 and Mat4-2b) having 8, 26 and 30% natural infestation by *Colletotrichum graminicola*, *Phoma* sp. and *Bipolaris maydis*, respectively, were used (Table 2).

Detection of seedling latent infection by pathogenic fungi: Maize seedlings were cultivated under greenhouse conditions at 28±2°C. Five seeds were sown in each 11×11 ×12 cm plastic pot containing sand previously autoclaved at 120°C for 30 min. In total, 100 seeds were sown per sample tested. Leaves and stems of seedlings were collected, respectively 10 and 14 days after sowing. These plant parts were cut in pieces approximately 2 cm long. Leaf pieces were directly plated, whereas stem pieces were surface-disinfected in 70% ethanol for 30 s and in 1% sodium hypochloride for 1 min and dried with sterile blotter papers. Leaf and stem fragments were placed on three layers of moist blotter paper placed in 90 mm Petri dish. The dishes were incubated at 22-25°C under cycles of 12 h darkness and near UV-light as described for seed health testing. Stem and leaf fragments were recorded, respectively 5 and 10 days after incubation, under stereomicroscope for the presence or absence of fungi on each sample. Infection levels were recorded as percentage of infected leaves and stems in each sample.

Table 1: Characteristics of the seed samples collected in December 2003 from different locations of the Houet and Bougouriba provinces,

Burkina Faso

| Location | Accession | Variety | Destination | Type |
|---------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|--------|
| Dafinso | Daf4 | Obatanpa | Food production | White |
| | Daf5 | ND | Food production | White |
| Karankasso | Ksb1 | KPB | Food production | White |
| Sambla | | | | |
| Kiri | Kir4 | ND | Food production | Yellow |
| Koro | Kor4 | FBC 6 | Food production | Yellow |
| Lena | Len 5-2b | SR 21 | Seed production | White |
| Nasso | Nas 3-2r | Local | Food production | Red |
| Séguéré | Seg 2 | Local | Food production | Yellow |
| | Seg 5 | Obatanpa | Seed production | White |
| Padéma | Pad 3-1b | ND | Food production | White |
| Samaga | Sam 1 | SR 21 | Food production | White |
| | Sam 2 | Local | Food production | Yellow |
| | Sam 3 | Local | Food production | Yellow |
| | Sam 4 | SR 21 | Food production | White |
| Vallée du Kou | VDK 3 | SR 21 | Food production | White |
| | m VDK~sb | SR 21 | Seed production | White |
| Matourkou | Mat 2 | Hybrid | Seed production | White |
| | Mat4-2b* | SR 21 | Seed production | White |
| Palla | Pal 1 | Local | Food production | Yellow |
| | Pal 2* | Local | Food production | White |
| Yéguéresso | Yeg 5 | SR 22 | Food production | White |
| Diébougou | Dié1* | ND | Food production | Yellow |

^{*:} Seed samples used for testing seed-to-seedling transmission; ND: Not determined

Table 2: Characteristics of the seed samples used for the experiment on seed-to-seedling transmission

| | Seed samples⁴ | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Fungi | Mat4-2b | Pal2 | Dié1 | | | | | | |
| Bipolaris maydis | 0 | 1 | 30 | | | | | | |
| Colletotrichum graminicola | 0 | 8 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Curvularia sp. | 0 | 0 | 39 | | | | | | |
| Exserohilum rostratum | 0 | 0 | 13 | | | | | | |
| Fusarium moniliforme | 97 | 82 | 38 | | | | | | |
| Phoma sp. | 26 | 3 | 7 | | | | | | |

a: The seed samples were selected based on the infection levels of target fungi

RESULTS

Seed infestation

Frequency of infestation: All seed samples were infested at different levels of infestation (Table 3). Ten pathogenic and five saprophytic fungi were recorded. Among the pathogenic fungi, Acremonium strictum W. Gams and Fusarium moniliforme Sheldom were the most frequent (100% infected samples, respectively), followed by Phoma sp., Fusarium equiseti (Corda) Sacc., F. pallidoroseum (Cooke) Sacc., Botryodiplodia theobromae Pat. and Curvularia sp. The less frequent pathogens were Colletotrichum graminicola, Exserohilum rostratum (Drechsler) Leonard and Suggs and Bipolaris maydis (Table 3). Aspergillus niger van Tieghem and Penicillium sp. were the saprophytic fungi which occurred on all samples tested (Table 3).

Table 3: Percent infestation by fungi recorded in 22 samples of maize collected from different locations of the Houet and Bougouriba provinces, Burkina Faso (200 seeds tested per sample)

Percent infestation of maize seed samples collected from different locations^a

| | Percent infestation of maize seed samples collected from different locations ^a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|----|----|-----|----|-----------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|---------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Mat - | | | | VDK | | | Len | | | Pad | | Infected seed |
| Fungi | Kir 4 | Kor 4 | Pal : | 1 Pal 2 | Sam 1 | Sam 2 | Sam 3 | Sam 4 | Daf 4 | 4 Daf 5 | 5 2b | Mat 2 | 2r | 3 | sb | Ye | g 5 Ksb 1 | 5-2b | Seg 5 | Seg 2 | 3-1b | Dié 1 | samples (%) |
| Pathogenic fungi | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acremonium strictum | 46 | 96 | 39 | 50 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 37 | 50 | 4 | 16 | 15 | 74 | 29 | 80 | 14 | 31 | 79 | 28 | 74 | 47 | 100 |
| Bipolaris maydis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 18 |
| Botryodiplodia theobromae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 68 |
| Colletotrichum graminicola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Curvularia sp. | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 50 |
| Exserohilum rostratum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 14 |
| Fusarium equiseti | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 68 |
| Fusarium moniliforme | 67 | 94 | 90 | 82 | 95 | 95 | 92 | 93 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 95 | 99 | 92 | 88 | 94 | 98 | 89 | 97 | 98 | 96 | 38 | 100 |
| Fusarium pallidoroseum | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 68 |
| Phoma sp. | 7 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 50 | 11 | 31 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 77 |
| Saprophytic fungi | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aspergillus flavus | 65 | 8 | 24 | 37 | 19 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 85 | 90 | 26 | 99 | 30 | 11 | 71 | 8 | 6 | 86 |
| Aspergillus niger | 42 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 43 | 10 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 62 | 1 | 57 | 91 | 2 | 99 | 9 | 14 | 53 | 1 | 13 | 100 |
| Cladosporium sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 25 | 68 |
| Penicillium sp. | 18 | 12 | 100 | 94 | 90 | 91 | 76 | 82 | 98 | 97 | 93 | 98 | 99 | 74 | 83 | 28 | 98 | 93 | 43 | 84 | 41 | 15 | 100 |
| Rhizopus sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 51 | 43 | 2 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 77 |

^a Kir = Kiri, Kor = Koro, Pal = Pala, Sam = Samaga, Daf = Dafinso, Mat = Matourkou, Nas = Nasso, VDK = Vallée du Kou, Yeg = Yéguéresso, Ksb = Karankasso Sambla, Len = Lena, Seg = Séguéré, Pad = Padéma, Dié = Diébougou

Table 4: Detection of latent infection of pathogenic fungi 10 and 14 days after sowing on stems and leaves of maize seedlings raised from naturally infected maize seeds

| maize seeds | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Percent infection of plant materials by pathogenic fungi ^a | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Mat4-2b | | | Pal2 | | | Dié1 | | | | | | |
| Fungi | Seed (200) ^b | Stem (77) | Leaf (75) | Seed (200) | Stem (93) | Leaf (93) | Seed (200) | Stem (94) | Leaf (93) | | | | |
| Acremonium strictum | 4 | 13 | 11 | 50 | 49 | 10 | 47 | 72 | 15 | | | | |
| Bipolaris maydis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 1 | 2 | | | | |
| Botryodiplodia theobromae | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Colletotrichum graminicola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Curvularia sp. | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 34 | 39 | 7 | 30 | | | | |
| Exserohilum rostratum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 6 | | | | |
| Fusarium equiseti | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | | | | |
| Fusarium moniliforme | 97 | 23 | 51 | 82 | 53 | 62 | 38 | 64 | 61 | | | | |
| Fusarium pallidoroseum | 1 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Phoma sp. | 26 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 29 | 7 | 5 | 17 | | | | |

^{a:} Based on the total number of plant organs tested; ^b: Total number of plant organs tested

Percent seed infestation: All 22 samples were heavily infested by F. moniliforme (38 to 99%) and A. strictum (2 to 96%) (Table 3). The percent infestation by Phoma sp. ranged from 3 to 50%. Infestation levels of 1 to 23% of F. pallidoroseum, 1 to 15% of F. equiseti and 1 to 17% of B. theobromae were detected in 15, 14 and 14 samples, respectively. In samples collected in Houet province, B. maydis, E. rostratum and Curvularia sp. were found in very low amounts (1% in 2 samples, 1 to 4% in 3 samples and 1 to 6% in 10 samples, respectively), while sample Diél from Diébougou exhibited the highest level of infestation by B. maydis (30%). Two seed samples were infested by C. graminicola (2 and 8% infestation rates, respectively). Samples VDK3 from Vallée du Kou and Dié1 from Diébougou were the most infested regarding infestation rates and number of pathogens detected (Table 3). In general, infestation rates by saprophytic fungi were very high. Penicillium sp. infested all samples with percent infestation ranging from 12 to 100% (Table 3). A. niger and A. flavus Link ex Fr. were found with highly variable infestation levels (1 to 99%). High infestation by Cladosporium sp. was detected in sample Sam1 (93%) while Rhizopus sp. was encountered in moderate levels of incidence in samples VDK3 (51%), Ksbl (47%) and VDK sb (43%).

Detection of seedling latent infection by pathogenic fungi: Latent infection was confirmed when 14 days old seedlings, raised from naturally infected seeds under greenhouse conditions, were analysed for pathogenic fungi using the blotter method (Table 4). Across samples, 10 pathogenic fungi detected on maize seeds were recovered on seedlings. Apart from *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, seed infestation by other pathogenic fungi generally resulted in plant infection. In some instances, plants raised from apparently healthy seeds were infected by *Curvularia sp.* and *Exserohilum rostratum*. The most frequent fungal species found on plant materials, across

samples, were A. strictum (10 to 72%), F. moniliforme (23 to 64%), Curvularia sp. (6 to 34%) and Phoma sp. (1 to 29%). Results revealed that pathogens are encountered on

stems and leaves with variable percentages according to fungal species, plant material and seed sample. A. strictum (72% of stem infection) and F. moniliforme (64%) were most transmitted to stems of seedlings raised from sample Diél. Although encountered on seeds of two samples, Botryodiplodia theobromae was not detected on both stems and leaves (Table 4).

Across samples, *Phoma* sp., *Exserohilum rostratum*, *Curvularia* sp. and *Bipolaris maydis* were prevalent on leaves. Low levels of seedling infection by *C. graminicola* and *B. maydis* were observed.

DISCUSSION

Twenty two maize seed samples collected from 14 locations in the Houet and Bougouriba provinces of Burkina Faso were examined for incidence of seed-borne fungi using the deep-freeze blotter method. All samples were infested by pathogenic and saprophytic fungi regardless of their geographical origins. Percent infestation was variable according to locations and seed samples.

Fusarium moniliforme was present in all samples and its infestation percentages were very high. This pathogen is known to attack a large number of plant species. In Burkina Faso, Kini et al. (2002) and Somda et al. (Unpublished data) detected F. moniliforme in seed samples of 15 crops and parkia tree (Parkia biglobosa). It is responsible of seed, stalk and ear rots and seedling blight (Dodd and White, 2000). Furthermore, toxins like fumonisins are produced by this fungus in maize kernel (Champion, 1997; Payne, 2000). Owing to high infection level of maize seeds by F. moniliforme, there is a clear need to define appropriate measures for maintaining maize

seed and grain quality. Chemical seed treatment programmes and better storage conditions seem justified although further research to work out efficient and affordable control measures to be used in conjunction with fungicides is needed. Attention should be paid to avoid the build-up of seed-borne inoculum of this pathogen in certified maize seed. Acremonium strictum was also present in all locations and samples tested. It is reported to cause stalk rot called black bundle disease (White, 2000). Seed of maize can rot when heavily infected by A. strictum (Richardson, 1990). Although abundantly detected in all seed samples tested, this fungus has not yet been reported to cause any disease in field in Burkina Faso. As A. strictum infection percentages were high, it is important to perform field observations in maize-growing areas to evaluate the incidence of black bundle disease caused by this fungus.

Apart from one seed sample from Bougouriba province which was heavily infected, seed samples from Houet province were free of Bipolaris maydis, the causal agent of southern leaf blight. Being the most damaging fungal disease of maize in Burkina Faso according to Sanou (1996), a survey should be undertaken to evaluate the relative incidence of southern leaf blight in the different maize growing areas of Burkina Faso. Although some samples were infected in trace amounts, the explosive nature of the pathogen and widespread epidemics of the disease in 1970 throughout maizegrowing areas of the USA indicate that using infected seeds should be avoided (Fatima et al., 1974). B. maydis has been known to be seed-borne exclusively in maize but it has been shown to be borne on seeds of nonsusceptible crops such as lettuce, watermelon, cowpea, sorghum and pearl millet (Fatima et al., 1974; Somda et al., unpublished data). Sorghum, millet and cowpea are either sown mixed or in rotation with maize in farming system in Burkina Faso. Therefore, management of southern leaf blight should include control of seed health status of other crops.

The abundant growth of saprophytic fungi on maize seeds implies that storage problems should also be studied. It is now imperative that efforts should be made to continuously evaluate the seed health of maize seeds produced in Burkina Faso.

Experiment on seed transmission was conducted under greenhouse conditions in an attempt to prevent contamination from others sources. Infection of seedlings must have started from the infected seeds since seedlings were protected from air-borne inoculum. The present investigation shows that the extent of seed-to-seedling transmission of most of the pathogens is low. Low transmission rates of *Pyricularia oryzae* were also reported by Chung and Lee (1983) who found that only 7

to 8% of seedlings were infected, even though they were raised from seed samples having 65% infection. Furthermore, the absence of infected seedlings also may have been due to lower rate of seed-to-seedling transmission when incidence of infected seeds is low (Michener et al., 2002)

Pathogens causing foliar diseases are more transmitted to leaves than stems. Transmission of A. strictum from seeds to stems is higher than its transmission to leaves. This could be because this fungus is known to be responsible of stalk rot disease named black bundle disease. Infections of seedlings raised from naturally infected seeds have also been reported in several other pathosystems such as maize/Rhizoctonia solani, maize/F. moniliforme and rice/Pseudomonas avenae (Michail et al., 1985; Shakya et al., 1986). Owing to the role of seed-borne pathogens in epidemic of field diseases, controlling the health status of maize seed lots and their treatment with adequate products should be part of the management strategies of seed-borne diseases. Work is currently undertaken to seek for environmentallysound methods to control seed-borne fungal pathogens of maize in Burkina Faso.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Mr Palé Ollo for his helpful contribution to the laboratory work. The research was financed by International Foundation for Science (IFS), Sweden.

REFERENCES

Carson, M.L., 2000. Foliar Diseases. In: Compendium of Corn Diseases, White, D.G. (Ed.). 3rd Edn. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA., pp. 15-25.

Champion, R., 1997. Identifier les Champignons transmis par les Semences. Techniques et Pratiques, Edn. INRA, France, pp. 398.

Chung, H.S. and C.U. Lee, 1983. Detection and transmission of *Pyricularia oryzae* in germinating rice seed. Seed Sci. Technol., 11: 625-637.

Dodd, J.L. and D.G. White, 2000. Seed Rot, Seedling Blight and Damping Off. In: Compendium of Corn Diseases, White, D.G. (Ed.). 3rd Edn. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA., pp: 9-11.

Fatima, R., S.B. Mathur and P. Neergaard, 1974. Importance of *Drechsleria maydis* on seed of crops other than maize. Seed Sci. Technol., 2: 371-383.

ISTA, 1999. International rules for seed testing. Seed Sci. Technol., 23: 269.

- Kini, K.R., V. Leth and S.B. Mathur, 2002. Genetic variation in *Fusarium moniliforme* isolated from seeds of different host species from Burkina Faso based on random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis. J. Phytopathol., 150: 209-212.
- Manandhar, H.K., H.J.L Jørgensen, V. Smedegaard-Petersen and S.B. Mathur, 1998. Seed-borne infection of rice by *Pyricularia oryzae* and its transmission to seedlings. Plant Dis., 82: 1093-1099.
- Mathur, S.B. and O. Kongsdal, 2003. Common Laboratory Seed Health Testing Methods for Detecting Fungi. 1st Edn. Kandrups Bogtrykkeri Edition, pp. 436.
- Maude, R.B. and F.M. Humpherson-Jones, 1980. Studies on the seed-borne phases of dark leaf spot (*Alternaria brassicicola*) and gray leaf spot (*Alternaria brassicae*) of Brassicas. Ann. Applied Biol., 95: 311-319.
- Michail, S.H., S.B. Mathur and P. Neergaard, 1985. Infections of jute, maize and rice not revealed by the standard blotter method of seed health testing. Phytopathologische Zeitschrift, 113: 193-199.
- Michener, P.M., J.K. Pataky and D.G. White, 2002. Rates of transmitting *Erwinia stewartii* from seed to seedlings of a sweet corn hybrid susceptible to Stewart's wilt. Plant Dis., 86: 1031-1035.

- Payne, G.A., 2000. Ear and Kernel Rots. In: Compendium of Corn Diseases, White, D.G. (Ed.). 3rd Edn. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA., pp. 44-49.
- Richardson, M.J., 1990. An Annotated List of Seed-Borne Diseases. 4th Edn. The International Seed Testing Association, Zurich, Switzerland.
- Sanou, J., 1996. Analyse de la variabilité génétique des cultivars locaux de maïs de la zone de savane ouest africaine en vue de sa gestion et de son utilisation. Thèse de doctorat de l'ENSA, Montpellier, France, pp: 98.
- Shakya, D.D., H.S. Chung and F. Vinther, 1986. Transmission of *Pseudomonas avenae*, the cause of bacterial stripe of rice. J. Phytopathol., 116: 92-96.
- Shrestha S.K., S.B. Mathur and L. Munk, 2000. *Alternaria brassicae* in seeds of rapeseed and mustard, its location in seeds, transmission from seeds to seedlings and control. Seed Sci. Technol., 28: 75-84.
- White, D.G., 2000. Fungal Stalk Rots. In: Compendium of Corn Diseases, White, D.G. (Ed.). 3rd Edn. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA., pp. 38-43.