

Trends in **Applied Sciences** Research

ISSN 1819-3579



Trends in Applied Sciences Research 3 (1): 83-89, 2008 ISSN 1819-3579 © 2008 Academic Journals Inc.

Application of Soil Quality Morphological Index in Assessing Soil Health of a Floodplain

E.U. Onweremadu

Department of Soil Science and Technology,
Federal University of Technology, P.M.B. 1526, Owerri, Nigeria

Abstract: Soil Quality Morphological Index (SQMI) was used to assess soil health of arable farms on soils along Otamiri River in Owerri, Nigeria. Sampled points were identified based on physiography and linked using a transect. Three minipedons were dug at equal inter-minipedal distance of 35 m and sampled. Results showed that soils on a levee had the best quality (SQMI = 3.52), followed by Terace (SQMI = 2.83), Backswamp (SQMI = 2.75) and least in Upland soils (SQMI = 2.64). Soil quality had a good relationship with organic matter (r = 0.92; $r^2 = 0.84$; $1-r^2 = 0.16$; p = 0.05). Results of soil properties were used to classify soils of the site as Isohyperthermic Ruptic-Alfic Dystrudepts (USDA Soil Taxonomy).

Key words: Classification, degradation, evaluation, morphology, Southeastern Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

Soil degradation has been a topical issue in Nigeria in general and Southeastern Nigeria in particular, especially among arable farmers, nomads, environmentalists, government and the general public. Pimental *et al.* (1995) stated that soil and environmental degradation poses a potential threat to global supplies over a long term. Soil degradation appears in form of crusting, compaction, sealing, impeded drainage, waterlogging, reduced waterholding capacity, infiltration, salinization, acidification, nutrient leaching, depletion in organic matter, decline in species composition and decline in biodiversity (Scherr and Yadav, 1996). Major causes of soil degradation include deforestation, burning of vegetation, increasing intensity of farming, tillage practices, low input agriculture, accelerated erosion by water and wind, road building and other construction works (Lal and Okigbo, 1990).

Soil degradation negatively influences the capacity of soil to function. These losses attached to soil degradation have sparked off interest in the concept of soil quality and its assessment (Karlen *et al.*, 2001). The growing awareness that soil is an important component of the earth's biosphere especially in the production of food and fiber (Doran and Parkin, 1994) has caused researchers to attempt definitions of soil quality (Seybold *et al.*, 1998; Brady and Weil, 1999). Seybold *et al.* (1998) used the word dynamic soil quality which they defined in terms of human use and management on soil functions. Brady and Weil (1999) referred to soil quality as the capacity of a soil to function within its ecosystem boundaries to sustain biological productivity and diversity, maintain environmental quality and promote plant and animal life.

The relevance of soil quality in its capacity to function in several purposes calls for its quantitative assessment using reliable indices. Such indices must be dependent on the complex interactions of a number of processes and properties (Doran and Parkin, 1994). Such indices include soil management assessment framework (Andrews, 1998), soil quality index for erosivity (Brady and Weil, 1999), soil quality morphological index (Grossman et al., 2001; Seybold et al., 2004) and the additive index (Andrews et al., 2004).

This study used the soil quality morphological index (SQMI) due to the simplicity of the model. The SQMI provides a relative ranking of optimal physical conditions for root growth and development and free movement of water and air in the pedosphere. The index is determined from near-surface soil properties to a depth of 30 cm or to a restrictive layer if shallower (Seybold *et al.*, 2004). It combines information from soil texture, soil structure, soil consistence, dry crust strength and thickness and surface connected macropores and cracks (Grossman *et al.*, 2001). The index was developed out of the need to characterize the near-surface attributes in detail for soil survey and to evaluate soil quality in the field using soil morphology. In this index, the higher the value, the better the soil quality (Seybold *et al.*, 2004). Changes in near-surface soils due to land use and management are not substantially recognized in soil survey (Onweremadu, 2006) hence the need for this index in sustainable soil management for sustainable land use.

Based on the above, the major aim of this study was to evaluate soil quality of arable farms, using Soil Quality Morphological Index (SQMI) and relating it to organic matter which is often chosen as a reliable indicator of soil health in tropical soils.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location

The study site is the Otamiri River floodplain at Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria, lying between latitudes 5°20′05.210″ and 5°25′21.120″N and longitudes 7°00′ 11.120″ and 7°05′ 09.110″E. The altitude of the area is 54 m above mean sea level (Readings of Handheld Global Positioning System (GPS) Receiver (Garmin Ltd., Kansas, USA). The main geological material (Benin formation) is Coastal Plain Sands, related to the Oligocene-Miocene era (Orajaka, 1975). However, the geology is influenced by fluvial depositions. It is within the lowland areas of Southeastern Nigeria (Ofomata, 1975). It is of the rainforest agroecology of the humid tropics characterized by heavy rainfall (Table 1) and mean monthly temperature ranging from 23.8 to 29.0°C (Table 2). Currently, agriculture is a major socio-economic activity in the study site with the Backswamp physiographic unit being influenced by annual flooding of the Otamiri River.

Field Studies

Four physiographic units, namely Backswamp, Levee, Terrace and Upland were identified. A transect was drawn to link all the land units in the area. A minipedon was sunk in each geomorphic unit and sampling done from the bottommost layer. An inter-minipedon distance of 35 m was maintained. Routine and standard physical parameters were used in the determination of values for the computation of soil quality morphological index (Brejda *et al.*, 2000a, b; Grossman *et al.*, 2001; Seybold *et al.*, 2004). Soils were sampled at predetermined depths of 0-10, 10-20 and 20-30 cm (Grossman *et al.*, 2001).

In addition to four minipedons, four pedons representing four physiographic units were dug and described. Soil samples were also collected and used in this study.

Table 1: Average rainfall (1995-2004)

Months	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Average Rainfall (mm)	27.3	28.3	103.9	188.6	271.1	315.6	332.1	345.6	382.7	246.9	63.1	7.1
Source: Owerri Meteorological Station, 10 years (1995-2004)												

Table 2: Average temperature (°C) (1995-2004)

Months	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Average	27.4	28.5	29.0	28.8	29.2	24.7	23.8	25.8	23.9	24.9	28.6	28.4
temperature (°C)												

Source: Owerri Meteorological Station, 10 years (1995-2004)

Table 3: Texture-weighting class criteria for SQMI (Source: Seybold et al., 2004)

Texture classes	Criteria
A	Sand, loamy sand
В	Not A and clay is ≤18%
C	18-40% clay
D	≥40% clay

Table 4: Criteria for placement of structure class in the soil quality morphological index (Source: Seybold et al.,2004)

Table 4: Criteria i	or placement of structure class in the soft quality morphological index (source: seybold et al.,2004)
Structure classes	Criteria
1	All structures with common or many stress surfaces irrespective of other features, massive, platy with
	firm or stronger horizontal rupture resistance, all weak structure except granular, moderate to very coarse prismatic, all columnar.
2	All structures with few stress surfaces Irrespective of other features, weak granular, moderate to very coarse and coarse blocky; coarse and medium prismatic, platy with friable horizontal rupture resistance; strong very coarse prismatic.
3	No stress surface, moderate to medium blocky; fine, fine and medium prismatic; platy with friable
	horizontal rupture resistance; strong very coarse and coarse blocky.
4	No stress surfaces, moderate to granular, moderate to very fine and fine blocky; strong fine.
5	No stress surfaces, strong granular, strong very fine through medium blocky and very fine prismatic.

Table 5: Rupture-resistance classes for the soil quality morphological index (Source:Seybold et al., 2004)

	Moist rupture resistance								
Texture classes	Loose	Very friable	Friable	Firm	Very firm and stronger				
A	2	3	3	2	1				
В	3	4	3	2	1				
C	4	5	3	2	1				
D	5	5	4	1	1				

Computation of Field Data

Soil quality morphological index was computed using the following formula:

$$SQMI = (4 SRI_{0-10} + 2 SRI_{10-20} + SRI_{20-30}) 7$$

Where,

SRI = Structure-rupture resistance

4, 2 and 7 = Weighting factors

In SQMI computations, three main attributes namely texture-weighting class (Table 3), soil structure (Table 4) and rupture-resistance class (Table 5) were used to describe each layer according to the procedure of Grossman *et al.* (2001). The soil texture was used to determine the relative weight of soil structure and class placement for rupture resistance. Soil structural attributes of type, grade and size are judged to function similarly while rupture resistance was assessed by combining the texture weighting and moist rupture-resistance in the field. The determined structure and rupture resistance classes were integrated into an index class of Structure-Resistance (SRI) for each soil layer. The SRI class was adjusted for the effects of crusts and surface-connected macrospores (Grossman *et al.*, 2001).

Laboratory Analyses

Particle size distribution was determined by hydrometer method according to the procedure of Gee and Or (2002). Soil pH was measured potentiometrically in 0.1 N KCl using a soil: liquid ratio of 1:2.5 (Hendershot *et al.*, 1993). Exchangeable cations were measured by the method described by Thomas (1982) and summation of cations gave the Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC). Base saturation was estimated as a sum of exchangeable basic cations divided by CEC and multiplied by 100%. Organic carbon was measured by Walkley and Black Wet digestion method (Nelson and Sommers, 1982). Values of organic carbon were multiplied by a factor of 1.724 to obtain organic matter.

Statistical Analysis

Average values of organic matter from soils sampled from minipedons were correlated with values of SQMI using SAS computer package (Little *et al.*, 1996).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil Properties and Classification

Soils were sandy, acidic and moderately basic. Base saturation which is a major criterion in the determination of soil taxonomy ranged from 35-52%. Organic matter was low (0.03-3.75%) and decreased. Based on climatic data, soils were classified according to the procedure of soil taxonomy (Anonymous, 2003) as shown in Table 6. In a similar environment, Igwe (2001) characterized and classified its soils as Entisols (USDA Soil Taxonomy) and Fluvisols and Gleysols (FAO System) These differences could be as a result variability in fluvial influences as River Niger is a bigger body of water compared with Otamiri River. The agronomic implication of this is that crops should be subsisting on external inputs for sustainable growth and development in the site as soils are not properly formed. Although soils were deep, (greater than 150 cm) they were too sandy with very low organic matter and granular which affects mechanical function of soils.

Soil Quality

Soils on the levee have best quality having the highest index value (SQMI = 3.52), followed by those on a terrace and least being those of upland. These results show that soils on the levee have least physical limitations for root growth, development and performance as well ad in the soils' ability to draw nutrients, air and water in the pedosphere (Table 7). It implies that soils of the levee have the best soil physical fertility which according to Eneje *et al.* (2005) is necessary for sustaining long-term crop production. This could be through its influences on water status, workability, resistance to erosion and nutrient availability (Piccolo and Mbagwu, 1999).

Horizon	Depth (cm)	pH _(KCI)	CEC (cmol kg ⁻¹)	BS (%)	OM (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)
Upland (Typ	ic paleudults)							
Ap	0-12	4.4	3.50	38	1.62	86	8	6
AB	12-35	4.2	4.06	35	0.71	86	8	6
Bt_1	35-68	4.6	4.23	38	0.30	79	2	19
Bt_2	68-110	4.3	3.04	36	0.22	70	8	22
Bt_3	110-170	4.0	3.00	35	0.09	86	2	12
Backswamp	(Aquic paleudult	s)						
A	0-18	5.6	10.80	52	3.75	78	2	20
AB	18-28	5.4	9.30	46	2.96	80	4	16
Btg_1	28-75	5.5	9.50	48	1.12	80	2	18
Btg_2	75-120	5.6	7.20	48	0.32	76	2	22
Btg_3	120-150	5.6	6.40	44	0.03	78	2	20
Levee (Areni	ic paleudults)							
Ap	0-9	4.6	7.20	40	1.86	90	1	9
AB	9-20	4.4	7.30	38	1.02	88	2	10
Bt_1	20-68	4.5	7.40	39	0.81	85	2	13
Bt_2	68-101	4.3	6.20	37	0.26	80	2	18
Bt_3	101-178	4.3	5.70	36	0.05	79	2	19
Terrace (Typ	oic paleudults)							
Ap	0-10	4.4	7.10	39	1.17	79	3	18
AB	10-21	4.2	6.90	38	0.71	80	2	18
Bt_1	12-70	4.3	7.30	38	0.38	75	2	23
Bt_2	70-110	4.2	5.60	39	0.12	78	1	2
Bt_3	110-190	4.1	5.80	38	0.07	82	2	16

CEC = Cation Exchange Capacity, BS = Base Saturation, OM = Organic Matter

Table 7: Soil quality morphological index (SQMI) values

Physiography	Origin	SQMI
Backswamp	Minipedon	2.75
Levee	Minipedon	3.52
Теттасе	Minipedon	2.83
Upland	Minipedon	2.64

Table 8: Relationship between SQMI and SQM

Statistic	Value
r	0.92
r^2	0.84
$1-r^2$	0.16

p = 0.05, n = 9

Low values of CEC, Organic matter and sandiness as recorded in this study are consistent with the findings of Oti (2007). Although, Igwe (2001) recorded high organic matter in the floodplain soils of the Niger Delta, (Nigeria), low organic matter content of soils of the study site is attributable to land use. Soils of the study is under high demographic pressure arising from a combination of farming, residential and engineering activities in the area. As a consequence of the above, floodplain vegetation is highly depleted, thereby exposing soil organic matter to rapid mineralization processes and other pedogenic processes of loss, such as leaching and soil erosion. In the light of this, Onweremadu *et al.* (2006) conducted reclamation studies in the area in an attempt to improve the physical quality of soils.

Field studies indicated that soils were redder away form the floodplain and more stable in this colouration, implying that upland soils may have undergone longer pedogenesis (Torrent *et al.*, 1980). The influence of Otamiri River via annual overflowing of its banks negatively affects the physical properties of soils of the backswamp, hence its low SQMI values. The low SQMI value of the upland soils was possibly aggravated by the anthropogenic activities ranging from farming and construction activities.

Soil quality morphological index values were correlated with organic matter at a probability level of 5% and the result shows significant positive correlation coefficient (r = 0.92; p = 0.05; n = 9) (Table 8). There was also a very good relationship between SQMI values and soil organic matter ($r^2 = 0.84$; p = 0.05; n = 9). Soil organic matter is regarded as a very reliable and important soil quality parameter (Gregorich *et al.*, 1994). If organic matter has correlated well with SQMI, it implies that both are good indicators of soil health status.

CONCLUSIONS

Soils of the study site are sandy, acidic and of low organic matter. Base saturation values were generally low with pronounced argillation hence classified as Ultisols.

Soils on a levee had the best quality while least soil quality in the site was recorded in upland soils using the SQMI. Describing soil structure and rupture-resistance is subjective but requires broad knowledge on soil morphology for a good assessment. Nonetheless SQMI correlated significantly and positively with soil organic matter. It is suggested that other indices, such as index of reddiness be used for assessing soil quality in the study area in further studies.

REFERENCES

Andrews, S.S., 1998. Sustainable agriculture alternatives: Ecological and managerial implications of poultry litter management alternatives applied to agronomic soils. Ph.D Thesis, University of Georgia, Athens.

- Andrews, S.S., D.L. Karlen and C.A. Cambardella, 2004. The soil management assessment framework: A quantitative soil evaluation method. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 68: 1945-1962.
- Anonymous, 2003. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. 9th Edn., USDA-NRCS, Washington DC., pp. 332.
- Brady, N.C. and R.R. Weil, 1999. The Nature and Properties of Soils. 12th Edn., Prentice-Hall Inc., New York, pp. 860.
- Brejda, J.J., D.L. Karlen, J.L. Smith and D.L. Allan, 2000a. Identification of regional soil quality factors and indicators: II. Northern Mississippi losses hills and Palouse prairie. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 64: 2125-2135.
- Brejda, J.J., T.B. Moorman, D.L. Karlen and T.H. Dao, 2000b. Identification of region soils factors and indications: 1. Central and Southern High Plains. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 64: 2115-2124.
- Doran, J.W. and T.B. Parkin, 1994. Defining and assessing soil quality for a sustainable environment. SSSA Special Publication, 35: 1-21.
- Eneje, R.C., C.V. Ogbonna and B.O. Nuga, 2005 Saturated hydraulic conductivity water-stable aggregates and soil organic matter in a sandy loam soil of Isikwuato LGA of Abia State. Agro-Sci., 4: 34-37.
- Gee, G.W. and D. Or, 2002. Particle Size Analysis. In: Methods of Soil Analysis. Dane, J.H. and G.C. Topp (Eds.), Part 4, Physical Methods Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Book Series No. 5, ASA and SSSA Madison WI., pp: 91-100.
- Gregorich, E.G., M.R. Carter, D.A. Angers, C.M. Monreal and B.H. Ellert, 1994. Towards a minimum data set to assess soil organic matter quality in agricultural soils. Can. J. Soil Sci., 74: 367-385.
- Grossman, R.B., D.S. Harms, C.A. Seybold and J.E. Herrick, 2001. Coupling use dependent and use invariant Ant data for soil quality evaluation in the united states. J. Soil Water Conserv., 56: 63-68.
- Hendershot, W.H., H. Lalande and M. Duquette, 1993. Soil Reaction and Exchangeable Acidity. In: Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis. Carter, M.R. (Ed.), Canadian Society of Soil Science, Lewis Publishers, London, pp. 141-145.
- Igwe, C.A., 2001. Water-stable aggregates of Niger floodplain soils and their organic carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus distribution. Agron. Sci., 2: 52-61.
- Karlen, D.L., S.S. Andrews and J.W. Doran, 2001. Soil quality: Current concepts and applications. Adv. Agron., 74: 1-40.
- Lal, R. and B.N. Okigbo, 1990. Assessment of soil degradation in the Southern states of Nigeria. Environment Working Paper, 39: 58.
- Little, R.C., G.A. Milliken, W.W. Stroup and R.C. Wolfinger, 1996. SAS System of Mixed Models.Statistical System Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA., pp. 633.
- Nelson, D.W. and L.E. Sommers, 1982. Total Carbon, Organic Carbon and Organic Matter. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Page, A., Miller, L.R.H. and D.R. Keeney (Eds.), Part 2. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI., pp: 539-579.
- Ofomata, G.E.K., 1975. Landform Regions. Nigeria in Maps: Eastern States. Ofomata, G.E.K. (Ed.), Ethiope Publishing House, Benin, pp. 33-34.
- Onweremadu, E.U., 2006. Application of Geographic Information System (GIS) on soils and soil-related environmental problems in Southeastern Nigeria. Ph.D Thesis, University of Nigeria, pp: 330.
- Onweremadu, E.U., C.C. Opara, U. Nkwopara, C.I. Duruigbo and I.I. Ibeawuchi, 2006. Yield response of a cowpea variety on ground seashells on Isohyperthermic Arenic Kandiudult of Owerri, Southeastern Nigeria. Int. J. Soil Sci., 1: 251-257.
- Orajaka, S.O., 1975. Geology. Nigeria in Maps: Eastern States. Ofomata, G.E.K. (Ed.), Ethope Publishing House, Benin, pp: 5-7.

- Oti, N.N., 2007. An assessment of fallows as a natural strategy to restore erosion degraded lands. Int. J. Agric. Rural Dev., 9: 22-29.
- Piccolo, A. and J.S.C. Mbagwu, 1999. Role of hydrophobic components of Soil organic matter on soil aggregate stability. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 63: 1801-1810.
- Pimental, D., C.P. Harvey, Resosudarmo, K. Sinclair, D. Kurz, M. McNair, S.L. Crist and S. Fittony, 1995. Economic costs of soil erosion and conservative benefits. Science, 267: 1117-1123.
- Scherr, S.J.S. and Yadav, 1996. Land Degradation in the Developing World: Implications for Food, Agriculture and the Environment to 2010. IFPRI Washington DC., pp. 36.
- Seybold, C.A., M.J. Mausbach, D.L. Karlen and H.H. Rogers, 1998. Quantification of Soil Quality. In: Soil Processes and Carbon Cycle. Lal, R. (Ed.), CRC. Press, Boca Raton, FL., pp: 387-404.
- Seybold, C.A., R.B. Grossman, H. Hope, G. Muckel and D.L. Karlen, 2004. Soil quality morphological index measures in the 1996 NRI pilot study. Soil Surv. Horizons, 45: 73-110.
- Thomas, G.W., 1982. Exchangeable Cations. In: Methods of Soil Analysis. Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (Eds.). Part 2. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison WI., pp. 159-165.
- Torrent, J., U. Schwertmann and D.J. Schulze, 1980. Iron oxide mineralogy of some soils of two river terrace sequences in Spain. Geoderma, 23: 191-208.