

ISSN 1819-1894

Asian Journal of
Agricultural
Research

Impact of Biomass Burning on Physicochemical Properties of Nitisol in the Southwestern Ethiopia

Abebe Nigussie and Endalkachew Kissi

Department of Natural Resource Management, Jimma University College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, P.O. Box 307, Jimma, Ethiopia

Corresponding Author: Abebe Nigussie Jimma, Department of Natural Resource Management, University College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, P.O. Box 307, Jimma, Ethiopia Tel: +251-047-1-11-01-02 Fax: +251-047-1-11-09-34

ABSTRACT

Burning of biomass for charcoal production and land preparation is common in Ethiopia. However, the analysis of the impacts of these practices on soil physical and chemical properties is seldom examined. Therefore to investigate the impact of biomass burning on soil properties, composite and core soil samples were collected at a depth of 0-20 cm from cultivated land, a charcoal production site, and farmlands where crop residues are burned for land preparation. Burning of biomass significantly ($p < 0.01$) decreased bulk density and clay percentage while the sand fraction was increased significantly ($p < 0.01$). However, the differences in silt percentage and Water Holding Capacity (WHC) were non-significant ($p > 0.05$). Burning also increased pH, electrical conductivity, available phosphorous, cation exchange capacity, and exchangeable bases significantly ($p < 0.01$) as compared to cultivated land. Furthermore, charcoal production significantly ($p < 0.01$) increased organic carbon and total nitrogen but burning of crop residues for land preparation reduced them significantly ($p < 0.01$). The formation of ash and charred biomass due to burning might improve most soil properties. But this causes environmental pollution and therefore it is useful to study the long term impact of biomass burning on soil properties. Moreover, it is important to consider a biochar strategy in order to enhance soil productivity.

Key words: Biomass Burning, charcoal site, crop residue burning, cultivated land, soil fertility, relative change

INTRODUCTION

Biomass burning for land preparation and charcoal production is common in Africa (Oyekanmi and Okeleye, 2007; Dankyi *et al.*, 2007). In Ethiopia, crop residues left on the farmlands are burned in the field to recycle soil nutrients and to minimize crop residues interfere with tillage and seeding operations for the subsequent crop (Yisehak, 2009). The major reasons for deforestation in the country are cutting of trees and shrubs for fuel wood, charcoal, cultivating crops and construction materials (Teketay, 2005). Wood, crop residues, and animal waste are also traditional fuel sources in the country (Wolde-Ghiorgis, 2002). Biomass accounts for 94.7% of total energy supply in the country (World Bank, 2001). Ethiopian Electric and Cooperation (2000) also stated that the major indigenous sources of energy are woody biomass and crop residues. According to the EFAP (1994) fuel wood including charcoal contributes 66 and 62%, respectively, of the energy consumption in rural and urban areas.

Burning of biomass for charcoal production and conventional land preparation methods have a net negative impact on, the soil organic Carbon (C) as well as on the environment through the oxidation of carbon into carbon dioxide, an anthropogenic greenhouse gas (Parker *et al.*, 2010; Nkongolo *et al.*, 2008; Makundi, 1998). Burning of biomass also results woody biomass stock depreciation and biodiversity loss (Syaufina and Ainuddin, 2011; Stefan, 2009). Methane (CH₄), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NO₂), volatile organic carbon, and aerosols emitted as a result of the deliberate or accidental burning of natural vegetation constitute a large component of the greenhouse gas emissions of many African countries (Scholes, 1995). In sub-Saharan Africa, biomass burning is the primary source of CO₂ emissions in the region (Vagen *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, burning of biomass fuels such as wood, animal dung, and crop residues, results in levels of exposure to inhalable carbonaceous particulate matter that are an order of magnitude above the health-based guidelines of the developed world (Ezzati and Kammen, 2001).

Burning of biomass had been also identified as a contributor to soil structural degradation (Valzano *et al.*, 1997) and loss of plant nutrients (Raison, 1979; Ponnampurna, 1984; Lefroy *et al.*, 1994; Aflakpui *et al.*, 2007; Karim and Mansor, 2011). Contrary to this, some studies have suggested that burning activities might increase availability of plant nutrients (Scheuner *et al.*, 2004; Niemeyer *et al.*, 2005). Glaser *et al.* (2002) and Ogundele *et al.* (2011) also reported that charcoal residues and charred biomass left on kiln sites improve the fertility of tropical soils by direct nutrient addition and retention. However, very few studies have been conducted regarding the impact of burning on soil physical and chemical properties. Therefore, the present study was conducted to investigate the impact of different biomass burning activities on selected soil properties as compared to adjacent cultivated lands.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the study area: The study was conducted in Jimma, Southwest Ethiopia from January to June, 2011. It is located at 7°, 33'N and 36°, 57' E at an altitude of 1722 m above sea level (Lemessa *et al.*, 2011). The mean annual maximum and minimum temperatures are 26.8°C and 11.4°C and the relative humidities are 91.4 and 39.92%, respectively. The mean annual rainfall of the study area is 1500 mM (BPEDORS, 2000). The soils of the study area are dominated by Nitisol (World Reference Base, 2006).

Soil sampling and analysis: To assess the impact of biomass burning on soil properties, composite soil samples were collected from the depth of 0-20 cm from cultivated land, a charcoal production site, and farmlands where crop residues are burned for land preparation. The experiment has three treatments arranged in a randomized complete block design. The soils were sampled so as to ensure similar soil properties for comparison. The samples were air-dried, sieved through a 2 mM mesh and used for analysis. Separate soil core samples were also taken for bulk density determination.

Water holding capacity (WHC) was determined by the method of Emmanuel *et al.* (2010). Air dried soil sample (20 g) was placed in a plastic container (with a wire mesh at the bottom), in a dish of water. The soil was allowed to become saturated, for approximately six hours. The container was removed from the water and covered with cling-film to prevent loss of water by evaporation. It was then hung on a retort stand overnight to allow drainage. All samples were allowed to drain for the same length of time. Next, soil was carefully removed, put in a pre-weighed container (M1) and the total weight of moist soil and moisture container (M2) was taken. The samples were then dried in an oven at 105°C until no further water loss occurred and reweighed to record the oven-dried sample (M3). The WHC was calculated as:

$$\text{WHC (\%)} = \frac{(M2 - M3)}{(M3 - M1)} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

Bulk density was determined after drying the core samples in an oven at 105°C for 24 h and calculated as:

$$\text{Bulk Density} = \frac{(W2 - W1)}{V} \quad (2)$$

where, W2 and W1 are weights of moist and oven-dry soils, respectively, and V is the volume of the cylindrical core.

Soil texture was determined by hydrometer. Soil pH was measured by using a pH meter in a 1:2.5 soil: water ratio. Electrical Conductivity (EC) was measured in water at a soil to water ratio of 1:2.5. Soil organic carbon was determined by the Walkley-Black method and total Nitrogen (N) by the Kjeldahl method (Van Reeuwijk, 1992). Available Phosphorous (P) was determined using Bray I extraction method for adjacent farmlands since the pH was less than 7 while the Olsen method was used for soils at charcoal production sites (Van Reeuwijk, 1992). Total exchangeable bases were determined after leaching the soils with ammonium acetate. Amounts of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ in the leachate were analyzed by atomic absorption spectrophotometer. K⁺ and Na⁺ were analyzed by flame photometer. Cation exchange capacity was determined at soil pH 7 after displacement by using 1 N ammonium acetate method in which it was, thereafter, estimated titrimetrically by distillation of ammonium that was displaced by sodium (Chapman, 1965).

Statistical analysis: One way Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to assess the significance differences in soil parameters between different treatments, using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SAS 9.2. Means separation was done using Least Significant Difference (LSD) test after the treatments were found significant at p<0.05. Correlation analysis was also used to test if there were relationships between soil parameters. Relative change in soil properties due to burning of biomass as compared to adjacent cultivated land was also computed as:

$$\text{Relative change} = \frac{(P_k - P_a)}{P_a} \times 100$$

where, P_k is the soil property measured on the burning sites and P_a is the soil property measured on the adjacent sites.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil physical properties: Burning of biomass changed the soil textural class from clay loam soil to loam soil. The present study also revealed that, biomass burning affected percentage of clay and sand significantly (p<0.01) and (p<0.05), respectively, however the observed difference in silt percentage was non-significant (p>0.05) (Table 1). The highest percentage of clay was observed at cultivated lands whilst the smallest values were observed at charcoal production site. The higher percentage of sand was observed at biomass burned sites whilst the lower percentage was observed at cultivated lands (Table 1). As compared to adjacent cultivated lands, burning of biomass reduced

Table 1: Mean values of soil properties at crop residue burned farmlands, charcoal site and cultivated land in southwestern ethiopia

Treatments	Clay (%)	Silt (%)	Sand (%)	Textural class	Bd (g cm ⁻³)	WHC (%)
Crop residue burned	26.67b	38.00	35.33a	Loam	1.20b	49.34
Charcoal site	24.67b	37.33	38.00a	Loam	1.16b	49.49
Cultivated land	39.33a	39.33	21.34b	Clay loam	1.29a	52.85
LSD (0.05)	7.09	6.59	10.58	-	0.07	3.97
P-value	**	NS	*	-	*	NS
CV (%)	10.35	7.60	14.79	-	2.61	3.46

Means with different letter in the same column are significant, *,** significant at p<0.05 and p<0.01, respectively; NS : Non significance; Bd: Bulk density; WHC: Water holding capacity; LSD: Least significant difference; CV: Coefficient of variance

Table 2: Relative change in soil properties due to burning of biomass as compared to cultivated land in southwestern ethiopia

Soil parameters	RC due to burning of crop residues (%)	RC due to charcoal production (%)
Clay (%)	-32.20	-37.29
Silt (%)	-3.39	-5.08
Sand (%)	65.63	78.13
WHC (%)	-6.65	-6.36
Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	-7.15	-9.97
pH	44.20	33.37
EC (mmhos)	866.45	812.65
EX.Ac (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	-25.32	-35.44
OC (%)	-10.90	33.50
TN (%)	-6.19	75.22
C/N	-4.70	-23.89
AVP (ppm)	73.41	87.14
CEC (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	15.58	18.68
K (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	583.53	524.71
Na (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	90.00	192.50
Ca (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	62.87	92.23
Mg (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	140.85	139.05

RC: Relative change, WHC: Water holding capacity, EC: Electrical conductivity, Ex. Ac: Exchangeable acidity; OC: Organic carbon, TN: Total nitrogen, AVP: Available phosphorous, CEC: Cation exchange capacity

clay content by 32.20 and 37.29% at residue burned farmlands and charcoal production site, respectively but the sand percentage was increased by 65.63% at crop residue burned farmlands and by 78.13% at charcoal production site (Table 2). The observed variation in soil separates may be related to the exposure of the soils to high temperatures resulting in the fusion of clay and silt particles into sand-sized particles (Sertsu and Sanchez, 1978; Ketterings *et al.*, 2000). Oguntunde *et al.* (2004) also observed a significant decrease in clay fraction and corresponding increase in sand content in severely burnt soils.

Soil bulk density was also significantly (p<0.05) affected by burning of biomass. Soil bulk density was highest at cultivated lands but it decreased significantly (p<0.05) at burned sites. The bulk density was reduced by 7.15 and 9.97% at crop residue burned farmlands and charcoal sites, respectively (Table 2). This variation in bulk density could be because of the porous nature of charcoal and charred biomass left on soils at burned sites. The increase in sand fraction due to burning may also contributes to the reduction of soil bulk density at burned sites. The correlation matrix (Table 3) also revealed a significant negative relationship between sand and bulk density

Table 3: Correlation Matrix for the Selected Soil Physicochemical Properties

	Clay	Silt	Sand	WHC	Bd	pH	EC	ECA	OC	TN	C/N	AVP	CEC	K	Na	Ca	Mg
Clay	-																
Silt	0.351	-															
Sand	-0.960**	-0.599	-														
WHC	0.727*	0.142	-0.664	-													
Bd	0.897**	0.55	-0.932**	0.577	-												
pH	-0.857**	-0.345	0.836**	-0.664	-0.796*	-											
EC	-0.937**	-0.39	0.917**	-0.737*	-0.862**	0.969**	-										
ECA	0.941**	0.341	-0.906**	0.694	0.901**	-0.817**	-0.925**	-									
OC	-0.376	-0.17	0.372	-0.166	-0.446	0.045	0.205	-0.461	-								
TN	-0.509	-0.254	0.511	-0.27	-0.603	0.209	0.38	-0.638	0.962**	-							
C/N	0.573	0.32	-0.586	0.341	0.697*	-0.388	-0.547	0.770*	-0.723*	-0.882**	-						
AVP	-0.887**	-0.217	0.885**	-0.533	-0.906**	0.862*	0.889**	0.378	0.541	-0.667	0.929**	-					
CEC	-0.966**	-0.484	0.971**	-0.625	-0.954**	0.895**	0.953**	-0.945**	0.403	0.554	-0.648	0.868**	-				
K	-0.857**	-0.176	0.786*	-0.752*	-0.755*	0.958**	0.956**	-0.862**	0.178	0.328	-0.468	0.855**	0.867**	-			
Na	-0.871**	-0.451	0.880**	-0.558	-0.914**	0.672*	0.803**	-0.930**	0.708*	0.839**	-0.872**	0.810**	0.911**	0.710*	-		
Ca	-0.843**	-0.48	0.865**	-0.677	-0.916**	0.684*	0.745*	-0.762*	0.489	0.568	-0.534	0.927**	0.848**	0.658	0.825**	-	
Mg	-0.912**	-0.31	0.872**	-0.674	-0.863**	0.966**	0.963**	-0.878**	0.278	0.411	-0.498	-0.906**	0.944**	0.964**	0.792*	0.784*	-

*, **Significant at p<0.05 and p<0.01, respectively; WHC: Water holding capacity; Bd: Bulk density; ECA: Exchangeable acidity; OC: Organic carbon; TN: Total nitrogen; AVP: Available phosphorous; CEC: Cation exchange capacity

($r = -0.932^{**}$). Oguntunde *et al.* (2008), Ajayi *et al.* (2009) and Kevin (2010) also reported reduction in bulk density due to burning of soils.

Though the coarsening of severely heated surface soils eventually leads to poor WHC (Ulery and Graham, 1993), WHC was not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affected by burning of biomass. However as compared to cultivated land, the lowest WHC was recorded at burned sites (Table 1). The insignificant relative change in WHC regardless of change in clay content could result from the presence of charcoal and charred biomass left at burning sites. Piccolo and Mbagwu (1990) and Piccolo *et al.* (1997) also found that the presence of charcoal affect soil physical properties such as soil water retention and aggregate stability, leading to enhanced crop water availability.

Soil pH, EC and exchangeable acidity: The statistical analysis revealed a significant ($p < 0.01$) difference in pH, EC and exchangeable acidity between cultivated lands and burned sites (Table 4). The highest values of pH and EC were observed at crop residue burned farmlands whilst the lowest value of pH and EC were recorded at cultivated lands (Table 4). Contrary to this, the lowest value of exchangeable acidity was observed at burned sites. As compared to cultivated lands, burning of biomass increased soil pH by 44.20 and 33.37% at crop residue burned site and charcoal production site. Burning activities also increased EC by 10 times as compared to cultivated lands. However, exchangeable acidity was reduced by 25.32% at farmlands where crop residue burned for land preparation and by 35.44% at charcoal production site (Table 2). Increase in soil pH and EC after burning was generally attributed to ash accretion as ash residues are generally dominated by carbonates of alkali and alkaline earth metals, variable amounts of silica, heavy metals, sesquioxides, phosphates and small amounts of organic and inorganic N (Raison, 1979). The correlation matrix (Table 3) also showed a positive and significant relationship between pH, EC and exchangeable bases.

Another reason for high soil pH at biomass burned sites could be because of the porous nature of charred biomass that increases the CEC of the soil. Thus there could be a chance for Al and Fe to bind with the exchange site. The correlation matrix (Table 3) also revealed a positive and significant relationship between soil pH and CEC. In agreement with this finding, Arocena and Opio (2003) and Khanna *et al.* (1994) reported the capacity of ashes to neutralize the acidic soil. Ulery *et al.* (1993) and Hernandez *et al.* (1997) also found an increase in soil pH by as much as three units immediately after burning.

Soil organic C, total N and available P: Burning of biomass significantly ($p < 0.01$) altered soil organic C, total N and available P but the observed variation in carbon to nitrogen ratio was not

Table 4: Mean values of pH, EC, exchangeable acidity, OC, TN, AVP at Crop Residue Burned Farmlands, Charcoal Site and Cultivated Land in Southwestern Ethiopia

Treatments	pH	EC (mmhos)	Ex. Ac (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	OC (%)	TN (%)	C/N	AVP (ppm)
Crop residue burned	8.12a	0.811a	0.197c	3.42b	0.35b	9.73a	13.48a
Charcoal site	7.51b	0.766a	0.170b	5.13a	0.66a	7.77b	14.55a
Cultivated land	5.63c	0.084b	0.263a	3.84b	0.38b	10.21a	7.77b
LSD (0.05)	0.45	0.14	0.03	0.43	0.04	1.42	3.02
P-value	**	**	**	**	**	*	**
CV (%)	2.81	11.43	5.50	4.59	3.52	6.79	11.18

Means with different letter in the same column are significant; *,** significant at $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively; EC: Electrical conductivity; Ex. Ac: Exchangeable acidity; OC: Organic carbon; TN: Total nitrogen; AVP: Available phosphorous; LSD: Least significant difference; CV: Coefficient of variance

significant ($p < 0.05$). The highest value of organic carbon and total nitrogen were observed at charcoal site. Due to severe burning, the lowest values of organic carbon and total nitrogen were recorded at farmland where crop residues are burned for land preparation (Table 4). As compared to adjacent cultivated land, charcoal production increased organic C and total N by 33.50 and 75.22%, respectively whereas on farm burning of crop residues decreased soil organic C and total N by 10.90 and 6.19%, respectively (Table 2). The highest value of organic carbon and total nitrogen at charcoal site resulted from the presence of carbon and nitrogen rich charcoal residues whilst severe fire during land preparation decreased soil organic carbon and total nitrogen. Due to burning of crop residues, loss of Nitrogen up to 80% was also reported by Raison (1979). Solomon *et al.* (2007) and Liang *et al.* (2006) also revealed the higher organic C and total N at the ancient *terra preta* compared with adjacent soils. The previous studies (Sertsu and Sanchez, 1978) have also reported significant reduction in the organic C content of soils exposed to severe fire.

Available phosphorous was also significantly ($p < 0.01$) increased by biomass burning. Available phosphorous was increased from 7.77 ppm at cultivated land to 14.55 ppm at charcoal production sites (Table 4). As compared to cultivated land, burning of crop residues for land preparation increased available phosphorous by 73.41% whereas burning of biomass for charcoal production increased available phosphorus by 87.14% (Table 2). The increase in available phosphorus at burning sites could be due to the presence of wood ash which is rich in phosphorus (Ogundele *et al.*, 2011). The increase in soil pH and CEC, that reduce the activity of Fe and Al, could be the other reasons for the increased in available phosphorous at burned sites. The correlation matrix (Table 2) also revealed a positive and significant relationship between soil pH and available phosphorous ($r = 0.875^{**}$) and available phosphorous and CEC ($r = 0.868^{**}$). In line with this findings, Ogundele *et al.* (2011) reported the increase in available phosphorous from 2.07 to 11.21 ppm under adjacent sites and charcoal production sites, respectively. Dormaari *et al.* (1979) also reported a significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in available phosphorous due to burning of crop residues.

Cation exchange capacity and exchangeable bases: The mean values of CEC and exchangeable bases at cultivated land and burned sites are presented in Table 5. Cation exchange capacity and exchangeable bases were significantly ($p < 0.01$) affected by burning of biomass however the observed variation in exchangeable calcium was not significant ($p > 0.05$) (Table 5). The highest and the lowest values of CEC were recorded at charcoal production site and cultivated lands, respectively. CEC was increased by 15.58 and 18.68% due to burning of crop residues and

Table 5: Mean values of CEC and Exchangeable bases at Crop Residue Burned Farmlands, Charcoal Site and Cultivated Land in Southwestern Ethiopia

Treatments	CEC	K	Na	Ca	Mg
	----- (meq 100 g ⁻¹)-----				
Crop residue burned	29.27a	15.49a	0.25b	16.64	6.27a
Charcoal site	30.06a	14.16a	0.39a	19.64	6.22a
Cultivated land	25.33b	2.27b	0.13c	10.22	2.60b
LSD (0.05)	1.30	4.05	0.06	7.77	0.56
P-value	**	**	**	NS	**
CV (%)	2.03	16.80	9.93	22.11	4.89

Means with different letter in the same column are significant; *,** significant at $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively; NS: Non significance; CEC: Cation exchange capacity; LSD: Least significant difference; CV: Coefficient of variance

charcoal production, respectively (Table 2). The increase in CEC due to burning, in spite of decrease in clay percentage, could be due to the presence of charcoal and charred biomass left on the soil. Available evidence suggested that on a mass basis, the intrinsic CEC of biochar/charred biomass is consistently higher than that of whole soil, clays or soil organic matter. Sohi *et al.* (2009) also revealed the increase in soil cation exchange capacity after the application of charcoal and/or biochar. Moreover, Ketteringsa *et al.* (2002) unveiled that heating of soil increases bulk soil specific surface area which in turn increases soil CEC. The increase in soil CEC due to burning of soil was also reported by Oguntunde *et al.* (2004) and Glaser *et al.* (2002).

The highest and the lowest values of exchangeable bases were also recorded at burned sites and cultivated lands, respectively (Table 5). As compared to cultivated land, burning of crop residues for land preparation increased exchangeable K, Na, Ca and Mg by 583.53, 90.00, 62.87 and 140.85%, respectively while charcoal production increased exchangeable K, Na, Ca and Mg by 524.71, 192.50, 92.23 and 139.05%, respectively (Table 2). The highest exchangeable bases at burned sites might be due to the accumulation of wood ashes and/or charred biomass. The ash deposits after burning, helps for the immediate release of the occluded mineral nutrients for crop use (Scheuner *et al.*, 2004; Niemeyer *et al.*, 2005). Awodun *et al.* (2007) and Kishor *et al.* (2010) also reported ashes as sources of minerals for crop production. Due to excess accumulation of ash which is rich in basic cations, the percentage of base saturation at burned sites was more than 100%.

Conclusion and recommendation: Most of the selected soil physical and chemical properties were significantly affected by biomass burning except silt percentage, WHC and C:N ratio. Biomass burning increased the percentage of sand and decreased clay content and bulk density as compared to adjacent cultivated land. Moreover, soil pH, EC, available P, CEC, and exchangeable bases were significantly increased by burning of biomass. Charcoal production also increased organic carbon and total nitrogen however severe fire during burning of crop residues for land preparation decreased the values of organic carbon and total nitrogen. The increase in soil pH, EC, and exchangeable cations could be resulted from the production of ashes during burning of biomass while the increase in CEC may be attributed to the dominating effect of charred biomass left on burned sites and the increase in surface area due to burning of soil. Though burning of biomass improved some soil properties, this practice causes significant environmental pollution and kills beneficial soil microorganisms. Therefore it is useful to consider biochar strategy in order to manage soil health and reduce impact of biomass burning on environment. Moreover more research is required to evaluate the effect of fire on soil properties.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was partly financed by Jimma University College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine. Special thanks to late father Nigussie Nigatu and to our colleagues, Mastawesha Misganaw, Bayu Sime and Etetu for their encouragement, assistance in data collection and laboratory sample preparations.

REFERENCES

- Aflakpui, G.K.S., F.K. Boa-Amponsem, V.M. Anchirinah, S. Osei-Yeboah and G.E.K. Bolfrey-Arku *et al.*, 2007. Sustaining crop production through conservation tillage in Ghana. *J. Plant Sci.*, 2: 68-74.

- Ajayi, A., O. Philip, J. Abiodun and S.D. Moacir, 2009. Numerical analysis of the impact of charcoal production on soil hydrological behavior, runoff response and erosion susceptibility. Rev. Bras. Cienc. Solo, 33: 137-145.
- Arocena, J.M. and C. Opio, 2003. Prescribed fire-induced changes in properties of sub-boreal forest soils. Geoderma, 113: 1-16.
- Awodun, M.A., M.S. Otaru and S.O. Ojениyi, 2007. Effect of sawdust ash plus urea on maize performance and nutrient status. Asian J. Agric. Res., 1: 27-30.
- BPEDORS, 2000. Physical and Socio Economical Profile of 180 District of Oromia Region. BPEDORS, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Chapman, H.D., 1965. Cation Exchange Capacity. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Black, C.A. (Ed.). American Society Agronomy, Madison, WI., USA., ISBN-10: 0891180729, pp: 891-901.
- Dankyi, A.A., B.M. Dzomeku, F.O. Anno-Nyako, A. Adu-Appiah and G. Antwi, 2007. Plantain production practices in the Ashanti, Brong-Ahafo and Eastern Regions of Ghana. Asian J. Agric. Res., 1: 1-9.
- Dormaari, J.F., U.J. Pittmani and E.D. Spratt, 1979. Burning crop residues: Effect on selected soil characteristics and long term wheat yields. Can. J. Soil Sci., 59: 79-86.
- EFAP, 1994. Ethiopian forestry action plan. EFAP, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Electric, E. and P. Corporation, 2000. Comparison among 22 different countries by installed power per person. Working Paper on Power Expansion Options, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Emmanuel, D.A., V.A. Anne, R.A. Steve and S.B. Saran, 2010. Bio-char from sawdust, maize stover and charcoal: Impact on water holding capacities of three soils from Ghana. Proceedings of the 19th World Congress of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing World, August 1-6, 2010, Brisbane, Australia.
- Ezzati, M. and D.M. Kammen, 2001. Quantifying the effects of exposure to indoor air pollution from biomass combustion on acute respiratory infections in developing countries. Environ. Health Perspect., 109: 441-488.
- Glaser, B., J. Lehmann and W. Zech, 2002. Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal-A Review. Biol. Fertil. Soils, 35: 219-230.
- Hernandez, T., C. Garcia and I. Reinhardt, 1997. Short-term effect of wildfire on the chemical, biochemical and microbiological properties of mediterranean pine forest soils. Biol. Fertil. Soils, 25: 109-116.
- Karim, S.M.R. and M. Mansor, 2011. Impact of *Jhum* cultivation on the agro-ecology of mountains and socio-economy of tribal peoples. Asian J. Agric. Res., 5: 109-114.
- Ketterings, Q., J. Bigham and V. Laperche, 2000. Changes in soil mineralogy and texture caused by slash-and-burn fires in Sumatra, Indonesia. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 64: 1108-1117.
- Ketteringsa, Q.M., M. van Noordwijk and J.M. Bigham, 2002. Soil phosphorus availability after slash-and-burn fires of different intensities in rubber agro forests in Sumatra, Indonesia. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 92: 37-48.
- Kevin, R., 2010. Effects of fire regime on physical soil properties. Tall Timbers Research Station and Land Conservancy, http://www.talltimbers.org/ttnews/news.cfm?news_id=190&e_id=0&sub_id=0
- Khanna, P.K., R.J. Raison and R.A. Falkiner, 1994. Chemical properties of ash derived from *Eucalyptus* litter and its effects on forest soils. For. Ecol. Manage., 66: 107-125.
- Kishor, P., A.K. Ghosh and D. Kumar, 2010. Use of flyash in agriculture: A way to improve soil fertility and its productivity. Asian J. Agric. Res., 4: 1-14.

- Lefroy, R.D.B., W. Chaitep and G.J. Blair, 1994. Release of sulfur from rice residues under flooded and non-flooded soil conditions. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 45: 657-667.
- Lemessa, F., W. Sori and M. Wakjira, 2011. Association between angular leaf spot (*Phaeoisariopsis griseola* (Sacc.) Ferraris) and common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) yield loss at Jimma, Southwestern Ethiopia. *Plant Pathol. J.*, 10: 57-65.
- Liang, B., J. Lehmann, D. Solomon, J. Kinyangi and J. Grossman *et al.*, 2006. Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. *Soil. Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 70: 1719-1730.
- Makundi, W.R.L., 1998. Mitigation options in forestry, land-use change and biomass burning in Africa. Environmental Energy Technologies Division, <http://ies.lbl.gov/iespubs/42767.pdf>.
- Niemeyer, T., M. Niemeyer, A. Mohamed, S. Fottner and W. Hrdtle, 2005. Impact of prescribed burning on the nutrient balance of heath lands with particular reference to nitrogen and phosphorus. *Applied Veg. Sci.*, 8: 183-192.
- Nkongolo, N.V., K. Kuramochi and R. Hatano, 2008. Effect of mechanized tillage operations on soil physical properties and greenhouse gases fluxes in two agricultural fields. *Res. J. Environ. Sci.*, 2: 68-80.
- Ogundele, A.T., O.S. Eludoyin and O.S. Oladapo, 2011. Assessment of impacts of charcoal production on soil properties in the derived savanna, Oyo state, Nigeria. *J. Soil Sci. Environ. Manage.*, 2: 142-146.
- Oguntunde, P.G., M. Fosu, A.E. Ajayi and N. Giesen, 2004. Effects of charcoal production on maize yield, chemical properties and texture of soil. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 39: 295-299.
- Oguntunde, P.G., B.J. Abiodun, A.E. Ajayi and N. van de Giesen, 2008. Effects of charcoal production on soil physical properties in Ghana. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 171: 591-596.
- Oyekanmi, A.A. and K.A. Okeleye, 2007. Cassava production systems across some agro-ecological zones in South West-North West Axis of Nigeria. *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, 6: 158-162.
- Parker, B.Q., B.A. Osei, F.A. Armah and D.O. Yawson, 2010. Impact of biomass burning on soil organic carbon and the release of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere in the coastal savanna ecosystem of Ghana. *J. Renewable Sustain. Energy*, Vol. 2. 10.1063/1.3449299
- Piccolo, A. and J.S.C. Mbagwu, 1990. Effects of different organic waste amendments on soil microaggregates stability and molecular sizes of humic substances. *Plant Soil*, 123: 27-37.
- Piccolo, A., G. Pietramellara and J.S.C. Mbagwu, 1997. Use of humic substances as soil conditioners to increase aggregate stability. *Geoderma*, 75: 267-277.
- Ponnamperuma, F.N., 1984. Straw as a Source of Nutrients for Wet-Land Rice. In: *Organic Matter and Rice*, Banta, S. and C.V. Mendoza (Eds.). IRRI, Los Banos, Philippines, pp: 117-136.
- Raison, R.J., 1979. Modification of the soil environment by vegetation fires, with particular reference to nitrogen transformation: A review. *Plant Soil*, 51: 73-108.
- Scheuner, E.T., F. Makeschin, E.D. Wells and P.Q. Carter, 2004. Short-term impacts of harvesting and burning disturbances on physical and chemical characteristics of forest soils in Western Newfoundland, Canada. *Eur. J. For. Res.*, 123: 321-330.
- Scholes, R.J., 1995. Greenhouse gas emissions from vegetation fires in Southern Africa. *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 38: 169-179.
- Sertsu, S.M. and P.A. Sanchez, 1978. Effects of heating on some changes in soil properties in relation to an Ethiopian land management practice. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 42: 940-944.
- Sohi, S., C.E. Loez, E. Krull and R. Bol, 2009. Biochar's roles in soil and climate change: A review of research needs. CSIRO Land and Water Science Report No. 05/09, pp: 1-65. <http://www.csiro.au/files/files/poei.pdf>.

- Solomon, D., J. Lehmann, J. Thies, T. Schafer and B. Liang *et al.*, 2007. Molecular signature and sources of biochemical recalcitrance of organic C in Amazonian dark earths. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 71: 2285-2298.
- Stefan, H., 2009. Renewable energy in Ethiopia: 13 Months of sunshine for a sustainable development. Germany, pp: 1-20. http://www.stefanheimann.eu/inhalt/Renewables_Ethiopia.pdf
- Syaufina, L. and A.N. Ainuddin, 2011. Impacts of fire on Southeast Asia tropical forests biodiversity: A review. *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, 10: 238-244.
- Teketay, D., 2005. Deforestation, wood famine and environmental degradation in Ethiopia's highland ecosystems: Urgent need for action. *Northeast Afr. Stud.*, 89: 53-76.
- Ulery, A.L. and R.C. Graham, 1993. Forest fire effects on soil color and texture. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 57: 135-140.
- Ulery, A.L., R.C. Graham and C. Amrhein, 1993. Wood ash composition and soil pH following intense burning. *Soil Sci.*, 156: 358-364.
- Vagen, T.G., R. Lal and B.R. Singh, 2005. Soil carbon sequestration in sub saharan Africa: A review. *Land Degrad Dev.*, 16: 53-71.
- Valzano, F.P., R.S.B. Grene and B.W. Murphy, 1997. Direct effects of stubble burning on soil hydraulic and physical properties in a direct drill tillage system. *Soil Tillage Res.*, 42: 209-219.
- Van Reeuwijk, L.P., 1992. Procedures for Soil Analysis. 3rd Edn., International Soil Reference and Information Centre (ISRIC), Wageningen, The Netherlands, ISBN-13: 9789066720442.
- Wolde-Ghiorgis, W., 2002. Renewable energy for rural development in Ethiopia: The Case for new energy policies and institutional reform. *Energy Policy*, 30: 1095-1105.
- World Bank, 2001. World Development Report 2000/2001. Oxford University Press, New York, USA.
- World Reference Base, 2006. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2006: A Framework for International Classification, Correlation and Communication. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Yisehak, S., 2009. Sourcing and treatment of biomass for energy application in the cement industry. CDM Capacity Development in Eastern and Southern Africa, United Nations Development Programme.