

Plant Pathology Journal

ISSN 1812-5387





Alternative Ecology of Human Pathogenic Bacteria in Fruits and Vegetables

A. Nithya, K.M. Gothandam and S. Babu School of Biosciences and Technology, Vellore Institute of Technology University, Vellore, 32014, India

Abstract: Outbreaks of illness due to human enteric pathogenic bacteria via fresh vegetables warrant intensive research on changing strategies of these bacteria in alterning their hosts for survival. The systemic infection of human pathogenic bacteria in plants and the plant growth stage at which they establish endophytic relationship is poorly understood. The issue is magnified in countries like India where the dietary habits are changing and consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables as salad has become a part in the everyday menu of most people. Most of the human pathogenic enteric bacteria are generally characterized by broad host ranges and these pathogens seem to exploit almost any change in human ecology that provides new opportunities for transmission. Because plants are not traditionally considered as hosts for human enteric pathogens, recent produce-associated outbreaks highlight important deficiencies in our understanding of the ecology of enteric pathogens outside of their human and animal hosts. This review focuses on understanding the human enteric pathogens that have developed abilities to colonize internal tissues of vegetables and fruits popularly consumed as salads, how and when do they enter plants and where do they localize in plant tissues. In addition, we have also highlighted the attempts made in detection and control of these bacteria in plant hosts. This understanding will help develop strategies towards vegetable food safety in a joint effort by agriculturalists, environmentalists, food processing agencies, whole salers and retailers, which will ultimately benefit every consumer.

Key words: Bacteria, enteropathogenic, fruits, human, pathogenic, vegetables

INTRODUCTION

Food safety has become a major issue in the agro-food chain in the last decades. Foods can be contaminated by physical, chemical and biological ways, of which the impact of biological hazards due to plant and human pathogenic microbes have great concern among the public than the other hazards (Rovira et al., 2006). This is probably because biological risks are more frequently reported, can affect a large number of consumers and generally induce acute symptoms. The major reservoir of these pathogens consists of (healthy) agricultural animals and irrigation water used in agriculture, from which they spread to an increasingly variety of agricultural produce like vegetables and fruits. Traditionally, these pathogens were primarily associated with food products of animal origin like meat and eggs. However, recent outbreaks of food borne disease associated with the consumption of fresh produce, especially vegetables raised concern that these products may be an increasing source of food borne infections caused by alimentary system pathogens.

In recent years, the awareness of food poisoning by human pathogens in fresh vegetables has increased, as shown by a strong increase of reported incidents for E. coli 0157:H7 and Salmonella spp, among many other bacteria which are sparsely reported. Most outbreaks related to consumption of fresh or unprocessed vegetables are reported for E. coli O157:H7 and Salmonella spp. In addition to the fresh and unprocessed vegetables, the minimally processed fruits and vegetables also have been reported to contribute to the food-borne infection significantly (De Roever, 1998). The recognition of fresh fruits and vegetables as major vehicles of food borne illness has led to increased research on mechanisms by which these human pathogens contaminate and persists on and in this non-host environment. Interactions between food borne pathogens and plants as well among the naturally occurring microbial communities contribute to endophytic and epiphytic colonization. Scientific findings are just beginning to find the mechanisms that contribute to colonization of pathogens (Critzer and Doyle, 2010).

This review is to update the current knowledge of the human pathogenic bacteria in fruits and vegetables, their infection strategies and changing ecology as well as to explore the further research needed to increase our understanding of the microbial ecology of enteric pathogens on fruits and vegetables so that combating designs could be arrived.

Sources of contamination: Until now, it is not clear what the actual risks are for introducing health threatening organisms like E. coli O157:H7 and Salmonella spp in the production chain and how they will affect the safety of the product for the consumer. In the farm to table production, processing and distribution of fruits and vegetables, there are various possible points of contamination with disease causing microorganisms. These include irrigation water, manure, wastewater, handling by workers and contact with contaminated surfaces. Main source of contamination of fresh fruits and vegetables are through contaminated water, feces, contaminated manure or compost, contaminated soil, insects, contaminated seeds as reported by Critzer and Doyle (2010). In many developing countries, waste water use in agriculture is common practice and is increasing as a result of the rising water scarcity worldwide (Scott et al., 2004). Human enteric bacteria arrive at the agricultural soils from the excretion of animals and sewage water irrigation. Same strains of entero-pathogenic E. coli and Salmonella present in edible parts of vegetables were isolated in the contaminated raw wastewater used to irrigate the plants (Ibenyassine et al., 2007). In addition to the irrigation of the agricultural fields directly with waste water, runoff from contaminated areas such as cow pastures also are reported to spread bacteria to the lands where crops are cultivated (Muirhead et al., 2006). Beuchat (2002) reports that the manure used as a fertilizer or soil amendment as the potential source of pathogenic bacteria to contaminate fruits and vegetables. Yet another possible mechanism for this transfer is through nematodes, Caenorhabditis elegans, which are capable of transporting Salmonella to fruits and vegetables through soil (Beuchat, 2002).

Human enteric bacteria in produce: There are a number of reports indicating that raw vegetables may harbour potential foodborne pathogens (Nguyen-The Carlin, 2000). Initially, Listeria monocytogenes (Schlech et al., 1983), Salmonella (Doyle, 1990) and E. coli (Nguyen-The and Carlin, 2000) were reported from raw vegetables. In recent years, as the traditional routes of infection are better controlled, large outbreaks of nontyphoidal Salmonella infection have been attributed processed vegetables and (Andrews-Polymenis et al., 2009). Salmonella enterovirulent E. coli are capable of spending at least a part of their life cycle as plant-associated endo or epiphytes. Salmonella Typhimurium been demonstrated to use plants as alternate hosts (Schikora et al., 2012).

It has been demonstrated that *S. typhimurium* overcomes the innate immune response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and shows an endopathogenic

lifestyle (Schikora et al., 2012). Guo et al. (2001) reported that tomato stems and flowers are possible sites at which Salmonella may attach and remain viable during fruit development, thus serving as routes or reservoirs for contaminating ripened fruit. Lettuce plants grown in soil amended with contaminated irrigation water or manure slurry became internally contaminated in the edible part under conditions where external contamination of the edible part was prevented. Members of the brassicaceae family (radish, turnip and broccoli) had the highest prevalence of Salmonella contamination than lettuce, tomato and carrots when sown and grown in contaminated soil (Barak et al., 2008).

The key virulence factor produced by *E.coli* 0157:H7 is Shiga toxin, which is known to be carried by several different phages with relatively indiscriminant host ranges. Recent investigations have demonstrated that the phage is mobilized under specific conditions, the same conditions that increase production of toxin itself (Zhang *et al.*, 2000). Janisiewicz *et al.* (1999) demonstrated that fruit flies contaminated with a fluorescent-tagged nonpathogenic strain of *E. coli* 0157:H7 served as a vector in colonization of the organism in apple wounds.

Staphylococcus aureus is the species most commonly associated with staphylococcal food poisoning. It is usually found in ready to eat foods. Contamination frequently occurs through improper handling and between up to 30 and 50% of the human population carries S. aureus as commensals (Le Loir et al., 2003). Several outbreaks of salmonellosis have been associated with the consumption of cut cantaloupe and watermelon. In 1991 a Salmonella javiana outbreak among school children revealed a strong association with the consumption of watermelon (Blostein, 1993). More than 400 laboratory confirmed infections with Salmonella occurred in 23 USA states and in Canada during June and July 1991, related to the consumption of cantaloupes.

Listeria monocytogenes is widely distributed in the environment, where it is associated with decaying vegetables, soil, sewage and feces of animals and has been isolated from several types of vegetables (Beuchat, 1996). Cases of human listeriosis that have been associated with the consumption of raw vegetables are likely, in part, due to contamination by manure from ruminants. It is known to grow on a variety of vegetables at refrigeration temperatures. Aeromonas spp. isolated from vegetables have been shown to represent a potential risk to consumers health (Pedroso et al., 1997). We have recently reported the occurrence of Staphylococcus warneri in fresh marketed apples (Phukon et al., 2013).

Colonization and infection: Attachment of enteric pathogens is the first step towards colonization of the non host plant environment. Research on the mechanisms

of attachment by food borne pathogens has revealed fimbriae, flagella and biofilms (Critzer and Doyle, 2010). Evidence for the host regulation of endophytic colonization by enteric bacteria came from the observation that the interior of an ethylene-insensitive mutant of *Medicago truncatula* was colonized in much higher numbers than the wild-type plant (Iniguez *et al.*, 2005).

Enteric pathogens are found to be present inside plant seedling and are found in the intracellular spaces between host cell walls and causes typical entophytic infection (Dong et al., 2003). Endophytic colonization of human pathogens in the plants has been observed in several studies, but how the bacteria enter these plants and where they are localized within the plants are incompletely understood. Endophytic colonization varies according to the bacterium and plant species examined. From numerous observations of bacterial colonization of plant roots, it is widely assumed that bacteria can colonize and enter roots at sites of lateral root (Tyler Triplett, 2008). Confocal microscopy entophyte K. pneumoniae 342 on plants revealed significant colonization around lateral root cracks of M. sativa, M. truncatula, A. thaliana, Triticum aestivum and Oryza sativa, suggesting a possible entry site for the bacteria into the plant (Dong et al., 2003).

Endophytic colonization of *E. coli* 0157:H7 with in fruits and vegetables has been studied and has been observed to colonize apple lenticles, to a depth of 40 µl meters and in core and subsurface structures attaching to the cartilaginous pericarp and seed integuments with infiltration occurring through the blossom's calyx and traveling up the floral tube to the internal parts of the apple (Burnett *et al.*, 2000). *E. coli* 0157:H7 was observed to colonize and survive by causing cell membranes to degrade and release their contents and with on apple tissues forms granules and vesicles due to osmotic conditions in the apple tissues (Janes *et al.*, 2005).

In orange, *E. coli* 0157:H7 and *Salmonella* colonize the interior of fruits by passive mechanism of entry (Eblen *et al.*, 2004). *E. coli* found in root-inoculated radish sprouts was observed within stomata of cotyledons and the inner tissues of edible hypocotyls and cotyledons. In carrots, *E. coli* was found at cell junctions and intercellular spaces up to 50 im within carrot tissue, but did not penetrate the carrot cells (Auty *et al.*, 2005). *E. coli* 0157:H7 was observed colonizing preferentially at root junctions on lettuce (Jablasone *et al.*, 2005).

The roots and xylem of citrus plants can also be colonized by enteric bacteria. *K. pneumonia* 342 (Kp342) was able to endophytically colonize both *Citrus sinensis* and *Catharanthus roseus*, though it was present in higher numbers in *C. roseus* plants. Kp342 has also been found to colonize the interiors of *Medicago sativa* (alfalfa),

M. truncatula, A. thaliana, Tritium aestivum (wheat) and Oryza sativa (Dong et al., 2003). Salmonella mutants deficient in the production of flagella or one of the type III secretion systems showed increased endophytic colonization (Iniguez et al., 2005).

Pseudomonas putida KT2440, a TPS, hlpBA, is involved in seed colonization and iron uptake. The hlpA gene encodes a secreted protein similar to iron-regulated hemolysins and HlpB is responsible for its transport across the membrane (Molina et al., 2006). The molecular mechanisms used by bacteria to colonize the interior of plants are still largely unknown (Dong et al., 2003). Most studies focus on reporting the internalization of bacteria and their general location on and within plants, though some have linked bacterial colonization to specific genes. While many studies have been done on plant growth promoting bacteria or plant pathogens, similar colonization mechanisms may be utilized by human pathogens (Iniguez et al., 2005). Microarray analysis revealed that several pathogenicity genes, including type II secretion genes and a gene involved in capsular polysaccharide synthesis, were induced in S. enterica serovar Typhimurium during colonization in response to lettuce root exudates (Klerks et al., 2007).

Plant-human bacteria interaction: Molecular level interaction between human pathogenic bacteria and the plants is thought to play a crucial role in infection and colonization of the plant. It is now realized that the presence of an active and controlled interaction between pathogen and plant is based on presence or absence of certain genes/proteins in the plant and/or pathogen. Many of the interactions that occur between food-borne pathogens and the fruits and vegetables they contaminate are just beginning to be elucidated. There are several factors, such as produce type, cultivar and physiological state of the plants and pathogen that influence the colonization of food borne pathogens on produce (Critzer and Doyle, 2010).

Colonization of *S. typhimurium* on the plant seedlings was partially dependent on avoiding both salicylic acid (SA)-dependent and SA- independent plant defenses, inducible defenses that are often triggered by flagella and components of the TTSS (Iniguez *et al.*, 2005). Plant colonization by an endophytic *K. pneumoniae* was also limited by the induced systemic resistance, but *Klebsiella* manages to avoid plant's SA-dependent defenses (Iniguez *et al.*, 2005). This finding suggests that *Salmonella* can be recognized by plants and that general host defenses can be induced. However, it is not yet clear at which threshold population densities enteric pathogens will induce plant defenses in planta during natural interactions.

Differences in the interactions of Salmonella serovars with host plant varieties (Barak et al., 2008) further support a hypothesis that these interactions are genetically programmed. The identification of crop cultivars that may be less susceptible to colonization by human pathogens (Barak et al., 2008) would offer an economical way to reduce produce contamination. It is not yet known how Salmonella finds lesions formed by plant pathogens. It is possible that enterics recognize signals produced by plant pathogens (Brandl, 2006). For example, in Salmonella and E. coli a LuxR-type protein SdiA detects Acyl-Homoserine Lactone (AHL) Quorum Sensing (QS) signals, which are chemically similar to those produced by many soft rotting bacteria (Ahmer et al., 2007). In response to the AHL signals, Salmonella SdiA induces expression of attachment genes (Smith and Ahmer, 2003). While plausible, these interactions have not yet been demonstrated in planta. This research will be facilitated by a recent development of sensitive tools for documenting sdia-mediated gene regulation in vivo (Ahmer et al., 2007).

E. coli O157:H7 utilizes some cellular mechanisms to promote survival in acidic environments (Foster, 2000). One is an rpoS-dependent system, which is associated with the production of acid-shock proteins. The other is an rpoS-independent system, which removes excessive protons from the cytoplasm using the amino acid decarboxylase system. Changes in membrane fluidity can help protect bacterial cells from acidic environments as well (Yuk and Marshall, 2005). Price et al. (2004) reported that the rpoS dependent system is more important for survival of E. coli O157:H7 in apple cider but that the amino acid decarboxylase system is required for its survival in the bovine gastrointestinal tract.

There are several virulent genes produced by pathogens to infect plants such as toxA, plcS, dbsA, hrpM, gacA and gacs (Rahme et al., 2000). The dsbA gene encodes a periplasmic disulfide bond-forming enzyme and may function to affect periplasmic virulence-related proteins (Rahme et al., 2000). DsbA has been observed to be important to the pathogenicity of both human and plant pathogens, including Shigella flexneri, Vibriocholera and Erwiniachrysanthemi (Watarai et al., 1995).

The *hrp*M gene is homologous to the *E. coli* gene, *mdo*H, involved in membrane-derived oligosaccharide synthesis (Loubens *et al.*, 1993). Whereas *Gacs* is the sensor kinase and *Gac*A gene functions as a transcriptional regulator of pathogenicity, gene encoding extracellular products (Rich *et al.*, 1994). Specific genes such as *agfB*, *agfD* and *rpo*S are involved in production and regulation of curli and cellulose (Barak *et al.*, 2005) are

involved in colonization of pathogens in plants. The pathogenicity of verocytotoxin- producing E. coli is determined by the presence of several virulence factors, one of the key virulence factor is to produce shiga-toxins (stx) which consist of two types:stx1 and stx2, these genes are encoded on bacteriophage genomes integrates other into E. coliand enterobacteriaceae (Herold et al., 2004). E. coli 0157:H7 does not produce enzymes that can degrade plant polysaccharides (cellulose, hemicelluloses and starch), but can utilize soluble carbohydrates (like maltose) which are produced by starch degrading bacteria (Russell et al., 2000).

Approaches for detection and control: Rapid and specific methods to detect various pathogens, in fresh fruits and vegetables can be done by PCR, it is a flexible detection method that allows setting up common reaction conditions to detect multiple pathogens at once (Bhagwat, 2003) or even simultaneous detection in a single tube reaction of two or more pathogens by multiplex PCR (Park et al., 2006). Multiplex PCR has the potential of saving time and effort in the laboratory, thus lowering testing related costs in food industry (Perry et al., 2007). Culture based method is the traditional method for detecting pathogens in food, these method is limited by their poor sensitivity, specificity and it is also a time consuming method (Elizaquivel and Aznar, 2008).

It is well known that disinfection is one of the most critical processing steps in fresh cut vegetable production, affecting the quality safety and shelf life of the end product (Gil et al., 2009). The fresh cut industry had used chlorine as one of the most effective sanitizers to assure the safety of their produce. However, there is a trend in eliminating chlorine from the disinfection process because of the concerns about its efficacy on the produce and about the environmental and health risks associated with the formation of carcinogenic halogenated disinfection by products (Olmez and Kretzschmar, 2009).

Physical methods are more effective in removing bacteria from plant surfaces by use of shear force. Physical method including modern aeration, ultra sound, high pressure, high intensity electric field pulses (HELP), ultraviolet radiation, radiofrequency, ionizing radiation, irradiation such as gamma rays, electron beam or X-rays. US Food and Drug administration in 2008 gave its approval to use irradiation for killing pathogens on ice berg lettuce and spinach (Gil et al., 2009). Chemical methods of cleaning and sanitizing produce surfaces usually involve the application of mechanical washing in the presence of sanitizers, followed by rinsing with portable water (Artes and Allende, 2005).

Future prospects and conclution: colonization of human pathogens into plants has been observed in several studies, but how the bacteria enter these plants and where they are localized within plants are incompletely understood. The internalization of human pathogens into produce is of particular interest since it may protect these bacteria from sanitizing treatments meant to make fruits and vegetables safe for consumption. Nevertheless, these outbreaks are well publicized and cause considerable alarm. Any outbreak stemming from raw produce can cause far more harm if not reported quickly and followed by rapid source identification. Plant derived outbreaks can be caused by many factors: Pathogens may be located on the plant surface or within plant tissues; pathogens may be unwittingly added to raw produce during food preparation. What is known about the ability of enteric bacteria to enter plants? To what extent do plant hosts regulate their entry? How do clinical enteric pathogens differ from plant-derived enteric pathogens? What do we still need to know to address this problem? How can improved knowledge lead to a reduction in outbreaks?

When colonizing plants, human pathogens undoubtedly come into contact with native bacteria and must overcome microbial competition to establish themselves. These interactions vary according to which pathogens and host plants are being studied and which bacteria are used as antagonists. The molecular mechanisms used by bacteria to colonize the interior of plants are still largely unknown. Observations that different bacteria colonize different plants to varying degrees indicate that colonization of the plant interior is an active process that is controlled by genetic determinants on both sides of the interaction. Most studies focus on reporting the internalization of bacteria and their general location on and within plants, though some have linked bacterial colonization to specific genes. While many studies have been done on plant growth promoting bacteria or plant pathogens, similar colonization mechanisms may be utilized by human pathogens.

A general lack of efficacy of sanitizers in removing or killing pathogens on raw fruits and vegetables has been attributed, in part, to their inaccessibility to locations within structures and tissues that may harbor pathogens. Understanding the ecology of pathogens and naturally occurring microorganisms is essential before interventions for elimination or control of growth can be devised.

Comparison of healthy plant gene-expression profiles with infected plant profiles, might show differences in expression patterns and identify the genes involved with the plant response of colonization by the human pathogen. Also, a study of genes expressed by the pathogen when infecting the plant, will contribute to insight in the infection mechanism used by the pathogen and may lead to a prediction of the risk of plant invasion based on the genetic make-up of plant and pathogen strain. Thus, investigating the interaction of the pathogen with the plant might elucidate the mechanism behind infection and colonization, subsequently leading to a better understanding of plant infection by human pathogens. It will give rise to suggestions for preventing contaminations of freshly consumed vegetables, reducing the health threat for consumers.

REFERENCES

- Ahmer, B.M.M., J.N. Smith, J.L. Dyszel and A. Lindsay, 2007. Methods in cell-to-cell signaling in Salmonella. Methods Mol. Biol., 394: 307-322.
- Andrews-Polymenis, H.L., C.A. Santiviago and M. McClelland, 2009. Novel genetic tools for studying food-borne Salmonella. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 20: 149-157.
- Artes, F. and A. Allende, 2005. Processing lines and alternative preservation techniques to prolong the shelf-life of minimally fresh processed leafy vegetables. Eur. J. Hortic. Sci., 70: 231-245.
- Auty, M., G. Duffy, D. O'Beirne, A. McGovern, E. Gleeson and K. Jordan, 2005. *In situ* localization of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in food by confocal scanning laser microscopy. J. Food Prot., 68: 482-486.
- Barak, J.D., A. Liang and K.E. Narm, 2008. Differential attachment to and subsequent contamination of agricultural crops by *Salmonella enteric*. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 74: 5568-5570.
- Barak, J.D., L. Gorski, P. Naraghi-Arani and A.O. Charkowski, 2005. Salmonella enteric virulence genes are required for bacterial attachment to plant tissue. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 71: 5685-5691.
- Beuchat, L.R., 1996. Pathogenic microorganisms associated with fresh produce. J. Food Prot., 59: 204-216.
- Beuchat, L.R., 2002. Ecological factors influencing survival and growth of human pathogens on raw fruits and vegetables. Microb. Infect., 4: 413-423.
- Bhagwat, A.A., 2003. Simultaneous detection of Escherichia coli O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* strains by real-time PCR. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 84: 217-224.
- Blostein, J., 1993. An outbreak of *Salmonella javiana* associated with consumption of watermelon. J. Environ. Health, 56: 29-31.

- Brandl, M.T., 2006. Fitness of human enteric pathogens on plants and implications for food safety. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 44: 367-392.
- Burnett, S.L., J.R. Chen and L.R. Beuchat, 2000. Attachment of Escherichia coli O157:H7 to the surfaces and internal structures of apples as detected by confocal scanning laser microscopy. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 66: 4679-4687.
- Critzer, F.J. and M.P. Doyle, 2010. Microbial ecology of food-borne pathogens associated with produce. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 21: 126-130.
- De Roever, C., 1998. Microbiological safety evaluations and recommendations on fresh produce. Food Control, 9: 321-347.
- Dong, Y., A.L. Iniguez, B.M.M. Ahmer and E.W. Triplett, 2003. Kinetics and strain specificity of rhizosphere and endophytic colonization by enteric bacteria on seedlings of *Medicago sativa* and *Medicago truncatula*. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 69: 1783-1790.
- Doyle, M.P., 1990. Fruits and vegetables safety-microbiological considerations. Hortscience, 25: 1478-1481.
- Eblen, B.S., M.O. Walderhaug, S. Edelson-Mammel, S.J. Chirtel and A. De Jesus *et al.*, 2004. Potential for internalization, growth, and survival of *Salmonella* and Escherichia coli O157:H7 in oranges. J. Food Prot., 67: 1578-1584.
- Elizaquivel, P. and R. Aznar, 2008. A multiplex RTi-PCR reaction for simultaneous detection of Escherichia coli O157:H7, *Salmonella* spp. and *Staphylococcus aureus* on fresh, minimally processed vegetables. Food Microbiol., 25: 705-713.
- Foster, J.W., 2000. Microbial Responses to Acid Stress. In: Bacterial Stress Responses, Storz, G. and R. Hengge-Aronis (Eds.). American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C., pp: 99-115.
- Gil, M.I., M.V. Selma, F. Lopez-Galvez and A. Allende, 2009. Fresh-cut product sanitation and wash water disinfection: Problems and solutions. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 134: 37-45.
- Guo, X., J. Chen, R.E. Brackett and L.R. Beuchat, 2001. Survival of Salmonella on and in tomato plants from the time of inoculation at flowering and early stages of fruit development through fruit ripening. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 67: 4760-4764.
- Herold, S., H. Karch and H. Schmidt, 2004. Shiga toxin-encoding bacteriophages-Genomes in motion. Intl. J. Med. Microbiol., 294: 115-121.
- Ibenyassine, K., R.A. Mhand, Y. Karamoko, B. Anajjar, M.M. Chouibani and M. Ennaji, 2007. Bacterial pathogens recovered from vegetables irrigated by wastewater in Morocco. J. Environ. Health, 69: 47-51.

- Iniguez, A.L., Y. Dong, H.D. Carter, B.M. Ahmer, J.M. Stone and E.W. Triplett, 2005. Regulation of enteric endophytic bacterial colonization by plant defenses. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact., 18: 169-178.
- Jablasone, J., K. Warriner and M. Griffiths, 2005. Interactions of Escherichia coli O157:H7, Salmonella typhimurium and Listeria monocytogenes plants cultivated in a gnotobiotic system. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 99: 7-18.
- Janes, M.E., K.S. Kim and M.G. Johnson, 2005. Transmission electron microscopy study of enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157:H7 in apple tissue. J. Food Prot., 68: 216-224.
- Janisiewicz, W.J., W.S. Conway, M.W. Brown, G.M. Sapers, P. Fratamico and R.L. Buchanan, 1999. Fate of Escherichia coli O157:H7 on fresh cut apple tissue and its potential for transmission by fruit flies. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 65: 1-5.
- Klerks, M.M., E. Franz, M. van Gent-Pelzer, C. Zijlstra and A.H. van Bruggen, 2007. Differential interaction of Salmonella enteric serovars with lettuce cultivars and plant-microbe factors influencing the colonization efficiency. ISME J., 1: 620-631.
- Le Loir, Y., F. Baron and M. Gautier, 2003. Staphylococcus aureus and food poisoning. Genet. Mol. Res., 2: 63-76.
- Loubens, I., L. Debarbieux, A. Bohin, J.M. Lacroix and J.P. Bohin, 1993. Homology between a genetic locus (mdoA) involved in the osmoregulated biosynthesis of periplasmic glucans in Escherichia coli and a genetic locus (hrpM) controlling pathogenicity of *Pseudomonas syringae*. Mol. Microbiol., 10: 329-340.
- Molina, M.A., J.L. Ramos and M. Espinosa-Urgel, 2006. A two-partner secretion system is involved in seed and root colonization and iron uptake by *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Environ. Microbiol., 8: 639-647.
- Muirhead, R.W., R.P. Collins and P.J. Bremer, 2006. Interaction of *Escherichia coli* and soil particles in runoff. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 72: 3406-3411.
- Nguyen-The, C. and F. Carlin, 2000. Fresh and Processed Vegetables. In: The Microbiological Safety and Quality of Food, Lund, B., T.C. Baird-Parker and G.W. Gould (Eds.). Vol. 1, Aspen Publishers, Gaithersburg, Maryland, MD., USA., pp. 620-684.
- Olmez, H. and U. Kretzschmar, 2009. Potential alternative disinfection methods for organic fresh-cut industry for minimizing water consumption and environmental impact. Food Sci. Technol., 42: 686-693.
- Park, Y.S., S.R. Lee and Y.G. Kim, 2006. Detection of Escherichia coli O157: H7, Salmonella sp., Staphylococcus aureus and Listeria monocytogenes in kimchi by multiplex Polymerase Chain Reaction (mPCR). J. Microbiol., 44: 92-97.

- Pedroso, D.M.N., S.T. Iaria, M.L. Cerqueira Campos, S. Heidtmann, V.L.M. Rall, F. Pimenta and S.M.I. Saad, 1997. Virulence factors in motile *Aeromonas* spp. isolated from vegetables. Rev. Microbiol., 28: 49-54.
- Perry, L., P. Heard, M. Kane, H. Kim, S. Savikhin, W. Dominguez and P. Applegate, 2007. Application of multiplex polymerase chain reaction to the detection of pathogens in food. J. Rapid Methods. Autom. Microbiol., 15: 176-198.
- Phukon, M., P. Sahu, R. Srinath, A. Nithya and S. Babu, 2013. Unusual occurrence of *Staphylococcus warneri* as endophyte in fresh fruits along with usual *Bacillus* spp. J. Food Saf., 33: 102-106.
- Price, S.B., J.C. Wright, F.J. DeGraves, M. Castanie-Cornet and J.W. Foster, 2004. Acid resistance syastems required for survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in the bovine gastrointestinal tract and in apple cider are different. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 70: 4792-4799.
- Rahme, L.G., F.M. Ausubel, H. Cao, E. Drenkard and B.C. Goumnerov *et al.*, 2000. Plants and animals share functionally common bacterial virulence factors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 97: 8815-8821.
- Rich, J.J., T.G. Kinscherf, T. Kitten and D.K. Willis, 1994.
 Genetic evidence that the gacA gene encodes the cognate response regulator for the lemA sensor in *Pseudomonas syringae*. J. Bacteriol., 176: 7468-7475.
- Rovira, J., A. Cencic, E. Santos and M. Jakobsen, 2006. Biological Hazards. In: Safety in the Agri-Food Chain, Luning, P.A., F. Devlieghere and R. Verhe (Eds.). Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands.
- Russell, J.B., F. Diez-Gonzalez and G.N. Jarvis, 2000. Potential effect of cattle diets on the transmission of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* to humans. Microbes Infect., 2: 45-55.

- Schikora, A., A.V. Garcia and H. Hirt, 2012. Plants as alternative hosts for *Salmonella*. Trends Plant Sci., 17: 245-249.
- Schlech, W.F. P.M. Lavigne, R.A. Bortolussi, A.C. Allen and E.V. Haldane *et al.*, 1983. Epidemic listeriosis-evidence for transmission by food. New Eng. J. Med., 308: 203-206.
- Scott, C.A., N.I. Faruqui and L. Raschid-Sally, 2004. Wastewater Use in Irrigated Agriculture: Confronting the Livelihood and Environmental Realities. CABI Publication, Wallingford, Pages: 208.
- Smith, J.N. and B.M.M. Ahmer, 2003. Detection of other microbial species by *Salmonella*: expression of the sdiA regulon. J. Bacteriol., 185: 1357-1366.
- Tyler, H.L. and E.W. Triplett, 2008. Plants as a habitat for beneficial and/or human pathogenic bacteria. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 46: 53-73.
- Watarai, M., T. Tobe, M. Yoshikawa and C. Sasakawa, 1995. Disulfide oxidoreductase activity of Shigella flexneri is required for release of Ipa proteins and invasion of epithelial cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 92: 4927-4931.
- Yuk, H.G. and D.L. Marshall, 2005. Influence of acetic, citric and lactic acids on *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 membrane lipid composition, verotoxin secretion and acid resistance in simulated gastric fluid. J. Food Prot., 68: 673-679.
- Zhang, X., A.D. McDaniel, L.E. Wolf, G.T. Keusch, M.K. Waldor and D.W.K. Acheson, 2000. Quinolone antibiotics induce Shiga toxin-encoding bacteriophages, toxin production and death in mice. J. Infect. Dis., 181: 664-670.