



Research Journal of
Botany

ISSN 1816-4919



Academic
Journals Inc.

www.academicjournals.com

**Granular Mycoherbicides Formulation of *Fusarium oxysporum* for
Orobanche Biocontrol Mitigate Oxidative Stress
and Growth Reduction in Host Species**

¹M.M. Nemat Alla, ²Y.M. Shabana, ¹M.S. Serag, ¹N.M. Hassan and ¹M.M. El-Hawary
¹Department of Botany, Faculty of Science at Damietta,
Mansoura University, Damietta, Egypt
²Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt

Abstract: An attempt was performed to achieve four granular formulations from two isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* (Foxy I and Foxy II) for biocontrol of *Orobanche ramosa* and *Orobanche crenata* in tomato and faba bean; two formulations from microconidia (PM I and PM II) and two from chlamydozoospores (PC I and PC II). Doses of all formulations (0.5, 0.75, 1.0 and 1.25 g kg⁻¹ soil) differentially reduced number of emerged shoots, shoot height and shoot dry weight of both *Orobanche* species with a remarkable increase in disease incidence on the parasitic plant, the highest dose giving the most severe symptoms. On the other hand, *Orobanche*-infected tomato and faba bean plants showed significant reductions in shoot height and root length as well as in shoot and root dry weights concomitant with significant increases in H₂O₂ content and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity and significant decreases in ascorbic acid (AsA) content and activities of catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (GPX) and glutathione-S-transferase (GST). However, application of the highest dose of Pesta fungi overcame, to a great extent, the changes in host growth and oxidative stress parameters so that levels were similar to those of normally grown plants, the preparation PC II had the most pronounced effect. These findings showed that considerable control of the two species of *Orobanche* could be obtained by the formulations of the biocontrol agents, particularly at the highest dose (1.25 g kg⁻¹ soil).

Key words: Biocontrol, faba bean, *Fusarium oxysporum*, mycoherbicide formulations, *Orobanche*, oxidative stress, tomato

INTRODUCTION

Parasitic angiosperms are a taxonomically diverse group of plants that invade host plant tissues and remove resources via a specialized structure known as the haustorium. Through the haustorium, carbon, water and mineral nutrients are withdrawn, often at the expense of host growth and vigour (Watling and Press, 2001). The host selectivity of these plants is mediated by chemical signals, including germination stimulants and haustorial inducing factors (Shen *et al.*, 2006). Parasitic weeds of the genus *Orobanche* represents a serious threat to a wide range of economically important crops. *Orobanche crenata* parasitizes major legume crops while *Orobanche ramosa*, which is closely related to *aegyptiaca*, attacks mainly Solanaceae (Rubiales *et al.*, 2004). Due to infection with biotic agents such as *Orobanche*, oxidative stress could be arisen in host plants with a consequent production of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS). Moreover, during O₂ reduction, cells continuously produce ROS. They have been implicated in damaging cells. To protect cellular membranes against the harmful ROS

levels, plants developed defense antioxidants (Aravind and Prasad, 2005). Antioxidants are crucial for plant defense against oxidative stress (Gomez *et al.*, 2004). However, ROS accumulation is crucial to plant development as well as defense (Pavet *et al.*, 2005). Because of the intimate host-parasite relationship and the anatomical-physiological connections, *Orobanche* is a particularly difficult target for selective chemical control (Kleifeld *et al.*, 1998). Parasitic weeds are one of the major intractable regional problems and require biotechnological solutions (Gressel *et al.*, 2004). Biological control using fungal pathogens could provide a possible solution because of the high specificity of the fungal pathogens used as biocontrol agents (Boari and Vurro, 2004; Elzein *et al.*, 2006). Indigenous, weed-specific fungal pathogens can be developed and used as safe and effective bioherbicides (Charudattan, 2001). This study was an attempt to formulate granular Pesta from *Fusarium oxysporum* and to evaluate their role in both *Orobanche* biocontrol and mitigation of *Orobanche*-induced growth reduction and oxidative stress of host plants, tomato and faba bean.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

This study was conducted in Botany Department, Faculty of Science at Damietta, Mansoura University, Egypt during the year 2006. Surface-disinfected seeds of tomato (Supper strain B) and faba bean (Giza 3) were used. Root exudate was produced by sowing ten seeds in plastic pots filled with 5 kg of sand. After 14 days, the root system was washed, grouped together and completely immersed in 200 mL water in a 250 mL glass flask. The flasks were then wrapped in black polyethylene. Plants were incubated for three days while water content of the flasks was held constant. Afterwards, plants were removed and the liquid content of the flasks was stored at -20°C until use.

Seeds of *O. aegyptiaca/ramosa* and *O. crenata* were germinated *in vitro* in order to determine the seed amounts needed to achieve a concentration of approximately 10,000 germinating seeds per pot (2 kg of soil). For the *in vitro* germination, surface-sterilized *Orobanche* seeds were placed on small glass fiber filter paper discs in petri dishes containing one layer of witted filter paper, sealed with parafilm and incubated for seven days at 20°C in the dark. The small discs were then transferred to other petri dishes with exudate. After ten days, the percentage of germinated seeds was determined using a binocular microscope.

For pot experiments, *Orobanche* seeds (60 mg to give 10000 seeds) were sprinkled onto the soil surface of plastic pots (25 cm diameter × 20 cm height) filled up to 2/3 of their height with 1:1 (v:v) sand:clay soil. Inoculum was added and mixed into the soil together with the seeds. The pots were then filled with soil and host plant seeds were sown. Fourteen days after sowing, plants were thinned out to three per pot. The pots were irrigated as required.

Granular Formulation of Mycoherbicides

About 300 infected *Orobanche* plants were collected from faba bean and tomato fields. Shoots were carefully collected and stored in a refrigerator. Small pieces of infected shoots were surface-disinfected, rinsed with sterile water, blotted dry and placed on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) plates supplemented with 200 ppm chloramphenicol and 100 ppm streptomycin sulfate. The plates were incubated at room temperature until fungal mycelium grew out of the plant pieces. The mycelium was transferred to fresh PDA plates to obtain pure cultures. One hundred and 69 fungal isolates obtained from infected shoots from infested fields were tested for their pathogenicity to *Orobanche*. Only two fungi isolated from diseased *Orobanche* shoots, classified as *F. oxysporum* isolate I (Foxy I) and isolate II (Foxy II), inhibited *Orobanche* germination. Microconidia and chlamydospores of both isolates were used to achieve prepare granular formulations for biocontrol of *Orobanche*. The most suitable formulations of Fusarial species for biocontrol of *Orobanche* are those that contain microconidia and

chlamydo spores as these remain viable in the soil for long periods and can re-germinate. Foxy isolates were grown on Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB) for six days on a reciprocating shaker at 125 strokes per min (spm) at room temperature to produce microconidia or for 20 days at 100 spm to produce chlamydo spores. The contents of culture flasks were blended and the number of spores was determined using a haemocytometer. The resulting suspensions were centrifuged at 4,000 x g for 10 min and adjusted to 3.5×10^8 and 5×10^8 microconidia mL^{-1} for Foxy I and Foxy II, respectively and to 2.5×10^7 and 2.7×10^7 chlamydo spores mL^{-1} .

The two types of spores were used as active ingredients for the formulations along with three adjuvants (sucrose, yeast extract and glycerol). Dough was prepared by blending 38 g semolina, 4 g kaolin, 6 g yeast extract, 2 g sucrose, 20 mL spore suspension and 2 mL glycerol. The dough was then rolled through a pasta machine, folded and extruded several times. A 1 mm thick sheets were produced, air-dried at room conditions, ground and sieved. Four formulations resulted from both spore types of both isolates. Microconidia of Foxy I and Foxy II gave rise to PM I and PM II, chlamydo spores gave rise to PC I and PC II.

Evaluation of Mycoherbicides Formulations

Plastic pots were filled up to 2/3 the height with sand/clay (1/1, v/v) soil and divided into groups. Sixty milligram of *O. crenata* or *O. aegyptiaca/ramosa* seeds together with the different fungal preparations at 0.0, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0 and 1.25 g kg^{-1} soil were well mixed with the sub layer. Five faba bean seeds were placed in *O. crenata* infested pots and covered with an additional 3 cm thick layer of soil. Faba bean seedlings were thinned to 3 per pot 14 days after sowing. The *O. aegyptiaca/ramosa* infested pots were planted with 3 tomato seedlings. Disease incidence on *Orobanche* and the number of emerged shoots were determined two months after planting. In addition, the *Orobanche* shoot height and shoot dry weight were determined. Meanwhile, an evaluation of host plants growth and oxidative stress signals was performed.

Determination of H_2O_2

Extraction was carried out in 200 mM perchloric acid and centrifuged at 5000 x g for 10 min. The supernatant was neutralized with 4 M KOH and centrifuged at 3000 x g for 5 min. An aliquot (0.2 mL) of the supernatant was loaded on 1 mL column of anion exchange resin and eluted with 0.8 mL of distilled water. H_2O_2 was assayed in 12.5 mM 3-dimethylaminobenzoic acid in 375 mM phosphate buffer pH 6.5, 1.3 mM 3-methyl-2-benzothiazolinone hydrazone and 0.25 units horseradish peroxidase (Okuda *et al.*, 1991). The reaction was initiated by the addition of peroxidase and the increase in absorbance at 590 nm was monitored for 3 min.

Determination of Ascorbate (AsA)

Extraction was performed in 62.5 mM phosphoric acid, centrifuged at 12000x g for 20 min and filtered through a 0.5 μm Millipore filter. The filtrate was loaded onto an ion exclusion column (300x7.8 mm) connected to analytical HPLC system and eluted with 4.5 mM H_2SO_4 at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min^{-1} . The elution of AsA was detected at 245 nm (Ahn *et al.*, 1999).

Assays of Superoxide Dismutase (SOD), Catalase (CAT) Guaiacol Peroxidase (GPX) and Glutathione-S-Transferase (GST) Activities

All extraction steps were carried out at 4°C. SOD (EC 1.15.1.1) was extracted in 50 mM phosphate, pH 7.8, 0.1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin, 5.5 mM AsA and 8 mM β -mercaptoethanol. SOD was assayed in 50 mM phosphate, pH 7.8, 9.9 mM L-methionine, 0.057 mM nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT), 0.025% (w/v) Triton X-100 and 0.1 mM riboflavin by using the photochemical NBT method in terms of SOD's ability to inhibit reduction of NBT to form formazan by superoxide (Beyer and Fridovich, 1987). The photoreduction of NBT was measured at 560 nm.

CAT (EC 1.11.1.6) was extracted in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7 and 1 mM dithiothreitol. CAT was evaluated spectrophotometrically by determining the consumption of H₂O₂ at 240 nm in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 and 200 mM H₂O₂ (Aebi, 1984).

GPX (EC 1.11.1.7) was extracted in 220 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 250 mM sucrose, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 160 mM β-mercaptoethanol and 0.57 mM phenyl methyl sulphonyl fluoride. GPX was assayed in 20 mM acetate, pH 5, 30 mM H₂O₂ and 2 mM guaiacol. The absorption at 470 nm was recorded and the activity was calculated using the extinction coefficient of 26.6 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Ranieri *et al.*, 1997).

GST (EC 2.5.1.18) was extracted in 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 14 mM β-mercaptoethanol and 7.5% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone, centrifuged at 15000 x g for 15 min, ammonium sulfate was added to 80% saturation and the protein pellets were collected (Dixon *et al.*, 1995). GST was assayed in 100 mM phosphate, pH 6.5, 5 mM GSH and 1 mM CDNB. The absorbance at 340 nm was measured and the activity was calculated by the extinction coefficient E = 9.6 mM⁻¹cm⁻¹ (Ando *et al.*, 1988).

Protein content was determined spectrophotometrically by reaction with Commassie Brilliant Blue G according to Bradford (1976). All values reported herein are means of at least six replications from two independent experiments. The full data were statistically analyzed using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at 5% level (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980).

RESULTS

Only two fungi isolated from diseased *Orobanche* shoots, classified as *F. oxysporum* isolate I (Foxy I) and isolate II (Foxy II), inhibited *Orobanche* germination. Microconidia and chlamydospores of both isolates were used for achieving formulations as Pesta (PM I and PM II from microconidia while PC I and PC II from chlamydospores). As shown in Table 1, the number of emerged and the total

Table 1: Effect of granular Pesta formulations from microconidia and chlamydospore (PM and PC) of two *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates (I and II) on emerged shoot number, shoots height, shoot dry weight and disease incidence in *Orobanche ramosa* and *Orobanche crenata*

| Dose of foxy pesta (g kg ⁻¹ soil) | <i>O. ramosa</i> | | | | <i>O. crenata</i> | | | |
|---|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | PM I | PM II | PC I | PC II | PM I | PM II | PC I | PC II |
| No. of emerged shoots | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 12.5 | | | | 9.0 | | | |
| 0.50 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.71 | 2.0 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 9.0 |
| 0.75 | 11.2* | 10.0* | 10.7* | 10.0* | 7.7* | 8.0* | 7.2* | 7.1 |
| 1.00 | 4.7* | 4.4* | 5.5* | 4.2* | 2.5* | 3.2* | 2.2* | 3.0* |
| 1.25 | 2.5* | 2.7* | 2.5* | 2.5* | 1.7* | 2.2* | 1.5* | 1.2* |
| Shoot height (cm) | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 16.5 | | | | 28.6 | | | |
| 0.50 | 5.2* | 14.5 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 27.2 | 28.2 | 28.7 | 28.0 |
| 0.75 | 12.0* | 12.0* | 13.5* | 13.5* | 24.5* | 25.2* | 23.7* | 20.7* |
| 1.00 | 10.0* | 12.1* | 12.5* | 10.5* | 13.7* | 11.1* | 12.5* | 11.7* |
| 1.25 | 4.6* | 3.5* | 4.1* | 5.7* | 6.2* | 7.0* | 7.5* | 6.0* |
| Shoot dry weight (g) | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 16.14 | | | | 5.39 | | | |
| 0.50 | 6.13 | 5.82 | 5.65 | 5.18* | 5.23 | 4.95 | 4.78* | 4.56* |
| 0.75 | 5.47* | 4.75* | 5.02* | 4.34* | 4.75* | 4.44* | 4.32* | 4.25* |
| 1.00 | 2.51* | 1.94* | 2.23* | 1.67* | 2.51* | 3.33* | 2.34* | 3.02* |
| 1.25 | 1.48* | 1.31* | 1.01* | 0.62* | 1.62* | 1.26* | 1.41* | 0.54* |
| Disease incidence (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 16.0 | | | | 5.0 | | | |
| 0.50 | 51.7* | 46.7* | 49.2* | 54.4* | 44.0* | 51.7* | 46.7* | 47.5* |
| 0.75 | 69.5* | 69.7* | 66.5* | 71.7* | 59.2* | 62.5* | 54.2* | 60.7* |
| 1.00 | 81.5* | 84.0* | 83.0* | 81.7* | 80.2* | 85.2* | 73.5* | 75.5* |
| 1.25 | 91.5* | 92.7* | 94.5* | 95.5* | 90.5* | 96.5* | 92.5 | 93.7* |

Values are mean of at least six determinations from two independent experiments. *: Values are significantly different at 5% level with respect to untreated control

number of *Orobanche* shoots were decreased after the application of Pesta formulations. However, low doses had, in general, no significant effects on the number of emerged shoots of both *Orobanche* species. Increasing Pesta dose retarded *Orobanche* emergences so that high doses resulted in much diminutions in emerged shoots. Moreover, Pesta significantly reduced shoot height of both *Orobanche* species compared with their respective controls. The magnitude of reduction increased with increasing doses. In addition, *Orobanche* shoot dry weight was significantly decreased by all doses of the applied Pesta formulations, the magnitude of decrease was greatest with the highest dose. Nevertheless, PC II seemed to be the most effective formulation. The trend of response to the formulations was most likely similar in both *Orobanche* species. The emerged shoots of the Pesta-treated *Orobanche* exhibited disease symptoms at emergence, which continued up to the flowering stage. Disease symptoms consists of inhibition of *Orobanche* germination, wilting, necrosis and shoot curvature of those plants that did emerge. High doses mostly prevented flowering and fruit production of *Orobanche*. Treatments with either of the different formulations highly enhanced Disease Incidence (DI) of the emerged *Orobanche* shoots either with faba bean or with tomato. DI appeared to be mostly related to the doses of formulations. Treatments with either of the different formulations highly enhanced Disease Incidence (DI) of the emerged *Orobanche* shoots either with faba bean or with tomato. DI appeared to be mostly related to the formulation doses.

Regarding growth parameters of host plants, Table 2 shows that *Orobanche* reduced shoot height, root length as well as shoot and root dry weights of tomato and faba bean as compared with normally grown plants. However, the *Orobanche*-induced reduction in host growth was ameliorated by application of Foxy Pesta formulations. Shoot height and root length of *Orobanche*-infected tomato and faba bean seemed to be mitigated by all types of formulations to become comparable to control

Table 2: Effect of granular Pesta formulations from microconidia and chlamydospore (PM and PC) of two *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates (I and II) on shoot height and root length as well as shoot and root dry weight of tomato and faba bean infected with *Orobanche ramosa* and *Orobanche crenata*, respectively

| Dose of foxy pesta (g kg ⁻¹ soil) | Tomato | | | | | Faba bean | | | | |
|---|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Control | PM I | PM II | PC I | PC II | Control | PM I | PM II | PC I | PC II |
| Shoot height (cm) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 58.2 | | | | | 93.9 | | | | |
| Infected | 44.1* | | | | | 76.2* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 59.0 | 50.2* | 53.7* | 52.1* | 52.0* | 92.7 | 83.2* | 84.7* | 84.7* | 88.5 |
| 0.75 | 58.5 | 56.7 | 56.0 | 56.2 | 55.7 | 93.2 | 89.5 | 89.0 | 87.2 | 91.4 |
| 1.00 | 59.5 | 56.5 | 57.2 | 57.6 | 58.0 | 94.4 | 91.3 | 90.5 | 91.1 | 91.7 |
| 1.25 | 62.5 | 57.0 | 59.0 | 59.2 | 59.5 | 94.5 | 92.5 | 91.5 | 92.5 | 92.5 |
| Root length (cm) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 23.0 | | | | | 19.9 | | | | |
| Infected | 17.1* | | | | | 13.2* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 21.7 | 20.2* | 19.5* | 19.1* | 20.7* | 18.6 | 16.0* | 15.5* | 16.6* | 17.1* |
| 0.75 | 23.2 | 20.5 | 21.2 | 20.3* | 21.5 | 18.7 | 16.4* | 17.7* | 17.7* | 17.8* |
| 1.00 | 24.2 | 22.7 | 21.5 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 19.4 | 17.4* | 18.0 | 17.7 | 18.3 |
| 1.25 | 26.1 | 23.5 | 23.1 | 23.7 | 24.2 | 20.4 | 20.0 | 18.9 | 19.4 | 19.7 |
| Shoot dry weight (g) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 9.2 | | | | | 7.9 | | | | |
| Infected | 6.4* | | | | | 6.1* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 8.7 | 8.1* | 7.8* | 7.6* | 8.3 | 7.4 | 6.4* | 6.2* | 6.6* | 6.9* |
| 0.75 | 9.3 | 8.2* | 8.5 | 8.1* | 8.6 | 7.5 | 6.5* | 6.9* | 6.8* | 7.3 |
| 1.00 | 9.6 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.2 |
| 1.25 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.9 |
| Root dry weight (g) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 3.68 | | | | | 4.36 | | | | |
| Infected | 2.55* | | | | | 3.33* | | | | |
| 0.5 | 3.62 | 3.42* | 3.11* | 3.45 | 3.37 | 4.25 | 3.67* | 3.55* | 3.74* | 3.98 |
| 0.75 | 3.77 | 3.53 | 3.48 | 3.44 | 3.44 | 4.32 | 3.88 | 3.97 | 4.04 | 4.17 |
| 1.00 | 3.84 | 3.62 | 3.46 | 3.52 | 3.78 | 4.31 | 4.04 | 4.06 | 4.23 | 4.15 |
| 1.25 | 4.16 | 3.75 | 3.62 | 3.86 | 3.86 | 4.67 | 4.51 | 4.22 | 4.45 | 4.54 |

Values are mean of at least six determinations from two independent experiments. *: Values are significantly different at 5% level with respect to untreated control

Table 3: Effect of granular Pesta formulations from microconidia and chlamydospore (PM and PC) of two *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates (I and II) on ascorbate (AsA) and H₂O₂ contents in shoots and roots of tomato and faba bean infected with *Orobanche ramosa* and *Orobanche crenata*, respectively

| Dose of foxy pesta (g kg ⁻¹ soil) | Tomato | | | | | Faba bean | | | | |
|--|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Control | PM I | PM II | PC I | PC II | Control | PM I | PM II | PC I | PC II |
| H₂O₂ content in shoots (μmol g⁻¹ fresh weight) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 31.1 | | | | | 33.4 | | | | |
| Infected | 59.9* | | | | | 62.2* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 30.7 | 51.8* | 49.9* | 48.1* | 47.4* | 33.6 | 54.1* | 52.2* | 48.5* | 49.7* |
| 0.75 | 30.5 | 45.8* | 44.8* | 43.5* | 41.8* | 32.8 | 50.8* | 49.3* | 45.0* | 46.8* |
| 1.00 | 29.4 | 36.3* | 32.3 | 37.8* | 35.1 | 31.7 | 38.6* | 39.6* | 39.1* | 37.0 |
| 1.25 | 29.6 | 30.2 | 29.4 | 30.8 | 28.5 | 34.9 | 32.5 | 36.7 | 33.1 | 35.8 |
| H₂O₂ content in roots (μmol g⁻¹ fresh weight) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 18.3 | | | | | 19.7 | | | | |
| Infected | 39.6* | | | | | 40.7* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 18.1 | 32.6* | 31.4* | 30.9* | 30.2* | 19.7 | 34.0* | 32.8* | 32.3* | 31.7* |
| 0.75 | 18.0 | 28.5* | 27.7* | 26.9* | 23.5* | 19.4 | 32.0* | 31.1* | 30.1* | 29.5* |
| 1.00 | 19.0 | 20.9* | 20.3 | 19.3 | 20.3 | 18.5 | 22.4* | 21.8 | 21.2 | 22.1 |
| 1.25 | 19.8 | 18.4 | 17.1 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 21.2 | 19.6 | 21.7 | 21.3 | 20.4 |
| AsA content in shoots (mg g⁻¹ fresh weight) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 89.4 | | | | | 93.4 | | | | |
| Infected | 66.0* | | | | | 72.2* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 89.6 | 78.3* | 79.3* | 80.1* | 80.4* | 93.6 | 82.3* | 83.3* | 83.5* | 84.8 |
| 0.75 | 90.1 | 80.2* | 81.3 | 82.3 | 83.2 | 93.7 | 84.2 | 85.0 | 86.3 | 87.2 |
| 1.00 | 90.7 | 83.0 | 83.5 | 84.5 | 86.1 | 94.4 | 87.0 | 87.5 | 88.5 | 90.0 |
| 1.25 | 90.9 | 89.3 | 89.3 | 89.5 | 90.4 | 94.6 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 93.8 | 94.1 |
| AsA content in roots (mg g⁻¹ fresh weight) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 54.1 | | | | | 56.3 | | | | |
| Infected | 32.8* | | | | | 41.3* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 53.5 | 46.9* | 47.4* | 47.9* | 48.2* | 56.2 | 50.2* | 50.5* | 50.8* | 51.2 |
| 0.75 | 53.8 | 48.7* | 48.8 | 49.2 | 49.6 | 56.9 | 50.5 | 51.4 | 51.7 | 52.1 |
| 1.00 | 54.3 | 51.3 | 51.8 | 52.3 | 52.7 | 56.8 | 53.7 | 54.3 | 54.8 | 55.2 |
| 1.25 | 55.1 | 53.6 | 53.8 | 54.2 | 54.6 | 57.7 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.7 | 57.1 |

Values are mean of at least six determinations from two independent experiments. *: Values are significantly different at 5% level with respect to untreated control

Table 4: Effect of granular Pesta formulations from microconidia and chlamydospore (PM and PC) of two *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates (I and II) on activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) in shoots and roots of tomato and faba bean infected with *Orobanche ramosa* and *Orobanche crenata*, respectively

| Dose of foxy pesta (g kg ⁻¹ soil) | Tomato | | | | | Faba bean | | | | |
|---|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Control | PM I | PM II | PC I | PC II | Control | PM I | PM II | PC I | PC II |
| SOD activity in shoots (Units g⁻¹ fresh weight) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 69.3 | | | | | 71.6 | | | | |
| Infected | 87.8* | | | | | 88.2* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 68.2 | 78.2* | 79.8* | 77.6* | 77.2* | 69.5 | 79.6* | 80.9* | 82.4* | 81.6* |
| 0.75 | 68.5 | 73.3 | 75.3* | 73.9 | 72.9 | 69.1 | 74.2 | 76.3 | 74.2 | 73.7 |
| 1.00 | 67.1 | 72.5 | 74.2 | 71.5 | 70.1 | 68.6 | 73.5 | 75.6 | 72.1 | 71.2 |
| 1.25 | 66.6 | 67.8 | 68.3 | 67.1 | 67.1 | 67.5 | 69.8 | 69.4 | 68.6 | 68.5 |
| SOD activity in roots (Units g⁻¹ fresh weight) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 43.7 | | | | | 44.8 | | | | |
| Infected | 61.9* | | | | | 58.9* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 43.2 | 50.7* | 51.2* | 49.3* | 48.8* | 43.6 | 51.3* | 51.7* | 50.2* | 49.3* |
| 0.75 | 43.2 | 47.4 | 48.6* | 47.5 | 46.7 | 43.8 | 48.1 | 49.5 | 48.2 | 47.4 |
| 1.00 | 42.6 | 46.2 | 46.9 | 45.0 | 45.1 | 43.4 | 46.7 | 47.4 | 45.9 | 45.7 |
| 1.25 | 41.9 | 43.2 | 43.2 | 42.7 | 42.3 | 42.4 | 43.6 | 43.7 | 42.9 | 43.1 |

Values are mean of at least six determinations from two independent experiments. *: Values are significantly different at 5% level with respect to untreated control

levels. The effect of PC II was greater compared to the other formulations. Similar increases were also detected in shoot and root dry weights. Such reduction in symptoms became more pronounced at higher doses of biocontrol formulations and plants treated with the highest doses were indistinguishable from controls.

The H₂O₂ content in shoots and roots of the *Orobanch*-infected tomato and faba bean plants were significantly higher than in the control (Table 3). The application of Pesta formulations reduced the magnitude of the *Orobanch*-induced accumulation. The reduction in H₂O₂ accumulation was greater with higher doses of the formulations and the PC II formulation was the most effective.

In contrast to H₂O₂, there was a significant drop in the contents of AsA in tomato and faba bean plants due to *Orobanch* infection. The application of all formulations resulted in significant increases in AsA content of the infected plants to reach mostly the contents of the healthy non-infected plants. The magnitude of increase in AsA content was proportional to the increase in Pesta doses; the higher the Pesta dose was, the greater was the enhancement of AsA content.

Table 5: Effect of granular Pesta formulations from microconidia and chlamydospore (PM and PC) of two *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates (I and II) on activity of catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (GPX) and glutathione-S-transferase (GST) in shoots and roots of tomato and faba bean infected with *Orobanch ramosa* and *Orobanch crenata*, respectively

| Dose of foxy pesta (g kg ⁻¹ soil) | Tomato | | | | | Faba bean | | | | |
|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Control | PMI | PMII | PCI | PCII | Control | PMI | PMII | PCI | PCII |
| CAT activity in shoots (Units g⁻¹ fresh weight) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 137.9 | | | | | 175.8 | | | | |
| Infected | 100.1* | | | | | 131.1* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 137.5 | 110.3* | 111.3* | 120.5* | 127.1 | 175.1 | 146.3* | 137.8* | 149.4* | 160.4 |
| 0.75 | 137.7 | 125.3 | 127.5 | 130.4 | 132.5 | 175.7 | 162.6 | 163.8 | 164.4 | 166.5 |
| 1.00 | 138.8 | 130.5 | 131.2 | 132.7 | 134.1 | 176.4 | 165.8 | 166.7 | 167.2 | 168.8 |
| 1.25 | 139.6 | 137.7 | 138.1 | 138.7 | 139.2 | 178.3 | 175.9 | 176.3 | 177.2 | 177.9 |
| CAT activity in roots (Units g⁻¹ fresh weight) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 119.5 | | | | | 166.7 | | | | |
| Infected | 86.6* | | | | | 133.1* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 117.9 | 88.4* | 95.3* | 103.0* | 103.9* | 166.6 | 151.1* | 144.0* | 146.4* | 145.5* |
| 0.75 | 118.9 | 111.3 | 111.8 | 113.7 | 114.2 | 166.8 | 159.5 | 158.9 | 160.1 | 160.9 |
| 1.00 | 119.3 | 115.5 | 114.2 | 115.8 | 116.7 | 167.1 | 161.8 | 161.2 | 162.6 | 163.5 |
| 1.25 | 120.1 | 118.7 | 118.9 | 119.3 | 119.8 | 168.0 | 167.0 | 166.6 | 167.0 | 168.1 |
| GPX activity in shoots (Units g⁻¹ fresh weight) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 784.834 | | | | | | | | | |
| Infected | 472 | | | | | 522 | | | | |
| 0.50 | 791 | 532* | 545* | 561* | 577* | 841 | 582* | 595* | 611* | 627* |
| 0.75 | 792 | 615* | 626* | 648* | 666* | 842 | 620* | 635* | 651* | 689* |
| 1.00 | 805 | 651* | 665* | 686* | 706 | 855 | 675* | 715* | 736* | 756 |
| 1.25 | 830 | 795 | 802 | 794 | 817 | 880 | 845 | 852 | 844 | 867 |
| GPX activity in roots (Units g⁻¹ fresh weight) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 625.6 | | | | | 657.1 | | | | |
| Infected | 423.4* | | | | | 454.9* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 626.9 | 466.2* | 477.5* | 487.6* | 510.3* | 658.4 | 497.7* | 509.0* | 519.1* | 541.8* |
| 0.75 | 635.7 | 506.5* | 513.5* | 519.8* | 556.9* | 661.5 | 538.0* | 545.0* | 551.3* | 588.4 |
| 1.00 | 643.2 | 561.3* | 568.9 | 577.7 | 585.3 | 674.7 | 592.8 | 600.4 | 609.2 | 616.8 |
| 1.25 | 650.8 | 640.7 | 633.2 | 639.5 | 646.4 | 682.3 | 661.5 | 654.6 | 671.0 | 677.9 |
| GST activity in shoots (nmol CDNB conjugated g⁻¹ fresh weight min⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 186.4 | | | | | 156.4 | | | | |
| Infected | 132.2* | | | | | 102.2* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 186.3 | 156.3* | 165.2* | 157.5* | 163.2* | 156.3 | 136.3* | 135.2* | 137.5* | 139.4* |
| 0.75 | 186.3 | 172.2 | 171.1 | 173.1 | 174.1 | 156.3 | 142.2 | 141.1 | 143.6 | 144.8 |
| 1.00 | 187.1 | 175.6 | 174.6 | 176.5 | 177.4 | 157.1 | 145.6 | 144.6 | 146.5 | 147.4 |
| 1.25 | 189.2 | 187.2 | 187.4 | 187.9 | 188.6 | 159.2 | 157.2 | 157.4 | 157.9 | 158.6 |
| GST activity in roots (nmol CDNB conjugated g⁻¹ fresh weight min⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not infected | 101.7 | | | | | 82.8 | | | | |
| Infected | 56.2* | | | | | 54.9* | | | | |
| 0.50 | 101.9 | 89.0* | 88.3* | 89.8* | 91.0* | 83.0 | 70.1* | 69.4* | 70.9* | 71.9* |
| 0.75 | 102.2 | 91.6* | 90.7* | 92.4 | 94.0 | 83.7 | 72.7* | 71.8* | 73.5 | 75.2 |
| 1.00 | 102.3 | 96.5 | 94.4 | 97.2 | 98.0 | 83.4 | 77.6 | 75.5 | 78.3 | 79.1 |
| 1.25 | 104.4 | 102.2 | 102.6 | 103.1 | 103.5 | 85.2 | 83.3 | 83.7 | 84.2 | 84.6 |

Values are mean of at least six determinations from two independent experiments. *: Values are significantly different at 5% level with respect to untreated control

SOD activity was significantly higher in shoots and roots of the *Orobanche* infected tomato and faba bean plants than in the control plants (Table 4). The application of Pesta formulations significantly decreased the enhancement of SOD activity. The reduction of the induced increases in SOD activity was related to dosage of the formulations and at the higher doses values were not significantly different from uninfected control plants.

Infection with *Orobanche* led to decreases in activities of CAT, GPX and GST in shoots and roots of tomato and faba bean plants as compared to the control plants (Table 5). Pesta application overcame the infection-induced inhibition of the enzyme activity. The release of the enzymes activities from inhibition was related to the dose of the Pesta formulations and became comparable with those of controls at the higher levels.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, two isolates of *F. oxysporum* showed pathogenicity to *O. crenata* and *O. aegyptiaca/ramosa*. Microconidia and chlamydozoospores of both isolates were used to achieve granular mycoherbicide formulations for biocontrol of *Orobanche*. Severe reductions were obtained in shoot emergence of *O. crenata* and *O. ramosa*, respectively by application of all Foxy Pesta formulations particularly at the highest dose. Similar reductions were observed in shoot height and shoot dry matter. On the contrary, disease incidence of *Orobanche* was highly accelerated upon application of Pesta. In this connection, Shabana *et al.* (2003) reported that application of Pesta formulations containing microconidia and chlamydozoospores of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *orthoceras* (FOO) as a bioherbicide for *O. cumana* resulted in a reduction in *Orobanche* biomass and increase in disease severity. Moreover, Pesta granules reduced the emergence of *O. cumana* shoots (Müller-Stöver *et al.*, 2004). Similar results were also observed by Müller-Stöver and Kroschel (2005) on *O. crenata* by inoculation with *Ulocladium botrytis*. The reduction of *Orobanche* growth by Foxy isolates may be due to the production of toxic metabolites (Zonno and Vurro, 2002; Müller-Stöver and Kroschel, 2005).

Growth of the host species (faba bean and tomato) was significantly reduced by *Orobanche* infection. The *Orobanche*-induced growth inhibition of tomato and faba bean due to parasitism might result from depletion of host nutrition. However, these reductions appeared to be counterbalanced following the application of the formulated Pesta. The biocontrol agents did not only prevent germination but also attacked developed shoots overcoming, therefore, any malfunction in host metabolism probably caused by *Orobanche* infection. Consequently a repair in host metabolism might support new syntheses and consequently normal and even vigor growth. In this context, Müller-Stöver and Kroschel (2005) found that dry matter accumulation of faba bean was significantly increased by treatment with *U. botrytis*. Also, Shabana *et al.* (2003) observed an increase in sunflower dry weight as a result of treatments with FOO. Therefore, Pesta not only overcame the *Orobanche*-induced reductions in host growth but also seemed to serve as amendments, to some extent, supplying host species with good conditions for growth. The inhibition in *Orobanche* growth following Pesta application accompanied with recoveries in host growth could point to the suitability of the formulations as mycoherbicides for *Orobanche* biocontrol with no negative side-effects on host growth.

The stress imposed in tomato and faba bean by *Orobanche* could generate oxidative stress resulted from both infection and normal growth, a state that could be indicated by the accumulation of H₂O₂ and the decline of AsA. Aerobic organisms depend on O₂ as electron acceptor in electron transfer reactions. During O₂ reduction, cells continuously produce ROS (Mittler, 2002). ROS are involved in molecular damage in plants (Noctor and Foyer, 1998). Aerobic organisms evolve numerous antioxidants to minimize the adverse effects of ROS (Durmus and Kadioglu, 2005; Hassan and

Nemat Alla, 2005; Nemat Alla *et al.*, 2007). The accumulation of H_2O_2 and the decline of AsA could be considered as indices of oxidative stress. However, H_2O_2 accumulation is also very important to trigger defense mechanisms against pathogens. ROS accumulation is crucial to plant development as well as defense (Foyer and Noctor, 2005; Pavet *et al.*, 2005). Signals of oxidative stress could be evidenced from the abnormal changes in activities of antioxidative stress enzymes (Nemat Alla and Hassan, 2007; Nemat Alla *et al.*, 2007). Within a cell, SOD constitutes the first line of defense against ROS (Alscher *et al.*, 2002). SOD dismutates the superoxide radicals into H_2O_2 (Noctor and Foyer, 1998). H_2O_2 is detoxified by GPX or CAT and sometimes by GST which may act as peroxidase. However, under unstressed conditions, the formation and removal of ROS are in balance. Therefore, the defense system, with increased ROS formation under stress conditions, can be overwhelmed. Detoxification reactions must involve right balance between the formation and detoxification of ROS.

The increases in SOD activity of the *Orobanche* infected plants concomitant with the inhibited activities of CAT, GPX and GST could explicate the great accumulation of H_2O_2 . Thus, the accumulated H_2O_2 might arise from dismutation of superoxide radical with no enhanced detoxification routes. Under these conditions where CAT, GPX and GST were inhibited, the cell is not competent to scavenge H_2O_2 . On the other hand, application of Pesta formulation overcame the stress inducers and consequently could terminate and moreover eliminate the stress circumstances. Therefore, AsA content and activities of CAT, GPX and GST showed enhancements supporting more detoxification of H_2O_2 with a subsequent decline in its accumulation. AsA increases H_2O_2 detoxification through a played role by the AsA-glutathione (AsA-GSH) cycle. This cycle is an important and powerful detoxifying mechanism in the plant cells (Ma and Cheng, 2003) in which H_2O_2 is reduced to water by peroxidase on the expense of AsA oxidation (Mittler, 2002; Aravind and Passad, 2005; Nemat Alla *et al.*, 2007). AsA is regenerated again by monodehydroascorbate reductase and GSH-dependent dehydroascorbate reductase coupled with glutathione reductase to maintain GSH levels for elimination of ROS (Nagalakshmi and Prasad, 2001). These antioxidants are crucial for plant defense against oxidative stress (Gomez *et al.*, 2004; Nemat Alla and Hassan, 2007). In addition, CAT, GPX and GST help in H_2O_2 scavenge by catalyzing its reduction to water (Nagalakshmi and Prasad, 2001; Nemat Alla and Hassan, 2006; Nemat Alla *et al.*, 2007).

The present results clearly revealed that application of Pesta formulations particularly at 1.25 g kg^{-1} soil to *Orobanche* infected plants retarded *Orobanche* growth and simultaneously retracted the *Orobanche*-induced reductions in host plants and moreover delayed oxidative stress. These findings could suggest that an increase in the defense of host plants was developed by Pesta application against the stress elicited by the infection. Antioxidative mechanism seemed to be modified as activities of SOD, CAT, GPX and GST as well as contents of AsA were greatly improved following the application of Pesta formulations. As a result, H_2O_2 and certainly other ROS could be eliminated. Therefore, it could be suggested that these formulations have positive influences not only because of overcoming the infection effect but also of improving plant metabolites and antioxidative defense mechanism.

The present findings, moreover, could suggest a high virulence of chlamydospores of isolate II in performing the Pesta against *Orobanche*. Anyway, H_2O_2 levels and SOD activity were decreased by Pesta to reach those of normally grown non-infected plants. In the mean time, AsA content and activities of CAT, GPX and GST raised. The retractions in oxidative stress of host plants by Foxy Pesta in addition to the recoveries of growth reductions could suggest that plants seemed more healthy to withstand even with the presence of *Orobanche*. These findings conclude that the application of Foxy formulations particularly PC II at 1.25 g kg^{-1} soil seemed to scavenge the negative effects of parasitism and appeared efficient to mitigate oxidative stress and growth reduction in tomato and faba bean resulted from *Orobanche* infection.

REFERENCES

- Aebi, H., 1984. Catalases. In: Methods of Enzymatic Analysis. Vol. 2. Bergmeyer, H.U. (Ed.), Academic Press, New York, pp: 673-684.
- Ahn, Y.O., S.Y. Kwon, H.S. Lee, I.H. Park and S.S. Wak, 1999. Biosynthesis and metabolism of vitamin C in suspension cultures *Scutellaria baicalensis*. J. Biochem. Mol. Biol., 32: 451-455.
- Alscher, R.G., N. Erturk and L.S. Heath, 2002. Role of superoxide dismutases (SODs) in controlling oxidative stress in plants. J. Exp. Bot., 53: 1331-1341.
- Ando, K., M. Honma, S. Chiba, S. Tahara and J.K. Mizutani, 1988. Glutathione transferase from *Mucor javanicus*. Agric. Biol. Chem., 52: 135-139.
- Aravind, P. and M.N.V. Passad, 2005. Modulation of cadmium-induced oxidative stress in *Ceratophyllum demersum* by zinc involves ascorbate-glutathione cycle and glutathione metabolism. Plant Physiol. Biochem., 43: 107-116.
- Beyer, W.F. and I. Fridovich, 1987. Assaying for superoxide dismutase activity, some large consequences of minor changes in condition. Anal. Biochem., 161: 559-566.
- Boari, A. and M. Vurro, 2004. Evaluation of *Fusarium* sp. and other fungi as biological control agents of broomrape (*Orobancha ramosa*). Biol. Control, 30: 212- 219.
- Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem., 72: 248-154.
- Charudattan, R., 2001. Biological control of weeds by means of plant pathogens, significance for integrated weed management in modern agro-ecology. Biocontrol, 46: 229-260.
- Dixon, D.P., R. Edwards, N.J. Robinson, A.P. Fordham-Skeleton and D.J. Cole, 1995. Spectrum of herbicide reactive glutathione transferases in maize. Proc. Brighton Crop Protec. Conf. Weeds, UK, 255-260.
- Durmus, N. and A. Kadioglu, 2005. Spermine and putrescine enhance oxidative stress in maize leaves. Acta Physiol. Plant., 27: 515-522.
- Elzein, A., J. Kroschel and V. Leth, 2006. Seed treatment technology, An attractive delivery system for controlling root parasitic weed *Striga* with mycoherbicide. Biocontrol Sci. Tech., 16: 3-26.
- Foyer, C.H. and G. Noctor, 2005. Redox homeostasis and antioxidant signaling: A metabolic interface between stress perception and physiological responses. Plant Cell, 17: 1866-1875.
- Gomez, L.D., G. Noctor, M.R. Knight and C.H. Foyer, 2004. Regulation of calcium signaling and gene expression by glutathione. J. Exp. Bot., 55: 1851-1859.
- Gressel, J., A. Hanefi, G. Head, W. Mareses, A.B. Obilane, J. Ochanda, T. Souissi and J. Tzotzos, 2004. Major heterofore intractable biotic constraints to African food security that may be amenable to novel biotechnological solutions. Crop Prot., 23: 661-689.
- Hassan, N.M. and M.M. Nemat Alla, 2005. Oxidative stress in herbicide-treated broad bean and maize plants. Acta Physiol. Plant, 27: 429-438.
- Kleifeld, Y., Y. Goldwasser, D. Plakhine, H. Eizenberg, G. Herzlinger and S. Golan, 1998. Selective control of *Orobancha* sp. in various crops with sulfonylurea and imidazolinone herbicides. In: Proceedings of Regional *Orobancha* Control Workshop, pp: 190-192, Rabat, Morocco.
- Ma, F. and L. Cheng, 2003. The sun-exposed peel of apple fruit has higher xanthophylls cycle-dependent thermal dissipation and antioxidants of the ascorbate-glutathione pathway than shaded peel. Plant Sci., 165: 819-827.
- Mittler, R., 2002. Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci., 4: 405-410.
- Müller-Stöver, D., H. Thomas, J. Sauerborn and J. Kroschel, 2004. Two granular formulations of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *orthoceras* to mitigate sunflower broomrape *Orobancha cumana*. Biocontrol, 49: 595-602.

- Müller-Stöver, D. and J. Kroschel, 2005. The potential of *Ulocladium botrytis* for biological control of *Orobanche* sp. Biol. Control, 33: 301-306.
- Nagalakshmi, N. and M.N.V. Prasad, 2001. Responses of glutathione cycle enzymes and glutathione metabolism to copper stress in *Scenedesmus bijugatus*. Plant Sci., 160: 291-299.
- Nemat Alla, M.M. and N.M. Hassan, 2006. Changes of antioxidants levels in two maize lines following atrazine treatments. Plant Physiol. Biochem., 44: 202-210.
- Nemat Alla, M.M. and N.M. Hassan, 2007. Changes of antioxidants and GSH-associated enzymes in isoproturon-treated maize. Acta Physiol. Plant. (In Press).
- Nemat Alla, M.M., A.M. Badawi, N.M. Hassan, Z.M. El-Bastawisy and E.G. Badran, 2007. Induction of glutathione and glutathione-associated enzymes in butachlor-tolerant plant species. Am. J. Plant Physiol. (In Press).
- Noctor, G. and C.H. Foyer, 1998. Ascorbate and glutathione keeping active oxygen under control. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 49: 249-279.
- Okuda, T., Y. Masuda, A. Yamanaka and S. Sagisaka, 1991. Abrupt increase in the level of hydrogen peroxide in leaves of winter wheat is caused by cold treatment. Plant Physiol., 97: 1265-1267.
- Pavet, V., E. Olmos, G. Kiddle, S. Mowla, S. Kumar, J. Antoniow, M.E. Alvarez and C.H. Foyer, 2005. Ascorbic acid deficiency activates cell death and disease resistance responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol., 139: 1291-1303.
- Ranieri, A., A. Castagna, G. Lorenzini and G.F. Soldatini, 1997. Changes in thylakoid protein patterns and antioxidant levels in two wheat cultivars with different sensitivity to sulphur dioxide. Environ. Exp. Bot., 37: 125-135.
- Rubiales, D., C. Alcántara and J. Sillero, 2004. Variation in resistance to *Orobanche crenata* in species of *Cicer*. Eur. Weed Res. Soc., Weed Res., 44: 27-32.
- Shabana, Y.M., D. Müller-Stöver and J. Sauerborn, 2003. Granular Pesta formulation of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *orthoceras* for biological control of sunflower broomrape, efficacy and shelf-life. Biol. Control, 26: 189-201.
- Shen, H., W. Ye, L. Hong, H. Huang, Z. Wang, X. Deng, Q. Yang and Z. Xu, 2006. Progress in parasitic plant biology: Host selection and nutrient transfer. Plant Biol., 8: 175-185
- Snedecor, W. and G. Cochran, 1980. Statistical Methods. 7th Edn., The Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa.
- Watling, J.R. and M.C. Press, 2001. Impacts of infection by parasitic angiosperms on host photosynthesis. Plant Biol., 3: 244-250.
- Zonno, M.C. and M. Vurro, 2002. Inhibition of germination of *Orobanche ramosa* seeds by *Fusarium* toxins. Phytoparasitica, 30: 519-524.