



# Research Journal of **Microbiology**

ISSN 1816-4935



Academic  
Journals Inc.

[www.academicjournals.com](http://www.academicjournals.com)

## Potential of Developed Microbial Biofilms in Generating Bioactive Compounds

J.S. Zavahir and G. Seneviratne  
Institute of Fundamental Studies, Hantana Road, Kandy, Sri Lanka

---

**Abstract:** Generation of bioactive compounds using a developed fungal-bacterial biofilm is described. Here, a developed *Penicillium* sp.-*Bradyrhizobium elkanii* SEMIA 5019 biofilm was used in comparison with the constituent microorganisms' monocultures. Treatment broth cultures were centrifuged, supernatants freeze-dried and analyzed for monosaccharides as test compounds, using gas-liquid chromatography. The biofilm was observed to generate a higher number of detectable compounds with relatively high concentrations in comparison to the monocultures. The manipulative nature of this technology can be used to produce different or novel compounds by combining a variety of microbes, by changing their carbon source or altering the culture conditions.

**Key words:** Biofilms, bioactivity, monosaccharides, gas-liquid chromatography

---

### INTRODUCTION

The microbial world has many diverse relationships that play important roles in human lives. Microbially-produced bioactive compounds are attracting increased attention as useful agents for medicine, veterinary medicine, agriculture and as unique biochemical tools and have been isolated using both mono and mixed cultures of microbes (Borowitzka, 1995; Omura, 1992; Sankpal and Kulkarni, 2002).

There is a great scope for developing a biofilm technology of producing eco-friendly, beneficial microbial biofilms (EBM) for numerous applications (Seneviratne, 2003), because microbes in their 'biofilm' mode have already been shown to efficiently perform various biotransformation processes (Seneviratne and Jayasinghearachchi, 2005; Seneviratne *et al.*, 2006). Biofilms are multi-cellular consortia of microbial cells (fungal, bacterial, algal and/or other microbial), which closely adhere to each other and are very often encased in a self-produced Extra-cellular Polymeric Substance (EPS). Such interactions give the biofilm community metabolic and physiological capabilities which are not possible for the individual unattached cells. Although biofilms are generally considered to be problematic, their beneficial aspects have often been overlooked.

Previous studies show that the production of organic acids was increased in biofilms compared to monocultures and non-biofilm forming mixed cultures (Bandara *et al.*, 2006). Biofilms have a unique pattern of gene expression, which is different from their non-biofilm forming stages (Vilain and Brözel, 2006). Thus, it is apparent that biofilms have a higher potential of producing new organic acids, some of which may be bioactive compounds.

There is limited knowledge of interspecies interactions in biofilm communities (Cowan *et al.*, 2000). Yet, a range of metabolic interactions has been observed among microorganisms in biofilms, including mutualistic and commensal relationships (Møller *et al.*, 1998; Wolfaardt *et al.*, 1994). An understanding of these relationships in biofilms could aid the design of multispecies biofilms for the biosynthesis of specialty chemicals or the biodegradation of xenobiotics that cannot be metabolized efficiently by a single microorganism (Seneviratne *et al.*, 2006; Cowan *et al.*, 2000).

Therefore, in this study we attempt to use the biofilm technology to produce bioactive compounds by using a previously developed fungal-bacterial biofilm (Seneviratne and Jayasinghearachchi, 2005). Monosaccharides constitute a major group of bioactive compounds, because they are the starting materials for a number of drugs such as those possessing anti-microbial, anti-tumor, anti-viral, anti-oxidant, free radical scavenging and anti-inflammatory activities (Methacanon *et al.*, 2005). Thus, monosaccharides are used as the test compounds in the present study.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Culturing of Microorganisms

*Bradyrhizobium elkanii* SEMIA 5019 applied as a soybean inoculant was used for this study because microbial metabolism in nature is frequently nitrogen limiting and this N<sub>2</sub> fixer has been shown to accumulate atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> in microbial communities (Jayasinghearachchi and Seneviratne, 2004a, b). Cultures were maintained on Yeast Mannitol Broth (YMB) (Somasegaran and Hoben, 1994), but without agar. They were incubated at 28°C for 6 days on a rotary shaker. *Penicillium* sp. isolated from garden soil (Seneviratne and Jayasinghearachchi, 2005) was used as the fungal counterpart of the biofilm and the fungal cultures were maintained on Saboraud's agar.

### Preparation of Treatments

One milliliter of a 6 day old *B. elkanii* SEMIA 5019 culture was inoculated together with 50 µL *Penicillium* sp. spore suspension to 50 mL of concentrated YMB (Jayasinghearachchi and Seneviratne, 2004a) to form a mixed culture. They were separately inoculated to 50 mL of the concentrated YMB to form monocultures. Fifty milliliter of the concentrated YMB without microorganisms was used as the control. Each treatment was replicated four times in 50 mL conical flasks and kept on a rotary shaker at 28°C for 14 days. Biofilms were observed after 7 days of incubation using a phase-contrast microscope with an oil immersion lens and lacto-phenol cotton blue stain. After 14 days of incubation, the cultures were centrifuged at 13,148x g for 20 min and supernatants were separated and freeze-dried.

### Sample Preparation

Analysis of monosaccharides was done by gas-liquid chromatography of alditol acetates based on the method of Amelung *et al.* (1996). In this procedure, carbohydrates with acid constituents are hydrolysed to constituent monosaccharides, which are subjected to reduction followed by acetylation to yield alditol acetates. Of the freeze-dried crude material, 0.2 g was hydrolyzed with 0.6 mL of 4M trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at 105°C for 4 h. It was rested overnight and the hydrolysate was filtered through a glass fibre filter. It was evaporated to dryness by a stream of N<sub>2</sub> at 50°C, to remove TFA. One milliliter of methanol was added and evaporated to dryness and this was repeated. Resulting monosaccharides were reduced to alditols using 1M NaBH<sub>4</sub> in NH<sub>4</sub>OH and kept at 25°C for 30 min. To the solution, 0.3 mL acetic acid in methanol was added to decompose excess NaBH<sub>4</sub> until bubble formation stopped. The solution was blown to dryness by a flow of N<sub>2</sub> and above step was repeated. Borohydrate was removed by the addition of 0.5 mL of methanol and dried under a stream of N<sub>2</sub>. To the reduced samples, 10 µL of acetic anhydride and 100 µL of pyridine were added and reacted at 100 °C for 20 min to complete acetylation. After that, 0.5 mL of toluene was added and blown to dryness with a stream of N<sub>2</sub>. This was repeated. To this, 0.5 mL distilled water and 0.5 mL ethyl acetate were added and mixed by turning up and down 3 times. The upper layer was removed using a Pasteur pipette and filtered through a silica gel filter. This was re-extracted with 0.5 mL ethyl acetate and concentrated in a Nunc cryo tube.

### Gas-liquid Chromatographic Analysis

Gas-liquid chromatography of the monosaccharides was performed with a Shimadzu GC-9 AM Gas Chromatograph (GC) with a 30×0.25 mm i.d. x 0.25 µm film thickness SPB™ -1701 fused silica capillary column. The analytical conditions were an initial temperature of 180°C, injector at 220°C and the detector at 260°C. Residue obtained from the sample preparation was dissolved in 30 µL ethyl acetate of which 20 µL was injected into the GC by the split technique. Standards of monosaccharides were also analyzed with similar preparation steps but without TFA hydrolysis.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mycelium of the *Penicillium* sp. was heavily colonized by *B. elkanii* SEMIA 5019, forming the *Penicillium* sp.-*B. elkanii* SEMIA 5019 biofilm (Fig. 1). Detectable quantities of the monosaccharides fucose, ribose, arabinose and xylose were produced only by the biofilm (Table 1). They were not detected in the *B. elkanii* SEMIA 5019 alone or *Penicillium* sp. alone treatments, possibly due to their production below the detection limits. The monocultures produced up to 10 detectable monosaccharides, whereas the biofilm produced 123 of them, though most of them are yet to be identified. This clearly shows the very high potential of the biofilm in generating bioactive compounds. The variabilities of the types and quantities of the compounds among replicates of the biofilm treatment, as reflected from the standard errors (Table 1) could be attributed to the method of fungal inoculation, because the fungus carries variable numbers of genetically different spores. This could be overcome by inoculating a piece of mycelium grown from a single spore of the fungus.

Previous studies show that the expressions of polysaccharide-producing genes are upregulated in biofilm cells compared with planktonic (freely swimming single) cells in liquid media (Davies *et al.*, 1993). The ability of biofilms to produce higher detectable amounts of monosaccharides in comparison



Fig. 1: Phase-contrast microscopic view of *Penicillium* sp.-*Bradyrhizobium elkanii* SEMIA 5019 biofilm. A mycelial filament of the *Penicillium* sp. has been heavily colonized by *B. elkanii* SEMIA 5019. Horizontal bar is 3 µm

Table 1: Concentrations of monosaccharides detected of four replicates of the microbial treatments

Microbial treatments	Fucose	Ribose	Arabinose	Xylose	Number of unidentified compounds	Total number of compounds
	-----µg g <sup>-1</sup> crude-----					
<i>Bradyrhizobium elkanii</i> SEMIA 5019	ND	ND	ND	ND	0-4	0-4
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.	ND	ND	ND	ND	5-10	5-10
<i>Penicillium</i> spp. - <i>B. elkanii</i> SEMIA 5019 biofilm	38±13	629±112	25±12	34±1	36-123	38-123
Control (no microbes)	ND	ND	ND	ND	9	9

Mean±standard error. ND: Not Detected. Ranges are the numbers of the four replicates

to monocultures may be rendered by a change in gene expression of individual cells when in the biofilm relationship. In the biofilm there is a range of conditions surrounding the cells due to heterogeneity of the consortium structure (Christensen *et al.*, 2002). Metabolic interactions between community members occur in all regions of the biofilm independent of the local architecture (Møller *et al.*, 1998). Non-biofilm forming mixed culture systems require active motility and cell-to-cell communication to achieve optimal conditions. Thus, substrates are more optimally utilized in the biofilm formation, resulting in faster degradation of the primary nutrients (Christensen *et al.*, 2002). This is further enhanced, by the critical cell density dependent quorum sensing that leads to biofilm formation (Kong *et al.*, 2006). In previous studies, single species biofilms have been used to produce industrially important chemicals (Qureshi *et al.*, 2005). However, the use of dual-species biofilms have higher quorum sensing and community level gene expression that lead to higher levels of substrate utilization and maximization of products (Cowan *et al.*, 2000), as was seen in the present study.

### CONCLUSIONS

Conventional drug discovery studies use natural or genetically modified monocultures of microorganisms. But in the biofilm technology interactions of the same microorganisms with different microbes can yield a multitude of results and an endless number of novel compounds. This technology can be used to produce different compounds by the combination of a variety of microbes, by changing the carbon source or altering the culture conditions. Studying the concerned interactions and their culture conditions can also optimize individual compounds with bioactivity. Its manipulative ability therefore can be made use to open a myriad of avenues for the discovery of novel compounds that can be used in drug discovery studies, thus finding solutions to many hurdles in the treatment of diseases. The advantage of this method also lies in the reduced time frame compared to the isolation of compounds from plants and conventional methods used for these isolations. Further studies to optimize this microbial technology and also to produce and detect other compounds of bioactive significance are in progress.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Microbial studies of the project were initiated during the Sri Lanka-Belgium collaboration on biological nitrogen fixation (1991-1997). We thank Ms. R. Ratnayake for her guidance on GLC. The assistance rendered by Ms. K. Karunaratne, Anjani Weerasekara and Mr. Manoj Bandara, K. Karunadasa is much appreciated.

### REFERENCES

- Amelung, W., M.V. Cheshire and G. Guggenberger, 1996. Determination of neutral and acidic sugars in soil by capillary gas-liquid chromatography after trifluoroacetic acid hydrolysis. *Soil. Biol. Biochem.*, 28: 1631-1639.
- Bandara, W.M.M.S., G. Seneviratne and S.A. Kulasooriya, 2006. Interactions among endophytic bacteria and fungi: Effects and potentials. *J. Biosci.*, 31: 645-650.
- Borowitzka, M.A., 1995. Microalgae as sources of pharmaceuticals and other biologically active compounds. *J. Applied Phycol.*, 7: 3-15.
- Christensen, B.B., J.A.J. Haagensen, A. Heydorn and S. Molin, 2002. Metabolic commensalism and competition in a two-species microbial consortium. *Applied Environ. Microbiol.*, 68: 2495-2502.
- Cowan, S.E., E. Gilbert, D. Liepmann and J.D. Keasling, 2000. Commensal interactions in dual-species biofilm exposed to mixed organic compounds. *Applied Environ. Microbiol.*, 66: 4481-4485.

- Davies, D.G., A.M. Chakrabarty and G.G. Geesey, 1993. Exopolysaccharide production in biofilms: Substratum activation of alginate gene expression by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 59: 1181-1186.
- Jayasinghearachchi, H.S. and G. Seneviratne, 2004a. A bradyrhizobial-*Penicillium* sp. biofilm with nitrogenase activity improves N<sub>2</sub> fixing symbiosis of soybean. Biol. Fertil. Soils, 40: 432-434.
- Jayasinghearachchi, H.S. and G. Seneviratne, 2004b. Can mushrooms fix atmospheric nitrogen? J. Biosci., 29: 293-296.
- Kong, K.F., C. Vuong and M. Otto, 2006. *Staphylococcus* quorum sensing in biofilm formation and infection. Int. J. Med. Microbiol., 296: 133-139.
- Methacanon, P., S. Madla, K. Kirtikara and M. Prasitsil, 2005. Structural elucidation of bioactive fungi-derived polymers. Carbohydr. Polym., 60: 199-203.
- Møller, S., C. Sternberg, J.B. Andersen, B.B. Christensen, J.L. Ramos, M. Givskov and S. Molin, 1998. *In situ* gene expression in mixed-culture biofilms: Evidence of metabolic interactions between community members. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 64: 721-732.
- Omura, S., 1992. Trends in the search for bioactive microbial metabolites. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 10: 135-156.
- Qureshi, N., B.A. Annous, T.C. Ezeji, P. Karcher and I.A. Maddox, 2005. Biofilm reactors for industrial bioconversion processes: Employing potential of enhanced reaction rates. Microbial Cell Factories, 4: 24.
- Sankpal, N.V. and B.D. Kulkarni, 2002. Optimization of fermentation conditions for gluconic acid production using *Aspergillus niger* immobilized on cellulose microfibrils. Proc. Biochem., 37: 1343-1350.
- Seneviratne, G., 2003. Development of eco-friendly, beneficial microbial biofilms. Curr. Sci., 85: 1395-1396.
- Seneviratne, G. and H.S. Jayasinghearachchi, 2005. A rhizobial biofilm with nitrogenase activity alters nutrient availability in a soil. Soil. Biol. Biochem., 37: 1975-1978.
- Seneviratne, G., N.S. Tennakoon, M.L.M.A.W. Weerasekara and K.A. Nandasena, 2006. Polyethylene biodegradation by a developed *Penicillium-Bacillus* biofilm. Curr. Sci., 90: 20-21.
- Somasegaran, P. and H.J. Hoben, 1994. Handbook for Rhizobia: Methods in Legume-*Rhizobium* Technology. Springer, New York.
- Vilain, S. and V.S. Brözel, 2006. Multivariate approach to comparing whole-cell proteomes of *Bacillus cereus* indicates a biofilm-specific proteome. J. Proteome. Res., 5: 1924-1930.
- Wolfaardt, G.M., J.R. Lawrence, R.D. Robarts and D.E. Caldwell, 1994. The role of interactions, sessile growth and nutrient amendments on the degradative efficiency of a microbial consortium. Can. J. Microbiol., 40: 331-340.