

ISSN 1996-3351

Asian Journal of
Biological
Sciences

Antibacterial Activity of Some Iranian Medicinal Plants Against Important Human Pathogens

¹Saeide Saeidi, ²Parisa Shojaei, ³Saphora Bazi and ^{4,5}Nima Sanadgol

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Islamic Azad University, Kerman, Iran

²Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Zabol University, Zabol, Iran

³Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Payame Noor University, Zabol, Iran

⁴Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Zabol University, Zabol, Iran

⁵Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Science Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding Author: Nima Sanadgol, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Science Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

ABSTRACT

In order to validate antibacterial properties of five Iranian medicinal plants with respect to traditional uses, we have screened antimicrobial activity of these plants against ten important human pathogenic bacteria. A cross-sectional study was performed Place and Duration of Study: Study performed in Islamic Azad University, Kerman, Iran and Zabol University, Zabol, Iran during 2012 to early 2013. The antimicrobial effect of ethanol, aqueous, Ethyl acetate and chloroform extracts of *Marrubium vulgare*, *Calotropis procera*, *Myrtus communis* L., *Piper nigrum* and *Cuminum cyminum* L. on pathogenic bacteria namely, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Shigella shinga*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* and *Staphylococcus aureus* were determined using broth microdilution method. The results revealed that ethanol and Ethyl acetate was the best extractive solvent in contrast with other solvents for separation of effective components and observation of maximum antimicrobial properties of these plants ($p < 0.05$). Furthermore, *P. nigrum* and *C. cyminum* L. were a potent antimicrobial activity respectively against gram-positive (*Bacillus cereus*) and gram-negative (*Proteus mirabilis*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter cloacae*) bacteria. The present studies confirm the use of mixes of *P. nigrum* and *C. cyminum* L. crude ethanol and Ethyl extracts as widespread antimicrobial agent. Further research is required to evaluate the practical values of therapeutic applications.

Key words: Medicinal plants, antibacterial, human pathogens

INTRODUCTION

Pathogenic bacteria are the most serious threats to human health in the world. One of the traditional methods for treatment of patients infected with pathogenic bacteria is the application of plant crude extracts or plant products (Capasso, 2003). Medicinal plants play a major role in all the traditional system of medicine and contain a rich source of natural products. Most of which have been used for human welfare, especially to cure disease caused by pathogenic microorganisms without any side effects. Antibacterial materials in the plant crude extracts suppress one or more

factors that are essential for microbial survival (Hoffmann, 2003). It has been shown that the antibacterial activities of higher plants are more potential source of novel antibiotic prototypes (Daniel, 2006). The interest in plants with antimicrobial properties has been revived because of drug resistance associated with the use of antibiotics. Nowadays, several plant crude extracts have been studied for their potential antimicrobial activity or for funding new antibacterial agents (Tajkarimi *et al.*, 2010; Dorman and Deans, 2000; Indu *et al.*, 2006). *Cuminum cyminum* L. (Cumin, Apiaceae) is an aromatic plant which is used in Iranian ancient medicine for treating toothache, diarrhea and epilepsy (Mirshekari *et al.*, 2008). It has been shown that *Cuminum cyminum* L. has a broad antibiotic spectrum against both gram-positive and gram negative bacteria (Sowbhagya, 2011; Pajohi *et al.*, 2011; Bettaieb *et al.*, 2011; Wannner *et al.*, 2010; Derakhshan *et al.*, 2010). Some researchers have noted that cumin can be an emerging alternative antimicrobial agent for human applications (Jazani *et al.*, 2008). *Marrubium vulgare* (*M. vulgare*, Lamiaceae), another medicinal plant species, found wild in many regions of Iran and It has been shown that the plant possesses stimulant, expectorant, diaphoretic and diuretic properties (Firuzi *et al.*, 2010; Salama *et al.*, 2012). It is helpful for bronchial asthma and nonproductive cough and in some studies showed antimicrobial activity (Zarai *et al.*, 2011; De Oliveira *et al.*, 2011; Boudjelal *et al.*, 2012; Meyre-Silva and Cechinel-Filho, 2010; Ahmed *et al.*, 2010; Rigano *et al.*, 2007; Hayet *et al.*, 2007). *Calotropis procera* (Sodom apple, Asclepiadaceae) is a shrub about 6 m high and is distributed in tropical and subtropical regions of Asia and Africa (Verma *et al.*, 2010). It is generally used to treat common diseases such as fever, rheumatism, indigestion, eczema and bacterial infections (Verma *et al.*, 2010; Lima-Filho *et al.*, 2010). Piper nigrum (Black pepper, piperaceae) is a flowering vine and native to India and its phytochemical screening shows that it contains 4% alkaloids in the berry with some antimicrobial activity (Awoyinka *et al.*, 2006; Ghorri and Ahmad, 2009; Karsha and Lakshmi, 2010). Myrtus communis L. (Myriaceae) is a perennial shrub and widely distributed in the Mediterranean area and Iran. Its leaves contain tannins, flavonoids such as quercetin, catechin and myricetin derivative and volatile oil. The present study was carried out to determine the in vitro potential antibacterial agent of five Iranian medicinal plants (*M. vulgare*, *C. procera*, *M. communis* L., *P. nigrum* and *C. cyminum* L.) belonging to five different botanical families against ten bacterial species which are known to cause pneumonia or wound infection (*Klebsiella pneumonia*, *Proteus mirabilis*), hemoragic diarrhea (*Shigella shinga*), typhoid fever or food borne illness (*Salmonella typhi*, *Bacillus cereus*) and urinary or respiratory tract infections (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*) in humans.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material: The leaf of *M. vulgare*, *C. procera*, *M. communis* L., fruit of *P. nigrum* and seed of *C. cyminum* L. were collected in the region of Iran (Zahedan and Kerman, south-eastern, Iran) and plant in Kerman Azad University herbarium received approval and dried at room temperature. Samples were crashed and transferred into glass container and preserved until extraction procedure was performed in the laboratory. Twenty grams of ground powders from each plant were soaked in 60 mL organic solvents i.e., ethanol (95% v/v), chloroform, ethyl acetate and aqueous with occasionally shaking. After one day of dissolving process, materials were filtered through a Whatman no. 1 filter paper. Then the filtrates were evaporated using a rotary evaporator. At last, 0.97 g of dried extracts was obtained and then stored at 4°C in air tight screw-cap tube (Hanafy and Hatem, 1991).

Bacterial strains and culture conditions: Bacterial strains were obtained from standard laboratory of the Veterinary department in Islamic Azad University, Kerman, Iran. To evaluate the antibacterial activity of the plant crude extracts, ten bacterial strains including seven gram-negative bacteria: [*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC9027), *Shigella dysenteriae* (ATCC13313), *Klebsiella pneumonia* (ATCC13183), *Salmonella typhi* (ATCC1006), *Proteus mirabilis* (ATCC49565), *Serratia marcescens* (ATCC21074), *Enterobacter cloacae* (ATCC13047)] and three strains of gram-positive bacteria: [*Bacillus cereus* (ATCC4010), *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (ATCC15305) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC6538)] were selected. The typed cultures of bacteria were sub-cultured on Nutrient agar (Oxoid) and stored at 4°C until needed.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC): The broth micro dilution method was used to determine MIC and MBC (Wiegand *et al.*, 2008). All tests were performed in Mueller Hinton broth supplemented with Tween 80 at a final concentration of 0.5% (v/v). Briefly, serial doubling dilutions of the extract were prepared in a 96-well microtiter plate ranged from 0.3 to 10.00 mg mL⁻¹. All selected plant extracts were prepared by dissolving firstly 10 mg of dry extract in 2 mL of DMSO (indicator solution) and then preparing further dilutions ranging from 0.3 to 10 mg mL⁻¹. Ten microliter of the indicator solution and 10 µL of the Mueller Hinton Broth were added to each well. Finally, 10 µL of bacterial suspension (10⁸ CFU mL⁻¹) was added to each well to achieve a concentration of 10⁴ CFU mL⁻¹. The plates were wrapped loosely with cling film to ensure that the bacteria did not get dehydrated. The plates were prepared in triplicates and then they were placed in an incubator at 37°C for 18-24 h. The color change was then assessed visually. The lowest concentration at which the color change occurred was taken as the MIC value. The average of 3 values was calculated to provide the MIC and MBC values for the tested extract. The MIC is defined as the lowest concentration of the extract at which the microorganism does not demonstrate the visible growth. The microorganism growth was indicated by turbidity. The MBC was defined as the lowest concentration of the extract at which the incubated microorganism was completely killed.

Agar well diffusion assay: Antibacterial activity of plant crude extracts was tested using the agar well diffusion method. The test inoculums (0.5 McFarland's turbidity) were spread onto Muller-Hinton agar by using a sterile cotton swab. The wells were made by a sterile well puncture and 20 µL of the extracts were added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The presence of zones of inhibition was regarded as the presence of antimicrobial action. The average diameter of zone of inhibition was measured in millimeter. Erythromycin was included as a positive control in each assay.

Statistical analysis: The results were expressed as mean and ranked in order of importance as percent (%). The data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), using the SPSS-17 software. A p-value less than 0.05 were regarded as significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The antimicrobial activity of several plant extracts was quantitatively assessed on the bacterial growth in the medium. All plant crude extracts showed inhibitory activity against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria with varying magnitudes and these effects were in dose dependent manners. Among the four solvents, ethyl acetate and ethanol extracts represented higher inhibitory effects against all the bacterial strains than other solvents (Table 1, 2). All plant crude extracts

Table 1: Antibacterial effects of plant crude extracts against gram-positive pathogenic bacteria

Standard bacteria	MIC (mg mL ⁻¹) and MBC (mg mL ⁻¹) of herbal extracts				
	<i>C. procera</i> MIC/MBC	<i>M. vulgare</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>C. cyminum</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>M. communs</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>P. nigrum</i> MIC/MBC
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> (ATCC4010)	2.5/5 ^a	5/10 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a
	2.5/5 ^b	2.5/5 ^b	2.5/5 ^b	2.5/5 ^b	2.5/2.5 ^b
	1.25/2.5 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c	0.62/1.25 ^c
	5/10 ^d	10/20 ^d	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d	2.5/5 ^d
<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> (ATCC15305)	5/10 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a
	5/10 ^b	5/10 ^b	5/10 ^b	5/10 ^b	2.5/2.5 ^b
	2.5/5 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c
	10/20 ^d	10/10 ^d	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ATCC6538)	2.5/5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a
	5/10 ^b	5/10 ^b	1.25/2.5 ^b	2.5/5 ^b	2.5/5 ^b
	2.5/5 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c
	10/20 ^d	10/20 ^d	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d

^aEthanol extract, ^bChloroform extract, ^cEthyl acetate extract and ^dAqueous extract

Table 2: Antibacterial effects of plant crude extracts against gram-negative pathogenic bacteria

Standard bacteria	MIC (mg mL ⁻¹) and MBC (mg mL ⁻¹) of herbal extracts				
	<i>C. procera</i> MIC/MBC	<i>M. vulgare</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>C. cyminum</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>M. communs</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>P. nigrum</i> MIC/MBC
<i>Klebsiellas pneumonia</i> (ATCC13183)	1.25/2.5 ^a	5/10 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a	0.62/1.25 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a
	2.5/2.5 ^b	5/5 ^b	2.5/5 ^b	1.25/2.5 ^b	1.25/1.25 ^b
	2.5/5 ^c	2.5/2.5 ^c	0.62/1.25 ^c	2.5/5 ^c	0.62/1.25 ^c
<i>Salmonella typhi</i> (ATCC1006)	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d	2.5/5 ^d	5/10 ^d	2.5/5 ^d
	2.5/5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	0.62/1.25 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a
	5/10 ^b	2.5/5 ^b	1.25/1.25 ^b	5/10 ^b	2.5/2.5 ^b
<i>Shigella dysenteriae</i> (ATCC13313)	1.25/2.5 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c	0.3/0.3 ^c	2.5/5 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c
	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d	2.5/5 ^d	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d
	2.5/5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a
	2.5/5 ^b	2.5/5 ^b	5/10 ^b	2.5/2.5 ^b	2.5/5 ^b
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i> (ATCC49565)	1.25/2.5 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c	2.5/5 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c
	2.5/2.5 ^d	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d
	0.62/1.25 ^a	0.62/1.25 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	1.25/1.25 ^a
	0.62/0.62 ^b	1.25/2.5 ^b	2.5/5 ^b	1.25/2.5 ^b	1.25/2.5 ^b
<i>Pseudomonas mirabilis</i> (ATCC49565)	0.3/0.62 ^c	0.62/0.62 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c	0.62/0.62 ^c
	2.5/5 ^d	2.5/5 ^d	2.5/2.5 ^d	5/10 ^d	2.5/5 ^d
	1.25/2.5 ^a	0.62/1.25 ^a	0.3/0.62 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a
	2.5/2.5 ^b	0.62/1.25 ^b	0.62/0.62 ^b	2.5/2.5 ^b	2.5/2.5 ^b
<i>Serratia marcescens</i> (ATCC21074)	0.62/1.25 ^c	0.62/0.62 ^c	0.3/0.62 ^c	0.62/1.25 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c
	2.5/5 ^d	2.5/5 ^d	1.25/1.25 ^d	2.5/5 ^d	2.5/5 ^d
	1.25/2.5 ^a	0.3/0.62 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a	2.5/5 ^a
	1.25/2.5 ^b	1.25/1.25 ^b	2.5/5 ^b	5/5 ^b	2.5/5 ^b
<i>Enterobactor cloacae</i> (ATCC13047)	0.62/0.62 ^c	0.3/0.3 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c	1.25/1.25 ^c	1.25/2.5 ^c
	5/10 ^d	5/10 ^d	2.5/2.5 ^d	10/20 ^d	5/10 ^d
	1.25/2.5 ^a	5/10 ^a	1.25/2.5 ^a	5/10 ^a	0.62/1.25 ^a
	2.5/5 ^b	5/10 ^b	2.5/5 ^b	5/10 ^b	1.25/2.5 ^b
	1.25/2.5 ^c	2.5/2.5 ^c	0.62/1.25 ^c	2.5/2.5 ^c	0.3/0.62 ^c
2.5/5 ^d	10/20 ^d	2.5/5 ^d	5/10 ^d	5/5 ^d	

^aEthanol extract, ^bChloroform extract, ^cEthyl acetate extract, ^dAqueous extract

Table 3: Antimicrobial activity of the plant crude extracts as mean of inhibition diameter zone against gram-positive pathogenic bacteria (mm) (30 Al disc-1)

Standard Bacteria	MIC (mg mL ⁻¹) and MBC (mg mL ⁻¹) of herbal extracts				
	<i>C. procera</i> MIC/MBC	<i>M. vulgare</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>C. cyminum</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>M. communis</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>P. nigrum</i> MIC/MBC
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> (ATCC4010)	10 mm ^a	8 mm ^a	10 mm ^a	12 mm ^a	11 mm ^a
	10 mm ^b	10 mm ^b	10 mm ^b	10 mm ^b	11 mm ^b
	12 mm ^c	11 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	13 mm ^c
	7 mm ^d	6 mm ^d	6 mm	7 mm ^d	10 mm ^d
<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> (ATCC15305)	7 mm ^a	12 mm ^a	10 mm ^a	10 mm ^a	9 mm ^a
	7 mm ^b	7 mm ^b	8 mm ^b	6 mm ^b	9 mm ^b
	9 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	11 mm ^c
	5 mm ^d	5 mm ^d	7 mm ^d	7 mm ^d	7 mm ^d
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ATCC6538)	10 mm ^a	10 mm ^a	8 mm ^a	12 mm ^a	11 mm ^a
	7 mm ^b	7 mm ^b	12 mm ^b	9 mm ^b	9 mm ^b
	10 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	11 mm ^c
	5 mm ^d	5 mm ^d	6 mm ^d	7 mm ^d	7 mm ^d

^aEthanol extract, ^bChloroform extract, ^cEthyl acetate extract, ^dAqueous extract

except of ethyl acetate extract of *P. nigrum* exhibited same antibacterial activity against *Bacillus cereus* (Table 1). Moreover, all plant crude extracts showed relatively same antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Table 1). Maximum inhibition of gram-negative bacteria was observed with the ethyl acetate extract of *P. nigrum* against *Bacillus cereus* (13 mm) (Table 3). The least MIC and MBC value for gram-negative bacteria were observed by the ethyl acetate the crude extract of *M. communis* L. against *Proteus mirabilis* and *C. cyminum* L. against *Serratia marcescens* (0.3 and 0.3 mg mL⁻¹). In general, aqueous extracts of all plants showed the highest MIC and MBC values (Table 2). Ethyl acetate crude extract of *M. communis* L. had a maximum inhibitory effect on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Serratia marcescens* (Table 2). The crude extract of *C. cyminum* L. had a minimum inhibitory effect on *Salmonella typhi* and *Shigella shinga* (Table 2). The crude extract of *M. communis* L. had a minimum inhibitory effect on *Salmonella typhi* and *Serratia marcescens* (Table 2). Extracts of *P. nigrum* had a minimum inhibitory effect on *Salmonella typhi*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Shigella shinga* (Table 2). Totally, the crude extract of *C. procera* showed relatively same antibacterial activity against all bacteria and *Salmonella typhi* was the more resistant bacteria for all plant crude extracts (Table 2). Maximum inhibitory effects of plant extracts on the gram-negative bacteria were observed with the ethyl acetate extract of *M. communis* L. against *P. mirabilis* (16 mm) (Table 4).

Our findings are same with previous reports that showed, the ethanol and ethyl acetate were better solvents for extraction of antimicrobial active substances than water (Ahmed *et al.*, 2010). It has been reported that the major phytochemical compound of the crude extract of *P. nigrum* is piperine (Fan *et al.*, 2011; Reshmi *et al.*, 2010). Our results demonstrate that same component in the crude extract of *P. nigrum* and *M. communis* L. has a similar antibacterial effect on the gram-positive bacteria, especially *Enterobacter cloacae*. The study of Karuna, showed that the methanolic extract of *P. nigrum* fruit was more effective than others against *Staphylococcus aureus* (20 mm) and *Bacillus subtilis* (18 mm) (Karuna and Archita, 2012). Keskin and coworkers have been shown that acetone extract of *P. nigrum* has an inhibitory effect on all tested microorganisms

Table 4: Antimicrobial activity of the plant crude extracts as mean of inhibition diameter zone against gram-negative pathogenic bacteria (mm) (30 Al disc-1)

Standard bacteria	MIC (mg mL ⁻¹) and MBC (mg mL ⁻¹) of herbal extracts				
	<i>P. nigrum</i> MIC/MBC	<i>C. cyminum</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>M. communis</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>M. vulgare</i> L. MIC/MBC	<i>C. procera</i> MIC/MBC
<i>Klebsiellas pneumonia</i> (ATCC13183)	12 mm ^a	7 mm ^a	12 mm ^a	13 mm ^a	10 mm ^a
	9 mm ^b	6 mm ^b	10 mm ^b	8 mm ^b	10 mm ^b
	9 mm ^c	10 mm ^c	13 mm ^c	10 mm ^c	13 mm ^c
	7 mm ^d	7 mm ^d	10 mm ^d	6 mm ^d	9 mm ^d
<i>Salmonella typhi</i> (ATCC1006)	12 mm ^a	10 mm ^a	11 mm ^a	9 mm ^a	12 mm ^a
	7 mm ^b	10 mm ^b	9 mm ^b	6 mm ^b	12 mm ^b
	10 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	11 mm ^c	9 mm ^c	10 mm ^c
	7 mm ^d	8 mm ^d	6 mm ^d	5 mm ^d	6 mm ^d
<i>Shigella dysenteriae</i> (ATCC13313)	10 mm ^a	9 mm ^a	12 mm ^a	8 mm ^a	8 mm ^a
	10 mm ^b	12 mm ^b	12 mm ^b	8 mm ^b	8 mm ^b
	13 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	14 mm ^c	10 mm ^c	10 mm ^c
	9 mm ^d	7 mm ^d	11 mm ^d	7 mm ^d	7 mm ^d
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i> (ATCC49565)	10 mm ^a	10 mm ^a	14 mm ^a	12 mm ^a	13 mm ^a
	10 mm ^b	10 mm ^b	12 mm ^b	12 mm ^b	12 mm ^b
	13 mm ^c	13 mm ^c	16 mm ^c	15 mm ^c	14 mm ^c
	9 mm ^d	9 mm ^d	12 mm	10 mm ^d	11 mm ^d
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (ATCC9027)	11 mm ^a	11 mm ^a	13 mm ^a	14 mm ^a	12 mm ^a
	11 mm ^b	9 mm ^b	13 mm ^b	11 mm ^b	10 mm ^b
	13 mm ^c	13 mm ^c	13 mm ^c	15 mm ^c	14 mm ^c
	9 mm ^d	9 mm ^d	11 mm ^d	9 mm ^d	9 mm ^d
<i>Serratia marcescens</i> (ATCC21074)	10 mm ^a	12 mm ^a	12 mm ^a	12 mm ^a	9 mm ^a
	9 mm ^b	12 mm ^b	10 mm ^b	10 mm ^b	6 mm ^b
	12 mm ^c	14 mm ^c	12 mm ^c	14 mm ^c	11 mm ^c
	9 mm ^d	7 mm ^d	10 mm ^d	8 mm ^d	5 mm ^d
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> (ATCC13047)	10 mm ^a	8 mm ^a	11 mm ^a	7 mm ^a	14 mm ^a
	9 mm ^b	8 mm ^b	10 mm ^b	7 mm ^b	10 mm ^b
	11 mm ^c	11 mm ^c	13 mm ^c	10 mm ^c	15 mm ^c
	9 mm ^d	6 mm ^d	9 mm ^d	6 mm ^d	8 mm ^d

^aEthanol extract, ^bChloroform extract, ^cEthyl acetate extract, ^dAqueous extract

except for *C. xerosis* (Keskin and Toroglu, 2011). Antimicrobial activity of *P. nigrum* is deferent dependent on plant collection site, bacterial strains and extracts (Erturk, 2006; Indu *et al.*, 2006; Karsha and Lakshmi, 2010). The main components of *C. cyminum* oil were *p*-mentha-1,4-dien-7-al, cumin aldehyde, ζ -terpinene and γ -pinene (Iacobellis *et al.*, 2005; Jalali-Heravi *et al.*, 2007). Linalool, Myrtenyl acetate and Myrtenol were shown to be the major components of oil in the leave of *M. communis* that exhibited antimicrobial activity against gram positive, gram negative bacteria and fungus with MICs in the range of 0.5-32, 8-64 and 0.03-16 μ L mL⁻¹, respectively (Djenane *et al.*, 2011; Gameda *et al.*, 2008; Zomorodian *et al.*, 2013). Similarly, our results demonstrated that the same components in *P. nigrum* and *M. communis* L. and *C. cyminum* have antibacterial effects on gram-negative bacteria, especially *Proteus mirabilis*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Different effects of the extracts on the bacteria may be due to the presence of lipoproteins and lipopolysaccharides in the wall of the gram-negative bacteria which form a barrier for entering the hydrophobic compounds in to the cell (Wang and Chen, 2009; Mazutti *et al.*, 2008; Bachir and Benali, 2012). The bacteriocidal activity of CP could be due to

the presence of calactin, mudarin and protein which are called calotropain (Kareem *et al.*, 2010; Nagariya *et al.*, 2010). The ethanol and methanol extracts of *C. procera* latex did not show any activities against *Salmonella typhi* and *Shigella boydii* (Shivaji *et al.*, 2012; Yesmin *et al.*, 2008). From the above experiment we conclude that *P. nigrum* and *M. communis* L. Mixed extracts suggest significant growth inhibiting effects on both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. The efficacy of CCL and PN against these bacteria may provide a scientific ground for the application of the herb in the prevention and treatment of them which have developed resistance to antibiotics.

CONCLUSION

Thus on the basis of the results it is inferred that the extract of *P. nigrum* and *M. communis* L. whole plant had adequate *in-vitro* antibacterial. Further phytochemical studies are needed to identify active constituents responsible for the observed activity. The results of this study present the herb as a good candidate to explore new alternative antibacterial agents to combat pathogenic microorganisms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by Institute of Plant Biotechnology, University of Zabol, Zabol, Iran.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, B., M.H. Masoodi, H.A. Siddique and S. Khan, 2010. A new monoterpene acid from *Marrubium vulgare* with potential antihepatotoxic activity. Nat. Prod. Res., 24: 1671-1680.
- Awoyinka, O., I. Oyewole, B. Amos and O. Onasoga, 2006. Comparative pesticidal activity of dichloromethane extracts of *Piper nigrum* against *Sitophilus zeamais* and *Callosobruchus maculatus*. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 5: 2446-2449.
- Bachir, R.G. and M. Benali, 2012. Antibacterial activity of the essential oils from the leaves of *Eucalyptus globulus* against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Asian Pacific J. Trop. Biomed., 2: 739-742.
- Bettaieb, I., S. Bourgou, J. Sriti, K. Msaada, F. Limam and B. Marzouk, 2011. Essential oils and fatty acids composition of Tunisian and Indian cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.) seeds: A comparative study. J. Sci. Food Agric., 91: 2100-2107.
- Boudjelal, A., C. Henchiri, L. Siracusa, M. Sari and G. Ruberto, 2012. Compositional analysis and *in vivo* anti-diabetic activity of wild Algerian *Marrubium vulgare* L. infusion. Fitoterapia, 83: 286-292.
- Capasso, F., 2003. Phytotherapy: A Quick Reference to Herbal Medicine. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, ISBN-13: 9783540000525, Pages: 424.
- Daniel, M., 2006. Medicinal Plants: Chemistry and Properties. Science Publishers, USA., ISBN: 9781578083954, Pages: 250.
- De Oliveira, A.P., J.R. Santin, M. Lemos, L.C. Klein Jr., A.G. Couto, C.M. da Silva Bittencourt and S.F. de Andrade, 2011. Gastroprotective activity of methanol extract and marrubiin obtained from leaves of *Marrubium vulgare* L. (Lamiaceae). J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 63: 1230-1237.
- Derakhshan, S., M. Sattari and M. Bigdeli, 2010. Effect of cumin (*Cuminum cyminum*) seed essential oil on biofilm formation and plasmid integrity of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Pharmacogn. Mag., 6: 57-61.
- Djenane, D., J. Yanguela, T. Amrouche, S. Boubrit, N. Boussad and P. Roncales, 2011. Chemical composition and antimicrobial effects of essential oils of *Eucalyptus globulus*, *Myrtus communis* and *Satureja hortensis* against *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 and *Staphylococcus aureus* in minced beef. Food Sci. Technol. Int., 17: 505-515.

- Dorman, H.J.D. and S.G. Deans, 2000. Antimicrobial agents from plants: Antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. *J. Applied Microbiol.*, 88: 308-316.
- Erturk, O., 2006. Antibacterial and antifungal activity of ethanolic extracts from eleven spice plants. *Biologia*, 61: 275-278.
- Fan, L.O.H.S., R. Muhamad, D. Omar and M. Rahmani, 2011. Insecticidal properties of *Piper nigrum* fruit extracts and essential oils against *Spodoptera litura*. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 13: 517-522.
- Firuzi, O., K. Javidnia, M. Gholami, M. Soltani and R. Miri, 2010. Antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of 24 Lamiaceae species growing in Iran. *Nat. Prod. Commun.*, 5: 261-264.
- Gemeda, N., K. Urga, A. Tadele, H. Lemima, D. Melaku and K. Mudie, 2008. Antimicrobial activity of topical formulation containing *Eugenia caryophyllata* L and *Myritus communis* L. essential oil on selected skin disease causing microorganism. *Ethiop. J. Health Sci.*, 18: 101-107.
- Ghori, I. and S.S. Ahmad, 2009. Antibacterial activities of honey, sandal oil and black pepper. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 41: 461-466.
- Hanafy, M.S.M. and M.E. Hatem, 1991. Studies on the antimicrobial activity of *Nigella sativa* seed (black cumin). *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 34: 275-278.
- Hayet, E., A. Samia, G. Patrick, M.M. Ali, M. Maha, G. Laurent, Z. Mighri and A. Mahjoub, 2007. Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activity of *Marrubium alysson* and *Retama raetam* grown in Tunisia. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 10: 1759-1762.
- Hoffmann, D., 2003. *Medical Herbalism: The Science and Practice of Herbal Medicine*. Inner Traditions/Bear and Co., Rochester, VT., USA., ISBN-13: 9781594778902, Pages: 672.
- Iacobellis, N.S., P.L. Cantore, F. Capasso and F. Senatore, 2005. Antibacterial activity of *Cuminum cyminum* L. and *Carum carvi* L. essential oils. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 53: 57-61.
- Indu, M.N., A.A.M. Hatha, C. Abirosh, U. Harsha and G. Vivekanandan, 2006. Antimicrobial activity of some of the South-Indian spices against serotypes of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella*, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Braz. J. Microbiol.*, 37: 153-158.
- Jalali-Heravi, M., B. Zekavat and H. Sereshti, 2007. Use of gas chromatography-mass spectrometry combined with resolution methods to characterize the essential oil components of Iranian *cumin* and *caraway*. *J. Chromatogr. A.*, 1143: 215-226.
- Jazani, N.H., M. Zartoshti and S. Shahabi, 2008. Antibacterial effects of Iranian *Cuminum cyminum* essential oil on burn isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Int. J. Pharmacol.*, 4: 157-159.
- Kareem, S., I. Akpan and O. Ojo, 2010. Antimicrobial activities of *Calotropis procera* on selected pathogenic microorganisms. *Afr. J. Biomed. Res.*, 11: 105-110.
- Karsha, P.V. and B.O. Lakshmi, 2010. Antibacterial activity of black pepper (*Piper nigrum* Linn.) with special reference to its mode of action on bacteria. *Indian J. Natural Products Resour.*, 1: 213-215.
- Karuna, S.V. and P. Archita, 2012. *In vitro* screening of *Piper nigrum* and *Piper longum* for antibacterial properties. *Vegetos: Int. J. Plant Res.*, 25: 190-193.
- Keskin, D. and S. Toroglu, 2011. Studies on antimicrobial activities of solvent extracts of different spices. *J. Environ. Biol.*, 32: 251-256.
- Lima-Filho, J.V., J.M. Patriota, A.F. Silva, N.T. Filho, R.S.B. Oliveira, N.M. Alencar and M.V. Ramos, 2010. Proteins from latex of *Calotropis procera* prevent septic shock due to lethal infection by *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 129: 327-334.
- Mazutti, M., A.J. Mossi, R.L. Cansian, M.L. Corazza, C. Dariva and J.V. Oliveira, 2008. Chemical profile and antimicrobial activity of Boldo (*Peumus boldus* Molina) extracts obtained by compressed carbon dioxide extraction. *Brazil. J. Chem. Eng.*, 25: 427-434.

- Meyre-Silva, C. and V. Cechinel-Filho, 2010. A review of the chemical and pharmacological aspects of the genus *marrubium*. *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, 16: 3503-3518.
- Mirshekari, B., J. Hamidi and I. Elali, 2008. Phenology, yield and harvest index of cumin (*Cuminum Cyminum* L.) affected by different planting dates and planting patterns in Tabriz, Iran. Proceedings of the International Crop Society Congress, April 13-18, 2008, Korea.
- Nagariya, A.K., K. Sharma, A. Gaurav, S. Sharma and M.M. Rao *et al.*, 2010. A review on *Calotropis procera* Linn and its Ethnobotany, Phytochemical, Pharmacological profile. *Drug Invent. Today*, 2: 185-190.
- Pajohi, M.R., H. Tajik, A.A. Farshid and M. Hadian, 2011. Synergistic antibacterial activity of the essential oil of *Cuminum cyminum* L. seed and nisin in a food model. *J. Applied Microbiol.*, 110: 943-951.
- Reshmi, S.K., E. Sathya and P.S. Devi, 2010. Isolation of piperidine from *Piper nigrum* and its antiproliferative activity. *J. Med. Plants Res.*, 4: 1535-1546.
- Rigano, D., C. Formisano, A. Basil, A. Lavitola, F. Senatore Felice, S. Rosselli and M. Bruno, 2007. Antibacterial activity of flavonoids and phenylpropanoids from *Marrubium globosum* ssp. *libanoticum*. *Phytother. Res.*, 21: 395-397.
- Salama, M.M., E.E. Taher and M.M. El-Bahy, 2012. Molluscicidal and mosquitocidal activities of the essential oils of *Thymus capitatus* L. and *Marrubium vulgare* L. *Amer. J. Drug Discov. Develop.*, 2: 204-211.
- Shivaji, C.K., S. Ramakant, P. Pradeep, K. Vidyadhish and S. Manjunatha, 2012. Review on anti-microbial activities of poisonous drugs described in Ayurveda. *Int. J. Pharm. Frontier Res.*, 2: 80-89.
- Sowbhagya, H.B., 2011. Chemistry, technology and nutraceutical functions of cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.): An overview. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 53: 1-10.
- Tajkarimi, M.M., S.A. Ibrahim and D.O. Cliver, 2010. Antimicrobial herb and spice compounds in food. *Food Control*, 21: 1199-1218.
- Verma, R., G.P. Satsangi and J.N. Shrivastava, 2010. Ethno-medicinal profile of different plant parts of *Calotropis procera* (Ait.) R. Br. *Ethnobot. Leaflets*, 14: 721-742.
- Wang, J. and C. Chen, 2009. Biosorbents for heavy metals removal and their future. *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 27: 195-226.
- Wanner, J., S. Bail, L. Jirovetz, G. Buchbauer and E. Schmidt *et al.*, 2010. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of cumin oil (*Cuminum cyminum*, Apiaceae). *Nat. Prod. Commun.*, 5: 1355-1358.
- Wiegand, I., K. Hilpert and R.E.W. Hancock, 2008. Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. *Nat. Protocol.*, 3: 163-175.
- Yesmin, M.N., S.N. Uddin, S. Mubassara and M. Ali Akond, 2008. Antioxidant and antibacterial activities of *Calotropis procera*. *Am-Euras. J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, 4: 550-553.
- Zarai, Z., A. Kadri, I.B. Chobba, R.B. Mansour, A. Bekir, H. Mejdoub and N. Gharsalla, 2011. The *in vitro* evaluation of antibacterial, antifungal and cytotoxic properties of *Marrubium vulgare* L. essential oil grown in Tunisia. *Lipids Health Dis.*, Vol.10. 10.1186/1476-511X-10-161
- Zomorodian, K., M. Moein, Z.G. Lori, Y. Ghasemi and M.J. Rahimi *et al.*, 2013. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activities of the essential oil from *Myrtus communis* leaves. *J. Essential Oil-Bearing Plants*, 16: 76-84.